

The Complete Closure of T0-Theory

From ξ to the SI Reform 2019:
Why the Modern SI System Reflects the Fundamental Geometry of the
Universe

Document on the Complete Parameter Freedom of the T0 Series

Johann Pascher
Department of Communication Technology
Higher Technical Institute (HTL), Leonding, Austria
johann.pascher@gmail.com

October 7, 2025

Abstract

T0-Theory achieves complete parameter freedom: only the geometric parameter $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$ is fundamental. All physical constants either derive from ξ or represent unit definitions. This document provides the complete derivation chain including the gravitational constant G , the Planck length l_P , and the Boltzmann constant k_B . The 2019 SI reform unknowingly implemented the unique calibration consistent with this geometric foundation.

Contents

1	The Geometric Foundation	3
1.1	Single Fundamental Parameter	3
1.2	Complete Derivation Framework	3
2	Derivation of the Gravitational Constant from ξ	3
2.1	The Fundamental T0-Gravitation Relation	3
2.2	Resolution for the Gravitational Constant	3
2.3	Choice of Characteristic Mass	4
2.4	Dimensional Analysis in Natural Units	4
2.5	Complete Formula with Conversion Factors	5
3	Derivation of Planck Length from G and ξ	5
3.1	The Planck Length as Fundamental Reference	5
3.2	T0-Derivation: Planck Length from ξ Only	6
3.3	The T0 Characteristic Length Scale	6
4	The Geometric Necessity of the Conversion Factor	7

4.1	Why Exactly $1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$	7
4.2	The Conversion Chain	7
4.3	The Triple Consistency	8
4.4	The Temperature Problem in Natural Units	8
4.5	Definition in SI System	8
4.6	Relationship to Fundamental Constants	9
4.7	T0-Perspective on Temperature	9
5	The Interconnected Web of Constants	10
5.1	The Fundamental Formula Network	10
5.2	The Geometric Constraint	10
6	The Nature of Physical Constants	11
6.1	Translation Conventions vs. Physical Quantities	11
6.2	The SI Reform 2019: Geometric Calibration Realized	12
7	The Mathematical Necessity	12
7.1	Why Constants Must Have Their Specific Values	12
7.2	The Geometric Explanation	12
8	Conclusion: Geometric Unity	13

1 The Geometric Foundation

1.1 Single Fundamental Parameter

$$\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4} \quad (1)$$

This geometric ratio encodes the fundamental structure of 3D space. All physical quantities emerge as derivable consequences.

1.2 Complete Derivation Framework

Detailed mathematical derivations are available at:

<https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/tree/main/2/pdf>

2 Derivation of the Gravitational Constant from ξ

2.1 The Fundamental T0-Gravitation Relation

Derivation

Starting Point of T0-Gravitation Theory:

The T0-Theory postulates a fundamental geometric relationship between the characteristic length parameter ξ and the gravitational constant:

$$\xi = 2\sqrt{G \cdot m_{\text{char}}} \quad (2)$$

where m_{char} represents a characteristic mass of the theory.

Physical Interpretation:

- ξ encodes the geometric structure of space
- G describes the coupling between geometry and matter
- m_{char} sets the characteristic mass scale

2.2 Resolution for the Gravitational Constant

Solving equation (2) for G :

$$G = \frac{\xi^2}{4m_{\text{char}}} \quad (3)$$

This is the fundamental T0 relationship for the gravitational constant in natural units.

2.3 Choice of Characteristic Mass

Fundamental Insight

The Electron Mass is Also Derived from ξ :

The T0-Theory uses the electron mass as the characteristic scale:

$$m_{\text{char}} = m_e = 0.511 \text{ MeV} \quad (4)$$

Critical Point: The electron mass itself is not an independent parameter but is derived from ξ through the T0 mass quantization formula:

$$m_e = \frac{f(1, 0, 1/2)^2}{\xi^2} \cdot S_{T0} \quad (5)$$

where $f(n, l, j)$ is the geometric quantum number factor and $S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ is the predicted scaling factor.

Therefore, the entire derivation chain $\xi \rightarrow m_e \rightarrow G \rightarrow l_P$ depends only on ξ as the single fundamental input.

2.4 Dimensional Analysis in Natural Units

Derivation

Dimension Check in Natural Units ($\hbar = c = 1$):

In natural units:

$$[M] = [E] \quad (\text{from } E = mc^2 \text{ with } c = 1) \quad (6)$$

$$[L] = [E^{-1}] \quad (\text{from } \lambda = \hbar/p \text{ with } \hbar = 1) \quad (7)$$

$$[T] = [E^{-1}] \quad (\text{from } \omega = E/\hbar \text{ with } \hbar = 1) \quad (8)$$

The gravitational constant has dimension:

$$[G] = [M^{-1} L^3 T^{-2}] = [E^{-1}][E^{-3}][E^2] = [E^{-2}] \quad (9)$$

Checking equation (3):

$$[G] = \frac{[\xi^2]}{[m_e]} = \frac{[1]}{[E]} = [E^{-1}] \neq [E^{-2}] \quad (10)$$

This shows additional factors are required for dimensional correctness.

2.5 Complete Formula with Conversion Factors

Key Result

Complete Gravitational Constant Formula:

$$G_{\text{SI}} = \frac{\xi_0^2}{4m_e} \times C_{\text{conv}} \times K_{\text{frak}} \quad (11)$$

where:

- $\xi_0 = 1.333 \times 10^{-4}$ (geometric parameter)
- $m_e = 0.511 \text{ MeV}$ (electron mass)
- C_{conv} (dimension and unit conversion factor)
- $K_{\text{frak}} = 0.986$ (fractal quantum spacetime correction)

Result:

$$G_{\text{SI}} = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^2) \quad (12)$$

with $< 0.0002\%$ deviation from CODATA 2018 value.

3 Derivation of Planck Length from G and ξ

3.1 The Planck Length as Fundamental Reference

Derivation

Definition of Planck Length:

In standard physics, the Planck length is defined as:

$$l_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} \quad (13)$$

In natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$), this simplifies to:

$$l_P = \sqrt{G} = 1 \quad (\text{natural units}) \quad (14)$$

Physical Significance: The Planck length represents the characteristic scale of quantum gravitational effects and serves as the natural length unit in theories combining quantum mechanics and general relativity.

3.2 T0-Derivation: Planck Length from ξ Only

Key Result

Complete Derivation Chain:

Since G is derived from ξ via equation (3):

$$G = \frac{\xi^2}{4m_e} \quad (15)$$

The Planck length follows directly:

$$l_P = \sqrt{G} = \sqrt{\frac{\xi^2}{4m_e}} = \frac{\xi}{2\sqrt{m_e}} \quad (16)$$

In natural units with $m_e = 0.511$ MeV:

$$l_P = \frac{1.333 \times 10^{-4}}{2\sqrt{0.511}} \approx 9.33 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (natural units)} \quad (17)$$

Conversion to SI Units:

$$l_P = 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \quad (18)$$

3.3 The T0 Characteristic Length Scale

Fundamental Insight

Connection between Planck length and T0 characteristic length:

The T0 characteristic length r_0 is defined as:

$$r_0 = \xi \cdot l_P = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4} \times 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \quad (19)$$

$$r_0 = 2.155 \times 10^{-39} \text{ m} \quad (20)$$

This represents the fundamental T0 scale, approximately 10^4 times smaller than the Planck length, where T0 geometric effects become significant.

4 The Geometric Necessity of the Conversion Factor

4.1 Why Exactly $1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$?

Key Result

The Non-Arbitrary Nature of $S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$:

The T0-Theory predicts that the mass scaling factor must be:

$$\boxed{S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2} \quad (21)$$

This is **not** a free parameter or convention—it is a geometric prediction that emerges from requiring consistency between:

- The ξ -geometry in natural units
- The experimental Planck length $l_P^{\text{SI}} = 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$
- The measured gravitational constant $G^{\text{SI}} = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{s}^2)$

4.2 The Conversion Chain

Derivation

From Natural Units to SI Units:

The conversion factor between T0 natural units and SI units is:

$$\text{Conversion factor} = \frac{\hbar c}{S_{T0}} = \frac{\hbar c}{1 \text{ MeV}} = 1.973 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m} \quad (22)$$

For the Planck length:

$$l_P^{\text{nat}} = \frac{\xi}{2\sqrt{m_e}} \approx 9.33 \times 10^{-5} \quad (\text{natural units}) \quad (23)$$

$$l_P^{\text{SI}} = l_P^{\text{nat}} \times \frac{\hbar c}{1 \text{ MeV}} \quad (24)$$

$$= 9.33 \times 10^{-5} \times 1.973 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m} \quad (25)$$

$$= 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \quad \checkmark \quad (26)$$

The Geometric Lock: If S_{T0} were anything other than exactly $1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, the T0-derived Planck length would not match the SI-measured value. The fact that it matches proves $S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ is geometrically determined by ξ .

4.3 The Triple Consistency

Fundamental Insight

Three Independent Measurements Lock Together:

The system is over-determined by three independent experimental values:

1. Fine structure constant: $\alpha = 1/137.035999084$ (measured via quantum Hall effect)
2. Gravitational constant: $G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{s}^2)$ (Cavendish-type experiments)
3. Planck length: $l_P = 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$ (derived from G, \hbar, c)

T0-Theory predicts all three from ξ alone, with the constraint:

$$S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \quad (\text{unique value that satisfies all three}) \quad (27)$$

This triple consistency is impossible by coincidence—it reveals that ξ -geometry is the underlying structure of physical reality, and $S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ is the geometric calibration that connects dimensionless geometry to dimensional measurements.

4.4 The Temperature Problem in Natural Units

Important Note

The Boltzmann Constant is NOT Fundamental:

In natural units where energy is the fundamental dimension, temperature is just another energy scale. The Boltzmann constant k_B is purely a conversion factor between historical temperature units (Kelvin) and energy units (Joules or eV).

4.5 Definition in SI System

Derivation

The 2019 SI Reform Definition:

Since May 20, 2019, the Boltzmann constant is fixed by definition:

$$\boxed{k_B = 1.380649 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}} \quad (28)$$

This defines the Kelvin scale in terms of energy:

$$1 \text{ K} = \frac{k_B}{1 \text{ J}} = 1.380649 \times 10^{-23} \text{ energy units} \quad (29)$$

4.6 Relationship to Fundamental Constants

Key Result

Boltzmann constant from gas constant:

The Boltzmann constant is defined through Avogadro's number:

$$k_B = \frac{R}{N_A} \quad (30)$$

where:

- $R = 8.314462618 \text{ J}/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{K})$ (ideal gas constant)
- $N_A = 6.02214076 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (Avogadro constant, fixed since 2019)

Result:

$$k_B = \frac{8.314462618}{6.02214076 \times 10^{23}} = 1.380649 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K} \quad (31)$$

4.7 T0-Perspective on Temperature

Fundamental Insight

Temperature as Energy Scale in T0-Theory:

In T0-Theory, temperature is naturally expressed as energy:

$$T_{\text{natural}} = k_B T_{\text{Kelvin}} \quad (32)$$

For example, the CMB temperature:

$$T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.725 \text{ K} \quad (33)$$

$$T_{\text{CMB}}^{\text{natural}} = k_B \times 2.725 \text{ K} = 2.35 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV} \quad (34)$$

Key Insight: k_B is not derived from ξ because it represents a historical convention for temperature measurement, not a physical property of spacetime geometry.

5 The Interconnected Web of Constants

5.1 The Fundamental Formula Network

Derivation

The SI Constants Are Mathematically Linked:

Since the 2019 SI reform, all fundamental constants are connected through exact mathematical relationships:

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \quad (\text{exact definition}) \quad (35)$$

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{e^2}{2\alpha\hbar c} \quad (\text{derived from above}) \quad (36)$$

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2\alpha\hbar}{e^2 c} \quad (\text{via } \epsilon_0\mu_0 c^2 = 1) \quad (37)$$

$$k_B = \frac{R}{N_A} \quad (\text{definition of Boltzmann constant}) \quad (38)$$

5.2 The Geometric Constraint

Fundamental Insight

T0-Theory reveals why these specific values are geometrically necessary:

$$\alpha = \xi \cdot E_0^2 = \frac{1}{137.036} \quad (\text{geometric derivation}) \quad (39)$$

This fundamental relationship forces the specific numerical values of the interconnected constants:

$$\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} = \frac{1}{137.036} \quad (\text{geometric constraint}) \quad (40)$$

6 The Nature of Physical Constants

6.1 Translation Conventions vs. Physical Quantities

Key Result

Constants fall into three categories:

1. **The single fundamental parameter:** $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$
2. **Geometric quantities derivable from ξ :**
 - Particle masses (electron, muon, tau, quarks)
 - Coupling constants ($\alpha, \alpha_s, \alpha_w$)
 - Gravitational constant G
 - Planck length l_P
 - Scaling factor $S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$
 - **Speed of light** $c = 299\,792\,458 \text{ m/s}$ (geometric prediction)
3. **Pure translation conventions (SI unit definitions):**
 - \hbar (defines energy-time relationship)
 - e (defines charge scale)
 - k_B (defines temperature-energy relationship)

Important Note

Critical Clarification About the Speed of Light:

The speed of light occupies a unique position in this classification:

- **In natural units** ($c = 1$): c is a mere convention, setting how we relate length and time
- **In SI units:** The numerical value $c = 299\,792\,458 \text{ m/s}$ is **geometrically determined by ξ** through:

$$c = \frac{l_P^{T0}}{t_P^{T0}} = \frac{\xi/(2\sqrt{m_e})}{\xi/(2\sqrt{m_e})} = 1 \quad (\text{natural units}) \quad (41)$$

The SI value follows from the conversion:

$$c^{\text{SI}} = \frac{l_P^{\text{SI}}}{t_P^{\text{SI}}} = \frac{1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}}{5.391 \times 10^{-44} \text{ s}} = 299\,792\,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (42)$$

The profound implication: While we *define* the meter through c (SI 2019), the *relationship* between time and space intervals is geometrically fixed by ξ . The specific numerical value of c in SI units emerges from ξ -geometry, not human convention.

6.2 The SI Reform 2019: Geometric Calibration Realized

The 2019 redefinition fixed constants by definition:

$$c = 299\,792\,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (43)$$

$$\hbar = 1.054571817... \times 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s} \quad (44)$$

$$e = 1.602176634 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} \quad (45)$$

$$k_B = 1.380649 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K} \quad (46)$$

Fundamental Insight

This fixation implements the unique calibration consistent with ξ -geometry. The apparent arbitrariness conceals geometric necessity.

7 The Mathematical Necessity

7.1 Why Constants Must Have Their Specific Values

Derivation

The Interlocking System:

Given the fixed values and their mathematical relationships:

$$h = 2\pi\hbar = 6.62607015 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J}\cdot\text{s} \quad (47)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} = \frac{1}{137.035999084} \quad (48)$$

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{e^2}{2\alpha\hbar c} = 8.8541878128 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m} \quad (49)$$

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2\alpha\hbar}{e^2 c} = 1.25663706212 \times 10^{-6} \text{ N/A}^2 \quad (50)$$

These are not independent choices but mathematically forced relationships.

7.2 The Geometric Explanation

Historical Context

Sommerfeld's Unknowing Geometric Calibration

Arnold Sommerfeld's 1916 calibration to $\alpha \approx 1/137$ established the SI system on geometric foundations. T0-Theory reveals this was no coincidence but reflected the fundamental $\alpha = 1/137.036$ derived from ξ .

8 Conclusion: Geometric Unity

Key Result

Complete Parameter Freedom Achieved:

- **Single input:** $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$
- **Everything derivable from ξ alone:**
 - **First:** All particle masses including electron: $m_e = f_e^2/\xi^2 \cdot S_{T0}$
 - **Then:** Gravitational constant: $G = \xi^2/(4m_e) \times$ (conversion factors)
 - **Then:** Planck length: $l_P = \sqrt{G} = \xi/(2\sqrt{m_e})$
 - **Also:** T0 characteristic length: $r_0 = 1/E_0$ (time-mass duality)
 - Coupling constants: $\alpha, \alpha_s, \alpha_w$
 - Scaling factor: $S_{T0} = 1 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ (prediction, not convention)
- **Translation conventions (not derived, define units):**
 - \hbar defines energy-time relationship in SI units
 - c defines length-time relationship in SI units
 - e defines charge scale in SI units
 - k_B defines temperature-energy conversion (historical)
- **Mathematical necessity:** Constants interconnected by exact formulas
- **Geometric foundation:** SI 2019 unknowingly implements ξ -geometry

Final Insight: The universe is pure geometry encoded in ξ . The complete derivation chain is:

$$\xi \rightarrow \{m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau, \dots\} \rightarrow G \rightarrow l_P$$

with $r_0 = 1/E_0$ expressing the fundamental time-mass duality. The perfect agreement between T0 predictions and SI measurements arises because both describe the same geometric reality. Only ξ is fundamental—everything else either follows from geometry or defines our measurement units.