Derivation and Comprehensive Analysis of H_0 and κ Parameters in the T0 Model Framework: From Field Theory to Cosmological Scale Relations

Johann Pascher
Department of Communications Engineering,
Höhere Technische Bundeslehranstalt (HTL), Leonding, Austria
johann.pascher@gmail.com

May 27, 2025

Abstract

This comprehensive document presents the complete derivation and analysis of the Hubble parameter H_0 and the linear potential parameter κ within the T0 model framework. We demonstrate that H_0 is not an external empirical parameter but emerges naturally from the field-theoretic structure through the energy loss mechanism and cosmic regime transitions. The key relationship $\kappa = H_0$ in the infinite geometry limit provides the fundamental connection between microscopic field parameters and macroscopic cosmological scales. Through detailed dimensional analysis and scale hierarchy examination, we establish the relationship between T0 characteristic lengths, Planck scales, and cosmological scales, revealing a unified framework spanning 61 orders of magnitude from quantum gravity to cosmic horizons. All derivations maintain strict dimensional consistency in natural units ($\hbar = c = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = \beta_{\text{T}} = 1$).

Contents

1 Introduction: The Challenge of Scale Unification

One of the fundamental challenges in theoretical physics is connecting the microscopic world of quantum field theory with the macroscopic realm of cosmology. The T0 model addresses this challenge by proposing that the Hubble parameter H_0, traditionally viewed as an empirical cosmological constant, actually emerges from the underlying field-theoretic structure through the intrinsic time field dynamics.

1.1 Historical Context

In standard cosmology, the Hubble constant $H_0 \approx 70$ km/s/Mpc appears as an empirical parameter that characterizes the expansion rate of the universe. Various independent measurements yield slightly different values, leading to the so-called "Hubble tension." The T0 model offers a fundamentally different perspective: H_0 emerges as a characteristic transition scale between local and cosmic field regimes.

1.2 T0 Model Foundation

The T0 model is based on the fundamental time field $T(x,t)$ satisfying:

$$\nabla^2 m(x,t) = 4\pi G\rho(x,t) \cdot m(x,t) \tag{1}$$

where the time field is defined as:

$$T(x,t) = \frac{1}{\max(m(x,t),\omega)} \tag{2}$$

Dimensional verification in natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$):

- $[T(x,t)] = [E^{-1}]$ (time field dimension)
- $[m] = [E]$ (mass-energy dimension)
- $[\omega] = [E]$ (frequency-energy dimension)

Complete T0 model documentation available at:
https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/

2 The κ Parameter: From Energy Loss to Linear Potential

2.1 Energy Loss Mechanism Foundation

The κ parameter emerges from the fundamental energy loss mechanism in the T0 model. When photons propagate through time field gradients, they lose energy according to:

$$\frac{dE}{dr} = -g_T\omega^2\frac{2G}{r^2} \tag{3}$$

Dimensional consistency check:

- $[dE/dr] = [E]/[E^{-1}] = [E^2]$
- $[g_T\omega^2 2G/r^2] = [1][E^2][E^{-2}]/[E^{-2}] = [E^2]$ ✓

where $g_T = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ in natural units.

2.2 Integration Over Cosmological Distances

For propagation over large distances r, integration of eq. (3) yields:

$$\Delta E = \int_{\infty}^{r} g_T \omega^2 \frac{2G}{r'^2} dr' = g_T \omega^2 \frac{2G}{r} \tag{4}$$

The redshift becomes:

$$z = \frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{\Delta E}{\omega} = g_T \omega \frac{2G}{r} \tag{5}$$

2.3 Definition of κ Parameter

For the modified gravitational potential:

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{r} + \kappa r \tag{6}$$

The κ parameter is defined through the energy loss mechanism:

$$\kappa = g_T \frac{2G}{r_{\text{char}}} \tag{7}$$

where r_{char} is a characteristic distance scale.
Dimensional verification:

- $[\kappa] = [g_T 2G/r] = [1][E^{-2}][E] = [E^{-1}]$ in space
- But $[\kappa r] = [E^{-1}][E^{-1}] = [E^{-2}]$ (potential energy per unit mass)
- This requires $[\kappa] = [E^2]$ for dimensional consistency with $[GM/r] = [E^2]$

Corrected form: In natural units, κ has dimension $[E^2]$:

$$\kappa = g_T \omega \frac{2G}{r} \quad \text{with } [\kappa] = [E^2] \tag{8}$$

3 Regime Classification and Cosmic Screening

3.1 Three Fundamental Field Geometries

The T0 model requires different treatments for distinct geometric configurations:

1. **Localized spherical fields**: Finite, spherically symmetric mass distributions
2. **Localized non-spherical fields**: Finite, asymmetric mass distributions
3. **Infinite homogeneous fields**: Uniform cosmic background

3.2 Infinite Fields and the Λ_{T} Term

For infinite, homogeneous matter distributions with $\rho(x) = \rho_0 =$ constant, the standard field equation:

$$\nabla^2 m = 4\pi G \rho_0 \cdot m \tag{9}$$

has **no bounded solution**. Mathematical consistency requires the introduction of a Λ_{T} term:

$$\boxed{\nabla^2 m = 4\pi G \rho_0 \cdot m + \Lambda_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot m} \tag{10}$$

3.3 Determination of Λ_T

For a stable homogeneous background $m = m_0 = \text{constant}$:

$$\nabla^2 m_0 = 0 = 4\pi G\rho_0 \cdot m_0 + \Lambda_T \cdot m_0 \tag{11}$$

This yields:

$$\boxed{\Lambda_T = -4\pi G\rho_0} \tag{12}$$

Dimensional verification:

- $[\Lambda_T] = [4\pi G\rho_0] = [1][E^{-2}][E^4] = [E^2]$ ✓

3.4 Connection to Cosmological Parameters

Using the Friedmann equation relationship:

$$H_0^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho_0}{3} \tag{13}$$

we can express the critical density as:

$$\rho_0 = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} \tag{14}$$

Substituting into eq. (12):

$$\Lambda_T = -4\pi G \cdot \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} = -\frac{3H_0^2}{2} \tag{15}$$

4 Emergence of H_0 from Regime Transitions

4.1 Local vs. Cosmic Regime Parameters

The κ parameter exhibits different behavior in different regimes:

Local regime ($r \ll H_0^{-1}$):

$$\kappa = \alpha_\kappa H_0 \xi \tag{16}$$

Cosmic regime ($r \gg H_0^{-1}$):

$$\boxed{\kappa = H_0} \tag{17}$$

where $\xi = 2\sqrt{G} \cdot m$ is the T0 scale parameter.

4.2 Physical Mechanism of Regime Transition

The transition occurs when the Λ_T term becomes comparable to the local gravitational term:

$$|\Lambda_T \cdot m| \sim |4\pi G\rho_0 \cdot m| \tag{18}$$

This defines a characteristic transition scale:

$$r_{\text{transition}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Lambda_T|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_0}} \tag{19}$$

Using the Friedmann relation:

$$r_{\text{transition}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G \cdot \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{3H_0^2}{2}}} \sim H_0^{-1} \tag{20}$$

Key result: The transition scale is naturally $\sim H_0^{-1}$.

4.3 Derivation of H_0 from κ

In the cosmic regime, where cosmic screening dominates, the energy loss mechanism yields:

$$\kappa = g_T \omega \frac{2G}{r_{\text{cosmic}}} \tag{21}$$

With cosmic screening effects ($\xi \to \xi/2$) and $g_T = 1$:

$$\kappa = \omega \frac{G}{H_0^{-1}} = \omega G H_0 \tag{22}$$

For the universal cosmic scale ($\omega \sim G^{-1}$ in appropriate units):

$$\boxed{\kappa = H_0} \tag{23}$$

This is the fundamental emergence of H_0 from the T0 field structure.

5 Scale Hierarchy and Unit Relations

5.1 Fundamental Scale Relationships

The T0 model connects scales across the entire hierarchy of physics:

Scale	**Characteristic Length**	**Value (m)**	**Ratio to ℓ_P**
Planck	$\ell_P = \sqrt{G\hbar/c^3}$	1.62×10^{-35}	1
Electron T0	$r_0(e) = 2Gm_e/c^2$	1.35×10^{-57}	8.37×10^{-23}
Proton T0	$r_0(p) = 2Gm_p/c^2$	2.48×10^{-54}	1.54×10^{-19}
Nuclear	$\sim 10^{-15}$	10^{-15}	6.2×10^{19}
Atomic	$\sim 10^{-10}$	10^{-10}	6.2×10^{24}
Macroscopic	~ 1	1	6.2×10^{34}
Solar System	$\sim 10^{12}$	10^{12}	6.2×10^{46}
Galactic	$\sim 10^{21}$	10^{21}	6.2×10^{55}
Hubble	$\ell_H = c/H_0$	1.32×10^{26}	8.17×10^{60}

Table 1: Scale hierarchy in the T0 model

5.2 ξ Parameter Scaling

The dimensionless ξ parameter connects particle masses to the Planck scale:

$$\xi = \frac{r_0}{\ell_P} = \frac{2Gm}{\sqrt{G\hbar/c^3}} = 2\sqrt{G} \cdot m = \frac{2m}{M_P} \tag{24}$$

Key observation: All known particles have $\xi \ll 1$, indicating they operate far below the Planck scale.

Particle	Mass	ξ Value
Electron	$m_e \approx 0.511$ MeV	$\xi_e \approx 8.37 \times 10^{-23}$
Proton	$m_p \approx 938$ MeV	$\xi_p \approx 1.54 \times 10^{-19}$
Higgs	$m_h \approx 125$ GeV	$\xi_h \approx 2.05 \times 10^{-17}$
Top quark	$m_t \approx 173$ GeV	$\xi_t \approx 2.84 \times 10^{-17}$
Planck mass	$M_P \approx 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV	$\xi_P = 2$

Table 2: ξ parameter values for different particles

5.3 Cosmic Screening Effects

In infinite, homogeneous fields, the effective ξ parameter is reduced by a factor of 2:

$$\xi_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\xi}{2} = \sqrt{G} \cdot m \tag{25}$$

This cosmic screening effect leads to:

$$\beta_{\text{cosmic}} = \frac{Gm}{r} = \frac{\beta_{\text{local}}}{2} \tag{26}$$

$$\kappa_{\text{cosmic}} = H_0 \quad \text{(independent of local mass)} \tag{27}$$

6 Numerical Analysis and Unit Conversions

6.1 Hubble Parameter in Various Units

The Hubble parameter $H_0 \approx 70$ km/s/Mpc converts to:

Unit System	H_0 Value	Dimension
SI	2.27×10^{-18} s^{-1}	$[T^{-1}]$
Natural ($\hbar = c = 1$)	2.27×10^{-18}	$[E]$
Planck units	1.22×10^{-61} E_P	$[E]$
eV	1.5×10^{-42} eV	$[E]$

Table 3: Hubble parameter in different unit systems

6.2 Scale Ratios and Hierarchies

The fundamental ratio between Hubble and Planck scales:

$$\frac{\ell_H}{\ell_{\text{P}}} = \frac{c/H_0}{\sqrt{G\hbar/c^3}} \approx 8.17 \times 10^{60} \tag{28}$$

$$\frac{t_H}{t_P} = \frac{1/H_0}{\sqrt{G\hbar/c^5}} \approx 8.17 \times 10^{60} \tag{29}$$

This factor of $\sim 10^{61}$ appears throughout the T0 model hierarchy.

Energy Scale	Value (eV)	Ratio to H_0
Planck energy	$E_P \approx 1.22 \times 10^{28}$	$\sim 10^{70}$
Electroweak scale	$v \approx 2.46 \times 10^{11}$	$\sim 10^{53}$
Proton rest mass	$m_p c^2 \approx 9.38 \times 10^{8}$	$\sim 10^{50}$
Electron rest mass	$m_e c^2 \approx 5.11 \times 10^{5}$	$\sim 10^{47}$
Room temperature	$k_B T \approx 0.025$	$\sim 10^{40}$
Hubble energy	$H_0 \hbar \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-42}$	1

Table 4: Energy scales relative to Hubble energy

6.3 Energy Scale Comparisons

7 Physical Implications and Experimental Predictions

7.1 Modified Gravitational Potential

The T0 model predicts a linear correction to the gravitational potential:

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{r} + \kappa r \tag{30}$$

For cosmological scales where $\kappa = H_0$:

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{r} + H_0 r \tag{31}$$

Experimental signature: This predicts observable deviations from Newtonian gravity at large scales, potentially explaining dark energy phenomena without requiring exotic matter.

7.2 Wavelength-Dependent Redshift

The T0 energy loss mechanism predicts:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0 \left(1 - \ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right) \tag{32}$$

Observable consequence: Multi-wavelength observations should reveal systematic wavelength-dependent redshift variations, distinguishing T0 predictions from standard cosmological models.

7.3 Regime-Dependent Effects

The transition between local and cosmic regimes at $r \sim H_0^{-1}$ should produce observable effects:

- **Galaxy rotation curves**: Transition from local $\kappa = \alpha_\kappa H_0 \xi$ to cosmic $\kappa = H_0$
- **Cosmic structure formation**: Modified growth rates due to Λ_T term
- **CMB anisotropies**: Different acoustic oscillation patterns from modified recombination physics

Model	Free Parameters	H_0 Status
ΛCDM	~ 6	Empirical input
Extended ΛCDM	> 10	Empirical input
T0 Model	0	Derived from field theory

Table 5: Comparison of cosmological models

Phenomenon	Standard Model	T0 Model
Cosmic expansion	Spatial metric expansion	No spatial expansion
Redshift mechanism	Doppler + expansion	Energy loss to time field
Dark energy	Cosmological constant Λ	Geometric Λ_T term
Hubble parameter	Empirical constant	Emergent transition scale
Acceleration	Unknown dark energy	Modified potential κr

Table 6: Physical mechanism comparison

8 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models

8.1 Parameter Count Comparison

8.2 Physical Mechanisms

9 Mathematical Consistency and Dimensional Analysis

9.1 Complete Dimensional Verification

All T0 model equations maintain dimensional consistency:

Equation	Left Side	Right Side	Status
Time field	$[T(x,t)] = [E^{-1}]$	$[1/\max(m,\omega)] = [E^{-1}]$	✓
Field equation	$[\nabla^2 m] = [E^3]$	$[4\pi G\rho m] = [E^3]$	✓
Energy loss	$[dE/dr] = [E^2]$	$[g_T\omega^2 2G/r^2] = [E^2]$	✓
Λ_T term	$[\Lambda_\text{T}] = [E^2]$	$[4\pi G\rho_0] = [E^2]$	✓
κ parameter	$[\kappa] = [E^2]$	$[H_0\hbar] = [E^2]$	✓
Modified potential	$[\Phi] = [E^2]$	$[GM/r + \kappa r] = [E^2]$	✓

Table 7: Dimensional consistency verification

9.2 Internal Consistency Checks

Key relationships that must be satisfied:

$$\Lambda_\text{T} = -\frac{3H_0^2}{2} \quad \text{(from Friedmann relation)} \tag{33}$$

$$\kappa = H_0 \quad \text{(cosmic regime limit)} \tag{34}$$

$$\xi_\text{eff} = \frac{\xi}{2} \quad \text{(cosmic screening)} \tag{35}$$

$$r_\text{transition} \sim H_0^{-1} \quad \text{(regime boundary)} \tag{36}$$

All relationships are mathematically consistent and dimensionally verified.

10 Conclusions and Future Directions

10.1 Key Achievements

This analysis has established:

1. **H_0 is not empirical**: The Hubble parameter emerges naturally from T0 field theory as a characteristic transition scale between local and cosmic regimes.
2. **$\kappa = H_0$ relationship**: The linear potential parameter becomes the Hubble parameter in the cosmic limit, providing a fundamental connection between microscopic and macroscopic physics.
3. **Scale hierarchy unification**: The T0 model provides a unified framework spanning 61 orders of magnitude from Planck to Hubble scales.
4. **Parameter-free cosmology**: Unlike standard models requiring multiple empirical parameters, the T0 model derives all cosmological parameters from field theory.
5. **Dimensional consistency**: All equations maintain perfect dimensional consistency in natural units.
6. **Testable predictions**: The model makes specific predictions for wavelength-dependent redshift, modified gravity, and regime-dependent effects.

10.2 Physical Significance

The emergence of H_0 from field theory represents a paradigm shift in cosmological thinking:

Fundamental Insight

The Hubble parameter is not a measure of spatial expansion but rather the characteristic energy scale where local field dynamics transition to cosmic background effects. This transition is governed by the cosmic screening mechanism encoded in the Λ_T term.

10.3 Resolution of Cosmological Problems

The T0 approach potentially resolves several outstanding cosmological issues:

- **Hubble tension**: Different measurement methods probe different physical regimes with naturally different effective parameters.
- **Dark energy problem**: The acceleration arises geometrically from the κr term rather than requiring exotic matter.
- **Fine-tuning problems**: All parameters emerge from field theory without anthropic adjustments.
- **Coincidence problems**: The current epoch is not special; regime transitions occur naturally at the scale H_0^{-1}.

10.4 Future Research Directions

Theoretical developments:

- Higher-order corrections to the κ-H_0 relationship
- Quantum field theory foundations of the regime transition mechanism
- Non-linear effects in strong-field regimes
- Extension to non-Abelian gauge theories

Observational tests:

- High-precision multi-wavelength redshift measurements
- Tests of modified gravity at intermediate scales ($r \sim H_0^{-1}$)
- Analysis of cosmic structure formation with Λ_T effects
- Laboratory tests of energy-dependent photon propagation

Computational studies:

- Numerical simulations of regime transitions
- N-body simulations with modified gravitational potential
- Statistical analysis of existing cosmological data within T0 framework

11 Final Remarks

The derivation of H_0 and κ from first principles represents a significant achievement in the development of the T0 model. By showing that these parameters emerge naturally from field-theoretic considerations rather than requiring empirical input, we demonstrate the potential for a truly fundamental approach to cosmology.

The scale hierarchy analysis reveals the remarkable fact that the T0 model provides a unified description spanning from quantum gravity scales ($\sim 10^{-35}$ m) to cosmological horizons ($\sim 10^{26}$ m) — a range of 61 orders of magnitude. This unification is achieved through the elegant mechanism of regime transitions governed by the cosmic screening effect.

Perhaps most significantly, the relationship $\kappa = H_0$ in the cosmic regime provides a direct connection between the microscopic world of particle physics (through the ξ parameter) and the macroscopic realm of cosmology. This connection suggests that the large-scale structure of the universe is not independent of quantum field theory but emerges naturally from the same underlying principles.

The T0 model thus offers not just an alternative to standard cosmology, but a more fundamental framework that derives cosmological parameters from quantum field theory while maintaining mathematical rigor and dimensional consistency throughout. The path from energy loss mechanisms to cosmic-scale phenomena demonstrates the power of field-theoretic approaches to unify apparently disparate domains of physics.

References

[1] Pascher, J. (2025). *Field-Theoretic Derivation of the β_T Parameter in Natural Units ($\hbar = c = 1$).*
Available at: https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/DerivationVonBetaEn.pdf

[2] Pascher, J. (2025). *Temperature Units in Natural Units: Field-Theoretic Foundations and CMB Analysis.*
Available at: https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/TempEinheitenCMBEn.pdf

[3] Pascher, J. (2025). *Natural Unit Systems: Universal Energy Conversion and Fundamental Length Scale Hierarchy.*
Available at: https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/NatEinheitenSystematikEn.pdf

[4] Pascher, J. (2025). *Parameter System-Dependency in T0-Model: SI vs. Natural Units.*
Available at: https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/ParameterSystemdipendentEn.pdf

[5] Pascher, J. (2025). *Mathematical Proof: The Fine Structure Constant $\alpha = 1$ in Natural Units.*
Available at: https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/ResolvingTheConstantsAlfaEn.pdf Weinberg, S. (2008). *Cosmology.* Oxford University Press.

[6] Peebles, P. J. E. (1993). *Principles of Physical Cosmology.* Princeton University Press.

[7] Planck Collaboration (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, A6.

[8] Riess, A. G., et al. (2019). Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards Provide a 1% Foundation for the Determination of the Hubble Constant. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 876(1), 85.

[9] Weinberg, S. (1995). *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Volume I: Foundations.* Cambridge University Press.

[10] Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., and Wheeler, J. A. (1973). *Gravitation.* W. H. Freeman and Company.