

PEER EVALUATION (Confidential, do not disclose this assessment to your groupmates)

Please write your name and the names of all of your group members and rate the degree to which each member fulfilled his/her responsibilities in completing the activity. The possible ratings are as follows:

Group Member	Role	Rating
Baraquiel, Xavier Elijah	Giving some sources	10
Deliva, Dince	Giving some sources	10
Olea, Darryl Kyle	Giving some sources and ideas	10
Satsatin, Karl Edrian	Giving some sources and ideas	10
Valenzuela, Marwin	Giving some sources	10
Viñas, Judah Paulo	Construct all of the context	10

Rating Criteria

Excellent	Consistently went above and beyond-tutored groupmates, carried more than his/her fair share of load, led discussions, provided references and explanations, insightful, motivates and encourages others.	10
Very Good	Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative, generate discussions, always positive and encouraging	9
Good	Always did what he/she was supposed to do, prepared and cooperative but doesn't go beyond what is necessary, participate in discussions	8
Satisfactory	Usually did what he/she was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative, tries to participate in discussions	7
Ordinary	Often did what he/she was supposed to do, sometimes participates in discussions	6
Marginal	Sometimes fail to participate in discussions, rarely prepared	5
Deficient	Often fail to participate in discussions, unprepared	4
Unsatisfactory	Consistently fail to participate in discussions, unprepared, demotivate others	3
Superficial	Practically no participation	2
No show	No participation at all	1