

EC502 Macroeconomics

Week 20 Seminar: Real Business Cycles

Malavika Thirumalai Ananthakrishnan

Contact: *mt600@kent.ac.uk*

University of Kent - School of Economics
Spring Term 2020

March 8, 2024

1. (New) Keynesian BC theory: REFER LECTURE!

- ▶ What can explain the business cycles?
Technology shocks - TFP shocks
- ▶ Propagation mechanism
Productivity shocks $\rightarrow \uparrow \text{MPL} \rightarrow \uparrow \text{Ld} \rightarrow \uparrow w \text{ (W/P)}$
consumption smoothing \rightarrow higher returns to capital and higher I or S.
Higher wages \rightarrow
- ▶ Are changes in unemployment voluntary?
Unemployment changes in RBC are efficient and voluntary. In NK: involuntary.
Keynesian BC with fully flexible prices: Under flexible prices, there are no cycles - the main propagation mechanism is nominal rigidity.

2. Real Business Cycles (RBC) theory: REFER LECTURE

- ▶ Key difference with NK: NO RIGIDITIES, markets clear perfectly
 - ▶ Labour market: productivity shocks affect labour demand.
 - ▶ Because $MPL = w$, then $\uparrow A$ leads to $\uparrow w \longleftrightarrow$ PROCYCLICAL
 - ▶ Equilibrium will result from the intersection with labour supply
 - ▶ Elasticity of L^S will determine the size of the effect of the shock
 - ▶ The facts show that w/p is almost a-cyclical.

3. RBC theory and Aggregate Demand: REFER LECTURE!

RBC theory asserts that BCs are only due to supply shocks. Would the components of aggregate demand (C, I) change during the cycle?

- ▶ AD is also affected by supply shocks through MPL and MPK
- ▶ Consumption: (+) supply shocks will... =
 - ▶ Increase wages \longleftrightarrow increase income \longleftrightarrow increase consumption \longleftrightarrow increase savings because temporary change \longleftrightarrow increase investment
 - ▶ Increase interest rate \longleftrightarrow affects both C and I.
- ▶ Investment: (+) supply shocks will increase MPK \longleftrightarrow increase Tobin's Q \longleftrightarrow increase investment
- ▶ These changes should be LAGGING or COINCIDENT with business cycles, NOT LEADING

4. Labour demand and supply

Production function:
constraint:

$$Y = A\bar{K}^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$$

Utility function:

$$U = \frac{C^{\gamma}}{\gamma} - \frac{L^{\theta}}{\theta}$$

HH budget

$$C = wL$$

a) Labour demand - **firm** maximizes profits

$$\max \quad \Pi = P \cdot A\bar{K}^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha} - wL - R\bar{K}$$

$$\text{FOC: } MPL \equiv A\bar{K}^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)L^{-\alpha} = w$$

Solve for L to get labour demand :

$$L^D = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)A\bar{K}^{\alpha}}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

So labor demand falls as wages increase.

4. Labour demand and supply

Production

- a) Labour supply - **workers** maximize utility. Make the indifference curve between C and L furthest from the origin tangent to the budget constraint. MRS between C and L:

$$MRS \equiv \frac{U'(L)}{U'(C)} = -w \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{-\frac{1}{\theta}L^{\theta-1}}{\frac{1}{\gamma}C^{\gamma-1}} = -w \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad L^{\theta-1} = wC^{\gamma-1}$$

Use budget constraint to substitute for C above:

$$L^{\theta-1} = w(wL)^{\gamma-1} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad L^{\theta-1} = w^{\gamma}L^{\gamma-1} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad L^{\theta-\gamma} = w^{\gamma}$$

Solve for L as

$$L^S = w^{\frac{\gamma}{\theta-\gamma}}$$

So labour supply increases as wages increase... Changes in labour supply will depend on elasticity given by the exponent of w

- ▶ If $\theta > \gamma$, as $\uparrow w$, also $\uparrow L^S$
- ▶ If $\theta < \gamma$, as $\uparrow w$, then $\downarrow L^S$

4. Labour demand and supply

Labour demand:

$$L^D = \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)A\bar{K}^\alpha}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

Labour supply:

$$L^S = w^{\frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma}}$$

a) Equilibrium - where $L^D = L^S$

$$\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)A\bar{K}^\alpha}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = w^{\frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma}}$$

[Solve for w]

So equilibrium wage w^* is

$$w^* = \left[((1 - \alpha)^{1/\alpha} A^{1/\alpha}) \bar{K} \right]^{\frac{(\theta - \gamma)\alpha}{\gamma(\alpha - 1) + \theta}} \quad (1)$$

And equilibrium employment L^* can be denoted as

$$L^* = w^{*\frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma}} \quad (2)$$

4. Labour demand and supply

b) Find the values for equilibrium

$$\gamma = 0.5 \quad \theta = 2 \quad \alpha = 0.3 \quad A = 2 \quad \bar{K} = 5$$

Equilibrium wage w^* is

$$w^* = \left[((1 - \alpha)^{1/\alpha} A^{1/\alpha}) \bar{K} \right]^{\frac{(\theta - \gamma)\alpha}{\gamma(\alpha - 1) + \theta}} = \left[((1 - 0.3)^{1/0.3} \cdot 2^{1/0.3}) \cdot 5 \right]^{\frac{(2 - 0.5)0.3}{0.5(0.3 - 1) + 2}} \\ = 2.1$$

And equilibrium employment L^* can be denoted as

$$L^* = w^* \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} = 2.1 \frac{0.5}{2 - 0.5} = 1.28$$

Elasticity of labour supply:

$$\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial w^*} \frac{w^*}{L^*} = \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} w^* \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} - 1 \frac{w^*}{L^*} = \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} = \frac{0.5}{2 - 0.5} = 0.33$$

A 1% increase in wage leads to a 0.33% increase in employment

4. Labour demand and supply

c) What happens to employment if TFP (A) increases by 10%?

- ▶ $\uparrow MPL$ which will increase labour demand

$$\uparrow L^D = \left(\frac{((1 - \alpha)\uparrow A)\bar{K}^\alpha}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

- ▶ Labour demand $>$ labour supply, so equilibrium wage should change to increase L^S

$$\uparrow L^D > L^S = w^{\frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma}} \quad \uparrow \downarrow w?$$

- ▶ That will depend on the wage elasticity of labour. If $\theta > \gamma$, then $\uparrow w$
- ▶ $\uparrow w$ will reduce previous $\uparrow L^D$ as marginal cost increases
- ▶ Use equilibrium equations with $A' = 2.2$

$$L^D = 1.78$$

4. Labour demand and supply

What happens to employment if TFP (A) increases by 10%?

From a previous equilibrium value of $L^* = 1.28$

Equilibrium wage is

$$w^* = \left[((1 - \alpha)^{1/\alpha} A'^{1/\alpha}) \bar{K} \right]^{\frac{(\theta - \gamma)\alpha}{\gamma(1 - \alpha) + \theta}} = 2.3$$

And equilibrium employment L^*

$$L^* = w^* \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} = 2.3 \frac{0.5}{2 - 0.5} = 1.32$$

- ▶ TFP increased a lot, but employment only increased slightly
- ▶ Labour supply is not very elastic