

1. Prove M6. (You must use the assumption  $\lambda(A_1) < \infty$ .) If the  $A_k$ 's are measurable and  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \cdots$ , and if  $\lambda(A_1) < \infty$ , then

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A_k).$$

**Solution:** In order to use M5, let us define  $B_k = A_1 \setminus A_k$ . According to the Corollary, if  $A_1, A_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$ , then  $A_1 \setminus A_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$  as well. Also  $B_k$  has the following property:

$$B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \cdots$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= A_1 \setminus A_1 = \emptyset \\ B_2 &= A_1 \setminus A_2 = A_1 \cap A_2^c \\ &\vdots \\ B_k &= A_1 \setminus A_k = A_1 \cap A_k^c \end{aligned}$$

and  $A_1^c \subset A_2^c \subset \cdots$ . Therefore, we can apply M5 to  $B_k$ :

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(B_k).$$

Rewriting the LHS in terms of its original representation,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k &= (A_1 \cap A_1^c) \cup (A_1 \cap A_2^c) \cup \cdots = A_1 \cap (A_1^c \cup A_2^c \cup \cdots) \\ &= A_1 \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^c\right) \\ &= A_1 \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right)^c \quad (\text{By DeMorgan's Law}) \\ &= A_1 \setminus \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \end{aligned}$$

By M2,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{L}$  and thus  $A_1 \setminus \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{L}$ . Now we will use M11 since  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \subset A_1$ :

$$\lambda^*\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) + \lambda_*\left(A_1 \setminus \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \lambda(A_1).$$

Because they are all measurable, outer measure, inner measure, and measure are all same:

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(B_k) = \lambda(A_1). \tag{1}$$

Apply M11 again to  $B_k = A_1 \setminus A_k$  using the fact that  $A_k \subset A_1$ ,

$$\lambda^*(A_k) + \lambda_*(A_1 \setminus A_k) = \lambda(A_1).$$

Likewise, they are all measurable and thus inner measure, outer measure are all same. Taking the limit on both sides after rearrangement,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A_k) = \lambda(A_1) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(B_k). \quad (2)$$

Plugging eqn (2) back to eqn (1), we obtain

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(A_k).$$

2. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary. Prove that

$$\lambda^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k) : A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \right\}$$

where the  $I_k$ 's are special rectangles.

(HINT: If  $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ , the  $\lambda^*(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k)$  (why?). This will establish that  $\lambda^*(A) \leq \inf \{ \}$ . To establish the reverse inequality, consider a well chosen  $G \supset A$ , and apply Problem 9.)

**Solution:** Let  $I_k$  be nonoverlapping special rectangles. Then by \*3,

$$\lambda^*(A) \leq \lambda^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right).$$

Since the measure of  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  is already defined in stage 1, the outer measure has to be the measure itself:

$$\lambda^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right) = \lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right)$$

which is also  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k)$ . Therefore, we have obtained the first inequality

$$\lambda^*(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k).$$

Next, according to Problem 9, any nonempty open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  can be expressed as

$$G = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k,$$

then,  $A \subset G$ .

3. Suppose that  $A \cup B$  is measurable and that

$$\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(B) < \infty.$$

Prove that  $A$  and  $B$  are measurable.

**Solution:** By M11,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^*(B) + \lambda_*((A \cup B) \setminus B) &= \lambda(A \cup B) \\ &= \lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(B). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(A \cup B) \setminus B = A \setminus B$  using elementary set operations:

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cup B) \setminus B &= (A \cup B) \cap B^c \\ &= (A \cap B^c) \cup (B \cap B^c) \\ &= (A \cap B^c) \\ &= A \setminus B. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lambda_*(A \setminus B) = \lambda^*(A)$  which by definition is

$$\sup \{ \lambda(K) : K \subset A \setminus B, K \text{ compact} \} = \inf \{ \lambda(G) : A \subset G, G \text{ open} \}.$$

For every compact set  $K \subset A \setminus B$ , it is obvious that  $K \subset A$ . Therefore,  $K \subset A \subset G$ , which lends itself directly to the theorem on approximation. Therefore,  $A$  is measurable. Now using M11 once more as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^*(A) + \lambda_*((A \cup B) \setminus A) &= \lambda(A \cup B) \\ &= \lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(B), \end{aligned}$$

we can arrive at the same conclusion for  $B$ .

4. Prove that if  $A$  and  $B$  are measurable, then

$$\lambda(A) + \lambda(B) = \lambda(A \cup B) + \lambda(A \cap B).$$

**Solution:** By M3,  $A \setminus B, B \setminus A \in \mathcal{L}$  and by corollary,  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{L}$ . According to the theorem of countable additivity,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(A) &= \lambda(A \setminus B) + \lambda(A \cap B) \\ \lambda(B) &= \lambda(B \setminus A) + \lambda(A \cap B) \end{aligned}$$

holds for disjoint sets  $A \setminus B, A \cap B$  and  $B \setminus A, A \cap B$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda(A) + \lambda(B) = \lambda(A \setminus B) + \lambda(B \setminus A) + 2\lambda(A \cap B).$$

Because  $A \setminus B, A \cap B, B \setminus A$  are all disjoint, the following holds:

$$\lambda((A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B)) = \lambda(A \setminus B) + \lambda(B \setminus A) + \lambda(A \cap B).$$

Note that  $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B) = A \cup B$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda(A) + \lambda(B) = \lambda(A \cup B) + \lambda(A \cap B).$$

5. Prove that in general

$$\lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(B) \geq \lambda^*(A \cup B) + \lambda^*(A \cap B)$$

and

$$\lambda_*(A) + \lambda_*(B) \leq \lambda_*(A \cup B) + \lambda_*(A \cap B).$$

**Solution:** Recall the definition of an outer measure:

$$\lambda^*(A) = \inf \{ \lambda(G) : A \subset G, G \text{ open} \}.$$

Then since  $A \subset G$  and  $G$  is open, \*5 states that  $G$  is measurable. Therefore, we can take 2 open sets  $G_1, G_2$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subset G_1 \\ B &\subset G_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is obvious that  $A \cup B \subset G_1 \cup G_2$  and  $A \cap B \subset G_1 \cap G_2$ . Now we will use the result from the previous problem for measurable sets that

$$\lambda(G_1) + \lambda(G_2) = \lambda(G_1 \cup G_2) + \lambda(G_1 \cap G_2).$$

6. Prove that if  $A$  is countable, then  $\lambda(A) = 0$ . (In particular,  $\lambda(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ .)

**Solution:** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since a countable set can be indexed as a sequence, let  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be the indexed sequence of the set  $A$ . Then for every  $x_k$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $B(x_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon)$  such that  $B(x_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon) \subset I_k$  where

$$I_k = [x_{k1} - 2^{-k}\epsilon, x_{k1} + 2^{-k}\epsilon] \times [x_{k2} - 2^{-k}\epsilon, x_{k2} + 2^{-k}\epsilon] \times \cdots \times [x_{kn} - 2^{-k}\epsilon, x_{kn} + 2^{-k}\epsilon].$$

It holds that  $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B(x_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon)$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B(x_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda(A) \leq \lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B(x_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon)\right) \leq \lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k) &= 2^n \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nk} \\ &= 2^n \epsilon \frac{2^{-n}}{1 - 2^{-n}} \\ &= \epsilon \frac{2^n}{2^n - 1} < (2\epsilon)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\lambda(A) \leq \lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B(x_k, 2^{-k}\epsilon)\right) \leq \lambda\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_k) \leq (2\epsilon)^n \leq 0.$$

Therefore,  $\lambda(A) = 0$ .

7. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Prove that

$$\lambda(\{a\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) = 0.$$

**Solution:** We will use problem 9 and problem 27 to solve this. According problem 9,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be covered with special rectangles having a side length of 1, putting each on the lattice of integer length cubes. Since the set of integers is countable, we can recast it into a sequence  $\{x_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ .

8. Prove that if  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda^*(E) < \infty$ , then a measurable set  $A$  exists such that

$$E \subset A \text{ and } \lambda^*(E) = \lambda(A).$$

(The set  $A$  is called a *measurable hull* of  $E$ .)

(HINT: Choose open sets  $G_k$  such that  $\lambda(G_k) < \lambda^*(E) + k^{-1}$  and  $E \subset G_k$ . Then let  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$ .)

**Solution:** Using the hint, since  $G_k$  are open sets, we can use property \*5 and say

$$\lambda(G_k) = \lambda^*(G_k) = \lambda_*(G_k).$$

Because we chose  $G_k$  such that  $\lambda(G_k) < \lambda^*(E) + k^{-1}$ , it is obvious that  $\lambda(G) < \infty$ . By definition,  $G_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and thus  $G_k \in \mathcal{L}$ . Now we rely on the fact that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then,

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Since  $E \subset G_k$  for all  $k$ , it is obvious that  $E \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$ . Therefore,

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k\right) \geq \lambda^*(E).$$

For the other direction, we should note that  $\lambda(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k) \leq \lambda(G_k)$  since  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k \subset G_k$  and \*2. We have chosen  $G_k$  such that  $\lambda(G_k) < \lambda^*(E) + k^{-1}$ , the following holds:

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k\right) \leq \lambda(G_k) < \lambda^*(E) + k^{-1}.$$

Since  $k^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we can conclude that

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k\right) \leq \lambda^*(E).$$

Combining both inequalities,

$$\lambda(A) = \lambda^*(E).$$

9. Let  $\lambda^*(E) < \infty$ ,  $E \subset A \in \mathcal{L}$ . Prove that  $A$  is a measurable hull of  $E \iff \lambda_*(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

**Solution:** ( $\Rightarrow$ )

If  $A$  is a measurable hull of  $E$ , by M11 and the result of the previous problem,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^*(E) + \lambda_*(A \setminus E) &= \lambda(A) \\ \lambda(A) &= \lambda^*(E).\end{aligned}$$

Combining two equations, we obtain that

$$\lambda_*(A \setminus E) = 0.$$

( $\Leftarrow$ )

If  $\lambda_*(A \setminus E) = 0$ , by M11

$$\lambda^*(E) = \lambda(A).$$

By the result from the previous problem, we call this set  $A$ , the measurable hull of  $E$ .

10. Prove that if  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset E_3 \subset \cdots$ , then

$$\lambda^* \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^*(E_k).$$

(HINT: Let  $A_k$  be a measurable hull of  $E_k$ , and define  $B_k = \bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j$ . Then  $B_k$  is also a measurable hull of  $E_k$ , and furthermore  $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \cdots$ . Apply Property M5.)

**Solution:**

11. Suppose  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  are measurable sets and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_k) < \infty.$$

Prove that  $\lambda(\limsup A_k) = 0$ .

**Solution:**