

# Mathematical Equivalence in T0-Theory

Unified Description of Energy Loss, Redshift, and Light  
Deflection

Based on the work of Johann Pascher  
Department of Communications Engineering,  
Höhere Technische Bundeslehranstalt (HTL), Leonding, Austria

July 19, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Connection to Dual Field Framework . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Basic Formulas</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Energy Loss of Photons . . . . .	2
2.2	Redshift Formulation . . . . .	3
2.2.1	Alternative Gravitational Interpretation . . . . .	3
2.3	Gravitational Light Deflection . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Unifying Geodesic Equation</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Theoretical Implications and Mathematical Structure</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Wavelength-Dependent Redshift Theory . . . . .	6
4.2	Energy-Dependent Gravitational Lensing . . . . .	6
4.3	Unified Field Dynamics . . . . .	7
4.3.1	Equivalence of Energy Loss and Gravitational Deflection . . . . .	7
4.4	Geometric Interpretation . . . . .	7
4.5	Theoretical Consistency . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	Summary of Mathematical Framework . . . . .	8
5.2	Fundamental Theoretical Insights . . . . .	8
5.3	Alternative Cosmological Interpretation . . . . .	8
5.4	Theoretical Advantages . . . . .	9

# 1 Introduction

This document presents the mathematical equivalence of three phenomena that are treated as separate effects in standard physics but are unified in the T0-model:

1. Energy loss of photons during propagation
2. Cosmological redshift
3. Gravitational light deflection

The central insight of T0-Theory is that these phenomena are different manifestations of the same underlying field equation, not separate physical processes. This unification is achieved through a single geometric parameter  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$  that determines the coupling between the energy field and spacetime geometry.

## 1.1 Connection to Dual Field Framework

The energy field  $E_{\text{field}}$  used throughout this analysis represents one component of the dual field system  $(\delta m(x, t), \delta E(x, t))$  developed in the broader T0-theoretical framework. The mathematical relationships presented here are consistent with the duality constraint  $\delta m \cdot \delta E = -1$  that governs the unified field description of particle physics.

# 2 Basic Formulas

## 2.1 Energy Loss of Photons

### Key Formula

Energy loss rate:

$$\frac{dE_\gamma}{dr} = -\xi \frac{E_\gamma^2}{E_{\text{field}} \cdot r} \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$  is the universal geometric parameter.

### Dimensional Analysis:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{dE_\gamma}{dr} \right] &= \frac{[E]}{[L]} = \frac{[E]}{[E^{-1}]} = [E^2] \\ [\xi] &= [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \\ \left[ \frac{E_\gamma^2}{E_{\text{field}} \cdot r} \right] &= \frac{[E^2]}{[E] \cdot [E^{-1}]} = \frac{[E^2]}{[1]} = [E^2] \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_\gamma = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$  (or  $E_\gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  in natural units), this can be expressed in terms of wavelength:

$$\frac{d(1/\lambda)}{dr} = -\xi \frac{(1/\lambda)^2}{E_{\text{field}} \cdot r} \quad (2)$$

Rearranging:

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dr} = \xi \frac{\lambda^2 \cdot E_{\text{field}}}{r} \quad (3)$$

Integrating the wavelength-dependent energy loss equation:

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda(r)} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda'^2} = \xi E_{\text{field}} \int_0^r \frac{dr'}{r'} \quad (4)$$

This yields:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{\lambda(r)} = \xi E_{\text{field}} \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \quad (5)$$

For small corrections:

$$\lambda(r) \approx \lambda_0 \left( 1 + \xi E_{\text{field}} \lambda_0 \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \right) \quad (6)$$

## 2.2 Redshift Formulation

The redshift is defined as:

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{observed}} - \lambda_{\text{emitted}}}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}} = \frac{\lambda(r) - \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \quad (7)$$

Using the previously derived expression:

$$z \approx \xi E_{\text{field}} \lambda_0 \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \quad (8)$$

Since  $\lambda_0 \propto \frac{1}{E_{\gamma,0}}$ , we can write:

### Key Formula

Wavelength-dependent redshift:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0 \left( 1 - \alpha \ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} \right) \quad (9)$$

where  $z_0$  is the reference redshift and  $\alpha$  is a dimensionless parameter related to  $\xi$ .

### 2.2.1 Alternative Gravitational Interpretation

An alternative theoretical interpretation emerges from the mathematical equivalence: cosmological redshift could be understood as arising from cumulative gravitational deflection effects in the energy field. Since both redshift and light deflection are governed by the same universal parameter  $\xi$ , the gradual energy loss of photons during propagation can be viewed as equivalent to continuous weak gravitational interactions with the distributed energy field.

This interpretation suggests that what we observe as "cosmological redshift" may be the cumulative result of countless microscopic deflection events in the energy field, rather than spatial expansion. The mathematical formalism remains identical:

$$z_{\text{gravitational}} = z_{\text{cosmological}} = \xi E_{\text{field}} \lambda_0 \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \quad (10)$$

This dual interpretation—energy loss through field interaction versus cumulative gravitational deflection—represents the deep mathematical equivalence that underlies the T0 unification.

**Dimensional Analysis:**

$$\begin{aligned}
[z(\lambda)] &= [1] \\
[z_0] &= [1] \\
[\alpha] &= [1] \\
\left[\ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right] &= \ln\left(\frac{[L]}{[L]}\right) = \ln([1]) = [1] \\
\left[z_0 \left(1 - \alpha \ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)\right] &= [1] \cdot ([1] - [1] \cdot [1]) = [1] \quad \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

The wavelength dependence of this redshift formula represents a fundamental theoretical distinction of the T0 model from standard cosmological models:

$$\frac{dz}{d \ln \lambda} = -\alpha z_0 \quad (11)$$

This theoretical prediction distinguishes the T0 model from standard cosmological models that predict no wavelength dependence ( $\frac{dz}{d \ln \lambda} = 0$ ).

**2.3 Gravitational Light Deflection****Key Formula**

Modified gravitational deflection:

$$\theta = \frac{4GM}{bc^2} \left(1 + \xi \frac{E_\gamma}{E_0}\right) \quad (12)$$

where  $\theta$  is the deflection angle,  $M$  is the mass of the deflecting object,  $b$  is the impact parameter,  $E_\gamma$  is the photon energy, and  $E_0$  is a reference energy.

**Dimensional Analysis:**

$$\begin{aligned}
[G] &= [E^{-2}] \\
[M] &= [E] \\
[b] &= [E^{-1}] \\
[c^2] &= [1] \text{ (in natural units)} \\
\left[\frac{4GM}{bc^2}\right] &= \frac{[E^{-2}][E]}{[E^{-1}][1]} = [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \\
\left[\xi \frac{E_\gamma}{E_0}\right] &= [1] \cdot \frac{[E]}{[E]} = [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \\
[\theta] &= [1] \cdot ([1] + [1]) = [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \quad \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

Unlike General Relativity, which predicts wavelength-independent light deflection, the T0-model introduces an explicit energy dependence. This energy-dependent gravitational lensing leads to a modified Einstein ring radius:

$$\theta_E(\lambda) = \theta_{E,0} \sqrt{1 + \xi \frac{hc}{\lambda E_0}} \quad (13)$$

For two different photon energies, the ratio of deflection angles is:

$$\frac{\theta(E_1)}{\theta(E_2)} = \frac{1 + \xi \frac{E_1}{E_0}}{1 + \xi \frac{E_2}{E_0}} \quad (14)$$

For cases where  $\xi \frac{E}{E_0} \ll 1$  (typical for astrophysical scenarios), this can be approximated as:

$$\frac{\theta(E_1)}{\theta(E_2)} \approx 1 + \xi \frac{E_1 - E_2}{E_0} \quad (15)$$

### 3 Unifying Geodesic Equation

The three phenomena described above (energy loss, redshift, and light deflection) are unified in the T0-model through a single geodesic equation with energy field corrections:

#### Key Formula

Universal geodesic equation:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\beta}{d\lambda} = \xi \cdot \partial^\mu \ln(E_{\text{field}}) \quad (16)$$

where  $x^\mu$  is the spacetime position,  $\lambda$  is an affine parameter along the photon path,  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$  are the Christoffel symbols, and  $E_{\text{field}}$  is the local energy field.

#### Dimensional Analysis:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu] &= [E] \text{ (Christoffel symbols)} \\ \left[\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda}\right] &= \left[\frac{E^{-1}}{E^{-1}}\right] = [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \\ [\partial^\mu \ln(E_{\text{field}})] &= [E] \cdot [1] = [E] \\ [\xi \cdot \partial^\mu \ln(E_{\text{field}})] &= [1] \cdot [E] = [E] \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

The Christoffel symbols themselves acquire energy field corrections:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\mu\nu|0}^\lambda + \frac{\xi}{2} (\delta_\mu^\lambda \partial_\nu T_{\text{field}} + \delta_\nu^\lambda \partial_\mu T_{\text{field}} - g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\lambda T_{\text{field}}) \quad (17)$$

where  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu|0}^\lambda$  are the standard Christoffel symbols,  $T_{\text{field}}$  is the time field,  $\delta_\mu^\lambda$  is the Kronecker delta, and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the metric tensor.

### Important Note

The mathematical equivalence of these three phenomena means that T0-Theory explains with a single mechanism what the Standard Model explains through different physical processes. Specifically:

1. Cosmological redshift emerges from the gradual energy loss of photons described by the energy field equation
2. This energy loss follows the same field equation that also describes the gravitational deflection of light
3. Both phenomena are manifestations of the local variation of the energy field, described by the parameter  $\xi$
4. **Alternative interpretation:** Cosmological redshift can be understood as cumulative gravitational deflection effects in the distributed energy field, making "energy loss" and "gravitational deflection" mathematically equivalent descriptions of the same underlying field dynamics

This unification represents a fundamental theoretical advantage of the T0-model over standard physics approaches, where the apparent distinction between "energy loss" and "gravitational effects" dissolves into a single field-geometric description.

## 4 Theoretical Implications and Mathematical Structure

The mathematical equivalence of energy loss, redshift, and light deflection reveals deep theoretical insights about the nature of spacetime and energy field interactions.

### 4.1 Wavelength-Dependent Redshift Theory

The theoretical framework predicts that redshift should exhibit wavelength dependence according to:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0 \left( 1 - \alpha \ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} \right) \quad (18)$$

This represents a fundamental departure from standard cosmological models. The parameter  $\alpha$  encodes the coupling strength between the energy field and spacetime geometry, providing a direct connection to the universal parameter  $\xi$ .

### 4.2 Energy-Dependent Gravitational Lensing

The modified deflection formula:

$$\theta = \frac{4GM}{bc^2} \left( 1 + \xi \frac{E_\gamma}{E_0} \right) \quad (19)$$

implies that gravitational lensing effects depend on photon energy. This energy dependence emerges naturally from the unified field equation and represents a distinctive theoretical signature of the T0 framework.

### 4.3 Unified Field Dynamics

The universal geodesic equation:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\beta}{d\lambda} = \xi \cdot \partial^\mu \ln(E_{\text{field}}) \quad (20)$$

describes photon trajectories in the presence of energy field gradients. The term  $\xi \cdot \partial^\mu \ln(E_{\text{field}})$  represents the fundamental coupling between matter (encoded in  $E_{\text{field}}$ ) and spacetime geometry, unifying what appears as separate phenomena in standard physics.

#### 4.3.1 Equivalence of Energy Loss and Gravitational Deflection

The mathematical framework reveals a profound equivalence: what we interpret as "energy loss" during photon propagation can be alternatively understood as continuous weak gravitational deflection in the distributed energy field. Both interpretations yield identical mathematical results:

$$\text{Energy loss interpretation: } \frac{dE_\gamma}{dr} = -\xi \frac{E_\gamma^2}{E_{\text{field}} \cdot r} \quad (21)$$

$$\text{Gravitational deflection interpretation: } \frac{d\theta}{dr} = \xi \frac{E_\gamma}{E_{\text{field}} \cdot r} \quad (22)$$

These equations are mathematically related through the photon energy-wavelength relationship, demonstrating that the distinction between "energy loss" and "gravitational deflection" is merely a matter of theoretical perspective within the unified T0 framework.

This equivalence suggests that cosmological redshift, traditionally attributed to spatial expansion, may be more accurately described as the cumulative result of gravitational interactions with the distributed energy field throughout the universe.

### 4.4 Geometric Interpretation

The parameter  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$  can be interpreted as encoding the fundamental geometric relationship between three-dimensional space and the energy field. The factor  $\frac{4}{3}$  appears in the volume formula for spheres ( $V = \frac{4\pi}{3}r^3$ ), suggesting a deep connection between the unification mechanism and the geometry of three-dimensional space.

### 4.5 Theoretical Consistency

The mathematical framework maintains several important theoretical properties:

1. **Dimensional consistency:** All equations are dimensionally correct
2. **Gauge invariance:** The formulation respects coordinate transformations
3. **Energy-momentum conservation:** Modified conservation laws emerge naturally
4. **Correspondence principle:** Reduces to standard results when  $\xi \rightarrow 0$

## 5 Conclusion

### 5.1 Summary of Mathematical Framework

The T0-Theory unifies the phenomena of energy loss, redshift, and light deflection through a single geodesic equation with energy field corrections. This unification is achieved through the universal geometric parameter  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$ , which determines the coupling between the energy field and spacetime geometry.

### 5.2 Fundamental Theoretical Insights

The mathematical equivalence of these phenomena leads to several profound theoretical insights:

1. **Unified origin:** Phenomena treated as separate in standard physics emerge from a single field equation
2. **Geometric foundation:** The parameter  $\xi$  connects quantum field dynamics to three-dimensional space geometry
3. **Field theoretical basis:** Energy field gradients provide the fundamental mechanism for spacetime curvature effects
4. **Mathematical elegance:** Complex multi-phenomenon physics reduces to simple field equations
5. **Interpretational equivalence:** Energy loss and gravitational deflection represent mathematically equivalent descriptions of the same field dynamics

### 5.3 Alternative Cosmological Interpretation

The mathematical equivalence suggests a radical reinterpretation of cosmological redshift. Rather than attributing redshift to spatial expansion, the T0 framework offers an alternative perspective:

#### Alternative Cosmological Model

**Standard Model:** Redshift  $\rightarrow$  Spatial expansion of universe

**T0 Alternative:** Redshift  $\rightarrow$  Cumulative gravitational deflection in distributed energy field

**Mathematical Result:** Identical observational predictions through different physical mechanisms

This interpretation suggests that what we observe as "cosmological redshift" may be the integrated effect of countless microscopic gravitational interactions as photons traverse the energy field of the universe. The mathematical formalism remains identical, but the physical picture changes fundamentally: instead of expanding space, we have stationary space with distributed energy field interactions.



## 5.4 Theoretical Advantages

This unified approach represents a conceptual advance over standard physics approaches:

- **Simplicity:** One mechanism explains multiple phenomena
- **Consistency:** All effects emerge from the same field equation
- **Geometric foundation:** Parameter  $\xi$  has clear geometric interpretation
- **Mathematical unity:** Diverse physics united through single geodesic equation

The T0-theoretical framework demonstrates that apparent complexity in physics often masks underlying mathematical unity, where seemingly different phenomena are different manifestations of the same fundamental field dynamics in the geometry of three-dimensional space.