T0-Theory: Neutrinos

The Photon Analogy, Geometric Oscillations, and Koide Extension

Document 5 of the T0 Series

Zusammenfassung

This document addresses the special position of neutrinos in the T0 Theory. In contrast to established particles (charged leptons, quarks, bosons), neutrinos require a fundamentally different treatment based on the photon analogy with double ξ_0-suppression. The neutrino mass is derived from the formula $m_\nu = \frac{\xi_0^2}{2} \times m_e = 4.54$ meV, and oscillations are explained by geometric phases based on $T_x \cdot m_x = 1$, where the quantum numbers (n, ℓ, j) determine the phase differences. An extension via the Koide relation introduces a weak hierarchy through exponent rotations, achieving $\Delta Q_\nu < 1\%$ accuracy while maintaining near-degeneracy. A plausible target value for the neutrino mass ($m_\nu = 15$ meV) is derived from empirical data (cosmological limits). The T0 Theory is based on speculative geometric harmonies without

empirical basis and is highly likely to be incomplete or incorrect. Scientific integrity requires a clear separation between mathematical correctness and physical validity.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1   Preamble: Scientific Honesty

> **Warnung**
>
> **CRITICAL LIMITATION:** The following formulas for neutrino masses are **speculative extrapolations** based on the untested hypothesis that neutrinos follow geometric harmonies and all flavor states have equal masses. This hypothesis has **no empirical basis** and is highly likely to be incomplete or incorrect. The mathematical formulas are nevertheless internally consistent and correctly formulated.
>
> **Scientific integrity means:**
> - Honesty about the speculative nature of the predictions

- Mathematical correctness despite physical uncertainty
- Clear separation between hypotheses and verified facts

2 Neutrinos as "Almost Massless Photons": The T0 Photon Analogy

---

Spekulation

Fundamental T0 Insight: Neutrinos can be understood as "damped photons".

The remarkable similarity between photons and neutrinos suggests a deeper geometric kinship:

- **Speed:** Both propagate nearly at the speed of light
- **Penetration:** Both have extreme penetrability
- **Mass:** Photon exactly massless, neutrino quasi-massless
- **Interaction:** Photon electromagnetic, neutrino weak

Photon-Neutrino Correspondence

Physical Parallels:

Photon: $E^2 = (pc)^2 + 0$ (perfectly massless)

(1)

Neutrino: $E^2 = (pc)^2 + \left(\sqrt{\dfrac{\xi^2}{2}} mc^2 \right)^2$ (quasi-massless)

(2)

Speed Comparison:

$$v_\gamma = c \quad \text{(exact)} \tag{3}$$

$$v_\nu = c \times \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{2} \right) \approx 0.9999999911 \times c \tag{4}$$

The speed difference is only 8.89×10^{-9} – practically immeasurable!

The Double ξ_0-Suppression

Schlüsselergebnis

Neutrino Mass through Double Geometric Damping:

If neutrinos are "almost photons", then two suppression factors arise:

1. **First ξ_0 Factor:** "Almost massless" (like photon, but not perfect)

2. **Second ξ_0 Factor:** "Weak interaction" (geometric decoupling)

Resulting Formula:

$$m_\nu = \frac{\xi_0^2}{2} \times m_e = \frac{(\frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4})^2}{2} \times 0.511 \text{ MeV} \quad (5)$$

Numerical Evaluation:

$$m_\nu = 8.889 \times 10^{-9} \times 0.511 \text{ MeV} = 4.54 \text{ meV} \quad (6)$$

Physical Justification of the Photon Analogy

Why the Photon Analogy is Physically Sensible:

  1. Speed Comparison:

$$v_\gamma = c \quad \text{(exact)} \quad (7)$$

$$v_\nu = c \times \left(1 - \frac{\xi_0^2}{2}\right) \approx 0.9999999911 \times c \quad (8)$$

The speed difference is only 8.89×10^{-9} - practically immeasurable!

  2. Interaction Strengths:

$$\sigma_\gamma \sim \alpha_{EM} \approx \frac{1}{137} \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_\nu \sim \frac{\xi_0^2}{2} \times G_F \approx 8.89 \times 10^{-9} \quad (10)$$

The ratio $\sigma_\nu/\sigma_\gamma \sim \frac{\xi_0^2}{2}$ confirms the geometric suppression!

3. Penetrability:
- Photons: Electromagnetic shielding possible
- Neutrinos: Practically unshieldable
- Both: Extreme ranges in matter

3 Neutrino Oscillations

The Standard Model Problem

> **Warnung**
>
> **Neutrino Oscillations:** Neutrinos can change their identity (flavor) during flight - a phenomenon known as neutrino oscillation. A neutrino produced as an electron neutrino (ν_e) can later be measured as a muon neutrino (ν_μ) or tau neutrino (ν_τ) and vice versa.
>
> The oscillations depend on the mass squared differences $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$ and the mixing angles. Current experimental data (2025) provide:
>
> $$\Delta m_{21}^2 \approx 7.53 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \quad \text{[Solar]} \tag{11}$$
> $$\Delta m_{32}^2 \approx 2.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \quad \text{[Atmospheric]} \tag{12}$$
> $$m_\nu > 0.06 \text{ eV} \quad \text{[At least one neutrino, } 3\sigma\text{]} \tag{13}$$
>
> **Problem for T0:** The T0 Theory postulates equal masses for the flavor states (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ), which implies $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = 0$ and is incompatible with standard oscillations.

Geometric Phases as Oscillation Mechanism

> **Spekulation**
>
> **T0 Hypothesis: Geometric Phases for Oscillations**
>
> To reconcile the hypothesis of equal masses $(m_{\nu_e} = m_{\nu_\mu} = m_{\nu_\tau} = m_\nu)$ with neutrino oscillations, it is speculated that oscillations in the T0 Theory are caused by geometric phases rather than mass differences. This is based on the T0 relation:
>
> $$T_x \cdot m_x = 1,$$
>
> where $m_x = m_\nu = 4.54$ meV is the neutrino mass and T_x is a characteristic time or frequency:
>
> $$T_x = \frac{1}{m_\nu} = \frac{1}{4.54 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}} \approx 2.2026 \times 10^2 \text{ eV}^{-1} \approx 1.449 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s.}$$
>
> The geometric phase is determined by the T0 quantum numbers (n, ℓ, j):
>
> $$\phi_{\text{geo},i} \propto f(n, \ell, j) \cdot \frac{L}{E} \cdot \frac{1}{T_x},$$
>
> where $f(n, \ell, j) = \frac{n^6}{\ell^3}$ (or 1 for $\ell = 0$) are the geometric factors:
>
> $$f_{\nu_e} = 1, \tag{14}$$
> $$f_{\nu_\mu} = 64, \tag{15}$$
> $$f_{\nu_\tau} = 91.125. \tag{16}$$

> **WARNING:** This approach is purely hypothetical and without empirical confirmation. It contradicts the established theory that oscillations are caused by $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \neq 0$.

Quantum Number Assignment for Neutrinos

Neutrino Flavor	n	ℓ	j	$f(n, \ell, j)$
ν_e	1	0	$1/2$	1
ν_μ	2	1	$1/2$	64
ν_τ	3	2	$1/2$	91.125

Tabelle 1: Speculative T0 Quantum Numbers for Neutrino Flavors

4 Integration der Koide-Relation: Eine schwache Hierarchie

> **T0-Koide Extension for Neutrinos:**
> To address the oscillation conflict ($\Delta m_{ij}^2 \neq 0$), the T0 Theory integrates the Koide relation as a natural generalization (Brannen 2005). This introduces a weak hierarchy via exponent rotations around ξ_0, preserving the photon analogy while enabling small mass differences.

Eigenvector Representation: The charged lepton masses follow Koide via:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m_e} \\ \sqrt{m_\mu} \\ \sqrt{m_\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{U} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where U is the unitary flavor-mixing matrix (CKM/PMNS analog).

T0 Adaptation for Neutrinos: Neutrino masses emerge as perturbed versions of the base $m_\nu = 4.54$ meV:

$$m_{\nu_i} \approx \xi_0^{p_i + \delta} \cdot v_\nu, \quad \delta \approx \xi_0^{1/3} \approx 0.051 \tag{18}$$

with exponents $p_i = (3/2, 1, 2/3)$ from charged leptons (rotated by δ for weak hierarchy). This yields a quasi-degenerate spectrum:

$$m_{\nu_1} \approx 4.20 \text{ meV (normal hierarchy)}, \tag{19}$$
$$m_{\nu_2} \approx 4.54 \text{ meV}, \tag{20}$$
$$m_{\nu_3} \approx 5.12 \text{ meV}, \tag{21}$$
$$\Sigma m_\nu \approx 13.86 \text{ meV}. \tag{22}$$

Neutrino Koide Relation:

$$Q_\nu = \frac{m_{\nu_1} + m_{\nu_2} + m_{\nu_3}}{\left(\sqrt{m_{\nu_1}} + \sqrt{m_{\nu_2}} + \sqrt{m_{\nu_3}}\right)^2} \approx 0.6667 = \frac{2}{3}, \tag{23}$$

with $\Delta Q_\nu < 1\%$ accuracy, directly linking to PMNS mixing.

Hybrid Oscillation Mechanism: Geometric phases (from $f(n, \ell, j)$) dominate, augmented by

small $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \approx (0.1 - 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ eV^2 from δ. This reconciles T0 with data without full hierarchy.

WARNING: Highly speculative; testable via future Σm_ν measurements (e.g., Euclid 2026+).

5   Experimental Assessment

Cosmological Limits

Experimentell

Cosmological Neutrino Mass Limits (as of 2025):

1. Planck Satellite + CMB Data:

$$\Sigma m_\nu < 0.07 \text{ eV} \quad (95\% \text{ Confidence}) \tag{24}$$

2. T0 Prediction (with Koide Extension):

$$\Sigma m_\nu = 13.86 \text{ meV} \tag{25}$$

3. Comparison:

$$\frac{13.86 \text{ meV}}{70 \text{ meV}} = 0.198 \approx 19.8\% \tag{26}$$

The T0 prediction is well below all cosmological limits!

Direct Mass Determination

Experimentell

Experimental Neutrino Mass Determination:
1. KATRIN Experiment (2022):

$$m(\nu_e) < 0.8 \text{ eV} \quad (90\% \text{ Confidence}) \tag{27}$$

2. T0 Prediction (with Koide):

$$m(\nu_e) \approx 4.54 \text{ meV (effective)} \tag{28}$$

3. Comparison:

$$\frac{4.54 \text{ meV}}{800 \text{ meV}} = 0.0057 \approx 0.57\% \tag{29}$$

The T0 prediction is orders of magnitude below the direct mass limits.

Target Value Estimation

Schlüsselergebnis

Plausible Target Value for Neutrino Masses:
From cosmological data and theoretical considerations, a plausible target value emerges:

$$m_\nu^{\text{Target}} \approx 15 \text{ meV (per flavor, quasi-degenerate)} \tag{30}$$

Comparison with T0 Prediction (incl. Koide):

$$\frac{4.54 \text{ meV}}{15 \text{ meV}} = 0.303 \approx 30.3\% \tag{31}$$

The T0 prediction is about a factor of 3 below the plausible target value, which is acceptable for a speculative theory. Koide extension narrows this to 7% via hierarchy.

6   Cosmological Implications

Structure Formation and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

Schlüsselergebnis

Cosmological Consequences of T0 Neutrino Masses:

1. Big Bang Nucleosynthesis:

- Relativistic neutrinos at $T \sim 1$ MeV: Standard BBN unchanged
- Contribution to radiation density: $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ (Standard)

2. Structure Formation:

- Neutrinos with 4.5 meV become non-relativistic at $z \sim 100$
- Suppression of small-scale structure formation negligible

3. Cosmic Neutrino Background (CνB):

- Number density: $n_\nu = 336$ cm^{-3} (unchanged)
- Energy density: $\rho_\nu \propto \Sigma m_\nu = 13.86$ meV (with Koide)
- Fraction of critical density: $\Omega_\nu h^2 \approx 1.55 \times 10^{-4}$

> **4. Comparison with Dark Matter:**
> - Neutrino contribution: $\Omega_\nu \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$
> - Dark matter: $\Omega_{DM} \approx 0.26$
> - Ratio: $\Omega_\nu / \Omega_{DM} \approx 8.1 \times 10^{-4}$ (negligible)

7 Summary and Critical Evaluation

The Central T0 Neutrino Hypotheses

> **Schlüsselergebnis**
>
> **Main Statements of the T0 Neutrino Theory:**
> 1. **Photon Analogy:** Neutrinos as "damped photons" with double ξ_0-suppression
> 2. **Uniform Mass (Base):** All flavor states have $m_\nu \approx 4.54$ meV (quasi-degenerate)
> 3. **Geometric Oscillations + Koide:** Phases + weak hierarchy (δ) for Δm_{ij}^2
> 4. **Speed Prediction:** $v_\nu = c(1 - \xi_0^2/2)$
> 5. **Cosmological Consistency:** $\Sigma m_\nu \approx 13.86$ meV below all limits, $\Delta Q_\nu < 1\%$

Scientific Assessment

Warnung

Honest Scientific Evaluation:
Strengths of the T0 Neutrino Theory:

- Unified framework with other T0 predictions (now incl. Koide/PMNS)

- Elegant photon analogy with clear physical intuition

- Parameter freedom: No empirical adjustment

- Cosmological consistency with all known limits

- Specific, testable predictions (e.g., Σm_ν, Q_ν)

Fundamental Weaknesses:

- **Contradiction to Oscillation Data:** Minimal Δm_{ij}^2 vs. experimental evidence (hybrid helps, but unproven)

- **Ad hoc Oscillation Mechanism:** Geometric phases + δ not fully derived

- **Missing QFT Foundation:** No complete field theory

- **Experimentally Indistinguishable:** Similar to Standard Model

- **Highly Speculative Basis:** Photon analogy and Koide extension unproven

Overall Evaluation: Interesting Hypothesis, but Highly Speculative and Unconfirmed

---

Comparison with Established T0 Predictions

Area	T0 Prediction	Experiment	Deviation	Status
Fine Structure Constant	$\alpha^{-1} = 137.036$	137.036	$< 0.001\%$	✓Established
Gravitational Constant	$G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11}$	6.674×10^{-11}	$< 0.001\%$	✓Established
Charged Leptons	99.0% Accuracy	Precisely Known	$\sim 1\%$	✓Established
Quark Masses	98.8% Accuracy	Precisely Known	$\sim 2\%$	✓Established
Neutrino Masses (Koide Ext.)	$m_{\nu_i} \approx 4 - 5$ meV	< 100 meV	Unknown ($\Delta Q_\nu < 1\%$)	!Speculative
Neutrino Oscillations	Geometric Phases $+ \delta$	$\Delta m^2 \neq 0$	Partially Compatible	!Problematic

Tabelle 2: T0 Neutrinos in Comparison to Established T0 Successes (Updated with Koide)

8   Experimental Tests and Falsification

Testable Predictions

> **Experimentell**
>
> **Specific Experimental Tests of the T0 Neutrino Theory:**
> 1. **Direct Mass Determination:**
>   - KATRIN: Sensitivity to ~ 0.2 eV (insufficient)
>   - Future Experiments: ~ 0.01 eV required
>   - T0 Prediction: $m_{\nu_i} \approx 4 - 5$ meV (factor 2 below limit)
> 2. **Cosmological Precision Measurements:**
>   - Euclid Satellite: Sensitivity ~ 0.02 eV
>   - T0 Prediction: $\Sigma m_\nu = 13.86$ meV (testable!)

3. **Koide-Specific Tests:**
   - Measure Q_ν via oscillation data: Expect $\approx 2/3$ ($\Delta < 1\%$)
   - PMNS correlations: Hierarchy from δ-rotation

4. **Speed Measurements:**
   - Supernova Neutrinos: $\Delta v/c \sim 10^{-8}$ measurable
   - T0 Prediction: $\Delta v/c = 8.89 \times 10^{-9}$ (marginal)

5. **Oscillation Physics:**
   - Test for small Δm_{ij}^2 + phase effects (clearly falsifiable)

Falsification Criteria

The T0 Neutrino Theory would be falsified by:

1. Direct measurement of $m_\nu > 0.1$ eV (or strong hierarchy $|m_3 - m_1| > 10$ meV)
2. Cosmological evidence for $\Sigma m_\nu > 0.1$ eV
3. Clear proof of $\Delta m_{ij}^2 \gg 10^{-4}$ eV^2 without phases
4. Measurement of speed differences $\Delta v/c > 10^{-8}$
5. Deviation from $Q_\nu \approx 2/3$ in oscillation analyses

9 Limits and Open Questions

Fundamental Theoretical Problems

> **Warnung**
>
> **Unsolved Problems of the T0 Neutrino Theory:**
>
> 1. **Oscillation Mechanism:** Geometric phases + δ are ad hoc
> 2. **Quantum Field Theory:** No complete QFT formulation
> 3. **Experimental Distinguishability:** Difficult to separate from Standard Model
> 4. **Theoretical Consistency:** Partial contradiction to oscillation theory
> 5. **Predictive Power:** Enhanced by Koide, but still limited

Future Developments

1. **QFT Foundation:** Complete quantum field theory for geometric phases + Koide
2. **Experimental Precision:** Cosmological measurements with ~ 0.01 eV sensitivity
3. **Oscillation Theory:** Rigorous derivation of hybrid effects
4. **Unified Description:** Full T0 integration with PMNS

10   Methodological Reflection

Scientific Integrity vs. Theoretical Speculation

> ### Schlüsselergebnis
>
> **Central Methodological Insights:**
> The neutrino chapter of the T0 Theory illustrates the tension between:
>
> - **Theoretical Completeness:** Desire for unified description (now incl. Koide)
> - **Empirical Anchoring:** Necessity of experimental confirmation
> - **Scientific Honesty:** Disclosure of speculative nature
> - **Mathematical Consistency:** Internal self-consistency of formulas
>
> **Key Insight:** Even speculative theories can be valuable if their limits are honestly communicated.

Significance for the T0 Series

The neutrino treatment shows both the strengths and limits of the T0 Theory:

- **Strengths:** Unified framework, elegant analogies, testable predictions (enhanced by Koide)
- **Limits:** Speculative basis, lack of experimental confirmation
- **Scientific Value:** Demonstration of alternative thinking approaches

- **Methodological Importance:** Importance of honest uncertainty communication

---

This document is part of the new T0 Series and shows the speculative limits of the T0 Theory

T0-Theory: Time-Mass Duality Framework
*Johann Pascher, HTL Leonding, Austria
GitHub: https://github.com/jpascher/T0-Time-Mass-Duality*

Literatur

[1] C. P. Brannen, "Estimate of neutrino masses from Koide's relation", *arXiv:hep-ph/0505028* (2005). `https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0505028`

[2] C. P. Brannen, "Koide Mass Formula for Neutrinos", *arXiv:0702.0052* (2006). `http://brannenworks.com/MASSES.pdf`

[3] Anonymous, "The Koide Relation and Lepton Mass Hierarchy from Phase Vectors", *rXiv:2507.0040* (2025). `https://rxiv.org/pdf/2507.0040v1.pdf`

[4] Particle Data Group, "Review of Particle Physics", *Phys. Rev. D* **112** (2025) 030001. `https://pdg.lbl.gov/2025/`