

## Fast Guide to (Tuple) Relational Calculus

In the examples of this guide, we use the following database schema (extract from Ramakrishnan's book):

**sailor**(sid,sname,rating,age)    **boat**(bid,bname,color)    **reserve**(sid,bid,day)

Catalog:

**sailor**: all attributes are mandatory. **sid** is **sailor**'s pk

**boat**: all attributes are mandatory. **bid** is **boat**'s pk

**reserve**: all attributes are mandatory. **sid**, **bid** and **day** are **reserve**'s pk. **sid** is a fk from **sailor** and **bid** is a fk from **boat**

### Introduction

Relational calculus (RC) is high-level, first-order logic description. It is a formal definition of what you want from the database (while relational algebra is used to describe the query optimizer, RC is basis for SQL).

There are two relational calculi: tuple relational calculus (TRC) and domain relational calculus (DRC). In this text, we study TRC.

TRC works with variables ranging over tuples:  $\{t \mid P(t)\}$ , where  $t$  is a tuple variable (it stores the query answer) and  $P(t)$  is the predicate (or formula) over  $t$  that must be satisfied.

Example: *find all sailor with rating over 7*. TRC:  $\{s \mid s \in \text{Sailor} \wedge s.\text{rating} > 7\}$ , where  $s$  is the tuple variable,  $s \in \text{Sailor}$  says that  $s$  is the same type of *Sailor* schema and  $s.\text{rating} > 7$  is the selection condition.

**TRC formula** - is recursively defined:

- Atomic formulas get tuples from relations or compare values
- Formulas built from other formulas using logical operators

An atomic formula can be:

- $r \in S$  (where  $r$  is a tuple variable and  $S$  is a relation)
- $r.a \text{ op } s.b$  (where  $a$  is an attribute from tuple  $r$ ,  $b$  is an attribute from tuple  $s$  and  $op$  is one of the operators  $=, \neq, >, <, \leq, \geq$ )
- $r.a \text{ op } k$  or  $k \text{ op } r.a$  (where  $k$  is a constant)

A (well formed) formula (wff) can be:

- an atomic formula
- $\neg p$ ,  $p \wedge q$  or  $p \vee q$  (where  $p$  and  $q$  are formulas)
- If  $P(r)$  is a formula, so is  $\exists r P(r)$  ( $r$  is a tuple variable)
- If  $P(r)$  is a formula, so  $\forall r P(r)$  ( $r$  is a tuple variable)

Restrictions:

- The quantifiers  $\exists t$  and  $\forall t$  in a formula bind  $t$  in the formula
- A tuple variable that is not **bound** is **free**.
- In a TRC expression  $E = \{t \mid P(t)\}$ ,  $t$  must be the only free variable (not bound by a quantifier) in  $E$
- Unsafe expression (queries): an expression like  $\{s \mid s \notin \text{Sailor}\}$  is considered unsafe since its answer is infinite. We use the same reasoning for  $\{t \mid t > 10\}$

Reminders:

- DeMorgan law:  $p \wedge q \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$
- Implication:  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
- Double negation:  $\forall s \in R(P(s)) \equiv \neg \exists s \in R(\neg P(s))$  (*every human is mortal : no human is immortal*)

**TRC semantics** - An expression in TRC is evaluated as true is one the following conditions is satisfied:

- $F$  is an atomic formula  $s \in R$  and  $s$  is an instance of a tuple from  $R$
- $F$  is an atomic formula  $s.a \text{ op } t.b$ ,  $s.a \text{ op } k$  or  $k \text{ op } s.a$ , the tuples associated to  $s$  and  $r$  satisfy the condition of  $F$
- $F$  is a formula  $\neg p$  and  $p$  is not true; or  $p \wedge q$  and  $p$  and  $q$  are true; or  $p \vee q$  and  $p$  or  $q$  is true; or  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $q$  is true if  $p$  is true
- $F$  is a formula  $\exists r(P(r))$ , there is some free tuple in  $P(r)$  that one (or more) tuple(s) from a relation satisfies  $P(r)$
- $F$  is a formula  $\forall r(P(r))$ , there is some free tuple in  $P(r)$  that all tuples from a relation satisfy  $P(r)$

**Some examples:**

- Find sid and name of sailors who've reserved a red boat:  
 $\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \exists r \in \text{reserve}(s.sid = r.sid \wedge \exists b \in \text{boat}(r.bid = b.bid \wedge b.color = red \wedge t.sid = s.sid \wedge t.sname = s.sname)))\}$
- Find sailors rated  $> 7$  who have reserved boat 103:  
 $\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \exists r \in \text{reserve}(s.sid = r.sid \wedge s.rating > 7 \wedge \exists b \in \text{boat}(r.bid = b.bid \wedge b.bid = 103 \wedge t.sid = s.sid \wedge t.sname = s.sname)))\}$
- Find sailors who've reserved all boats:  
 $\{s \mid s \in \text{sailor}, \forall b \in \text{boat}(\exists r \in \text{reserve}(s.sid = r.sid \wedge r.bid = b.bid))\}$   
 $\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \forall b \in \text{boat}(\exists r \in \text{reserve}(s.sid = r.sid \wedge r.bid = b.bid \wedge t.sname = s.sname)))\}$
- Find sailors who've reserved all red boats:  
 $\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \forall b \in \text{boat}(b.color = red \rightarrow (\exists r \in \text{reserve}(s.sid = r.sid \wedge r.bid = b.bid \wedge t.sname = s.sname)))\}$   
 by using the equivalence implication law:  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$   
 $\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \forall b \in \text{boat}(b.color \neq red \vee (\exists r \in \text{reserve}(s.sid = r.sid \wedge r.bid = b.bid \wedge t.sname = s.sname)))\}$

- Find sailors who've reserved red and green boats:  

$$\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \exists r_1 \in \text{reserve}(s.\text{sid} = r_1.\text{sid} \wedge \exists b_1 \in \text{boat}(r_1.\text{bid} = b_1.\text{bid} \wedge b.\text{color} = \text{red} \wedge \exists r_2 \in \text{reserve}(s.\text{sid} = r_2.\text{sid} \wedge \exists b_2 \in \text{boat}(r_2.\text{bid} = b_2.\text{bid} \wedge b.\text{color} = \text{green} \wedge t.\text{sname} = s.\text{sname}))))\}$$
- Find sailor who've reserved at least two boats:  

$$\{t \mid \exists s \in \text{sailor}, \exists r_1 \in \text{reserve}, \exists r_2 \in \text{reserve}(s.\text{sid} = r_1.\text{sid} \wedge s.\text{sid} = r_2.\text{sid} \wedge r_1.\text{bid} \neq r_2.\text{bid} \wedge t.\text{sname} = s.\text{sname})\}$$