

Functional regression paper review

Daeyoung Lim*
Department of Statistics
Korea University

May 12, 2016

1 Functional Principal Components Analysis

1.1 Connection to the conventional PCA

There are two approaches to PCA: SVA and eigendecomposition of the covariance matrix. These are equivalent after centering the columns of design matrix X . The centering is essential because $(n-1)^{-1} X^\top X$ is not the covariance matrix otherwise. SVD states the identity $X = U\Sigma V^\top$. Then, $X^\top X = V\Sigma U^\top U\Sigma V^\top$. U and V are orthogonal matrices, forcing U^\top and U to cancel each other out. Hence, $X^\top X = V\Sigma^2 V^\top$, which resembles the eigendecomposition of $X^\top X$.

The functional analog of PCA uses the covariance function instead and defines the covariance function $v(s, t)$ by

$$v(s, t) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(s) x_i(t). \quad (1)$$

The eigenequation $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$ becomes an integral

$$\int v(s, t) \xi(t) dt = \rho \xi(s) \quad (2)$$

where $\xi(\cdot)$ works as the eigenvector; hence the name *eigenfunction*. It corresponds to an *integral transform* and is often written with the operator notation

$$V\xi = \rho\xi \quad (3)$$

making it seem identical to the matrix eigenequation.

1.2 Computation

The above introduction is useful in facilitating the understanding of fPCA. However, it does not tell us how to actually do it. The most intuitive way of doing it is to discretize the design function $X_i(t)$ into a design matrix. However in Jeff Goldsmith's paper, basis function expansion technique was adopted to address the computation. Suppose each design function $X_i(t)$ has basis expansion

$$X_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K c_{ik} \phi_k(t). \quad (4)$$

The notation simplifies upon defining vector-valued functions $\mathbf{X}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)$ as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\phi}, \quad (5)$$

*Prof. Taeryon Choi

where the coefficient matrix \mathbf{C} is $n \times K$. Then the covariance matrix is

$$v(s, t) = \frac{1}{n-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}(s)^\top \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\phi}(t). \quad (6)$$

Introducing another matrix $\mathbf{W} = \int \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top$, we can now say that

$$\int v(s, t) \xi(t) dt = \frac{1}{n-1} \int \boldsymbol{\phi}(s)^\top \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\phi}(t) \boldsymbol{\phi}(t)^\top \mathbf{b} dt \quad (7)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\phi}(s)^\top \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{b} \quad (8)$$

where the vector \mathbf{b} comes from the expansion of the eigenfunction $\xi(s)$:

$$\xi(s) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_k \phi_k(s) \quad (9)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\phi}(s)^\top \mathbf{b}. \quad (10)$$

The eigenequation 2 reduces to a matrix equation

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(s)^\top \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{b} = \rho \boldsymbol{\phi}(s)^\top \mathbf{b}. \quad (11)$$

We can do without $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ since the equation must hold for all s :

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{b} = \rho \mathbf{b}. \quad (12)$$

However, $\|\xi\| = 1$ indicates $\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{b} = 1$. Furthermore, by the definition of *orthogonality* of functions, ξ_1 and ξ_2 are orthogonal if and only if $\mathbf{b}_1^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{b}_2 = 0$. Since the equation is not straightforward and does not look like an eigenequation, we define $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{W}^{1/2} \mathbf{b}$ and solve

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{W}^{1/2} \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C} \mathbf{W}^{1/2} \mathbf{u} = \rho \mathbf{u} \quad (13)$$

and revert it by $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{W}^{-1/2} \mathbf{u}$. Note that if the basis functions are orthonormal, \mathbf{W} reduces to an identity matrix.

2 Paper Review

The author's model is as follows:

$$Y_i = \mathbf{z}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \int_0^1 X_i(t) \gamma(t) dt + \epsilon_i^Y \quad (14)$$

$$\epsilon_i^Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_Y^2). \quad (15)$$

The reason why there is an integral is because we have always defined a model with respect to the inner product of a covariate vector and the coefficient vector. Since the covariate is a function, i.e., a stochastic process, the inner product should be defined in terms of the integral.