

# **Chapter 14 – Lab Solution**

**Indexing 2** 

# **Exercise 1 Answer**

- Index creation
  - CREATE INDEX btree on table\_btree using btree(recordid);
  - CREATE INDEX hash on table\_hash using hash(recordid);

```
postgres=# #h CREATE INDEX
명령: CREATE INDEX
설명: 새 인덱스 만들기
문법:
CREATE [UNIQUE] INDEX [CONCURRENTLY][[IF NOT EXISTS]이름]ON [ONLY]테이블이름[USING 색인방법]
({ 칼럼이름 | (표현식)}[COLLATE collation][연산자클래스[(opclass_매개변수 = 값[, ...])][ASC | DESC][NULLS { FIRST | LAST }][, ...])
[INCLUDE (칼럼이름[, ...])]
[WITH (스토리지_매개변수[= 값][, ...])]
[TABLESPACE 테이블스페이스이름]
[WHERE 범위한정구문]
URL: https://www.postgresql.org/docs/14/sql-createindex.html
```

```
postgres=# CREATE INDEX btree on table_btree using btree(recordid);
CREATE INDEX
postgres=# CREATE INDEX hash on table_hash using hash(recordid);
CREATE INDEX
```



# **Exercise 2.a Answer**

On equal query: hash index faster than b-tree



#### **Exercise 2.b Answer**

On range query: hash index does not work

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM table_btree WHERE recordid>250 AND recordid<550:
QUERY PLAN

Index Scan using btree on table_btree (cost=0.43..162520.43 rows=50000 width=172) (actual time=0.105..0.352 rows=299 loops=1)
Index Cond: ((recordid > 250) AND (recordid < 550))
Planning Time: 0.226 ms
Execution Time: 0.414 ms
(4기 행)

postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM table_hash WHERE recordid>250 AND recordid<550:
QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on table_hash (cost=0.00..253093.00 rows=50000 width=172) (actual time=0.058..838.074 rows=299 loops=1)
Filter: ((recordid > 250) AND (recordid < 550))
Rows Removed by Filter: 9999701
Planning Time: 1.100 ms
Execution Time: 1.3838.094 ms
(5기 행)
```



# **Exercise 3.a Answer**

- Update a single record
  - UPDATE table\_btree SET recordid=recordid+1 WHERE recordid=9999997;
  - UPDATE table\_noindex SET recordid=recordid+1 WHERE recordid=9999997;

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE UPDATE table btree SET recordid=recordid+1 WHERE recordid=9999997;
                                                        QUERY PLAN
 Update on table btree (cost=0.43..8.46 rows=0 width=0) (actual time=1.866..1.867 rows=0 loops=1)
  -> Index Scan using btree on table btree (cost=0.43..8.46 rows=1 width=10) (actual time=0.182..0.185 rows=1 loops=1)
        Index Cond: (recordid = 99999\overline{97})
 Planning Time: 3.425 ms
 Execution Time: 6.306 ms
(5개 행)
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE UPDATE table noindex SET recordid=recordid+1 WHERE recordid=9999997;
                                                      QUERY PLAN
 Update on table_noindex (cost=0.00..228092.36 rows=0 width=0) (actual time=721.556..721.557 rows=0 loops=1)
  -> Seq Scan on table noindex (cost=0.00..228092.36 rows=1 width=10) (actual time=721.112..721.113 rows=1 loops=1)
        Filter: (recordid = 9999997)
        Rows Removed by Filter: 9999999
 Planning Time: 1 325 ms
 Execution Time: 721.589 ms
 6개 행)
```



#### **Exercise 3.b Answer**

- Update 2,000,000 records
  - UPDATE table btree SET recordid=recordid\*2 WHERE recordid>8000000;
  - UPDATE table\_noindex SET recordid=recordid\*2 WHERE recordid>8000000;

```
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE UPDATE table btree SET recordid=recordid*2 WHERE recordid>8000000;
                                                                OHERY PLAN
 Update on table btree (cost=0.43..82611.84 rows=0 width=0) (actual time=13753.933..13753.934 rows=0 loops=1)
      Index Scan using btree on table btree (cost=0.43..82611.84 rows=2001220 width=10) (actual time=0.177..960.205 rows=1999999 loops=1)
        Index Cond: (recordid > 8000000)
 Planning Time: 0 258 ms
 Execution Time: 13753.975 ms
(5개 행)
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE UPDATE table noindex SET recordid=recordid*2 WHERE recordid>8000000;
                                                            QUERY PLAN
 Update on table noindex (cost=0.00..233083.79 rows=0 width=0) (actual time=11648.289..11648.290 rows=0 loops=1)
      Seq Scan on table_noindex (cost=0.00..233083.79 rows=1996570 width=10) (actual time=576.401..1037.098 rows=1999999 loops=1)
        Filter: (recordid > 8000000)
        Rows Removed by Filter: 8000001
 Planning Time: 0.041 ms
 Execution Time: 11648.304 ms
 6개 행)
```



#### **Exercise 3.c Answer**

- Update all records
  - UPDATE table btree SET recordid=recordid\*1.1;
  - UPDATE table\_noindex SET recordid=recordid\*1.1;

```
Postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE UPDATE table_btree SET recordid=recordid*1.1:
QUERY PLAN

Update on table_btree (cost=0.00..299309.45 rows=0 width=0) (actual time=90125.784..90125.785 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Seq Scan on table_btree (cost=0.00..299309.45 rows=10034140 width=10) (actual time=0.030..4808.904 rows=10000000 loops=1)
Planning lime: 0.953 ms
Execution Time: 90125.816 ms

(47) 행)

Dostgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE UPDATE table_noindex SET recordid=recordid*1.1:
QUERY PLAN

Update_on_table_noindex (cost=0.00..275068.19 rows=0 width=0) (actual time=38234.376..38234.377 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Seq Scan on table_noindex (cost=0.00..275068.19 rows=8648925 width=10) (actual time=0.022..4381.879 rows=10000000 loops=1)
Planning lime: 0.704 ms
Execution Time: 38234.840 ms
(47) 행)
```



# **Exercise 4.a Answer**

- SET enable\_indexscan=true;
  - SELECT \* FROM test0 WHERE 1<x AND x<10 AND 1<y AND y<10;</li>
  - SELECT \* FROM test1 WHERE p <@ box'((1,1),(10,10))';</li>

```
postgres=# SET enable_indexscan=true:
SET
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM test0 WHERE 1<x AND x<10 AND 1<y AND y<10;
QUERY PLAN

Seg Scan on test0 (cost=0.00..26370.00 rows=1267 width=20) (actual time=0.179..85.727 rows=1252 loops=1)
Fitter: ('1'::double precision < x) AND (x < '10'::double precision) AND ('1'::double precision < y) AND (y < '10'::double precision))
Rows Removed by Filter: 998748
Planning Time: 0.094 ms
Execution Time: 85.786 ms
(5기 평)

postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM test1 WHERE p <@ box'((1,1),(10,10))':
QUERY PLAN

Index Scan using test_rtree_idx on test1 (cost=0.29..3757.78 rows=1000 width=20) (actual time=0.025..0.724 rows=1230 loops=1)
Index Lond: (p <@ '(10,10),(1,1)'::box)
Planning Time: 0.044 ms
Execution Time: 0.761 ms
(4개 형)
```



# **Exercise 4.a Answer**

- SET enable\_indexscan=false;
  - SELECT \* FROM test0 WHERE 1<x AND x<10 AND 1<y AND y<10;</li>
  - SELECT \* FROM test1 WHERE p <@ box'((1,1),(10,10))';</li>

```
postgres=# SET enable_indexscan=false:
SET
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM test0 WHERE 1<x AND x<10 AND 1<y AND y<10;
QUERY PLAN

Seg Scan on test0 (cost=0.00..26370.00 rows=1267 width=20) (actual time=0.186..81.080 rows=1252 loops=1)
Filter: (('1'::double precision < x) AND (x < '10'::double precision) AND ('1'::double precision < y) AND (y < '10'::double precision))
Rows Removed by Filter: 998748
Planning Time: 0.043 ms
Execution Time: 81.135 ms

Seg Scan on test1 (cost=0.00..18870.00 rows=1000 width=20) (actual time=0.053..69.421 rows=1230 loops=1)
Filter: (p <@ '(10,10).(1,1)'::box)
Rows Removed by Filter: 998770
Planning Time: 0.043 ms
Execution Time: 69.473 ms
(50) 생 9)
```



# **Exercise 4.b Answer**

- SET enable\_indexscan=true;
  - SELECT \* FROM test2 WHERE testbox && box'((0,0),(1,1))' AND testbox && box'((9,9),(10,10))';



# **Exercise 4.b Answer**

- SET enable\_indexscan=false;
  - SELECT \* FROM test2 WHERE testbox && box'((0,0),(1,1))' AND testbox && box'((9,9),(10,10))';

```
postgres=# SET enable_indexscan=false;
SET
SET
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM test2 WHERE testbox && box'((0,0),(1,1))' AND testbox && box'((9,9),(10,10))';
QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on test2 (cost=0.00..23334.00 rows=25 width=36) (actual time=0.077..82.698 rows=1433 loops=1)
Filter: ((testbox && '(1,1),(0,0)'::box) AND (testbox && '(10,10),(9,9)'::box))
Rows Removed by Filter: 998567
Planning Time: 0.047 ms
Execution Time: 82.767 ms
(5개 행)
```



# **Exercise 4.c Answer**

- SET enable\_indexscan=true;
  - SELECT \* FROM test0 ORDER BY (x\*x + y\*y) asc limit 10;
  - SELECT \* FROM test1 ORDER BY p <-> point'(0,0)' asc limit 10;

```
postgres=# SET enable indexscan=true;
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM testO ORDER BY (x*x + y*y) asc limit 10;
 Limit (cost=45479.64..45479.67 rows=10 width=28) (actual time=190.166..190.167 rows=10 loops=1)
   -> Sort (cost=45479.64..47979.64 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=190.164..190.164 rows=10 loops=1)
         Sort Key: (((x * x) + (y * y)))
         Sort Method: top-N heapsort Memory: 26kB
         -> Seg Scan on test0 (cost=0.00..23870.00 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=0.021..114.797 rows=1000000 loops=1)
 Planning Time: U UNN ms
 Execution Time: 190.183 ms
(7개 행)
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM test1 ORDER BY p <-> point'(0,0)' asc limit 10;
                                                                OHERY PLAN
 Limit (cost=0.29..0.98 rows=10 width=28) (actual time=0.220..0.303 rows=10 loops=1)
   -> Index Scan using test rtree idx on test1 (cost=0.29..69732.29 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=0.219..0.301 rows=10 loops=1)
        Urder By: (p < -> (\overline{0},0) : \overline{p} 
 Planning Time: 0 083 ms
 Execution Time: 0.327 ms
```

# **Exercise 4.c Answer**

- SET enable\_indexscan=false;
  - SELECT \* FROM test0 ORDER BY (x\*x + y\*y) asc limit 10;
  - SELECT \* FROM test1 ORDER BY p <-> point'(0,0)' asc limit 10;

```
postgres=# SET enable indexscan=false;
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM testO ORDER BY (x*x + y*y) asc limit 10;
Limit (cost=45479.64..45479.67 rows=10 width=28) (actual time=188.666..188.667 rows=10 loops=1)
  -> Sort (cost=45479.64.47979.64 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=188.665..188.666 rows=10 loops=1)
        Sort Key: (((x * x) + (y * y)))
        Sort Method: top-N heapsort Memory: 26kB
        -> Seg Scan on test0 (cost=0.00..23870.00 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=0.023..113.309 rows=1000000 loops=1)
Planning Time: 0.057 ms
Execution Time: 188.682 ms
(7개 행)
postgres=# EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT * FROM test1 ORDER BY p <-> point'(0,0)' asc limit 10;
                                                         QUERY PLAN
Limit (cost=40479.64..40479.67 rows=10 width=28) (actual time=193.870..193.871 rows=10 loops=1)
   -> Sort (cost=40479.64..42979.64 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=193.869..193.870 rows=10 loops=1)
        Sort Key: ((p <-> '(0,0)'::point))
        Sort Method: top-N heapsort Memory: 26kB
        -> Seg Scan on test1 (cost=0.00.18870.00 rows=1000000 width=28) (actual time=0.025..118.377 rows=1000000 loops=1)
Planning Time: 0.051 ms
Execution Time: 193.885 ms
```

