

# **Chapter 14 – Lab Solution**

**Indexing 1** 

### **Exercise 1 Answer**

- Index creation
  - CREATE INDEX idx\_sorted on table1(sorted);
  - CREATE INDEX idx\_unsorted on table1(unsorted);

```
postgres=# \h CREATE INDEX
명령: CREATE INDEX
설명: 새 인덱스 만들기
문법:
CREATE [UNIQUE] INDEX [CONCURRENTLY][[IF NOT EXISTS]이름]ON [ONLY]테이블이름[USING 색인방법]
({ 칼럼이름 | (표현식)}[COLLATE collation][연산자클래스[(opclass_매개변수 = 값[,...])][ASC|DESC][NULLS {FIRST | LAST}][,...])
[INCLUDE (칼럼이름[...])]
[WITH (스토리지_매개변수[=값][,...])]
[TABLESPACE 테이블스페이스이름]
[WHERE 범위한정구문]
URL: https://www.postgresql.org/docs/14/sql-createindex.html
```

```
postgres=# CREATE INDEX idx_sorted on table1(sorted);
CREATE INDEX
postgres=# CREATE INDEX idx_unsorted on table1(unsorted);
CREATE INDEX
```



# **Exercise 2.a Answer**

[Seq scan] SELECT unsorted FROM table 1 WHERE unsorted>10;

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT unsorted FROM table1 WHERE unsorted>10;
QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on table1 (cost=0.00..228092.36 rows=9999895 width=4) (actual time=0.009..913.586 rows=9999943 loops=1)
Filter: (unsorted > 10)
Rows Removed by Filter: 57
Planning Time: 0.076 ms
Execution Time: 1065.456 ms
(5개 행)
```

[Index scan] SELECT sorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE sorted<9 AND rndm=5;</li>

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT sorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE sorted<9 AND rndm=5;
QUERY PLAN

Index Scan using idx_sorted on table1 (cost=0.43..9.42 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.011..0.011 rows=0 loops=1)
Index Cond: (sorted < 9)
Filter: (rndm = 5)
Rows Removed by Filter: 45
Planning Time: 0.078 ms
Execution Time: 0.021 ms
(6개 행)
```

[Index only scan] SELECT sorted FROM table 1 WHERE sorted>1234567;

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT sorted FROM table1 WHERE sorted>1234567;
QUERY PLAN

Index Only Scan using idx_sorted on table1 (cost=0.43..88053.74 rows=3796189 width=4) (actual time=0.012..188.678 rows=3827160 loops=1)
Index Cond: (sorted > 1234567)
Heap Fetches: 0
Planning Time: 0.059 ms
Execution Time: 247.588 ms
(5개 행)
```



### **Exercise 2.b Answer**

- Using the index on "sorted" (Data records are clustered based on "sorted" values.)
  - SELECT sorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE sorted>100 AND sorted<500 AND rndm=1005;

- Using the index on "unsorted" (Data records are not clustered w.r.t. "unsorted" values.)
  - SELECT unsorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE unsorted>100 AND unsorted<500 AND rndm=1005;</li>

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT unsorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE unsorted>100 and unsorted<500 and rndm=1005;
QUERY PLAN

Index Scan using idx_unsorted on table1 (cost=0.44..24957.09 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=29.600..29.600 rows=0 loops=1)
Index Cond: ((unsorted > 100) AND (unsorted < 500))
Filter: (rndm = 1005)
Rows Removed by Filter: 6063
Planning Time: 1 465 ms
Execution Time: 29.613 ms
(6개 행)
```



### **Exercise 2.c Answer**

- CLUSTER table1 USING idx\_sorted;
  - SELECT sorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE sorted>100 AND sorted<500 AND rndm=1005;

- CLUSTER table1 USING idx\_unsorted;
  - SELECT unsorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE unsorted>100 AND unsorted<500 AND rndm=1005;</li>

#### **Exercise 2.d Answer**

Query plans are different according to the "WHERE condition"

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT sorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE sorted>1999231 AND rndm=1005;
                                                       QUERY PLAN
 Index Scan using idx_sorted on table1 (cost=0.43..161.88 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.454..0.455 rows=0 loops=1)
   Index Cond: (sorted > 1999231)
  Filter: (rndm = 1005)
  Rows Removed by Filter: 3840
 Planning Time: 0.081 ms
 Execution Time: 0.466 ms
(6개 행)
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT sorted, rndm FROM table1 WHERE sorted<1999231 AND rndm=1005;
                                                   QUERY PLAN
 Seg Scan on table1 (cost=0.00..253092.23 rows=101 width=8) (actual time=12.399..806.499 rows=114 loops=1)
  Filter: ((sorted < 1999231) AND (rndm = 1005))
Rows Removed by Filter: 9999886
 Planning Time: 0.079 ms
Execution Time: 806.521 ms
 5개 행)
```



# **Exercise 3 Answer**

- Measure the  $t_1$  value
  - t<sub>1.insert</sub> > INSERT INTO table10 (SELECT \* FROM pool);
  - t<sub>1.create index</sub> > CREATE INDEX t10\_idx on table10 (val asc);
- Measure the t<sub>2</sub> value
  - t<sub>2.create index</sub> > CREATE INDEX t20\_idx on table20 (val asc);
  - t<sub>2.insert</sub> > INSERT INTO table20 (SELECT \* FROM pool);



### **Exercise 3 Answer**

- $t_1$  is smaller than  $t_2$ 
  - $t_1 = 6173.896 + 3575.701 = 9749.597$ (ms)
  - $t_2 = 9.639 + 15894.618 = 15904.257$ (ms)

```
postgres=# INSERT INTO table10 (SELECT * FROM pool);
INSERT 0 5000000
작업시간: 6173.896 ms (00:06.174)
postgres=# CREATE INDEX t10_idx on table10 (val asc);
CREATE INDEX
작업시간: 3575.701 ms (00:03.576)
```

```
t_{1.insert} = 6173.896 (ms)
t_{1.crete\_index} = 3575.701 (ms)
```

```
postgres=# CREATE INDEX t20_idx on table20 (val asc);
CREATE INDEX
작업시간: 9.639 ms
postgres=# INSERT INTO table20 (SELECT * FROM pool);
INSERT 0 5000000
작업시간: 15894.618 ms (00:15.895)
```

```
t_{2.crete\_index} = 9.639(ms)
t_{2.insert} = 15894.618(ms)
```