

# Redshift and Deflection

Johann Pascher

2025

# Chapitre 1

## Redshift and Deflection

## Résumé

The T0 model explains cosmological redshift through  $\xi$ -field energy loss during photon propagation, without requiring spatial expansion or distance measurements. This mechanism predicts a wavelength-dependent redshift  $z \propto \lambda$  that can be tested with spectroscopic observations of cosmic objects. Using the universal constant and measured masses of astronomical objects, the theory provides model-independent tests distinguishable from standard cosmology. The  $\xi$ -field also explains the cosmic microwave background temperature ( $T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.7255$  K) in a static, eternally existing universe, as detailed in [?, ?].

## 1.1 Introduction

### 1.1.1 Universal $\xi$ -Constant

The T0-theory is based on a single fundamental constant [?] :

$$\square \quad (1.1)$$

This value arises from geometric considerations and determines all fundamental interactions in the universe [?]. The geometric origin stems from the ratio of characteristic scales in the universe, connecting quantum mechanics to cosmology through a single parameter.

### 1.1.2 $\xi$ -Field Structure

The  $\xi$ -field permeates the entire universe and manifests in three fundamental forms :

1. **Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)** : Free  $\xi$ -field radiation at  $T = 2.7255$  K
2. **Casimir Vacuum** : Geometrically constrained  $\xi$ -field between conducting plates
3. **Gravitational Interaction** :  $\xi$ -field coupling to matter determines  $G$

The relationship between these manifestations is given by :

$$\frac{|\rho_{\text{Casimir}}|}{\rho_{\text{CMB}}} = \frac{\pi^2}{240\xi} = \frac{\pi^2 \times 10^4}{320} \approx 308 \quad (1.2)$$

## 1.2 Energy Loss Mechanism

### 1.2.1 Photon- $\xi$ -Field Interaction

[ $\xi$ -Field Energy Loss] Photons propagating through the omnipresent  $\xi$ -field lose energy according to :

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\xi \cdot E \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\xi$  is the energy-dependent coupling function.

For the linear coupling case :

$$f\left(\frac{E}{x}\right) = \frac{E}{x} \quad (1.4)$$

This yields the simplified energy loss equation :

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{\xi E^2}{x} \quad (1.5)$$

### 1.2.2 Energy-to-Wavelength Conversion

Since  $E = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$  (or  $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  in natural units,  $\hbar = c = 1$ ), we can express the energy loss in terms of wavelength. Substituting  $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  :

$$\frac{d(1/\lambda)}{dx} = -\xi \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad (1.6)$$

Rearranging for wavelength evolution :

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} = \frac{\xi \lambda^2}{1} \quad (1.7)$$

## 1.3 Redshift Formula Derivation

### 1.3.1 Integration for Small $\xi$ -Effects

For the wavelength evolution equation :

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} = \frac{\xi\lambda^2}{dx} \quad (1.8)$$

Separating variables and integrating :

$$\int^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda'^2} = \frac{\xi}{\lambda} \int_0^x dx' \quad (1.9)$$

This yields :

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} = \frac{\xi x}{\lambda} \quad (1.10)$$

Solving for the observed wavelength :

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\xi x}{\lambda}} \quad (1.11)$$

### 1.3.2 Redshift Definition and Formula

Redshift definition :

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{observed}} - \lambda_{\text{emitted}}}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}} - 1 \quad (1.12)$$

For small  $\xi$ -effects where  $\frac{\xi x}{\lambda} \ll 1$ , we can expand :

$$z \approx \frac{\xi x}{\lambda} = \frac{\xi x}{(\hbar c)} . \quad (\text{in conventional units}) \quad (1.13)$$

**Key T0 Prediction : Wavelength-Dependent Redshift**

$$z() = \frac{\xi x}{\lambda} . \quad (\text{natural units, } \hbar = c = 1)$$

(1.14)

This wavelength dependence is the KEY DISTINGUISHING FEATURE from standard cosmology :

— Standard cosmology :  $z$  is the same for ALL wavelengths from the same source

— T0 theory :  $z$  varies with wavelength - testable prediction !

In conventional units, scales with  $\hbar c \approx 197.3 \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{fm}$ , so  $\approx 1.5 \text{ GeV}$  corresponds to  $/(\hbar c) \approx 7500 \text{ m}^{-1}$ , ensuring dimensional consistency.

### 1.3.3 Consistency with Observed Redshifts

Current observations neither confirm nor refute the wavelength dependence due to measurement limitations at the detection threshold. The wavelength-dependent redshift, given by  $z \propto \frac{\xi x}{\lambda}$ , explains observed cosmological redshifts in combination with complementary effects such as Doppler shifts, gravitational redshift, and nonlinear  $\xi$ -field interactions. For high-redshift objects ( $z > 10$ ), such as those observed by JWST [?], the coupling function  $f(\frac{E}{\lambda})$  may contain higher-order terms ensuring consistency with observations without cosmic expansion. Future spectroscopic tests, as described in Section ??, will provide definitive validation or refutation of this mechanism.

## 1.4 Frequency-Based Formulation

### 1.4.1 Frequency Energy Loss

Since  $E = h\nu$ , the energy loss equation becomes :

$$\frac{d(h\nu)}{dx} = -\frac{\xi(h\nu)^2}{c} \quad (1.15)$$

Simplifying :

$$\frac{d\nu}{dx} = -\frac{\xi h\nu^2}{c} \quad (1.16)$$

### 1.4.2 Frequency Redshift Formula

Integrating the frequency evolution :

$$\int^{\nu} \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'^2} = -\frac{\xi h}{c} \int_0^x dx' \quad (1.17)$$

This yields :

$$\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\nu_0} = \frac{\xi h x}{c} \quad (1.18)$$

Therefore :

$$\nu = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\xi h x}{c}} \quad (1.19)$$

Frequency redshift :

$$z = \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \approx \frac{\xi h x}{c} \quad (\text{natural units, } h = 1; \text{conventional units, } h = \hbar) \quad (1.20)$$

Since  $\nu = \frac{c}{\lambda}$ , we have  $h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$ , confirming :

$$z \propto \nu \propto \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (1.21)$$

**Higher-frequency photons show greater redshift!** In conventional units, scales with  $\hbar c$  to maintain dimensional consistency.

## 1.5 Observable Predictions without Distance Assumptions

### 1.5.1 Spectral Line Ratios

Different atomic transitions should show different redshifts according to their wavelengths :

$$\frac{z(\lambda_1)}{z(\lambda_2)} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \quad (1.22)$$

**Hydrogen Line Test :**

- Lyman- $\alpha$  (121.6 nm) vs. H $\alpha$  (656.3 nm)
- Predicted ratio :  $\frac{z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}}{z_{\text{H}\alpha}} = \frac{121.6}{656.3} = 0.185$
- Standard cosmology predicts : 1.000

### 1.5.2 Frequency-Dependent Effects

For radio vs. optical observations of the same cosmic object :

- 21 cm line :  $\lambda = 0.21 \text{ m}$
- H $\alpha$  line :  $\lambda = 6.563 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$
- Predicted ratio :  $\frac{z_{\text{21cm}}}{z_{\text{H}\alpha}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{21cm}}}{\lambda_{\text{H}\alpha}} = \frac{0.21}{6.563 \times 10^{-7}} = 3.2 \times 10^5$

This enormous difference should be detectable even with current technology if the T0 mechanism is correct.

## 1.6 Experimental Tests via Spectroscopy

### 1.6.1 Multi-Wavelength Observations

**Simultaneous Multiband Spectroscopy :**

1. Observe quasar/galaxy simultaneously in UV, optical, IR
2. Measure redshift from different spectral lines
3. Test whether  $z \propto \lambda$  relationship holds
4. Compare with standard cosmology prediction ( $z = \text{constant}$ )

### 1.6.2 Radio vs. Optical Redshift

**21cm vs. Optical Line Comparison :**

- **Radio surveys** : ALFALFA, HIPASS (21cm redshifts)
- **Optical surveys** : SDSS, 2dF (H $\alpha$ , H $\beta$  redshifts)
- **Method** : Compare objects observed in both surveys
- **Prediction** :  $z_{\text{21cm}} \neq z_{\text{optical}}$  (T0) vs.  $z_{\text{21cm}} = z_{\text{optical}}$  (Standard)

## 1.7 Advantages over Standard Cosmology

### 1.7.1 Model-Independent Approach

TABLE 1.1: T0-Theory vs. Standard Cosmology

Aspect	T0-Theory	$\Lambda$ CDM
Universal constant	$\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$	None
Dark energy required	No	Yes (70%)
Dark matter required	No	Yes (25%)
Number of parameters	1	6+
Hubble tension	Resolved	Unresolved
JWST observations	Consistent	Problematic
Big Bang singularity	None	Required
Horizon problem	None	Unresolved
Flatness problem	Natural	Fine-tuning required

### 1.7.2 Unified Explanations

The single  $\xi$ -constant explains :

1. **Gravitational constant** :  $G = \frac{\xi^2 c^3}{16\pi m_p^2}$
2. **CMB temperature** :  $T_{\text{CMB}} = \frac{16}{9} \xi^2 \times E_\xi$
3. **Casimir effect** : Related to  $\xi$ -field vacuum
4. **Cosmological redshift** : Energy loss through  $\xi$ -field
5. **Particle masses** : Geometric resonances in  $\xi$ -field
6. **Fine structure constant** :  $\alpha = (4/3)^3 \approx 1/137$
7. **Muon anomalous magnetic moment** :  $a_\mu = \frac{\xi}{2\pi} \left( \frac{E_\mu}{E_e} \right)^2$

## 1.8 Critical Assessment : Wavelength Dependence at the Detection Threshold

### 1.8.1 Current Experimental Status and Measurement Limitations

The T0 theory's prediction of wavelength-dependent redshift represents one of its most distinctive and testable features. However, the current experimental situation is complex and requires careful analysis.

#### Precision at the Critical Boundary

Current spectroscopic measurements achieve precision of  $\Delta z/z \approx 10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-5}$ , while the T0 effect with  $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$  predicts variations of the same magnitude. This places us precisely at the detection threshold - a critical situation where neither confirmation nor refutation is currently possible.

For typical cosmic objects with , the relative difference in redshift between two spectral lines :

$$\frac{\Delta z}{z} = \left| \frac{z(\lambda_1) - z(\lambda_2)}{z(\lambda_{\text{mean}})} \right| = \left| \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_{\text{mean}}} \right| \times \xi \approx 10^{-4} \text{ to } 10^{-5} \quad (1.23)$$

This wavelength effect is at the limit of current spectroscopic precision but potentially detectable with next-generation instruments :

- Extremely Large Telescope (ELT) :  $\Delta z/z \approx 10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-7}$
- James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) : Extended IR spectroscopy
- Square Kilometre Array (SKA) : Precise 21cm measurements

### 1.8.2 Future Experimental Outcomes and Their Implications

The next generation of instruments will achieve precision  $\Delta z/z \approx 10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-7}$ , finally enabling definitive tests. Two primary outcomes are possible :

#### Primary Outcome A : Wavelength Dependence CONFIRMED

If measurements detect  $z \propto \lambda_0$  as predicted :

**Immediate Implications :**

- **Fundamental validation** of T0 theory's core mechanism

- **Paradigm shift** : Redshift from energy loss, not expansion
- **New physics confirmed** : Photon- $\xi$ -field interaction is real
- **Cosmology revolution** : Static universe model validated

**Required Follow-up Measurements :**

- Precise determination of proportionality constant to verify  $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$
- Distance dependence to confirm linear relationship
- Search for deviations at extreme wavelengths (gamma-ray to radio)

### Primary Outcome B : Wavelength Dependence NOT DETECTED

If no wavelength dependence is found even at  $10^{-6}$  precision, two distinct sub-scenarios must be considered :

#### 1.8.3 Sub-Scenario B1 : Fundamental T0 Mechanism Incorrect

**Interpretation** : The nonlinear energy loss mechanism  $dE/dx = -\xi E^2/E_\xi$  is fundamentally wrong.

**Required Theoretical Adaptation :**

- **Modified energy loss equation** : Replace with linear form

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\xi_{eff} \cdot E \quad (1.24)$$

This yields  $z = e^{\xi_{eff}x} - 1$ , independent of  $\lambda_0$

- **Reinterpretation of  $E_\xi$**  : No longer a fundamental energy scale for photon interaction
- **Alternative coupling function** : Instead of  $f(E/E_\xi) = E/E_\xi$ , use

$$f(E/E_\xi) = \text{constant} = \xi_0 \quad (1.25)$$

**What Remains Valid :**

- Geometric constant  $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$  (from tetrahedron quantization)
- Gravitational constant derivation :  $G = \xi^2 c^3 / (16\pi m_p^2)$
- Particle mass ratios from geometric quantum numbers
- Muon g-2 anomaly prediction
- CMB temperature explanation

**What Changes :**

- Loss of unique T0 signature (wavelength dependence)
- Harder to distinguish from modified  $\Lambda$ CDM models
- Photon propagation mechanism simplified
- Need alternative tests to validate static universe model

#### 1.8.4 Sub-Scenario B2 : Wavelength Dependence Exists but is COMPENSATED

**Interpretation** : The T0 mechanism is correct, but compensating effects mask the wavelength dependence.

## Detailed Compensation Mechanisms

[title=Three Compensation Mechanisms] The T<sub>0</sub> wavelength dependence could be masked by :

1. **IGM Dispersion** :  $z_{\text{IGM}} \propto -\lambda^{-2}$  (opposes  $z_{\text{T}0} \propto +\lambda$ )
2. **Gravitational Layering** :  $z_{\text{grav}}(r(\lambda))$  varies with emission depth
3. **Nonlinear Corrections** : Higher-order terms  $\propto (\xi x \lambda_0 / E_\xi)^n$  flatten response

Net effect :  $z_{\text{observed}} = z_{\text{T}0} + z_{\text{comp}} \approx \text{constant}$

### 1. Intergalactic Medium (IGM) Dispersion Compensation :

$$z_{\text{observed}} = z_{\text{T}0}(\lambda) + z_{\text{IGM}}(\lambda) + z_{\text{other}} \quad (1.26)$$

The IGM could provide inverse wavelength dependence :

- T<sub>0</sub> effect :  $z_{\text{T}0} \propto +\lambda$  (longer wavelengths more redshifted)
- IGM effect :  $z_{\text{IGM}} \propto -\lambda^{-2}$  (plasma dispersion favors shorter wavelengths)
- Net result :  $z_{\text{observed}} \approx \text{constant}$

**Physical mechanism** : Free electrons in IGM create frequency-dependent refractive index :

$$n(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega^2} \implies z_{\text{IGM}} \propto -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad (1.27)$$

For appropriate IGM density, this could precisely cancel T<sub>0</sub>'s linear  $\lambda$  dependence.

### 2. Source-Dependent Compensation :

Different spectral lines originate at different depths in stellar/galactic atmospheres :

- **UV lines** (e.g., Lyman- $\alpha$ ) : Outer atmosphere, lower gravity, less gravitational redshift
- **Optical lines** (e.g., H- $\alpha$ ) : Mid-photosphere, moderate gravitational field
- **IR lines** : Deep atmosphere, stronger gravitational redshift

This creates an effective compensation :

$$z_{\text{total}} = z_{\text{T}0}(\lambda) + z_{\text{grav}}(r(\lambda)) \approx \text{constant} \quad (1.28)$$

### 3. Nonlinear Field Corrections :

The complete T<sub>0</sub> solution might include self-compensation terms :

$$z = \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi} \left[ 1 - \alpha \left( \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi} \right) + \beta \left( \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi} \right)^2 + \dots \right] \quad (1.29)$$

For specific values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the wavelength dependence could flatten at cosmological distances while remaining visible locally.

## How to Test for Compensation

### Observational Strategies :

1. **Distance-dependent studies** :
  - Measure  $\Delta z / \Delta \lambda$  at different distances
  - Compensation effects should vary with distance
  - T<sub>0</sub> effect linear with distance, compensation may not be
2. **Environment-dependent measurements** :
  - Compare objects in voids vs. clusters

- Different IGM densities → different compensation
  - Clean sight lines vs. dense regions
- 3. Source-type variations :**
- Quasars vs. galaxies vs. supernovae
  - Different emission mechanisms
  - Different atmospheric structures
- 4. Extreme wavelength tests :**
- Gamma-ray bursts (shortest  $\lambda$ )
  - Radio galaxies (longest  $\lambda$ )
  - Compensation may break down at extremes

### Required Theoretical Adaptations for B2

If compensation is confirmed, the T0 theory needs :

**1. Extended Framework :**

$$z_{\text{total}} = z_{\text{T0}}(\lambda, x) + \sum_i z_{\text{comp},i}(\lambda, x, \rho, T, \dots) \quad (1.30)$$

**2. Environmental Parameters :**

- IGM density profile :  $\rho_{\text{IGM}}(x)$
- Temperature distribution :  $T(x)$
- Magnetic field effects :  $B(x)$

**3. Refined Predictions :**

- Residual wavelength dependence in specific conditions
- Optimal observation strategies to reveal T0 effect
- Predictions for when compensation fails

#### 1.8.5 The Suspicious Coincidence

The fact that the predicted T0 effect magnitude ( $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$ ) places the wavelength dependence *exactly* at the current detection threshold deserves special attention :

- **Probability argument** : The chance that a fundamental constant would randomly place an effect precisely at our current technological limit is extremely small
- **Historical precedent** : Similar "coincidences" in physics often indicated real effects masked by complications (e.g., solar neutrino problem)
- **Anthropic consideration** : No anthropic reason constrains  $\xi$  to this specific value
- **Most likely interpretation** : The effect exists but is partially compensated, keeping it just below clear detection

[title=Testing the Coincidence] To resolve whether this coincidence is meaningful :

1. Compare measurements from different epochs as technology improves
2. Look for systematic trends in "non-detections" near the threshold
3. Search for environmental correlations in marginal detections
4. Perform meta-analysis of all wavelength-dependence studies

### 1.8.6 Decision Tree for Future Observations

---

#### High-precision measurement ( $\Delta z/z < 10^{-6}$ )

---



**Question :** Wavelength dependence detected ?

---

**YES** → T0 CONFIRMED (Outcome A)

- Measure  $\xi$  precisely
  - Test distance dependence
- 

**NO** → Further investigation required

**Test :** Universal across all conditions ?

YES → B1 : Modify T0 (linear mechanism)

NO → B2 : Compensation (refine theory)

### 1.8.7 Conclusion : A Theory at the Crossroads

The T0 theory stands at a critical juncture. The wavelength-dependent redshift prediction will either :

- Revolutionize cosmology if confirmed (Outcome A)
- Require simplification if absent (Sub-scenario B1)
- Reveal hidden complexity if compensated (Sub-scenario B2)

[title=Critical Insight : The Coincidence Problem] **The remarkably precise coincidence that  $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$  places the effect exactly at current detection limits suggests this is not accidental.** The most likely scenario may be B2 - the effect exists but is partially compensated, explaining why we are precisely at the threshold where the effect is neither clearly visible nor clearly absent.

Each outcome advances our understanding : confirmation validates a new cosmological paradigm, absence simplifies the theory while preserving its geometric foundations, and compensation reveals additional physics we must account for. This is science at its best - clear predictions, definitive tests, and the flexibility to learn from whatever nature reveals.

[title=A Historic Moment in Physics] We stand at a unique juncture in the history of cosmology. Within the next decade, humanity will definitively know whether :

- The universe is static with photon energy loss (T0 confirmed)
- The universe expands as currently believed (T0 refuted via B1)
- Reality is more complex than either model alone (T0 with compensation via B2)

Each outcome revolutionizes our understanding. This is not merely a test of a theory - it is a fundamental verdict on the nature of the cosmos itself.

## 1.9 Statistical Analysis Method

### 1.9.1 Multi-Line Regression

**Wavelength-Redshift Correlation Test :**

1. Collect redshift measurements :  $\{z_i, \lambda_i\}$  for each object
2. Fit linear relationship :  $z = \alpha \cdot \lambda + \beta$
3. Compare slope  $\alpha$  with T0 prediction :  $\alpha = \xi$
4. Test against standard cosmology :  $\alpha = 0$

### 1.9.2 Required Precision

To detect T0 effects with :

- **Minimum required precision** :  $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-5}$
- **Current best precision** :  $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-4}$  (barely sufficient)
- **Next generation instruments** :  $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-6}$  (clearly detectable)

## 1.10 Mathematical Equivalence of Space Expansion, Energy Loss, and Diffraction

### 1.10.1 Formal Equivalence Proofs

The three fundamental mechanisms for explaining cosmological redshift can be described by different physical processes but lead to mathematically equivalent results under certain conditions.

TABLE 1.2 – Comparison of Redshift Mechanisms with Extended Developments

Mechanism	Physical Process	Redshift Formula	Taylor Expansion
Space Expansion ( $\Lambda$ CDM)	Metric expansion	$1 + z = \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_e)}$	$z \approx H_0 D + \frac{1}{2} q_0 (H_0 D)^2$
Energy Loss (T0-E)	Photon fatigue	$1 + z = \exp \left( \int_0^D \xi \frac{H}{T} dl \right)$	$z \approx \xi \frac{H_0 D}{T_0} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \left( \frac{H_0 D}{T_0} \right)^2$
Vacuum Diffraction (T0-B)	Refractive index change	$1 + z = \frac{n(t_e)}{n(t_0)}$	$z \approx \xi \ln \left( 1 + \frac{H_0 D}{c} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\xi \lambda_0}{2 \lambda_{crit}} \right)$

### Mathematical Equivalence Conditions

For the equivalence of the three mechanisms, the following conditions must be satisfied :

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{dn}{dt} = \xi \frac{H}{T_0}} \quad (1.31)$$

This leads to the relationships :

- $\Lambda$ CDM  $\leftrightarrow$  T0-B :  $n(t) = a^{-1}(t)$
- $\Lambda$ CDM  $\leftrightarrow$  T0-E :  $\dot{E}/E = -H(t)$
- T0-B  $\leftrightarrow$  T0-E :  $n(t) \propto E^{-1}(t)$

## Perturbative Development

The equivalence holds exactly only in first order. Higher-order deviations provide distinguishing signatures :

$$z_{total} = z_0 + \Delta z_{mechanism} + O(\xi^2) \quad (1.32)$$

where  $\Delta z_{mechanism}$  depends on the specific physical process.

### 1.10.2 Energy Conservation and Thermodynamics

#### Energy Balance in Different Formalisms

$\Lambda$ CDM (apparent energy loss) :

$$E_{photon} = \frac{h\nu_0}{1+z} = \frac{h\nu_0 a(t_e)}{a(t_0)} \quad (1.33)$$

T0-Diffraction (energy conservation) :

$$E_{photon} = \frac{h\nu}{n(t)} = \frac{h\nu_0}{(1+z)n(t)} = \text{const} \quad (1.34)$$

T0-Energy Loss (real loss) :

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\xi H E \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(t) = E_0 \exp \left( - \int_0^t \xi H(t') dt' \right) \quad (1.35)$$

#### Thermodynamic Consistency

The entropy change for the different mechanisms :

$$\Delta S = \begin{cases} 0 & (\Lambda\text{CDM : adiabatic}) \\ k_B \xi N_{photon} \ln(1+z) & (\text{T0-Energy Loss}) \\ 0 & (\text{T0-Diffraction : reversible}) \end{cases} \quad (1.36)$$

## 1.11 Implications for Cosmology

### 1.11.1 Static Universe Model

The T0-theory describes a static, eternally existing universe where :

- Redshift arises from energy loss, not expansion
- CMB is equilibrium radiation of the  $\xi$ -field
- No Big Bang singularity required
- No dark energy or dark matter needed
- Cyclic processes possible within static framework

### 1.11.2 Resolution of Cosmological Tensions

The T0 model resolves :

1. **Hubble tension** : Different measurements reconciled through  $\xi$ -effects
2. **JWST early galaxies** : No formation time paradox in static universe
3. **Cosmic coincidence** : Natural explanation through  $\xi$ -geometry
4. **Horizon problem** : No horizon in eternal universe
5. **Flatness problem** : Natural consequence of static geometry

## 1.12 Robustness of Core T0 Predictions

### 1.12.1 Independent of Redshift Mechanism

Even if spectroscopic tests fail to detect wavelength-dependent redshift, the following T0 predictions remain valid :

1. **Gravitational constant** :  $G = \frac{\xi^2 c^3}{16\pi m_p^2} = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}$  (accurate to 8 digits) remains valid, independent of cosmological tests
2. **Geometric constants** : The derivation of  $\alpha \approx 1/137$  from  $(4/3)^3$  scaling remains
3. **Mass hierarchy** :  $m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau = 1 : 206.768 : 3477.15$  follows from quantum numbers, not redshift
4. **Hubble tension** : The  $4/3$  explanation works regardless of specific mechanism

### 1.12.2 Adaptivity of Theoretical Structure

The T0-theory has natural adaptation mechanisms :

$$\xi_{eff}(\text{Scale}) = \xi_0 \times f(\text{Environment}) \times g(\text{Energy}) \quad (1.37)$$

where :

- $f(\text{Environment}) = 4/3$  in galaxy clusters, = 1 in intergalactic medium
- $g(\text{Energy})$  describes renormalization group running

This flexibility is not an ad-hoc adjustment but follows from the geometric structure of the theory.

## 1.13 Conclusions

The T0-theory provides a revolutionary alternative to expansion-based cosmology through a single universal constant . The wavelength-dependent redshift prediction offers a clear experimental test to distinguish between T0 and standard cosmology. While current precision barely reaches the detection threshold, next-generation spectroscopic instruments should definitively test this fundamental prediction.

The unification of gravitational, electromagnetic, and quantum phenomena through the  $\xi$ -field represents a paradigm shift from complex multi-parameter models to elegant geometric simplicity. The experimental tests proposed here, particularly multi-wavelength spectroscopy of cosmic objects, provide clear pathways to validate or refute the theory.

[title=Final Perspective] The T0-theory demonstrates that all cosmic phenomena can be understood through a single geometric constant, eliminating the need for dark matter, dark energy, inflation, and the Big Bang singularity. This represents the most significant simplification in physics since Newton's unification of terrestrial and celestial mechanics.

# Bibliographie

- [1] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : Time-Mass Duality*, 2024. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_unified\\_report.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_unified_report.pdf)
- [2] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : Fundamentals*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Grundlagen\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Grundlagen_En.pdf)
- [3] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : Quantum Mechanics*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/QM\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/QM_En.pdf)
- [4] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : SI Units*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_SI\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_SI_En.pdf)
- [5] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : The g-2 Anomaly*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Anomale-g2-9\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Anomale-g2-9_En.pdf)
- [6] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : CMB Analysis*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zwei-Dipole-CMB\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zwei-Dipole-CMB_En.pdf)
- [7] A. Einstein, *On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies*, Annalen der Physik, 1905. <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053221004>
- [8] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A, 1928. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1928.0023>
- [9] M. Planck, *On the Theory of the Energy Distribution Law*, 1900. <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19013090310>
- [10] E. Mach, *Die Mechanik in ihrer Entwicklung*, 1883.
- [11] Various Authors, *100 Authors Against Einstein*, 1931.
- [12] H. Dingle, *Science at the Crossroads*, 1972.
- [13] J. Terrell, *Invisibility of the Lorentz Contraction*, Phys. Rev., 1959. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.116.1041>
- [14] R. Penrose, *The Apparent Shape of a Relativistically Moving Sphere*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 1959. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100033776>
- [15] R. Penrose, *Twistor Algebra*, J. Math. Phys., 1967. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1705200>
- [16] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, 2004.
- [17] J. Terrell et al., *Modern Terrell-Penrose Visualization*, 2025.
- [18] D. Weiskopf, *Visualization of Four-dimensional Spacetimes*, 2000.
- [19] T. Müller, *Visual Appearance of Relativistically Moving Objects*, 2014.
- [20] S. Hossenfelder, *YouTube : The Terrell Effect*, 2025.
- [21] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

- [22] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [23] A. Ashtekar, J. Lewandowski, *Background Independent Quantum Gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/15/R01>
- [24] T. Jacobson, *Thermodynamics of Spacetime*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1260>
- [25] J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 1998. <https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a1>
- [26] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [27] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, J. Math. Phys., 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.531249>
- [28] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity*, JHEP, 2011. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2011\)029](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029)
- [29] F. Hoyle, *A New Model for the Expanding Universe*, MNRAS, 1948. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/108.5.372>
- [30] H. Bondi, T. Gold, *The Steady-State Theory*, MNRAS, 1948. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/108.3.252>
- [31] F. Zwicky, *On the Redshift of Spectral Lines*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 1929. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.15.10.773>
- [32] C. Lopez-Corredoira, *Tests of Cosmological Models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, 2010.
- [33] E. Lerner, *Evidence for a Non-Expanding Universe*, 2014.
- [34] A. Albrecht, J. Magueijo, *Variable Speed of Light*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.043516>
- [35] J. Barrow, *Cosmologies with Varying Light Speed*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.043515>
- [36] A. Riess et al., *A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant*, ApJ, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac5c5b>
- [37] DESI Collaboration, *DESI Year 1 Results*, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.03002>
- [38] E. Di Valentino et al., *Planck Evidence for a Closed Universe*, Nat. Astron., 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0906-9>
- [39] P. Di Francesco et al., *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, 1997.
- [40] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, 2024. <https://pdg.lbl.gov/>
- [41] CODATA, *Recommended Values of Fundamental Constants*, 2019. <https://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Constants/>
- [42] D. Newell et al., *The CODATA 2017 Values of  $h$ ,  $e$ ,  $k$ , and  $N_A$* , Metrologia, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1681-7575/aa950a>
- [43] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Measurement of the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.161802>
- [44] Fermilab, *Muon g-2 Results*, 2023. <https://muon-g-2.fnal.gov/>
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurements at the LHC*, 2023. <https://atlas.cern/>

- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, *Higgs Boson Properties*, 2023. <https://atlas.cern/>
- [47] CMS Collaboration, *Top Quark Measurements*, 2023. <https://cms.cern/>
- [48] CMS Collaboration, *Heavy Ion Collisions*, 2024. <https://cms.cern/>
- [49] ALICE Collaboration, *Quark-Gluon Plasma Studies*, 2023. <https://alice-collaboration.web.cern.ch/>
- [50] M. Kasevich et al., *Atom Interferometry*, 2023.
- [51] A. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.637>
- [52] S. Brewer et al., *Al<sup>+</sup> Optical Clock*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.033201>
- [53] LISA Collaboration, *LISA Mission*, 2017. <https://www.lisamission.org/>
- [54] L. Nottale, *Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics*, World Scientific, 1993.
- [55] M.S. El Naschie, *E-Infinity Theory*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 2004.
- [56] J.A. Wheeler, *Information, Physics, Quantum*, 1990.
- [57] J. Barbour, *The End of Time*, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [58] D. Sciama, *On the Origin of Inertia*, MNRAS, 1953. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/113.1.34>
- [59] K. Becker et al., *String Theory and M-Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [60] Muon g-2 Theory Initiative, *Standard Model Prediction for g-2*, arXiv, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.04822>
- [61] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Final Report on the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon*, Fermilab, 2025. <https://muon-g-2.fnal.gov/>
- [62] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory : Complete Framework*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/systemEn.pdf>
- [63] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview Press, 1995.
- [64] R.H. Parker et al., *Measurement of the Fine-Structure Constant*, Science, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap7706>
- [65] L. Morel et al., *Determination of  $\alpha$  from Rubidium Atom Recoil*, Nature, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2964-7>
- [66] T. Aoyama et al., *Theory of the Electron Anomalous Magnetic Moment*, Phys. Rep., 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.07.006>
- [67] X. Fan et al., *Hadronic Contributions from Lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. D, 2023.
- [68] D. Hanneke et al., *New Measurement of the Electron g-2*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.120801>
- [69] J. Pascher, *Higgs Connection in T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Energie\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Energie_En.pdf)
- [70] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory and SI Units*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_SI\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_SI_En.pdf)
- [71] J. Pascher, *Gravitational Constant in T0 Framework*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Gravitationskonstante\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Gravitationskonstante_En.pdf)

- [72] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure Constant Analysis*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Feinstruktur\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Feinstruktur_En.pdf)
- [73] J.S. Bell, *Muon Studies*, 1966.
- [74] J. Pascher, *Quantum Field Theory in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/QFT\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/QFT_En.pdf)
- [75] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910>
- [76] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Foundations*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Grundlagen\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Grundlagen_En.pdf)
- [77] J. Pascher, *Geometric Formalism in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Geometrische\\_Kosmologie\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Geometrische_Kosmologie_En.pdf)
- [78] A. Riess et al., *Hubble Constant Measurements*, ApJ, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1422>
- [79] J. Pascher, *T0 Kosmologie*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Kosmologie\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Kosmologie_En.pdf)
- [80] S. Hossenfelder, *Single Clock Video*, YouTube, 2025. <https://www.youtube.com/c/SabineHossenfelder>
- [81] Various, *Video References*, 2025.
- [82] C.S. Unnikrishnan, *Gravity Studies*, 2004.
- [83] A. Peratt, *Plasma Cosmology*, 1992. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_peratt\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_peratt_En.pdf)
- [84] J. Pascher, *T0 Time-Mass Extension*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_tm-erweiterung-x6\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_tm-erweiterung-x6_En.pdf)
- [85] J. Pascher, *T0 g-2 Extension*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_g2-erweiterung-4\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_g2-erweiterung-4_En.pdf)
- [86] J. Pascher, *T0 Networks*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_netze\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_netze_En.pdf)
- [87] W. Adams, *Gravitational Redshift*, 1925. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.11.7.382>
- [88] N. Ashby, *Relativity in GPS*, Living Rev. Rel., 2003. <https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2003-1>
- [89] B. Bertotti et al., *Cassini Doppler Test*, Nature, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01997>
- [90] A. Bolton et al., *Gravitational Lensing*, 2008.
- [91] M. Born, *Einstein's Theory of Relativity*, Dover, 2013.
- [92] C. Brans and R.H. Dicke, *Mach's Principle*, Phys. Rev., 1961. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.124.925>
- [93] P.A.M. Dirac, *Quantum Mechanics*, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1927. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1927.0039>
- [94] P. Duhem, *Theory of Physics*, 1906.
- [95] A. Einstein, *Special Relativity*, Ann. Phys., 1905. <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053221004>

- [96] R. Feynman, *QED : The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, 2006.
- [97] D. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, 2017.
- [98] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 1999.
- [99] T. Kaluza, *Five-Dimensional Theory*, 1921.
- [100] O. Klein, *Quantum Theory and Relativity*, 1926.
- [101] T. Kuhn, *Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, 1962.
- [102] T. Kuhn, *Essential Tension*, 1977.
- [103] A. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.87.637>
- [104] J.C. Maxwell, *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, 1873.
- [105] S. McGaugh et al., *Radial Acceleration Relation*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.201101>
- [106] P. Mohr et al., *CODATA Values*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035009>
- [107] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 2020. <https://pdg.lbl.gov/>
- [108] R. Parker et al., *Measurement of  $\alpha$* , Science, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap7706>
- [109] M. Peskin and D. Schroeder, *QFT*, 1995.
- [110] M. Planck, *Quantum Theory*, 1900.
- [111] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2020 Results*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910>
- [112] H. Poincaré, *Dynamics of the Electron*, 1905.
- [113] R.V. Pound and G.A. Rebka, *Gravitational Redshift*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1960. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.4.337>
- [114] W.V. Quine, *Two Dogmas of Empiricism*, 1951.
- [115] T. Quinn et al., *Gravitational Constant*, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.101102>
- [116] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Extra Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370>
- [117] A. Riess et al., *Type Ia Supernovae*, AJ, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1086/300499>
- [118] I. Shapiro et al., *Time Delay Test*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1971. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.1132>
- [119] A. Sommerfeld, *Fine Structure*, 1916.
- [120] S. Suyu et al., *Time Delay Cosmography*, MNRAS, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx483>
- [121] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/systemEn.pdf>
- [122] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Feinstruktur\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Feinstruktur_En.pdf)
- [123] J.-P. Uzan, *Constants Variation*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.403>

- [124] J.K. Webb et al., *Fine Structure Constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.091301>
- [125] S. Weinberg, *Cosmological Constant*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1979.
- [126] S. Weinberg, *Cosmological Constant Problem*, 1989. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1>
- [127] S. Weinberg, *Quantum Theory of Fields*, 1995.
- [128] C. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2014-4>
- [129] P.A.M. Dirac, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 1930.
- [130] A. Einstein, *Cosmological Considerations*, 1917.
- [131] JWST Collaboration, *Early Universe Observations*, 2023. <https://www.jwst.nasa.gov/>
- [132] KATRIN Collaboration, *Neutrino Mass*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01463-1>
- [133] J. Pascher, *T0 Fundamentals*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Grundlagen\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Grundlagen_En.pdf)
- [134] J. Pascher, *g-2 Analysis Rev9*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Anomale-g2-9\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Anomale-g2-9_En.pdf)
- [135] J. Pascher, *ML Addendum*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0-QFT-ML\\_Addendum\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0-QFT-ML_Addendum_En.pdf)
- [136] J. Pascher, *Beta Derivation*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/DerivationVonBetaEn.pdf>
- [137] J. Pascher, *CMB Analysis in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zwei-Dipole-CMB\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zwei-Dipole-CMB_En.pdf)
- [138] J. Pascher, *Cosmos in T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/cosmic\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/cosmic_En.pdf)
- [139] J. Pascher, *Derivation of Beta*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/DerivationVonBetaEn.pdf>
- [140] J. Pascher, *Gravitation in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/gravitationskonstante\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/gravitationskonstante_En.pdf)
- [141] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_lagrndian\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_lagrndian_En.pdf)
- [142] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian Framework*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/LagrandianVergleichEn.pdf>
- [143] J. Pascher, *Extended Lagrangian Formalism*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_lagrndian\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_lagrndian_En.pdf)
- [144] J. Pascher, *Mathematical Structure of T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Mathematische\\_structur\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Mathematische_structur_En.pdf)
- [145] J. Pascher, *Muon g-2 in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Anomale-g2-9\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Anomale-g2-9_En.pdf)
- [146] J. Pascher, *Pragmatic Approach*, 2025.

- [147] J. Pascher, *T0 Energy Formalism*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0-Energie\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0-Energie_En.pdf)
- [148] J. Pascher, *Unified T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_unified\\_report.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_unified_report.pdf)
- [149] Science Daily, *Physics News*, 2025. <https://www.sciencedaily.com/>
- [150] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1>
- [151] Wikipedia, *Bell's Theorem*, 2025. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bell%27s\\_theorem](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bell%27s_theorem)
- [152] B. van Fraassen, *The Scientific Image*, Oxford University Press, 1980.
- [153] J. Terrell, *Single Clock Nature*, Nature, 2024.
- [154] J. Pascher, *The Number 137 in T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/137\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/137_En.pdf)
- [155] J. Pascher, *Ampere's Law in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Amper\\_Low\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Amper_Low_En.pdf)
- [156] J. Pascher, *Bell's Theorem in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Bell\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Bell_En.pdf)
- [157] J. Pascher, *Kinetic Energy in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Bewegungsenergie\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Bewegungsenergie_En.pdf)
- [158] J. Pascher, *E=mc<sup>2</sup> in T0 Framework*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/E-mc2\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/E-mc2_En.pdf)
- [159] J. Pascher, *Energy-Based Formulas*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Formeln\\_Energiebasiert\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Formeln_Energiebasiert_En.pdf)
- [160] J. Pascher, *Hannah Document*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Hannah\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Hannah_En.pdf)
- [161] J. Pascher, *H0 Analysis*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Ho\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Ho_En.pdf)
- [162] J. Pascher, *Markov Processes in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Markov\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Markov_En.pdf)
- [163] J. Pascher, *Elimination of Mass*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/EliminationOfMassEn.pdf>
- [164] J. Pascher, *Dirac Equation Mass Elimination*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Elimination\\_Of\\_Mass\\_Dirac\\_TabelleEn.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Elimination_Of_Mass_Dirac_TabelleEn.pdf)
- [165] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure Constant*, 2025. <https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/FeinstrukturkonstanteEn.pdf>
- [166] J. Pascher, *Neutrino Formula*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/neutrino-Formel\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/neutrino-Formel_En.pdf)
- [167] J. Pascher, *Neutrinos in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Neutrinos\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Neutrinos_En.pdf)
- [168] J. Pascher, *Koide Formula in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_koide-formel-3\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_koide-formel-3_En.pdf)

- [169] J. Pascher, *Particle Masses*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Teilchenmassen\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Teilchenmassen_En.pdf)
- [170] J. Pascher, *T0 Particle Masses*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Teilchenmassen\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Teilchenmassen_En.pdf)
- [171] J. Pascher, *Penrose Analysis in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_penrose\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_penrose_En.pdf)
- [172] J. Pascher, *Photon Chip Implementation*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_photonenchip-china\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_photonenchip-china_En.pdf)
- [173] J. Pascher, *Three Clock Experiment*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_threeclock\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_threeclock_En.pdf)
- [174] J. Pascher, *Redshift and Deflection*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/redshift\\_deflection\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/redshift_deflection_En.pdf)
- [175] J. Pascher, *Apparent Instantaneity*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/scheinbar\\_instantan\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/scheinbar_instantan_En.pdf)
- [176] J. Pascher, *Universal Derivation*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/universale\\_ableitung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/universale_ableitung_En.pdf)
- [177] J. Pascher, *Xi Parameter for Particles*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/xi\\_parmater\\_partikel\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/xi_parmater_partikel_En.pdf)
- [178] J. Pascher, *Origin of Xi*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_xi\\_ursprung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_xi_ursprung_En.pdf)
- [179] J. Pascher, *Time in T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zeit\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zeit_En.pdf)
- [180] J. Pascher, *Time Constant*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zeit-konstant\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zeit-konstant_En.pdf)
- [181] J. Pascher, *Summary of T0 Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zusammenfassung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/Zusammenfassung_En.pdf)
- [182] J. Pascher, *RSA in T0 Framework*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/RSA\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/RSA_En.pdf)
- [183] J. Pascher, *Quantum Atomic Theory*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_QAT\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_QAT_En.pdf)
- [184] J. Pascher, *QM, QFT and RT Unification*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_QM-QFT-RT\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_QM-QFT-RT_En.pdf)
- [185] J. Pascher, *QM Optimization*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_QM-optimierung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_QM-optimierung_En.pdf)
- [186] J. Pascher, *Complete Calculations*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Vollstaendige\\_Berchnungen\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Vollstaendige_Berchnungen_En.pdf)
- [187] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory vs Synergetics*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0-Theory-vs-Synergetics\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0-Theory-vs-Synergetics_En.pdf)
- [188] J. Pascher, *T0 Model Overview*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Modell\\_Uebersicht\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Modell_Uebersicht_En.pdf)
- [189] J. Pascher, *MNRAS Analysis*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Analyse\\_MNRAS\\_Widerlegung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Analyse_MNRAS_Widerlegung_En.pdf)

- [190] J. Pascher, *Anomalous Magnetic Moments*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_Anomale\\_Magnetische\\_Momente\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_Anomale_Magnetische_Momente_En.pdf)
- [191] J. Pascher, *Seven Questions in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_7-fragen-3\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_7-fragen-3_En.pdf)
- [192] J. Pascher, *Detailed Lepton Anomaly*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/detailierte\\_formel\\_leptonen\\_anemal\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/detailierte_formel_leptonen_anemal_En.pdf)
- [193] J. Pascher, *Parameter Derivation*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/parameterherleitung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/parameterherleitung_En.pdf)
- [194] J. Pascher, *Absolute Ratios in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_verhaeltnis-absolut\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_verhaeltnis-absolut_En.pdf)
- [195] J. Pascher,  *$\Xi$  and Energy*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_xi-und-e\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_xi-und-e_En.pdf)
- [196] J. Pascher, *Inversion in T0*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0\\_umkehrung\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0_umkehrung_En.pdf)
- [197] J. Pascher, *T0 vs ESM Conceptual Analysis*, 2025. [https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0vsESM\\_ConceptualAnalysis\\_En.pdf](https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality/blob/main/2/pdf/T0vsESM_ConceptualAnalysis_En.pdf)