

# $E=mc^2 = E=m$ : The Constants Illusion Exposed

## Why Einstein's $c$ -constant conceals the fundamental error

### From Dynamic Ratios to the Constants Illusion

Johann Pascher  
Department of Communications Engineering,  
Higher Technical Federal Institute (HTL), Leonding, Austria  
[johann.pascher@gmail.com](mailto:johann.pascher@gmail.com)

December 23, 2025

#### Abstract

This work reveals the central point of Einstein's relativity theory:  $E=mc^2$  is mathematically identical to  $E=m$ . The only difference lies in Einstein's treatment of  $c$  as a "constant" instead of a dynamic ratio. By fixing  $c = 299,792,458$  m/s, the natural time-mass duality  $T \cdot m = 1$  is artificially "frozen," leading to apparent complexity. The T0 theory shows:  $c$  is not a fundamental law of nature, but only a ratio that must be variable if time is variable. Einstein's error was not  $E=mc^2$  itself, but the constant-setting of  $c$ .

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The Central Thesis: <math>E=mc^2 = E=m</math></b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	The Mathematical Identity . . . . .	2
1.2	What is $c$ really? . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Einstein's Fundamental Error: The Constant-Setting</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	The Act of Constant-Setting . . . . .	2
2.2	The Problem of Time Variability . . . . .	2
2.3	The T0 Resolution . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>The Constants Illusion: How it Works</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	The Mechanism of the Illusion . . . . .	3
3.2	What Really Happens (T0 View) . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b><math>c</math> as Ratio vs. <math>c</math> as Constant</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	$c$ as Natural Ratio (T0) . . . . .	3
4.2	$c$ as Artificial Constant (Einstein) . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>The Time Dilation Paradox</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	Einstein's Contradiction Exposed . . . . .	4
5.2	Einstein's Hidden Solution . . . . .	4
5.3	T0's Natural Solution . . . . .	4

<b>6</b>	<b>The Mathematical Demonstration</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	From E=mc <sup>2</sup> to E=m . . . . .	4
6.2	The Reverse Direction: From E=m to E=mc <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	5
<b>7</b>	<b>The Arbitrariness of Constant Choice: c or Time?</b>	<b>5</b>
7.1	Einstein's Arbitrary Decision . . . . .	5
7.2	Option 1: Einstein's c-constant . . . . .	5
7.3	Option 2: Time-constant (Einstein could have chosen) . . . . .	5
7.4	Mathematical Equivalence of Both Options . . . . .	6
7.5	Why Einstein Chose Option 1 . . . . .	6
7.6	T0's Overcoming of Both Options . . . . .	6
7.7	Liberation from Constant Constraint . . . . .	6
<b>8</b>	<b>The Reference Point Revolution: Earth → Sun → Nature</b>	<b>7</b>
8.1	The Reference Point Analogy: Geocentric → Heliocentric → T0 . . . . .	7
8.2	Why We Need Reference Points . . . . .	7
8.3	The Right vs. Wrong Reference Point . . . . .	8
<b>9</b>	<b>When Something Becomes "Constant"</b>	<b>8</b>
9.1	The Fundamental Reference Point Problem . . . . .	8
9.2	The Natural Stage: Everything is Relative . . . . .	8
9.3	The Moment of Reference Point Setting . . . . .	9
9.4	The Reference Point Problematic . . . . .	9
9.5	T0's Reference Point-Free Physics . . . . .	9
9.6	Example: The Meter Definition . . . . .	9
9.7	The Circular Error: Humans Define Their Own "Constants" . . . . .	10
9.8	T0's Resolution of the Reference Point Illusion . . . . .	10
<b>10</b>	<b>Why c-Constancy is Not Provable</b>	<b>10</b>
10.1	The Fundamental Measurement Problem . . . . .	10
10.2	The Gauge Definition Problem . . . . .	10
10.3	The Systematic Compensation Problem . . . . .	11
10.4	The Burden of Proof Problem . . . . .	11
10.5	T0 Prediction for Precise Measurements . . . . .	11
<b>11</b>	<b>Ontological Consideration: Calculations as Constructs</b>	<b>11</b>
11.1	The Fundamental Epistemological Limit . . . . .	11
11.2	Einstein's Construct vs. T0's Construct . . . . .	11
11.3	The Ontological Relativity . . . . .	12
11.4	Why T0 is Still "Better" . . . . .	12
11.5	The Epistemological Humility . . . . .	13
11.6	The Pragmatic Consequence . . . . .	13
11.7	The Ontological Humility . . . . .	13
<b>12</b>	<b>The Practical Consequences</b>	<b>13</b>
12.1	Why E=mc <sup>2</sup> "Works" . . . . .	13
12.2	When E=mc <sup>2</sup> Fails . . . . .	14
12.3	T0's Universal Validity . . . . .	14

<b>13 The Correction of Physics History</b>	<b>14</b>
13.1 Einstein's True Achievement . . . . .	14
13.2 The Historical Irony . . . . .	14
<b>14 The T0 Perspective: c as Living Ratio</b>	<b>14</b>
14.1 c as Expression of Time-Mass Duality . . . . .	14
14.2 The Dynamic Speed of Light . . . . .	15
<b>15 Experimental Tests of c-Variability</b>	<b>15</b>
15.1 Proposed Experiments . . . . .	15
15.2 Expected Results . . . . .	15
<b>16 Conclusions</b>	<b>15</b>
16.1 The Central Recognition . . . . .	15
16.2 Physics After the Constants Illusion . . . . .	16
16.3 Einstein's Corrected Legacy . . . . .	16

# 1 The Central Thesis: E=mc<sup>2</sup> = E=m

## The Fundamental Recognition

**E=mc<sup>2</sup> and E=m are mathematically identical!**

The only difference: Einstein treats  $c$  as a "constant," although  $c$  is a dynamic ratio.

**Einstein's error:**  $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

**T0 truth:**  $c = L/T = \text{variable ratio}$

## 1.1 The Mathematical Identity

In natural units:

$$E = mc^2 = m \times c^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \quad (1)$$

This is not an approximation - this is exactly the same equation!

## 1.2 What is $c$ really?

$$c = \frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Time}} = \frac{L}{T} \quad (2)$$

$c$  is a ratio, not a natural constant!

# 2 Einstein's Fundamental Error: The Constant-Setting

## 2.1 The Act of Constant-Setting

Einstein set:  $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

What does this mean?

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \Rightarrow \frac{L}{T} = \text{fixed} \quad (3)$$

**Implication:** If  $L$  and  $T$  can vary, their **ratio** must remain constant.

## 2.2 The Problem of Time Variability

Einstein recognized himself: Time dilates!

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time is variable}) \quad (4)$$

But simultaneously he claimed:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \quad (5)$$

This is a logical contradiction!

## 2.3 The T0 Resolution

**T0 insight:**  $T \cdot m = 1$

This means:

- Time  $T$  **must** be variable (coupled to mass)
- Therefore  $c = L/T$  **cannot** be constant
- $c$  is a **dynamic ratio**, not a constant

### 3 The Constants Illusion: How it Works

#### 3.1 The Mechanism of the Illusion

**Step 1:** Einstein sets  $c = \text{constant}$

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{fixed} \quad (6)$$

**Step 2:** Time becomes "frozen" by this

$$T = \frac{L}{c} = \frac{L}{\text{constant}} = \text{apparently determined} \quad (7)$$

**Step 3:** Time dilation becomes "mysterious effect"

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{why?} \rightarrow \text{complicated relativity theory}) \quad (8)$$

#### 3.2 What Really Happens (T0 View)

**Reality:** Time is naturally variable through  $T \cdot m = 1$

**Einstein's constant-setting** "freezes" this natural variability artificially

**Result:** One needs complicated theory to repair the "frozen" dynamics

### 4 c as Ratio vs. c as Constant

#### 4.1 c as Natural Ratio (T0)

$$c(x, t) = \frac{L(x, t)}{T(x, t)} \quad (9)$$

**Properties:**

- $c$  varies with location and time
- $c$  follows the time-mass duality
- No artificial constants
- Natural simplicity:  $E = m$

#### 4.2 c as Artificial Constant (Einstein)

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant everywhere} \quad (10)$$

**Problems:**

- Contradiction to time dilation
- Artificial "freezing" of time dynamics
- Complicated repair mathematics needed
- Inflated formula:  $E = mc^2$

## 5 The Time Dilation Paradox

### 5.1 Einstein's Contradiction Exposed

Einstein claims simultaneously:

$$c = \text{constant} \quad (11)$$

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time varies}) \quad (12)$$

**But:**

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \text{and} \quad T \text{ varies} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ cannot be constant!} \quad (13)$$

### 5.2 Einstein's Hidden Solution

Einstein "solves" the contradiction through:

- Complicated Lorentz transformations
- Mathematical formalisms
- Space-time constructions
- **But the logical contradiction remains!**

### 5.3 T0's Natural Solution

**No contradiction in T0:**

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{time is naturally variable} \quad (14)$$

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ is naturally variable} \quad (15)$$

**No constant-setting → No contradictions → No complicated repair mathematics**

## 6 The Mathematical Demonstration

### 6.1 From E=mc<sup>2</sup> to E=m

**Starting equation:**  $E = mc^2$

**c in natural units:**  $c = 1$

**Substitution:**

$$E = mc^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \quad (16)$$

**Result:**  $E = m$

## 6.2 The Reverse Direction: From E=m to E=mc<sup>2</sup>

Starting equation:  $E = m$

Artificial constant introduction:  $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s}$

Inflating the equation:

$$E = m = m \times 1 = m \times \frac{c^2}{c^2} = m \times c^2 \times \frac{1}{c^2} \quad (17)$$

If one defines  $c^2$  as "conversion factor":

$$E = mc^2 \quad (18)$$

This shows:  $E = mc^2$  is only  $E = m$  with artificial inflation factor  $c^2$ !

## 7 The Arbitrariness of Constant Choice: c or Time?

### 7.1 Einstein's Arbitrary Decision

#### The Fundamental Choice Option

One can choose what should be "constant"!

Option 1 (Einstein's choice):  $c = \text{constant} \rightarrow \text{time becomes variable}$

Option 2 (alternative):  $\text{time} = \text{constant} \rightarrow c \text{ becomes variable}$

Both describe the same physics!

### 7.2 Option 1: Einstein's c-constant

Einstein chose:

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant (defined)} \quad (19)$$

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time becomes automatically variable}) \quad (20)$$

Language convention:

- "Speed of light is universally constant"
- "Time dilates in strong gravitational fields"
- "Clocks run slower at high velocities"

### 7.3 Option 2: Time-constant (Einstein could have chosen)

Alternative choice:

$$t = \text{constant (defined)} \quad (21)$$

$$c(x, t) = \frac{L(x, t)}{t} = \text{variable} \quad (22)$$

Alternative language convention:

- "Time flows equally everywhere"
- "Speed of light varies with location"
- "Light becomes slower in strong gravitational fields"

## 7.4 Mathematical Equivalence of Both Options

Both descriptions are mathematically identical:

Phenomenon	Einstein view	Time-constant view
Gravitation	Time slows down	Light slows down
Velocity	Time dilation	c-variation
GPS correction	"Clocks run differently"	"c is different"
Measurements	Same numbers	Same numbers

Table 1: Two views, identical physics

## 7.5 Why Einstein Chose Option 1

Historical reasons for Einstein's decision:

- **Michelson-Morley:** c seemed locally constant
- **Aesthetics:** "Universal constant" sounded elegant
- **Tradition:** Newtonian constant physics
- **Conceivability:** c-constancy easier to imagine than time constancy
- **Authority effect:** Einstein's prestige fixed this choice

**But it was only a convention, not a natural law!**

## 7.6 T0's Overcoming of Both Options

T0 shows: Both choices are arbitrary!

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad (\text{natural duality without constant constraint}) \quad (23)$$

**T0 insight:**

- **Neither** c nor time are "really" constant
- **Both** are aspects of the same  $T \cdot m$  dynamics
- **Constancy** is only definition convention
- **E = m** is the constant-free truth

## 7.7 Liberation from Constant Constraint

Instead of choosing between:

- c constant, time variable (Einstein)
- Time constant, c variable (alternative)

**T0 chooses:**

- **Both dynamically coupled** via  $T \cdot m = 1$
- **No arbitrary fixations**
- **Natural ratios** instead of artificial constants



## 8 The Reference Point Revolution: Earth → Sun → Nature

### 8.1 The Reference Point Analogy: Geocentric → Heliocentric → T0

The Reference Point Revolution: From Earth → Sun → Nature

**Geocentric (Ptolemy):** Earth at center

- Complicated epicycles needed
- Works, but artificially complicated

**Heliocentric (Copernicus):** Sun at center

- Simple ellipses
- Much more elegant and simple

**T0-centric:** Natural ratios at center

- $T \cdot m = 1$  (natural reference point)
- Even more elegant:  $E = m$

**Einstein's c-constant corresponds to the geocentric system:**

- **Human** reference point at center (like Earth at center)
- **Complicated** mathematics needed (like epicycles)
- **Works** locally, but artificially inflated

**T0's natural ratios correspond to the heliocentric system:**

- **Natural** reference point at center (like Sun at center)
- **Simple** mathematics (like ellipses)
- **Universally** valid and elegant

### 8.2 Why We Need Reference Points

Reference points are necessary and natural:

- **For measurements:** We need standards for comparison
- **For communication:** Common basis for exchange
- **For technology:** Practical applications require units
- **For science:** Reproducible experiments need standards

The question is not **WHETHER**, but **WHICH** reference point:

System	Reference Point	Complexity	Elegance
Geocentric	Earth	Epicycles	Low
Heliocentric	Sun	Ellipses	High
Einstein	c-constant	Relativity theory	Medium
T0	$T \cdot m = 1$	$E = m$	Maximum

Table 2: Reference point systems comparison

### 8.3 The Right vs. Wrong Reference Point

Einstein's error was not to choose a reference point:

- But to choose the wrong reference point!

**Wrong reference point (Einstein):**  $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

- Based on human definition
- Leads to complicated mathematics
- Creates logical contradictions

**Right reference point (T0):**  $T \cdot m = 1$

- Based on natural ratio
- Leads to simple mathematics:  $E = m$
- No contradictions, pure elegance

## 9 When Something Becomes "Constant"

### 9.1 The Fundamental Reference Point Problem

#### The Reference Point Illusion

**Something only becomes "constant" when we define a reference point!**

**Without reference point:** All ratios are relative and dynamic

**With reference point:** One ratio becomes artificially "fixed"

**Einstein's error:** He defined an absolute reference point for c

### 9.2 The Natural Stage: Everything is Relative

Before any reference point definition:

$$c_1 = \frac{L_1}{T_1} \quad (24)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{L_2}{T_2} \quad (25)$$

$$c_3 = \frac{L_3}{T_3} \quad (26)$$

$$\vdots \quad (27)$$

All c-values are relative to each other. None is "constant".

### 9.3 The Moment of Reference Point Setting

Einstein's fatal step:

$$\text{"I define: } c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{reference point"} \quad (28)$$

What happens at this moment:

- An **arbitrary reference point** is set
- All other c-values are measured relative to this
- The **dynamic ratio** becomes a "constant"
- The **natural relativity** is artificially "frozen"

### 9.4 The Reference Point Problematic

Every reference point is arbitrary:

- Why 299,792,458 m/s and not 300,000,000 m/s?
- Why in m/s and not in other units?
- Why measured on Earth and not in space?
- Why at this time and not at another?

### 9.5 T0's Reference Point-Free Physics

T0 eliminates all reference points:

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad (\text{universal relation without reference point}) \quad (29)$$

- No arbitrary fixations
- All ratios remain dynamic
- Natural relativity is preserved
- Fundamental simplicity:  $E = m$

### 9.6 Example: The Meter Definition

Historical development of meter definition:

1. **1793**: 1 meter = 1/10,000,000 of Earth meridian (Earth reference point)
2. **1889**: 1 meter = prototype meter in Paris (object reference point)
3. **1960**: 1 meter = 1,650,763.73 wavelengths of krypton-86 (atom reference point)
4. **1983**: 1 meter = distance light travels in 1/299,792,458 s (c reference point)

What does this show?

- Each definition is **human arbitrariness**
- The **reference point** changes with human technology
- There is **no "natural" length unit** - only human agreements
- **Humans make c "constant" by definition** - not nature!

## 9.7 The Circular Error: Humans Define Their Own "Constants"

In 1983 humans defined:

$$1 \text{ meter} = \frac{1}{299,792,458} \times c \times 1 \text{ second} \quad (30)$$

This makes  $c$  automatically "constant" - through human definition, not through natural law:

$$c = \frac{299,792,458 \text{ meters}}{1 \text{ second}} = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (31)$$

**Circular reasoning:** Humans define  $c$  as constant and then "measure" a constant!  
**Nature is not asked in this process!**

## 9.8 T0's Resolution of the Reference Point Illusion

T0 recognizes:

- Definition  $\neq$  natural law
- Measurement reference point  $\neq$  physical constant
- Practical agreement  $\neq$  fundamental truth

T0 solution:

$$\text{For measurements: Use practical reference points} \quad (32)$$

$$\text{For natural laws: Use reference point-free relations} \quad (33)$$

## 10 Why c-Constancy is Not Provable

### 10.1 The Fundamental Measurement Problem

To measure  $c$ , we need:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad (34)$$

**But:** We measure  $L$  and  $T$  with the same physical processes that depend on  $c$ !

**Circular problem:**

- Light measures distances  $\rightarrow c$  determines  $L$
- Atomic clocks use EM transitions  $\rightarrow c$  influences  $T$
- Then we measure  $c = L/T \rightarrow$  **We measure  $c$  with  $c$ !**

### 10.2 The Gauge Definition Problem

**Since 1983:** 1 meter = distance light travels in  $1/299,792,458$  s

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (\text{not measured, but defined!}) \quad (35)$$

**One cannot "prove" what one has defined!**

### 10.3 The Systematic Compensation Problem

If  $c$  varies, ALL measuring devices vary equally:

- **Laser interferometers:** use light ( $c$ -dependent)
- **Atomic clocks:** use EM transitions ( $c$ -dependent)
- **Electronics:** uses EM signals ( $c$ -dependent)

**Result:** All devices **automatically compensate** the  $c$ -variation!

### 10.4 The Burden of Proof Problem

Scientifically correct:

- One **cannot prove** that something is constant
- One can only show that it **appears constant within measurement precision**
- **Each new precision level** could show variation

Einstein's "c-constancy" was belief, not proof!

### 10.5 T0 Prediction for Precise Measurements

**T0 predicts:** At highest precision one will find:

$$c(x, t) = c_0 \left( 1 + \xi \times \frac{T(x, t) - T_0}{T_0} \right) \quad (36)$$

with  $\xi = 1.33 \times 10^{-4}$  (T0 parameter)

$c$  varies tiny ( $\sim 10^{-15}$ ), but measurable in principle!

## 11 Ontological Consideration: Calculations as Constructs

### 11.1 The Fundamental Epistemological Limit

#### Ontological Truth

**All calculations are human constructs!**

They can **at best** give a certain idea of reality.

**That calculations are internally consistent proves little** about actual reality.

**Mathematical consistency  $\neq$  ontological truth**

### 11.2 Einstein's Construct vs. T0's Construct

Both are human thought structures:

**Einstein's construct:**

- $E = mc^2$  (mathematically consistent)
- Relativity theory (internally coherent)
- 10 field equations (work computationally)

- **But:** Based on arbitrary c-constant setting

**T0's construct:**

- $E = m$  (mathematically simpler)
- $T \cdot m = 1$  (internally coherent)
- $\partial^2 E = 0$  (works computationally)
- **But:** Also only a human thought model

### 11.3 The Ontological Relativity

What is "really" real?

- **Einstein's space-time?** (construct)
- **T0's energy field?** (construct)
- **Newton's absolute time?** (construct)
- **Quantum mechanics' probabilities?** (construct)

All are human interpretive frameworks of the inaccessible reality!

### 11.4 Why T0 is Still "Better"

Not because of "absolute truth," but because of:

**1. Simplicity (Occam's Razor):**

- $E = m$  is simpler than  $E = mc^2$
- One equation is simpler than 10 equations
- Fewer arbitrary assumptions

**2. Consistency:**

- No logical contradictions (like Einstein's)
- No constant arbitrariness
- Unified thought structure

**3. Predictive power:**

- Testable predictions
- Fewer free parameters
- Clearer experimental distinction

**4. Aesthetics:**

- Mathematical elegance
- Conceptual clarity
- Unity

## 11.5 The Epistemological Humility

T0 does NOT claim to be "absolute truth."

T0 only says:

- "Here is a **simpler** construct"
- "With **fewer** arbitrary assumptions"
- "That is **more consistent** than Einstein's construct"
- "And makes **more testable** predictions"

But ultimately T0 also remains a human thought structure!

## 11.6 The Pragmatic Consequence

Since all theories are constructs:

Evaluation criteria are:

1. **Simplicity** (fewer assumptions)
2. **Consistency** (no contradictions)
3. **Predictive power** (testable consequences)
4. **Elegance** (aesthetic criteria)
5. **Unity** (fewer separate domains)

By all these criteria T0 is "better" than Einstein - but not "absolutely true".

## 11.7 The Ontological Humility

The deepest insight:

- **Reality itself** is inaccessible
- **All theories** are human constructs
- **Mathematical consistency** proves no ontological truth
- The best we have: **Simpler, more consistent constructs**

Einstein's error was not only the c-constant setting, but also the claim to absolute truth of his mathematical constructs.

T0's advantage is not absolute truth, but relative superiority as a thought model.

# 12 The Practical Consequences

## 12.1 Why E=mc<sup>2</sup> "Works"

E=mc<sup>2</sup> works because:

- It is mathematically identical to  $E = m$
- $c^2$  compensates the "frozen" time dynamics
- The T0 truth is unconsciously contained
- Local approximations usually suffice

## 12.2 When E=mc<sup>2</sup> Fails

The constants illusion breaks down at:

- Very precise measurements
- Extreme conditions (high energies/masses)
- Cosmological scales
- Quantum gravity

## 12.3 T0's Universal Validity

E = m is valid everywhere and always:

- No approximations needed
- No constant assumptions
- Universal applicability
- Fundamental simplicity

# 13 The Correction of Physics History

## 13.1 Einstein's True Achievement

Einstein's actual discovery was:

$$E = m \quad (\text{in natural form}) \quad (37)$$

His error was:

$$E = mc^2 \quad (\text{with artificial constant inflation}) \quad (38)$$

## 13.2 The Historical Irony

### The Great Irony

Einstein discovered the fundamental simplicity  $E = m$ ,  
but **hid it behind the constants illusion**  $E = mc^2$ !  
The physics world celebrated the complicated form and overlooked the simple truth.

# 14 The T0 Perspective: c as Living Ratio

## 14.1 c as Expression of Time-Mass Duality

In T0 theory:

$$c(x, t) = f\left(\frac{L(x, t)}{T(x, t)}\right) = f\left(\frac{L(x, t) \cdot m(x, t)}{1}\right) \quad (39)$$

since  $T \cdot m = 1$ .

**c becomes an expression of the fundamental time-mass duality!**



## 14.2 The Dynamic Speed of Light

T0 prediction:

$$c(x, t) = c_0 \sqrt{1 + \xi \frac{m(x, t) - m_0}{m_0}} \quad (40)$$

**Light moves faster in more massive regions!**

(Tiny effect, but measurable in principle)

## 15 Experimental Tests of c-Variability

### 15.1 Proposed Experiments

**Test 1 - Gravitational dependence:**

- Measure  $c$  in different gravitational fields
- T0 prediction:  $c$  varies with  $\sim \xi \times \Delta\Phi_{\text{grav}}$

**Test 2 - Cosmological variation:**

- Measure  $c$  over cosmological time periods
- T0 prediction:  $c$  changes with universe expansion

**Test 3 - High-energy physics:**

- Measure  $c$  in particle accelerators at highest energies
- T0 prediction: Tiny deviations at  $E \sim \text{TeV}$

### 15.2 Expected Results

Experiment	Einstein (c constant)	T0 (c variable)
Gravitational field	$c = 299792458 \text{ m/s}$	$c(1 \pm 10^{-15})$
Cosmological time	$c = \text{constant}$	$c(1 + 10^{-12} \times t)$
High energy	$c = \text{constant}$	$c(1 + 10^{-16})$

Table 3: Predicted c-variations

## 16 Conclusions

### 16.1 The Central Recognition

**The Fundamental Truth**

**E=mc<sup>2</sup> = E=m**

Einstein's "constant"  $c$  is in truth a variable ratio.

The constant-setting was Einstein's fundamental error.

T0 corrects this error by returning to natural variability.

## 16.2 Physics After the Constants Illusion

The future of physics:

- No artificial constants
- Dynamic ratios everywhere
- Living, variable natural laws
- Fundamental simplicity:  $E = m$

## 16.3 Einstein's Corrected Legacy

**Einstein's true discovery:**  $E = m$  (energy-mass identity)

**Einstein's error:** Constant-setting of  $c$

**T0's correction:** Return to natural form  $E = m$

**Einstein was brilliant - he just stopped one step too early!**

## References

- [1] Einstein, A. (1905). *Does the inertia of a body depend upon its energy content?* Annalen der Physik, 18, 639–641.
- [2] Michelson, A. A. and Morley, E. W. (1887). *On the relative motion of the Earth and the luminiferous ether.* American Journal of Science, 34, 333–345.
- [3] Pascher, J. (2025). *Field-Theoretic Derivation of the  $\beta_T$  Parameter in Natural Units.* T0 Model Documentation.
- [4] Pascher, J. (2025). *Simplified Dirac Equation in T0 Theory.* T0 Model Documentation.
- [5] Pascher, J. (2025). *Pure Energy T0 Theory: The Ratio-Based Revolution.* T0 Model Documentation.
- [6] Planck, M. (1900). *On the theory of the energy distribution law of the normal spectrum.* Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft, 2, 237–245.
- [7] Lorentz, H. A. (1904). *Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity smaller than that of light.* Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 6, 809–831.
- [8] Weinberg, S. (1972). *Gravitation and Cosmology.* John Wiley & Sons.