1. If G is open and P is a special polygon with  $P \subset G$ , prove there exists a special polygon P' such that  $P \subset P' \subset G$  and  $\lambda(P) < \lambda(P')$ .

**Solution:** Recall the definition of a measure on an open set G:

$$\lambda(G) := \sup \{\lambda(P) : P \subset G, P \text{ is a special polygon}\}.$$

We have two occasions:

- 1.  $\lambda(G) < \infty$ .
- 2.  $\lambda(G) = \infty$ .

Let's address the first case.

1. If  $\lambda(G) < \infty$ , according to the definition of *supremum*, it satisfies the following property:

$$\lambda\left(G\right) - \epsilon = \lambda\left(P\right)$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then by the definition of *supremum*, there exists an element  $\lambda(P') \in \{\lambda(P) : P \subset G, P \text{ is a special polygon}\}$  such that

$$\underbrace{\lambda\left(G\right) - \epsilon < \lambda\left(P'\right)}_{\lambda(P) < \lambda(P')} < \lambda\left(G\right).$$

2. If  $\lambda(G) = \infty$ , the set  $\{\lambda(P) : P \subset G, P \text{ is a special polygon}\}$  is not bounded above. Therefore, it immediately follows that there exists an element

$$\lambda(P') \in {\lambda(P) : P \subset G, P \text{ is a special polygon}}$$

such that  $\lambda(P) < \lambda(P')$ .

- 2. (a) Prove that if G is a bounded open set, then  $\lambda(G) < \infty$ .
  - (b) In the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  let

$$G = \left\{ (x, y) : 1 < x \text{ and } 0 < y < \frac{1}{x} \right\}.$$

Prove that  $\lambda(G) = \infty$ 

(c) In the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  let

$$G = \{(x, y) : 0 < x \text{ and } 0 < y < e^{-x}\}.$$

Prove that  $\lambda(G) = 1$ .

(d) In the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  let

$$G = \{(x, y) : 1 < x \text{ and } 0 < y < x^{-a}\},\$$

where a is a real number satisfying a > 1. Prove that  $\lambda(G) = 1/(a-1)$ .

**Solution:** (a) Let G' be the set of all limit points of G. Then the closure  $\overline{G} = G \bigcup G'$  is compact because it is a closed and bounded set. Since  $\overline{G}$  is compact, there exists a finite subcover  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i$  of  $\overline{G}$ . If a set is closed and bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then it can be contained in I for some n-cell I, i.e.,  $\overline{G} \subset I$ . Because n-cell is a special rectangle,  $\lambda(I)$  is well-defined to be finite. Thus,

$$\lambda(G) \le \lambda(\overline{G}) \le \lambda(I) < \infty.$$

$\alpha$		
	lution	•

Solution:

## Solution:

3. Let  $G_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$ , be a collection of disjoint open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove that only countably many of these sets are nonempty.

**Solution:** We can use the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is put another way as such: every neighbourhood in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  contains a point of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Therefore, if  $G_i$  is a nonempty open set, every point in  $G_i$  is an interior point with a neighbourhood with a point in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  contained in  $G_i$ . Since  $G_i$  are disjoint, they must not share a point in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , which concludes that the number of nonempty open sets in the collection  $\{G_i\}$  is as large as the cardinality of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ .  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  has the same cardinality as  $\mathbb{N}^n$  thereby lending itself to the definition of *countability*.

4. The structure of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Prove that every nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as a countable disjoint union of open intervals:

$$G = \bigcup_{k} \left( a_k, b_k \right),\,$$

where the range on k can be finite or infinite. Furthermore, show that this expression is unique except for the numbering of the component intervals.

**Solution:** For every point  $x \in G$ , we define  $A_x$  to be the largest interval contained within G. We can construct  $A_x$  by making use of supremum and infimum.

$$b_x := \sup \{b : b > x \text{ and } (x, b) \subset G\}$$

$$a_x := \inf \{ a : a < x \text{ and } (a, x) \subset G \}$$

Then  $A_x := (a_x, b_x)$ . By construction,  $G = \bigcup_{x \in G} A_x$ . Now we are left with two problems: (1)  $A_x$  is uniquely defined. (2) The collection  $\{A_x\}_{x \in G}$  is countable.

- 1. Suppose there exist two distinct points  $x, x' \in G$  whose defined  $A_x$  and  $A_{x'}$  overlap, i.e.,  $A_x \cap A_{x'} \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $A_x \cup A_{x'} \subset A_x$  since  $A_x$  is by definition the largest interval containing x within G. Likewise,  $A_x \cup A_{x'} \subset A_{x'}$ . Together, they suggest  $A_x = A_{x'}$ . This implies that if two  $A_x, A_{x'}$  overlap, they do not overlap partially. They overlap entirely which makes them the same interval. Otherwise, they are disjoint, which makes each of them unique in the collection  $\{A_x\}_{x \in G}$ .
- 2. Recall that the set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . This states that every set in the collection  $\{A_x\}_{x\in G}$  has at least one rational number. Since they are disjoint, there exists an injection  $\{A_x\}_{x\in G} \to \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, the cardinality of the collection  $\{A_x\}_{x\in G}$  is at its largest the same as that of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- 5. In the notation of the previous problem, prove that  $\lambda(G) = \sum_{k} (b_k a_k)$ .

**Solution:** By property O6, for disjoint sets  $A_x$ ,

$$\lambda(G) = \lambda\left(\bigcup_{x \in G} A_x\right) = \sum_{x \in G} \lambda(A_x).$$

Since  $\lambda(A_x)$  is defined to be  $b_x - a_x$ , it follows that

$$\lambda\left(G\right) = \sum_{x} \left(b_{x} - a_{x}\right).$$

6. Prove that the open disk B(0,1) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  cannot be expressed as a disjoint union of open rectangles.

**Solution:** Recall the fact that a unit ball is a *connected* set, i.e., it is not a union of two nonempty separated sets. However, if we suppose nonempty disjoint open rectangles  $\{G_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  can be coupled to create the unit ball B(0,1), then it violates the connectedness of a unit ball. Let  $A_1 = G_1$  and  $A_2 = \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} G_i$ . Then  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$  but  $A_1 \cup A_2 = B(0,1)$ , which is a contradiction.

7. Prove that every nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be expressed as a countable union of nonoverlapping special rectangles, which may be taken to be cubes:

$$G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k.$$

The range on k must be infinite. Why?

(HINT: First pave  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with cubes of side 1. Select those cubes which are contained in G. Then bisect the sides of the remaining cubes to obtain cubes with side 1/2. Select those cubes which are contained in G.)

## Solution:

8. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Prove that there exists an open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbb{Q} \subset G$  and  $\lambda(G) < \epsilon$ . (This result will probably surprise you: Although G is open and contains every rational number, "most" of  $\mathbb{R}$  is in  $G^{c}$ .)

**Solution:** From the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  for which a bijection f exists with  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, if we take sequence to be equivalent to the set of rational numbers, take a neighbourhood around each element in  $\{x_n\}$  and denote it by  $B(x_n, \epsilon/3^n)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . In  $\mathbb{R}$ , a neighbourhood is an open interval. Once we set G to be the union of these neighbourhoods, it is then followed by

$$\mathbb{Q} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B\left(x_n, \frac{\epsilon}{3^n}\right).$$

An infinite union of open sets is also an open set. Therefore, G is also open. Since

$$\lambda\left(G\right) = \lambda\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B\left(x_{n}, \frac{\epsilon}{3^{n}}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(B\left(x_{n}, \frac{\epsilon}{3^{n}}\right)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{3^{n}},$$

 $\lambda(G) \le \epsilon/2.$ 

9. Use your method of working Problem 12 to give a proof that  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable (cf. Section 1B).

**Solution:** Assume  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable. Then using the method in the previous problem, we can construct a sequence with a bijection with  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Equally, there exists an open cover of countably many open intervals for  $\mathbb{R}$ . From this, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  which satisfies the following relation:

$$\lambda(\mathbb{R}) < \epsilon$$
.

This is a violation of the property O3, stating  $\lambda(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ .