Experimental design and Statistical Parametric Mapping - Karl Friston

1. Intro

- -Characterizing a regionally specific effect rests on estimation and inference
- -Functional specialization and integration serve as motivation for most analyses of neuroimaging data
- -- These two have to be combined for full understanding of brain mapping results
- -Statistical parametric mapping is generally used to identify functionally specialized brain responses
- --Characterizes functional anatomy and disease-related changes
- --voxel-based, classical inference, comments on regionally specific responses to experimental factors
- 2. Functional specialization and integration
- -Brain has two fundamental principles of functional organization
- --Functional integration
- --Functional specialization
- 2a. Functional specialization and segregation
- -Functional role of a brain component is defined by its cortical connections
- -Functional segregation demands that cells with common functional properties are grouped together
- -The analysis of functional neuroimaging data is divided into:
- --Spatial processing
- --Estimating parameters of a statistical model
- --Inference on parameter estimates with appropriate statistics
- 3. Spatial Realignment and Normalization

(Section I: Computational Neuroanatomy)

- -Analysis of neuroimaging data starts with series of spatial transformations
- --reduce unwanted variance components in voxel time-series induced by movement or shape differences among series of scans
- --voxel-based analyses assume data are derived "locally" (enabling reporting regionally-specific effects)
- -First step is the realign data
- --then transform using linear or nonlinear warps into a standard anatomical space
- --finally, data are spatially smoothed

(Chapter 2: Rigid body registration)

3a. Realignment

- -Changes in signal intensity over time arise from head motion, disrupting fMRI study results
- -Realignment involves:
- --estimating the 6 parameters of an affine 'rigid-body' transformation that minimizes the differences (LSA)
- ---first-order approximation of the Taylor expansion of the effect of movement on signal intensity using spatial derivatives
- ---allows for a simple iterative least squares solution corresponding to a Gauss-Newton search
- --applying the transformation by re-sampling the data using tri-linear, sinc or spline interpolation.

3b. Adjusting for movement related effects in fMRI

- -as much as 90% of variance in fMRI time-series can be effects of movement after realignment
- --caused by effects that cannot be modeled using a linear affine model
- --nonlinear effects include:
- ---subject movement between slice acquisition
- ---interpolation artifacts
- ---nonlinear distortion due to magnetic field inhomogeneities
- ---spin-excitation history effects
- -these effects create movement-related signal "y", a nonlinear function of displacement "x" in current and previous scans
- $--y_n=f(x_n, x_{n-1},...)$
- -this estimated signal is then subtracted from original data
- -adjustment can be carried out pre-processing step or embodied in model estimation during the analysis
- -this considers spatial realignment, not temporal realignment
- --temporal realignment: using sinc interpolation over time and only when:
- ---temporal dynamics of evoked responses are important
- ---TR (time repetitions) sufficiently small to permit interpolation
- --timing effects are usually unimportant
- --provided that effects of latency differences are modelled, this renders temporal realignment unnecessary usually

(Chapter 3: Spatial Normalization using basis functions)

3c. Spatial normalization

- -After realignment, a mean image of the series is used to estimate some warping parameters that map it into a template that conforms to a standard anatomical space
- -Estimation can use a variety of models for the mapping:
- --12-parameter affine transformation
- ---parameters constitute a spatial transformation matrix
- --low-frequency basis spatial functions
- ---discrete cosine set or polynomials
- ---parameters are coefficients of basis functions
- --vector field specifying the mapping for each control point (eg voxel)
- ---parameters are vast and vector field is bigger than image
- -Estimation of parameters in any case can be done through Bayesian framework, finding deformation parameters that have maximum posterior probability $p(\theta)$ given data y'
- $--p(\theta)p(y)=p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$
- --ie, finding deformation (most likely) given the data
- --deformation can be found by maximizing probability of getting the data, assuming current estimate of deformation is true, times probability that estimate is true
- --deformation is updated iteratively using Gauss-Newton scheme to maximize maximum posterior probability p(\theta|y)
- ---involves jointly minimizing the likelihood and prior potentials
- ----likelihood potential is sum of squared differences between template and deformed image
- ----reflects probability of actually getting that image if the transformation was correct

- ----prior potential is used to incorporate prior info about likelihood of a given warp
- ----can be determined empirically or motivated by constraints on the mappings
- ----play a more essential role as the number of parameters increases and are central to high dimensional warping schemes
- -Affine or spatial basis function warps and iterative least squares are used to minimize posterior potential
- 3d. Co-registration of functional and anatomical data
- -Can be useful
- -Distortion is not an issue if functional data is spatially normalized
- 3e. Spatial smoothing
- -Motivations for smoothing data:
- --by the matched filter theorem, the optimum smoothing kernel corresponds to the size of the anticipated effect
- --by the central limit theorem, smoothing data will render errors more normally distributed and ensure validity of inferences based on parametric tests
- --when inferring about regional effects using Gaussian random field theory, the assumption is that error terms are a reasonable lattice representation of an underlying and smooth Gaussian field
- --in context of inter-subject averaging, often necessary to smooth more to project data onto a spatial scale where homologies in functional anatomy are expressed among subjects

3f. Summary

- -Products of spatial normalization are bifold:
- --a spatially normalized image and a deformation field
- ---deformation field contains important info about anatomy
- ----key part of computational neuroanatomy
- ----tensor fields can be analyzed directly (deformation-based morphometry)
- ----tensor fields can create maps of specific anatomical attributes (compressions, shears)
- -----maps can be analyzed by voxel (tensor-based morphometry)
- ----normalized structural images can undergo satirical analysis (voxel-based morphometry)
- -----voxel-based morphometry is most common voxel-based neuroanatomical procedure

(Sections II and III: Modeling and Inference)

- 4. Statistical Parametric Mapping
- -Statistical Parametric Mapping: the construction of spatially extended statistical processes to test hypotheses about regionally specific effects
- -SPMs (maps) are image processes with voxel values that are distributed according to a known PDF, usually Student T or F
- --T-maps or F-maps
- -One analyzes each voxel and the resulting parameters are assembled into an image (the SPM)
- -SPMs are interpreted as spatially extended processes by referring to the probabilistic behavior of Gaussian fields

- --Gaussian random fields (GRF) model probabilistic characteristics of a SPM and any non-stationary spatial covariance structure
- --'Unlikely' excursions of the SPM are interpreted as regionally specific effects (sensorimotor or cognitive process)
- -SPM uses the general linear model (GLM) and GRF to infer data through SPMs
- --GLM estimates parameters that could explain spatially continuous data
- --GRF is used to resolve multiple comparison problem that ensues when making inferences over a volume of the brain
- -Reason behind SPM:
- --acknowledge Significance Probability Mapping, the use of interpolated pseudo-maps of p values used to summarize the analysis of multi-channel ERP (event-related potential) studies
- --parametric statistics that comprise the maps
- -Subtle motivations despite simplicity of method:
- --mass-univariate analyses rather than multivariate analyses
- ---multivariate does not support inferences about regionally specific effects
- ---multivariate requires more observations than the dimension (number of voxels)
- ---in dimension reduction, multivariate approach is less sensitive to focal effects
- ---multivariate uses too many parameters (increasing variability of estimate of a parameter), thus inefficient
- -- the minimal parameterization lends SPM added sensitivity
- ---GRF theory implicitly imposes constraints on non-sphericity implied by the continuous and extended nature of data
- -Bayesian alternative to classical inference with SPMs:
- --uses Posterior Probability Maps (PPMs), less common than SPMs
- 4a. The General Linear Model (Chapter 7)
- -Statistical analysis of imaging data corresponds to:
- --modeling the data to partition observed neurophysiological responses into components of interest, confounds and errors
- --making inferences about the interesting effects in relation the error variance
- -the T statistic provides a more versatile and generic way of assessing the significance of regional effects and is preferred over correlation coefficient
- -GLM is aka 'analysis of covariance' or 'multiple regression analysis'
- --the matrix X that contains the explanatory variables is called the "design matrix"
- ---the column of design matrix corresponds to an effect built into the experiment (explanatory variables, covariates or regressors)
- --the relative contribution of each column is assessed using standard least squares and inferences using T or F stats
- -Design matrix:
- --can contain both covariates and indicator variables
- --each column has an associated unknown parameter (only some are of interest)
- --the remaining parameters pertain to confounding effects and are not interesting
- --inference about parameter estimates are made using estimated variance
- ---this allows testing null hypothesis (that all estimates are zero) using F stat to give $SPM\{F\}$ or that a particular linear combination is zero using $SPM\{T\}$

- ---the T stat is obtained by dividing a contrast/compound of the ensuing parameter estimates by its standard error
- ----standard error of compound is estimated using variance of the residuals about the least-squares fit
- -In most analysis, the design matrix contains indicator variables or parametric variables encoding the experimental manipulations
- -An important instance of GLM is the linear time invariant (LTI) model
- --it explicitly treats the data-sequence as an ordered time-series and enables a signal processing perspective that is useful
- [1. LTI systems and temporal basis functions]
- 4b. Statistical inference and Random Field theory
- -Classical inferences using SPMs can be of two sorts
- -- Anatomically constrained hypothesis
- ---uncorrected p value associated with the height or extent of that region in the SPM can be used to test the hypothesis
- --Anatomically open hypothesis
- -The theory of random fields provides a way of adjusting the p value that takes into account the fact that neighboring voxels are not independent by continuity
- --For smooth data, the GRF correction is more sensitive than a Bonferroni correction
- --GRF theory deals with multiple comparisons problems in the context of continuous, spatially extended statistical field
- -Difference between GF and Bonferroni corrections:
- --Bonferroni correction controls expected number of false positive voxels
- --GRF correction controls expected number of false positive regions
- -- the corrected threshold under GRF is much more sensitive consequently
- -Two assumptions underlying use of GRF correction:
- --the error fields are a reasonable lattice approximation to an underlying random field with multivariate Gaussian distribution
- -- the error fields are continuous, differentiable, invertible
- --assumptions are violated only if data are not smoothed (violating reasonable lattice assumption) or model is mis-specified (errors are not normally distributed)
- [1. Anatomically closed hypotheses]
- -Inferences about regional effects in SPMs can be predicted, but activations may want to be considered near the location
- -Two approaches:
- --pre-specify a small search volume and make GRF correction
- --use uncorrected p value based on spatial extent of nearest cluster
- -Both procedures are based on distributional approximations from GRF theory
- [2. Anatomically open hypotheses and levels of inference]
- -set-level inferences are generally more powerful than cluster-level inferences (more powerful than voxel-level inferences)

- -price for increased sensitivity is reduced localizing power
- -voxel-level tests permit individual voxels to be identified as significant
- -cluster-level only allow cluster significance
- -set of clusters only allow set significance
- -Typically, voxel-level inferences are used and a spatial extent threshold of zero
- --reflects fact that characterizations of functional anatomy are generally more useful when specified with a high degree of anatomical precision

5. Experimental Design

- -Different sorts of designs in neuroimaging studies
- -Experimental designs can be single-factor or multifactorial designs
- --levels of each factor can be categorical or parametric
- 5a. Categorical designs, cognitive subtraction and conjunctions
- -cognitive subtraction: two tasks are separate cognitive or sensorimotor components, thus regionally specific differences in hemodynamic responses identify functionally specialized areas
- -cognitive conjunction: extension of subtraction technique, combines a series of subtractions
- --conjunction tests several hypotheses, rather than just one, to see if activations, in pairs, are jointly significant
- --allows demonstration of context-invariant nature of regional responses
- --important in multi-subject fMRI studies

5b. Parametric designs

- -parametric design: regional physiology will vary systematically with the degree of cognitive or sensorimotor processing
- -neurometric functions may be linear or nonlinear
- -using polynomial regression (GLM) identify nonlinear relationships between stimulus parameters (using $SPM\{F\}$)
- -clinical neuroscience studies use parametric designs by looking for neuronal correlation of clinical ratings over subjects

5c. Multifactorial designs

- -factorial designs enable inferences about interactions
- -interactions are associated with factorial designs
- -- the effect of one factor on another is assessed by interaction term
- -interaction effects can be interpreted as:
- -- the integration of multiple cognitive processes
- -- the modulation of one perceptual process by another
- -in clinical studies, interactions are central
- -can also embody parametric factors
- --can be expressed as a difference in regression slope of regional activity on the parameter, under both levels of the other categorical factor

6. Designing fMRI Studies

(Chapter 11: Analysis of fMRI time series)

- -fMRI time-series as a linear admixture of signal and noise
- --signal corresponds to neuronally mediated hemodynamic changes modeled as a convolution of some underlying neuronal process, responding to changes in experimental factors, by a hemodynamic response function (HRF)
- --noise has neuronal and nonneuronal sources
- ---neuronal noise is neurogenic signals not modeled by explanatory variables with the same frequency structure as signal
- ---nonneuronal components are low frequency or wide-band
- --superposition of all components induces temporal correlations among error terms that effect sensitivity to experimental effects
- ---sensitivity depends on:
- ----relative amounts of signal and noise
- ----efficiency of experimental design (reliability of parameter estimates, defined as inverse of variance of contrast of parameters)
- -two important considerations from this perspective:
- --optimal experimental design
- --optimum convolution of the time-series to obtain most efficient parameter estimates
- 6a. The hemodynamic response function and optimum design
- -LTI model of neuronally mediated signals in fMRI suggests that only experimentally induced signals that survive convolution with HRF can be estimated
- -by convolution theorem the frequency structure of experimental variance should match the transfer function of HRF
- 6b. Serial correlations and filtering
- -conventional signal processing approaches dictate that whitening the data engenders the most efficient parameter estimation
- --filtering with a convolution matrix that is inverse of intrinsic convolution matrix
- --the 'whitening' strategy renders the least square estimator equivalent to ML or Gauss-Markov estimator
- ---since the form of intrinsic correlations are unknown, must be estimated
- 6c. Spatially coherent confounds and global normalization
- -implicit in use of high-pass filtering is removal of low-frequency components that are regarded as confounds
- --also, signal components that are artifactual or have no regional specificity, called global confounds
- -thus, global normalization is needed
- --global estimator enters into statistical model as a confound
- -in fMRI, instrumentation effects the scale data motivate global normalization before the data enter into the statistical model
- -it is important to differentiate between global confounds and their estimators

6d. Nonlinear system identification approaches

- -The above only considers LTI models and first order HRFs
- -this signal processing perspective is by nonlinear system identification
- -characterizing evoked hemodynamic responses in fMRI based on nonlinear system ID, particularly using Volterra series
- --enables estimating Volterra kernels that describe relationship between stimulus presentation and hemodynamic responses
- --essentially high order extensions of linear convolution models
- --kernels represent nonlinear characterization of HRF modeling responses and interaction of stimuli
- --in fMRI, kernel coefficients can be estimated by:
- ---using second order approximation to the Volterra series for GLM
- ---expanding kernels for temporal basis functions

6e. Event and epoch-related designs

- -in experimental design, there is a crucial distinction between event- and epoch-related designs
- -fMRI allows measure of event-related responses
- --choice of inter-stimulus interval or SOA (stimulus onset asynchrony) is important
- -designs can be stochastic or deterministic depending on whether there is a random element to their specification
- --stochastic designs specify probabilities of an event occurring
- --deterministic designs the event occurring is specified by stimulus
- -an efficient design for one effect may not be optimal for another, even within the same experiment
- 7. Inferences about subjects and populations
- *Precision is the inverse of variance*
- -critical issue is whether inference is on effect related to "within-subject variability" or "between-subject"
- --difference between "fixed" and "random" effect analysis
- --random effects analysis allow inference to be generalized to population

7a. Random-effects analyses

- -taking contrasts of parameter estimates from a "first-level" (fixed-effect) analysis and entering them into a "second-level" (random-effect) analysis
- --second-level design matrix tests null hypothesis that contrasts are zero

7b. Conjunction analyses and population inferences

- -motivation for conjunction analysis within multi-subject studies:
- --provide inference, in fixed-effect analysis testing null hypothesis, that is more sensitive than testing average activation
- --extended to make inferences about population, when conjunction of effects is established
- -conjunction analysis steps:
- --design matrix for explanatory variables of each experimental condition (models each subject by condition interactions)

- --contrasts are specified that test for effect of interest in each subject to give series of SPM{T}
- --SPM{T} are combined at a threshold to give a SPM{T_min} (ie conjunction SPM)
- 8. Functional Integration (Section 4)

8a. Functional and Effective connectivity (Chapter 18: Functional integration)

- -functional integration is inferred on basis of correlations among measurements of neuronal activity
- -functional connectivity is correlation among remote neurophysiological events
- -effective connectivity is the influence that one neural system exerts over another
- --effective connectivity is dynamic (activity- and time-dependent)
- --it depends upon a model of interactions
- -estimation procedures employed in functional neuroimaging can be classified:
- --based on linear regression models
- --based on nonlinear dynamic models
- -multivariate analysis are necessary to model interactions among brain regions
- --inferential or data-led (exploratory)
- ---based on functional connectivity or covariance patterns (exploratory)
- ---models of effective connectivity (inferential)

8b. Eigenimage analysis and related approaches (Chapter 19: Functional connectivity)

- -most analyses of covariances among brain regions are based on singular value decomposition (SVD) of between-voxel covariances
- -voxel-based PCA of neuroimaging time-series characterizes distributed brain systems implicated in sensorimotor, perceptual, or cognitive processes
- --distributed systems are identified with principal components (eigenimages) corresponding to spatial modes of coherent brain activity
- --simple multivariate characterization of functional neuroimaging time-series
- --exploratory analysis
- --PCA uses SVD to identify a set of orthogonal spatial modes for greatest variance over time
- -covariance among brain regions is equal to functional connectivity
- --eigenimage analysis addresses functional integration (ie connectivity)
- -eigenimage analysis is limited:
- --provides only a linear decomposition of neurophysiological measurements
- --the set of eigenimages or spatial modes obtained is uniquely determined by constraints that are biologically implausible
- -ICA (indep. comp. anal) uses entropy maximization to find, iteratively, spatial modes or dynamics that are approximately independent
- --stronger requirement than orthogonality in PCA and involves removing high order correlations among modes
- -Cluster analysis, voxels in a multidimensional scaling space are assigned probabilities to a small number of clusters
- --characterizing temporal dynamics and spatial modes

- 8c. Characterizing nonlinear coupling among brain areas (Chapter 20: Effective connectivity)
- -linear models of effective connectivity assume that multiple inputs to a brain region are linearly separable
- --need for models to include interactions among inputs
- ---these interactions (or bilinear effects) can be put in structural equation modeling using "moderator" variables that represent the interaction between two regions causing activity in a third
- ----modulatory effects can be modeled with nonlinear input-output models, particularly Volterra formulation
- -----Volterra formulation has high face validity and biological plausibility
- ----its assumption is that response of a region is an analytic nonlinear function of inputs over recent past the influence of one region on another has two components
- --direct (driving) influence of input from first (lower hierarchy) region, regardless of all other activity
- ---mediated by first order kernels
- --activity-dependent, modulatory component that represents an interaction with inputs from the remaining (higher hierarchy) regions
- ---mediated by second order kernels
- -context-sensitive changes in effective connectivity are most important in functional integration and have two fundamental implications for experimental design and analysis:
- --experimental designs for analyses of effective connectivity are multifactorial
- ---because one factor is needed to evoke responses and render coupling among brain areas measurable and a second factor needs to induce change in that coupling
- --models of effective connectivity embrace changes in coupling
- ---modeled with bilinear terms/interactions

Conclusion.

- -Reviewed main components of image analysis and assessing functional integration in the brain
- -key principles of functional specialization and integration were considered