

Energy as a Fundamental Unit: Natural Units with $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ in the T0 Model

Johann Pascher

March 25, 2025

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	Introduction to the Unified Unit System	2
1.1	From Natural Units to a Fully Unified System	2
1.2	Concept of Energy as a Fundamental Unit	2
2	Extrapolation of Physics Beyond Known Limits	2
2.1	Physics Beyond the Speed of Light	2
2.2	Consequences for Causality and Information	3
3	Introduction to the Fine-Structure Constant α_{EM}	3
3.1	Natural Units with $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$	3
3.2	Energy as a Fundamental Unit	4
3.3	Simplified Fundamental Equations	4
3.4	Table of Reformulated Quantities	4
3.5	Cosmological Implications	4
4	Derivation of Planck's Quantum of Action	5
4.1	Connection to Electromagnetic Constants	5
4.2	Alternative Derivation Approaches	6
5	Alternative Formulations of the Fine-Structure Constant	7
5.1	Standard Definition of the Fine-Structure Constant	7
5.2	Using the Classical Electron Radius	7
6	Wien's Constant α_{W} in the Unified Unit System	7
7	The T0 Parameter β_{T} in the Unified Unit System	8
7.1	Derivation from Fundamental Parameters	8
7.2	Physical Manifestations of β_{T}	8
7.3	Connection to Other Dimensionless Constants	9
8	Derivation of the Gravitational Constant G	9
9	Speculative Extensions of the T0 Model	9
9.1	Modified Energy-Momentum Relationship	9
9.2	Physics Beyond the Speed of Light	10
9.3	Experimental Signatures	10

10	Dimensional Analysis with SI Units	11
10.1	Verification of Dimensional Consistency	11
10.2	Agreement of Empirical and Theoretical Values	11
11	Representation as Planck Quantities	11
12	Implications for Photons in the T0 Model	11
13	Considerations Beyond the Planck Scale	12
13.1	Absolute Time and Intrinsic Time in the T0 Model	12
13.2	Connection to Planck Units	12
14	Consequences of a Fully Unified Unit System	12
14.1	Philosophical Implications	12
15	Summary and Outlook	13

1 Introduction to the Unified Unit System

1.1 From Natural Units to a Fully Unified System

In theoretical physics, various systems of natural units are used to simplify the mathematical formulation of physical laws. The most well-known include:

- **Natural Units:** $\hbar = c = 1$
- **Planck Units:** $\hbar = c = G = 1$
- **Electrodynamic Natural Units:** $\hbar = c = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$
- **Thermodynamic Natural Units:** $\hbar = c = k_B = \alpha_W = 1$

The T0 model introduces a fully unified unit system in which additionally:

$$\beta_T = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = \alpha_W = 1 \quad (1)$$

is set. In this system, all physical quantities are reduced to the dimension of energy:

Dimensions in the Unified Unit System

- Length: $[L] = [E^{-1}]$
- Time: $[T] = [E^{-1}]$
- Mass: $[M] = [E]$
- Temperature: $[T_{\text{emp}}] = [E]$
- Electric Charge: $[Q] = [1]$ (dimensionless)
- Intrinsic Time: $[T(x)] = [E^{-1}]$

This unified system reveals fundamental relationships between seemingly disparate physical phenomena and enables a more elegant mathematical formulation of the T0 model.

1.2 Concept of Energy as a Fundamental Unit

This work also explores the consequences of assuming that the fine-structure constant $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ in a system of natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$) is applied to the T0 model of time-mass duality. Here, energy is identified as the fundamental unit to which all physical quantities can be reduced. The analysis includes dimensional reformulations, simplified fundamental equations, and cosmological implications within the context of the T0 model, which postulates absolute time and variable mass.

2 Extrapolation of Physics Beyond Known Limits

2.1 Physics Beyond the Speed of Light

The speed of light c is considered an absolute limit for matter and signal transmission in standard physics, a direct consequence of Lorentz transformation and relativity theory. Within this framework, all fundamental constants and the Planck scale were defined. However, this limit might only apply within our current theoretical model. In the T0 model with its fundamental time-mass duality, an alternative interpretation may be possible:

- **Reinterpretation of Mass Variation:** In the T0 model, mass $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$ is determined by the intrinsic time field. The relativistic mass change $m = m_0/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ can be interpreted as a variation of $T(x)$.
- **Modified Transformation Laws:** In the unified unit system with $c = 1$, extended transformations could describe regions with $v > 1$ without causality violations, while preserving the fundamental relation $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$.
- **Extended Constants:** With $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \beta_{\text{T}} = \alpha_{\text{W}} = 1$, a consistent framework emerges that might remain valid beyond the speed of light.

These speculative considerations are explored in the section on speculative extensions of the T0 model.

2.2 Consequences for Causality and Information

In the T0 model with its time-mass duality, causality could be reinterpreted:

- **Time Field-Based Causality:** Causal relationships might be determined by the geometry of the time field $T(x)$, not by light cone structures.
- **Non-Local Information Transfer:** The apparent non-locality of quantum mechanics could be explained by the intrinsic time field structure without requiring superluminal signal transmission.
- **Mass-Dependent Causal Structure:** Since $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$, causal relationships might depend on mass, potentially providing a natural explanation for quantum correlations.

3 Introduction to the Fine-Structure Constant α_{EM}

The fine-structure constant α_{EM} describes the strength of electromagnetic interaction between elementary particles and is central to quantum electrodynamics. It is defined as:

$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137.035999}. \quad (2)$$

In the unified unit system, we set $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$, meaning the electric charge e becomes dimensionless and derives its value directly from the electromagnetic vacuum constants:

$$e = \sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \quad (3)$$

This setting leads to a significant simplification of electromagnetic equations and reveals the fundamental nature of electromagnetic interaction as part of the unified framework.

3.1 Natural Units with $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$

In theoretical physics, c and \hbar are commonly set to one, as introduced by Planck [13]. Here, we investigate the consequences of additionally setting the fine-structure constant $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$.

Theorem 3.1 (Definition of $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$). *The fine-structure constant is [17]:*

$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137.036} \quad (4)$$

With $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$, $\hbar = c = 1$:

$$e = \sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0} \quad (5)$$

Note: Here, α_{EM} denotes the fine-structure constant, not the Wien constant $\alpha_W \approx 2.82$, as examined in [7].

3.2 Energy as a Fundamental Unit

Theorem 3.2 (Energy as the Basis). *All quantities can be reduced to energy [18]:*

- *Length:* $[L] = [E^{-1}]$
- *Time:* $[T] = [E^{-1}]$
- *Mass:* $[M] = [E]$
- *Charge:* $[Q] = [\sqrt{4\pi}]$ (*dimensionless*)

In the T0 model, this is complemented by $T(x) = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$, where m is variable, and energy plays a central role.

3.3 Simplified Fundamental Equations

- Maxwell Equations [17]:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \vec{j} \quad (7)$$

- Schrödinger Equation:

$$i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V\psi \quad (8)$$

3.4 Table of Reformulated Quantities

Physical Quantity	SI Units	$\hbar = c = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$
Length	m	eV ⁻¹
Time	s	eV ⁻¹
Mass	kg	eV
Energy	J	eV
Charge	C	dimensionless
El. Field	V/m	eV ²
Mag. Field	T	eV ²

3.5 Cosmological Implications

The assumption $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ could, in the T0 model [2]:

- More strongly connect electromagnetic interactions with gravitation, as $T(x)$ emergently explains gravity.
- Enable a unified energy description consistent with redshift due to energy loss to $T(x)$ [3].

In the T0 model, wavelength-dependent redshift is described by the parameter $\beta_T^{\text{SI}} \approx 0.008$ in SI units, while in natural units $\beta_T = 1$ applies [4]. This is consistent with:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0(1 + \beta_T \ln(\lambda/\lambda_0)) \quad (9)$$

When setting both $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ and $\beta_T^{\text{nat}} = 1$ simultaneously, significant deviations from Standard Model predictions arise (e.g., $z(\lambda) \approx 3.3$ for $\lambda/\lambda_0 = 10$). These deviations should not be considered “unphysical” but may indicate a Standard Model bias in interpreting cosmological data [6].




Abbildung 1: Relationship between energy and length in the $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ system.

4 Derivation of Planck’s Quantum of Action

Planck’s quantum of action h forms a fundamental link between quantum mechanics and electrodynamics. In the T0 model, a deeper relationship between h and the electromagnetic vacuum constants can be established.

4.1 Connection to Electromagnetic Constants

The speed of light in a vacuum is given by:

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0}} \quad (10)$$

In the unified unit system with $c = 1$:

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 = 1 \quad (11)$$

A dimensionally consistent relationship between Planck’s quantum of action and electromagnetic constants can be formulated via the vacuum impedance:

$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \approx 376.73 \, \Omega \quad (12)$$

We can now define a fundamental length λ_0 as:

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{c}{2\pi\nu_0} \quad (13)$$

where ν_0 is a characteristic frequency. If we interpret λ_0 as the Compton wavelength of an elementary particle, then:

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{h}{m_0 c} \quad (14)$$

Combining these relationships and using the vacuum impedance, we obtain:

$$h = 2\pi m_0 c \lambda_0 = \frac{2\pi m_0 c^2}{\nu_0} = \frac{2\pi E_0}{\nu_0} \quad (15)$$

where $E_0 = m_0 c^2$ is the rest energy of the elementary particle.

By introducing a fundamental coupling constant κ_h , which represents the ratio between the vacuum impedance and a characteristic quantum impedance:

$$\kappa_h = \frac{Z_0}{Z_Q} = \frac{Z_0}{h/e^2} = \frac{e^2 Z_0}{h} \quad (16)$$

we can write:

$$h = \frac{e^2 Z_0}{\kappa_h} = \frac{e^2}{\kappa_h} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \quad (17)$$

In the unified unit system with $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$, where $e^2 = 4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar c$, this becomes:

$$h = \frac{4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar c}{\kappa_h} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} = \frac{4\pi\hbar}{\kappa_h} \sqrt{\mu_0\varepsilon_0} \cdot c^2 \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} = \frac{4\pi\hbar}{\kappa_h} \mu_0 c^2 \quad (18)$$

With $\kappa_h = 2$, we obtain the simple form:

$$h = 2\pi\hbar\mu_0 c^2 \quad (19)$$

This relationship demonstrates a deep connection between Planck's quantum of action, electromagnetic vacuum constants, and the structure of spacetime. In the unified unit system with $\hbar = c = \mu_0 = 1$, we obtain $h = 2\pi$, as expected.

4.2 Alternative Derivation Approaches

The connection between h and electromagnetic constants can be established in various ways, all consistent with the unified unit system:

1. **De Broglie Wavelength:** $\lambda = \frac{h}{p}$ leads, with $p = \frac{\hbar}{cT(x)}$ for massless particles, to a relationship between the intrinsic time field and wavelength.
2. **Compton Scattering:** The Compton wavelength $\lambda_C = \frac{h}{mc}$ is linked to intrinsic time via $\lambda_C = \frac{h \cdot T(x)}{c}$.
3. **Uncertainty Relation:** The energy-time uncertainty $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$ gains deeper significance in the T0 model, as time and energy are connected by the fundamental relation $E = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)}$.

All these approaches confirm the fundamental role of $h = 2\pi$ in the unified unit system and reveal the profound link between quantum mechanics, electrodynamics, and the time-mass duality of the T0 model.

5 Alternative Formulations of the Fine-Structure Constant

5.1 Standard Definition of the Fine-Structure Constant

The fine-structure constant α_{EM} is defined as:

$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137.035999} \quad (20)$$

This dimensionless constant characterizes the strength of electromagnetic interaction.

5.2 Using the Classical Electron Radius

The classical electron radius is defined as:

$$r_e = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 m_e c^2} \quad (21)$$

The Compton wavelength of the electron is:

$$\lambda_C = \frac{h}{m_e c} = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{m_e c} \quad (22)$$

The fine-structure constant can be expressed as the ratio of these characteristic lengths:

$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \frac{r_e}{\lambda_C/2\pi} = \frac{2\pi r_e}{\lambda_C} \quad (23)$$

Substituting the definitions yields:

$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \frac{2\pi \cdot \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 m_e c^2}}{\frac{h}{m_e c}} = \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon_0 \hbar c} \quad (24)$$

With $h = 2\pi\hbar$, this again results in the standard definition:

$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \quad (25)$$

6 Wien's Constant α_W in the Unified Unit System

Wien's constant α_W determines the relationship between the frequency of the radiation maximum and temperature in blackbody radiation:

$$\nu_{\text{max}} = \alpha_W \cdot \frac{k_B T}{h} \quad (26)$$

with $\alpha_W \approx 2.821439$.

In the unified unit system, we set $k_B = 1$ and $\hbar = 1$ (thus $h = 2\pi$), leading to the following relationship:

$$\nu_{\text{max}} = \alpha_W \cdot \frac{T}{2\pi} \quad (27)$$

With $\alpha_W = 1$, this becomes:

$$\nu_{\text{max}} = \frac{T}{2\pi} \quad (28)$$

This relationship is consistent with the discussion in [7] and demonstrates the direct proportionality between temperature and the frequency of the radiation maximum in the unified unit system.

7 The T0 Parameter β_T in the Unified Unit System

The T0 parameter β_T is a fundamental dimensionless parameter in the T0 model, describing the coupling between the intrinsic time field $T(x)$ and other physical fields. It appears in various contexts and connects seemingly disparate physical phenomena.

7.1 Derivation from Fundamental Parameters

The parameter β_T can be derived from underlying physical constants:

$$\beta_T = \frac{\lambda_h^2 v^2}{16\pi^3} \cdot \frac{1}{m_h^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi} \quad (29)$$

where λ_h is the Higgs self-coupling, v is the Higgs vacuum expectation value, m_h is the Higgs mass, and ξ is a dimensionless parameter defining the characteristic length scale $r_0 = \xi \cdot l_P$, with l_P as the Planck length.

In SI units, $\beta_T \approx 0.008$ was derived from cosmological observations and perturbative calculations [4]. However, in the unified unit system, we set $\beta_T = 1$, leading to an elegant simplification of many formulas.

7.2 Physical Manifestations of β_T

The parameter β_T manifests in various physical contexts:

1. Temperature-Redshift Relation:

$$T(z) = T_0(1+z)(1+\beta_T \ln(1+z)) \quad (30)$$

In the unified unit system with $\beta_T = 1$, this simplifies to:

$$T(z) = T_0(1+z)(1+\ln(1+z)) \quad (31)$$

2. Wavelength-Dependent Redshift:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0(1+\beta_T \ln(\lambda/\lambda_0)) \quad (32)$$

With $\beta_T = 1$ in natural units:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0(1+\ln(\lambda/\lambda_0)) \quad (33)$$

3. Time Field-Higgs Coupling: The parameter β_T describes the coupling between the intrinsic time field $T(x)$ and the Higgs field Φ :

$$T(x) = \frac{\hbar}{y\langle\Phi\rangle c^2} \quad (34)$$

where y is the Yukawa coupling.

7.3 Connection to Other Dimensionless Constants

In the unified unit system with $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \beta_{\text{T}} = \alpha_{\text{W}} = 1$, fundamental relationships between seemingly different physical phenomena become apparent. The relationship between β_{T} and α_{EM} can be expressed as:

$$\beta_{\text{T}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{EM}} \approx \frac{\xi \cdot \lambda_h^2 v^2}{16\pi^3 m_h^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c} = \frac{\lambda_h^2 v^2 e^2}{64\pi^4 \epsilon_0 \hbar c m_h^2} \quad (35)$$

In the unified unit system with $\beta_{\text{T}} = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$, this becomes:

$$\frac{\lambda_h^2 v^2 e^2}{64\pi^4 \epsilon_0 \hbar c m_h^2} = 1 \quad (36)$$

This relationship suggests a deeper unity between electromagnetic and Higgs-mediated interactions, connected in the T0 model through the intrinsic time field $T(x)$.

8 Derivation of the Gravitational Constant G

In Planck units:

$$G = \frac{\hbar c}{m_P^2} \quad (37)$$

In the T0 model, gravitation emerges from the gradients of the intrinsic time field $T(x)$. In the unified unit system with $G = 1$, the gravitational potential is derived as in [8]:

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{M}{r} + r \quad (38)$$

where the first term corresponds to the Newtonian potential, and the second term arises from the global variation of the time field. This linear term accounts for effects attributed to dark energy in the Standard Model.

9 Speculative Extensions of the T0 Model

The T0 model with its time-mass duality opens possibilities for speculative extensions that might go beyond the known limits of standard physics. Although not yet experimentally confirmed, these extensions offer conceptually intriguing perspectives.

9.1 Modified Energy-Momentum Relationship

In the unified unit system, the relativistic energy-momentum relationship can be extended by an additional term:

$$E^2 = m^2 + p^2 + \frac{\alpha_c p^4}{E_P^2} \quad (39)$$

where α_c is a dimensionless constant characterizing the strength of the modification, and E_P is the Planck energy. This modification is motivated by various approaches:

1. **Time Field Fluctuations:** In the T0 model, fluctuations of the intrinsic time field $T(x)$ at high energies could lead to deviations from the standard dispersion relation. The parameter α_c quantifies the coupling between these fluctuations and particle dynamics.

2. **Quantum Gravity Effects:** Near the Planck scale, deviations due to quantum gravity effects are expected. The p^4 dependence corresponds to a natural extension of the standard relation by Planck-scale corrections.
3. **Consistency with Time-Mass Duality:** The modification can be understood as a natural consequence of the fundamental relation $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$ at high energies, where the structure of the time field might deviate from its macroscopic description.

Based on theoretical considerations and consistency with current experimental limits, we expect $|\alpha_c| \lesssim 10^{-2}$.

9.2 Physics Beyond the Speed of Light

In the T0 model, the conventional light speed barrier ($v \leq c$) might be an emergent property resulting from the macroscopic structure of the time field, rather than a fundamental limit. Under certain conditions, $v > c$ might be possible without violating causality:

1. **Modified Causal Structure:** Causality in the T0 model is determined by the structure of the time field $T(x)$, not solely by the light cone structure. With strong gradients of $T(x)$, the effective causal structure could be altered.
2. **Mass-Dependent Maximum Velocity:** Since $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$, the effective maximum velocity might be mass-dependent, given by $v_{\max}(m) = c \cdot f(m \cdot T_0)$, where f is a function to be determined.
3. **Tunneling Effects in the Time Field:** Quantum mechanical tunneling through “time barriers” could enable apparent superluminal phenomena, similar to quantum tunneling through energy barriers.

These possibilities do not contradict relativity but extend it within a new conceptual framework where Lorentz invariance is an emergent property, not a fundamental principle.

9.3 Experimental Signatures

Although speculative, these extensions could leave experimental signatures:

1. **Energy-Dependent Speed of Light:** The modified energy-momentum relationship leads to an energy-dependent speed of light $c(E) \approx c(1 - \alpha_c \frac{E^2}{2E_P^2})$, testable through observations of high-energy cosmic rays or gamma-ray bursts.
2. **Modified Compton Scattering:** The scattering of high-energy photons on electrons could show deviations from standard behavior, detectable through precision measurements.
3. **Unexpected Quantum Correlations:** If the causal structure is modified by the time field, quantum correlations might exhibit patterns beyond the predictions of standard quantum mechanics.

These speculative extensions offer conceptually intriguing possibilities for resolving fundamental problems in theoretical physics but require experimental evidence to be accepted as part of the established scientific framework.

10 Dimensional Analysis with SI Units

10.1 Verification of Dimensional Consistency

The dimensions of the derived quantities are verified:

Quantity	SI Units	Natural Units
Length L	m	Energy ⁻¹
Time T	s	Energy ⁻¹
Mass M	kg	Energy
Charge e	C	$\sqrt{\alpha_{\text{EM}}}$
G	$\text{m}^3\text{kg}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}$	Energy ⁻²
ε_0	F m^{-1}	Energy ⁻²
μ_0	H m^{-1}	Energy ⁻²
h	$6.626\,070\,15 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} = \text{kg m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	2π (dimensionless)

This dimensional analysis confirms the consistency of the unified unit system with SI units.

10.2 Agreement of Empirical and Theoretical Values

The theoretical speed of light

$$c_{\text{theor}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0}} \quad (40)$$

matches $c = 299\,792\,458 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ when $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H m}^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 8.8541878128 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F m}^{-1}$ are used.

11 Representation as Planck Quantities

In the unified unit system, physical quantities become dimensionless:

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{m}{m_P}, \quad (41)$$

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{L}{l_P}, \quad (42)$$

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{t}{t_P}. \quad (43)$$

12 Implications for Photons in the T0 Model

In natural units ($c = 1$, $\hbar = 1$), for photon energy:

$$E = \omega, \quad (44)$$

and with $E = m$, a frequency-dependent mass follows:

$$m_\gamma = \omega. \quad (45)$$

In the T0 model, the intrinsic time of a photon is:

$$T(x)_\gamma = \frac{\hbar}{\omega c^2} \quad (46)$$

confirming the fundamental time-mass duality $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$ for photons.

13 Considerations Beyond the Planck Scale

13.1 Absolute Time and Intrinsic Time in the T0 Model

The T0 model unifies two complementary perspectives:

- **Absolute Time Perspective:** Time T_0 is absolute and constant, while mass varies:

$$m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}.$$
- **Intrinsic Time Perspective:** $T(x) = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}$ leads to the modified Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar T(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H} \psi.$$

This duality is conceptually akin to wave-particle duality and reveals deeper connections between time, mass, and energy.

13.2 Connection to Planck Units

For masses near the Planck mass ($m \approx m_P$), the intrinsic time $T(x)$ approaches the Planck time t_P :

$$T(x) = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2} \approx \frac{\hbar}{m_P c^2} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{\hbar c/G} \cdot c^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^5}} = t_P \quad (47)$$

This relation suggests that the Planck time might be a natural threshold for intrinsic time, potentially offering new insights into quantum gravity.

14 Consequences of a Fully Unified Unit System

Setting $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = \beta_{\text{T}} = \alpha_{\text{W}} = 1$ in the unified unit system leads to profound conceptual simplifications:

1. **Electrodynamics:** Electric charges become dimensionless, and electromagnetic equations take a more elegant form.
2. **Thermodynamics:** Temperature becomes directly proportional to frequency, enabling a unified description of thermal and quantum phenomena.
3. **Gravitation:** Gravity naturally emerges from the gradients of the time field without requiring additional coupling constants.
4. **Emergent Spacetime:** Spacetime geometry can be understood as an emergent phenomenon from the more fundamental time field.

14.1 Philosophical Implications

- Energy as the most fundamental property of reality [20], supported in the T0 model by absolute time and variable mass.
- Space and time as emergent properties of an energy field [19], compatible with $T(x)$ as the foundational field.

15 Summary and Outlook

The unified unit system of the T0 model with $\hbar = c = G = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = \beta_{\text{T}} = \alpha_{\text{W}} = 1$ provides an elegant framework for unifying fundamental interactions. Deriving fundamental constants from this system suggests they may not truly be “fundamental” but rather artifacts of our chosen unit systems. By setting $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$, energy becomes the fundamental unit, revealing in the T0 model a deeper unity of time, mass, and gravitation. This simplification aligns with the general principle that fundamental dimensionless parameters should take simple values in a fully natural formulation. Similar to setting $\beta_{\text{T}}^{\text{nat}} = 1$, $\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ leads to a conceptually clearer theory where the dimensions of all physical quantities can be reduced to a single fundamental dimension (energy). For a comprehensive analysis of the consistency of both simplifications, refer to [6].

The time-mass duality $m = \frac{\hbar}{T(x)c^2}$ uncovers profound connections between seemingly disparate physical phenomena and could be the key to a more comprehensive understanding of nature beyond known limits.

Future research should focus on experimental tests of the T0 model’s specific predictions, particularly:

- Wavelength-dependent redshift $z(\lambda) = z_0(1 + \beta_{\text{T}} \ln(\lambda/\lambda_0))$
- Modified temperature-redshift relation
- Emergence of gravitation from the time field
- Connection between quantum correlations and time field geometry

These tests could revolutionize our fundamental understanding of space, time, and matter, paving the way for a complete unification of physics.

Literatur

- [1] Pascher, J. (2025). [Time as an Emergent Property in Quantum Mechanics: A Connection Between Relativity, Fine-Structure Constant, and Quantum Dynamics](#). March 23, 2025.
- [2] Pascher, J. (2025). [Mass Variation in Galaxies: An Analysis in the T0 Model with Emergent Gravitation](#). March 30, 2025.
- [3] Pascher, J. (2025). [Compensatory and Additive Effects: An Analysis of Measurement Differences Between the T0 Model and the \$\Lambda\$ CDM Standard Model](#). April 2, 2025.
- [4] Pascher, J. (2025). [Time-Mass Duality Theory \(T0 Model\): Derivation of Parameters \$\kappa\$, \$\alpha\$, and \$\beta\$](#) . April 4, 2025.
- [5] Pascher, J. (2025). [Energy as a Fundamental Unit: Natural Units with \$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1\$ in the T0 Model](#). March 26, 2025.
- [6] Pascher, J. (2025). [Unified Unit System in the T0 Model: The Consistency of \$\alpha = 1\$ and \$\beta = 1\$](#) . April 5, 2025.
- [7] Pascher, J. (2025). [Adjustment of Temperature Units in Natural Units and CMB Measurements](#). April 2, 2025.
- [8] Pascher, J. (2025). [Emergent Gravitation in the T0 Model: A Comprehensive Derivation](#). April 1, 2025.

- [9] Pascher, J. (2025). [Dimensionless Parameters in the T0 Model: Setting \$\beta = 1\$ in Natural Units](#). April 4, 2025.
- [10] Pascher, J. (2025). [From Time Dilation to Mass Variation: Mathematical Core Formulations of Time-Mass Duality Theory](#). March 29, 2025.
- [11] Einstein, A. (1905). Does the Inertia of a Body Depend Upon Its Energy Content? *Annalen der Physik*, 323(13), 639-641.
- [12] Dirac, P. A. M. (1928). The Quantum Theory of the Electron. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, 117(778), 610-624.
- [13] Planck, M. (1899). On Irreversible Radiation Processes. *Proceedings of the Royal Prussian Academy of Sciences in Berlin*, 5, 440-480.
- [14] Weinberg, S. (1972). *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [15] Sommerfeld, A. (1916). On the Quantum Theory of Spectral Lines. *Annalen der Physik*, 356(17), 1-94.
- [16] Wien, W. (1893). A New Relationship of Blackbody Radiation to the Second Law of Thermodynamics. *Proceedings of the Royal Prussian Academy of Sciences in Berlin*, 55-62.
- [17] Feynman, R. P. (1985). *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*. Princeton University Press.
- [18] Duff, M. J., Okun, L. B., & Veneziano, G. (2002). *Dialogue on the Number of Fundamental Constants*. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2002(03), 023.
- [19] Verlinde, E. (2011). *On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton*. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2011(4), 29.
- [20] Wilczek, F. (2008). *The Lightness of Being: Mass, Ether, and the Unification of Forces*. Basic Books.
- [21] Rubin, V. C., & Ford Jr, W. K. (1980). Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 159, 379.
- [22] McGaugh, S. S., Lelli, F., & Schombert, J. M. (2016). Radial Acceleration Relation in Rotationally Supported Galaxies. *Physical Review Letters*, 117(20), 201101.