

$E=mc^2$ Revisited

Johann Pascher

2025

$E=mc^2$ Revisited

$E=mc^2 = E=m$: The Constants Illusion Exposed

Why Einstein's c -constant conceals the fundamental error

From Dynamic Ratios to the Constants Illusion Johann Pascher

Department of Communications Engineering,

Higher Technical Federal Institute (HTL), Leonding, Austria

johann.pascher@gmail.com November 27, 2025

Abstract

This work reveals the central point of Einstein's relativity theory: $E=mc^2$ is mathematically identical to $E=m$. The only difference lies in Einstein's treatment of c as a "constant" instead of a dynamic ratio. By fixing $c = 299,792,458$ m/s, the natural time-mass duality $T \cdot m = 1$ is artificially "frozen," leading to apparent complexity. The T0 theory shows: c is not a fundamental law of nature, but only a ratio that must be variable if time is variable. Einstein's error was not $E=mc^2$ itself, but the constant-setting of c .

1 The Central Thesis: $E=mc^2 = E=m$

The Fundamental Recognition

$E=mc^2$ and $E=m$ are mathematically identical!

The only difference: Einstein treats c as a "constant," although c is a dynamic ratio.

Einstein's error: $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

T0 truth: $c = L/T = \text{variable ratio}$

1.1 The Mathematical Identity

In natural units:

$$E = mc^2 = m \times c^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \quad (1)$$

This is not an approximation - this is exactly the same equation!

1.2 What is c really?

$$c = \frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Time}} = \frac{L}{T} \quad (2)$$

c is a ratio, not a natural constant!

2 Einstein's Fundamental Error: The Constant-Setting

2.1 The Act of Constant-Setting

Einstein set: $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

What does this mean?

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{L}{T} = \text{fixed} \quad (3)$$

Implication: If L and T can vary, their **ratio** must remain constant.

2.2 The Problem of Time Variability

Einstein recognized himself: Time dilates!

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time is variable}) \quad (4)$$

But simultaneously he claimed:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \quad (5)$$

This is a logical contradiction!

2.3 The T0 Resolution

T0 insight: $\cdot m = 1$

This means:

- Time **must** be variable (coupled to mass)
- Therefore $c = L/T$ **cannot** be constant
- c is a **dynamic ratio**, not a constant

3 The Constants Illusion: How it Works

3.1 The Mechanism of the Illusion

Step 1: Einstein sets $c = \text{constant}$

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{fixed} \quad (6)$$

Step 2: Time becomes "frozen" by this

$$T = \frac{L}{c} = \frac{L}{\text{constant}} = \text{apparently determined} \quad (7)$$

Step 3: Time dilation becomes "mysterious effect"

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{why?} \rightarrow \text{complicated relativity theory}) \quad (8)$$

3.2 What Really Happens (T0 View)

Reality: Time is naturally variable through $\cdot m = 1$

Einstein's constant-setting "freezes" this natural variability artificially

Result: One needs complicated theory to repair the "frozen" dynamics

4 c as Ratio vs. c as Constant

4.1 c as Natural Ratio (T0)

$$c(x, t) = \frac{L(x, t)}{T(x, t)} \quad (9)$$

Properties:

- c varies with location and time
- c follows the time-mass duality
- No artificial constants
- Natural simplicity: $E = m$

4.2 c as Artificial Constant (Einstein)

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant everywhere} \quad (10)$$

Problems:

- Contradiction to time dilation
- Artificial "freezing" of time dynamics
- Complicated repair mathematics needed
- Inflated formula: $E = mc^2$

5 The Time Dilation Paradox

5.1 Einstein's Contradiction Exposed

Einstein claims simultaneously:

$$c = \text{constant} \quad (11)$$

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time varies}) \quad (12)$$

But:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \text{and} \quad T \text{ varies} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ cannot be constant!} \quad (13)$$

5.2 Einstein's Hidden Solution

Einstein "solves" the contradiction through:

- Complicated Lorentz transformations
- Mathematical formalisms
- Space-time constructions
- **But the logical contradiction remains!**

5.3 T0's Natural Solution

No contradiction in T0:

$$\cdot m = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{time is naturally variable} \quad (14)$$

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ is naturally variable} \quad (15)$$

No constant-setting \rightarrow No contradictions \rightarrow No complicated repair mathematics

6 The Mathematical Demonstration

6.1 From $E=mc^2$ to $E=m$

Starting equation: $E = mc^2$

c in natural units: $c = 1$

Substitution:

$$E = mc^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \quad (16)$$

Result: $E = m$

6.2 The Reverse Direction: From $E=m$ to $E=mc^2$

Starting equation: $E = m$

Artificial constant introduction: $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s}$

Inflating the equation:

$$E = m = m \times 1 = m \times \frac{c^2}{c^2} = m \times c^2 \times \frac{1}{c^2} \quad (17)$$

If one defines c^2 as "conversion factor":

$$E = mc^2 \quad (18)$$

This shows: $E = mc^2$ is only $E = m$ with artificial inflation factor c^2 !

7 The Arbitrariness of Constant Choice: c or Time?

7.1 Einstein's Arbitrary Decision

The Fundamental Choice Option

One can choose what should be "constant"!

Option 1 (Einstein's choice): $c = \text{constant} \rightarrow \text{time becomes variable}$

Option 2 (alternative): $\text{time} = \text{constant} \rightarrow c \text{ becomes variable}$

Both describe the same physics!

7.2 Option 1: Einstein's c-constant

Einstein chose:

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant (defined)} \quad (19)$$

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time becomes automatically variable}) \quad (20)$$

Language convention:

- "Speed of light is universally constant"
- "Time dilates in strong gravitational fields"
- "Clocks run slower at high velocities"

7.3 Option 2: Time-constant (Einstein could have chosen)

Alternative choice:

$$t = \text{constant (defined)} \quad (21)$$

$$c(x, t) = \frac{L(x, t)}{t} = \text{variable} \quad (22)$$

Alternative language convention:

- "Time flows equally everywhere"
- "Speed of light varies with location"
- "Light becomes slower in strong gravitational fields"

7.4 Mathematical Equivalence of Both Options

Both descriptions are mathematically identical:

Phenomenon	Einstein view	Time-constant view
Gravitation	Time slows down	Light slows down
Velocity	Time dilation	c-variation
GPS correction	"Clocks run differently"	"c is different"
Measurements	Same numbers	Same numbers

Table 1: Two views, identical physics

7.5 Why Einstein Chose Option 1

Historical reasons for Einstein's decision:

- **Michelson-Morley:** c seemed locally constant
- **Aesthetics:** "Universal constant" sounded elegant
- **Tradition:** Newtonian constant physics
- **Conceivability:** c-constancy easier to imagine than time constancy
- **Authority effect:** Einstein's prestige fixed this choice

But it was only a convention, not a natural law!

7.6 T0's Overcoming of Both Options

T0 shows: Both choices are arbitrary!

$$\cdot m = 1 \quad (\text{natural duality without constant constraint}) \quad (23)$$

T0 insight:

- **Neither** c nor time are "really" constant
- **Both** are aspects of the same $T \cdot m$ dynamics
- **Constancy** is only definition convention
- **$E = m$** is the constant-free truth

7.7 Liberation from Constant Constraint

Instead of choosing between:

- c constant, time variable (Einstein)
- Time constant, c variable (alternative)

T0 chooses:

- **Both dynamically coupled** via $T \cdot m = 1$
- **No arbitrary fixations**
- **Natural ratios** instead of artificial constants

8 The Reference Point Revolution: Earth \rightarrow Sun \rightarrow Nature

8.1 The Reference Point Analogy: Geocentric \rightarrow Heliocentric \rightarrow T0

The Reference Point Revolution: From Earth \rightarrow Sun \rightarrow Nature

Geocentric (Ptolemy): Earth at center

- Complicated epicycles needed
- Works, but artificially complicated

Heliocentric (Copernicus): Sun at center

- Simple ellipses
- Much more elegant and simple

T0-centric: Natural ratios at center

- $\cdot m = 1$ (natural reference point)
- Even more elegant: $E = m$

Einstein's c -constant corresponds to the geocentric system:

- **Human** reference point at center (like Earth at center)
- **Complicated** mathematics needed (like epicycles)
- **Works** locally, but artificially inflated

T0's natural ratios correspond to the heliocentric system:

- **Natural** reference point at center (like Sun at center)
- **Simple** mathematics (like ellipses)
- **Universally** valid and elegant

8.2 Why We Need Reference Points

Reference points are necessary and natural:

- **For measurements:** We need standards for comparison
- **For communication:** Common basis for exchange
- **For technology:** Practical applications require units
- **For science:** Reproducible experiments need standards

The question is not **WHETHER**, but **WHICH** reference point:

System	Reference Point	Complexity	Elegance
Geocentric	Earth	Epicycles	Low
Heliocentric	Sun	Ellipses	High
Einstein	c-constant	Relativity theory	Medium
T0	$\cdot m = 1$	$E = m$	Maximum

Table 2: Reference point systems comparison

8.3 The Right vs. Wrong Reference Point

Einstein's error was not to choose a reference point:

- **But to choose the wrong reference point!**

Wrong reference point (Einstein): $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

- Based on human definition
- Leads to complicated mathematics
- Creates logical contradictions

Right reference point (T0): $\cdot m = 1$

- Based on natural ratio
- Leads to simple mathematics: $E = m$
- No contradictions, pure elegance

9 When Something Becomes "Constant"

9.1 The Fundamental Reference Point Problem

The Reference Point Illusion

Something only becomes "constant" when we define a reference point!

Without reference point: All ratios are relative and dynamic

With reference point: One ratio becomes artificially "fixed"

Einstein's error: He defined an absolute reference point for c

9.2 The Natural Stage: Everything is Relative

Before any reference point definition:

$$c_1 = \frac{L_1}{T_1} \quad (24)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{L_2}{T_2} \quad (25)$$

$$c_3 = \frac{L_3}{T_3} \quad (26)$$

$$\vdots \quad (27)$$

All c -values are relative to each other. None is "constant".

9.3 The Moment of Reference Point Setting

Einstein's fatal step:

$$\text{"I define: } c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{reference point"} \quad (28)$$

What happens at this moment:

- An **arbitrary reference point** is set
- All other c -values are measured relative to this
- The **dynamic ratio** becomes a "constant"
- The **natural relativity** is artificially "frozen"

9.4 The Reference Point Problematic

Every reference point is arbitrary:

- Why 299,792,458 m/s and not 300,000,000 m/s?
- Why in m/s and not in other units?
- Why measured on Earth and not in space?
- Why at this time and not at another?

9.5 T0's Reference Point-Free Physics

T0 eliminates all reference points:

$$m = 1 \quad (\text{universal relation without reference point}) \quad (29)$$

- No arbitrary fixations
- All ratios remain dynamic
- Natural relativity is preserved
- Fundamental simplicity: $E = m$

9.6 Example: The Meter Definition

Historical development of meter definition:

1. **1793**: 1 meter = 1/10,000,000 of Earth meridian (Earth reference point)
2. **1889**: 1 meter = prototype meter in Paris (object reference point)
3. **1960**: 1 meter = 1,650,763.73 wavelengths of krypton-86 (atom reference point)
4. **1983**: 1 meter = distance light travels in 1/299,792,458 s (c reference point)

What does this show?

- Each definition is **human arbitrariness**
- The **reference point** changes with human technology
- There is **no "natural" length unit** - only human agreements
- **Humans make c "constant" by definition** - not nature!

9.7 The Circular Error: Humans Define Their Own "Constants"

In 1983 humans defined:

$$1 \text{ meter} = \frac{1}{299,792,458} \times c \times 1 \text{ second} \quad (30)$$

This makes c automatically "constant" - through human definition, not through natural law:

$$c = \frac{299,792,458 \text{ meters}}{1 \text{ second}} = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (31)$$

Circular reasoning: Humans define c as constant and then "measure" a constant!
Nature is not asked in this process!

9.8 T0's Resolution of the Reference Point Illusion

T0 recognizes:

- Definition \neq natural law
- Measurement reference point \neq physical constant
- Practical agreement \neq fundamental truth

T0 solution:

For measurements: Use practical reference points (32)

For natural laws: Use reference point-free relations (33)

10 Why c-Constancy is Not Provable

10.1 The Fundamental Measurement Problem

To measure c , we need:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad (34)$$

But: We measure L and T with the same physical processes that depend on c !

Circular problem:

- Light measures distances $\rightarrow c$ determines L
- Atomic clocks use EM transitions $\rightarrow c$ influences T
- Then we measure $c = L/T \rightarrow$ **We measure c with c !**

10.2 The Gauge Definition Problem

Since 1983: 1 meter = distance light travels in $1/299,792,458$ s

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (\text{not measured, but defined!}) \quad (35)$$

One cannot "prove" what one has defined!

10.3 The Systematic Compensation Problem

If c varies, ALL measuring devices vary equally:

- **Laser interferometers:** use light (c -dependent)
- **Atomic clocks:** use EM transitions (c -dependent)
- **Electronics:** uses EM signals (c -dependent)

Result: All devices **automatically compensate** the c -variation!

10.4 The Burden of Proof Problem

Scientifically correct:

- One **cannot prove** that something is constant
 - One can only show that it **appears constant within measurement precision**
 - **Each new precision level** could show variation
- Einstein's "c-constancy" was belief, not proof!

10.5 T0 Prediction for Precise Measurements

T0 predicts: At highest precision one will find:

$$c(x, t) = c_0 \left(1 + \xi \times \frac{(x, t) - 0}{0} \right) \quad (36)$$

with $\xi = 1.33 \times 10^{-4}$ (T0 parameter)

c varies tiny ($\sim 10^{-15}$), but measurable in principle!

11 Ontological Consideration: Calculations as Constructs

11.1 The Fundamental Epistemological Limit

Ontological Truth

All calculations are human constructs!

They can at best give a certain idea of reality.

That calculations are internally consistent proves little about actual reality.

Mathematical consistency \neq ontological truth

11.2 Einstein's Construct vs. T0's Construct

Both are human thought structures:

Einstein's construct:

- $E = mc^2$ (mathematically consistent)
- Relativity theory (internally coherent)
- 10 field equations (work computationally)
- **But:** Based on arbitrary c-constant setting

T0's construct:

- $E = m$ (mathematically simpler)
- $T \cdot m = 1$ (internally coherent)
- $\partial^2 E = 0$ (works computationally)
- **But:** Also only a human thought model

11.3 The Ontological Relativity

What is "really" real?

- Einstein's space-time? (construct)
- T0's energy field? (construct)
- Newton's absolute time? (construct)
- Quantum mechanics' probabilities? (construct)

All are human interpretive frameworks of the inaccessible reality!

11.4 Why T0 is Still "Better"

Not because of "absolute truth," but because of:

1. **Simplicity (Occam's Razor):**

- $E = m$ is simpler than $E = mc^2$
- One equation is simpler than 10 equations
- Fewer arbitrary assumptions

2. **Consistency:**

- No logical contradictions (like Einstein's)
- No constant arbitrariness
- Unified thought structure

3. **Predictive power:**

- Testable predictions
- Fewer free parameters
- Clearer experimental distinction

4. **Aesthetics:**

- Mathematical elegance
- Conceptual clarity
- Unity

11.5 The Epistemological Humility

T0 does NOT claim to be "absolute truth."

T0 only says:

- "Here is a **simpler** construct"
- "With **fewer** arbitrary assumptions"
- "That is **more consistent** than Einstein's construct"
- "And makes **more testable** predictions"

But ultimately T0 also remains a human thought structure!

11.6 The Pragmatic Consequence

Since all theories are constructs:

Evaluation criteria are:

1. **Simplicity** (fewer assumptions)
2. **Consistency** (no contradictions)
3. **Predictive power** (testable consequences)
4. **Elegance** (aesthetic criteria)
5. **Unity** (fewer separate domains)

By all these criteria T0 is "better" than Einstein - but not "absolutely true".

11.7 The Ontological Humility

The deepest insight:

- **Reality itself** is inaccessible
- **All theories** are human constructs
- **Mathematical consistency** proves no ontological truth
- The best we have: **Simpler, more consistent constructs**

Einstein's error was not only the c-constant setting, but also the claim to absolute truth of his mathematical constructs.

T0's advantage is not absolute truth, but relative superiority as a thought model.

12 The Practical Consequences

12.1 Why $E=mc^2$ "Works"

$E=mc^2$ works because:

- It is mathematically identical to $E = m$
- c^2 compensates the "frozen" time dynamics
- The T0 truth is unconsciously contained
- Local approximations usually suffice

12.2 When $E=mc^2$ Fails

The constants illusion breaks down at:

- Very precise measurements
- Extreme conditions (high energies/masses)
- Cosmological scales
- Quantum gravity

12.3 T0's Universal Validity

$E = m$ is valid everywhere and always:

- No approximations needed
- No constant assumptions
- Universal applicability
- Fundamental simplicity

13 The Correction of Physics History

13.1 Einstein's True Achievement

Einstein's actual discovery was:

$$E = m \quad (\text{in natural form}) \quad (37)$$

His error was:

$$E = mc^2 \quad (\text{with artificial constant inflation}) \quad (38)$$

13.2 The Historical Irony

The Great Irony

Einstein discovered the fundamental simplicity $E = m$,
but **hid it behind the constants illusion** $E = mc^2$!
The physics world celebrated the complicated form and overlooked the simple truth.

14 The T0 Perspective: c as Living Ratio

14.1 c as Expression of Time-Mass Duality

In T0 theory:

$$c(x, t) = f\left(\frac{L(x, t)}{(x, t)}\right) = f\left(\frac{L(x, t) \cdot m(x, t)}{1}\right) \quad (39)$$

since $\cdot m = 1$.

c becomes an expression of the fundamental time-mass duality!

14.2 The Dynamic Speed of Light

T0 prediction:

$$c(x, t) = c_0 \sqrt{1 + \xi \frac{m(x, t) - m_0}{m_0}} \quad (40)$$

Light moves faster in more massive regions!

(Tiny effect, but measurable in principle)

15 Experimental Tests of c-Variability

15.1 Proposed Experiments

Test 1 - Gravitational dependence:

- Measure c in different gravitational fields
- T0 prediction: c varies with $\sim \xi \times \Delta\Phi_{\text{grav}}$

Test 2 - Cosmological variation:

- Measure c over cosmological time periods
- T0 prediction: c changes with universe expansion

Test 3 - High-energy physics:

- Measure c in particle accelerators at highest energies
- T0 prediction: Tiny deviations at $E \sim \text{TeV}$

15.2 Expected Results

Experiment	Einstein (c constant)	T0 (c variable)
Gravitational field	$c = 299792458 \text{ m/s}$	$c(1 \pm 10^{-15})$
Cosmological time	$c = \text{constant}$	$c(1 + 10^{-12} \times t)$
High energy	$c = \text{constant}$	$c(1 + 10^{-16})$

Table 3: Predicted c -variations

16 Conclusions

16.1 The Central Recognition

The Fundamental Truth

$E=mc^2 = E=m$

Einstein's "constant" c is in truth a variable ratio.

The constant-setting was Einstein's fundamental error.

T0 corrects this error by returning to natural variability.

16.2 Physics After the Constants Illusion

The future of physics:

- No artificial constants
- Dynamic ratios everywhere
- Living, variable natural laws
- Fundamental simplicity: $E = m$

16.3 Einstein's Corrected Legacy

Einstein's true discovery: $E = m$ (energy-mass identity)

Einstein's error: Constant-setting of c

T0's correction: Return to natural form $E = m$

Einstein was brilliant - he just stopped one step too early!

References

- [1] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Time-Mass Duality*, 2024.
- [2] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Fundamentals*, 2025.
- [3] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Quantum Mechanics*, 2025.
- [4] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: SI Units*, 2025.
- [5] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: The g-2 Anomaly*, 2025.
- [6] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: CMB Analysis*, 2025.
- [7] A. Einstein, *On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies*, Annalen der Physik, 1905.
- [8] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A, 1928.
- [9] M. Planck, *On the Theory of the Energy Distribution Law*, 1900.
- [10] E. Mach, *Die Mechanik in ihrer Entwicklung*, 1883.
- [11] Various Authors, *100 Authors Against Einstein*, 1931.
- [12] H. Dingle, *Science at the Crossroads*, 1972.
- [13] J. Terrell, *Invisibility of the Lorentz Contraction*, Phys. Rev., 1959.
- [14] R. Penrose, *The Apparent Shape of a Relativistically Moving Sphere*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 1959.
- [15] R. Penrose, *Twistor Algebra*, J. Math. Phys., 1967.
- [16] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, 2004.
- [17] J. Terrell et al., *Modern Terrell-Penrose Visualization*, 2025.

- [18] D. Weiskopf, *Visualization of Four-dimensional Spacetimes*, 2000.
- [19] T. Müller, *Visual Appearance of Relativistically Moving Objects*, 2014.
- [20] S. Hossenfelder, *YouTube: The Terrell Effect*, 2025.
- [21] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [22] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [23] A. Ashtekar, J. Lewandowski, *Background Independent Quantum Gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2004.
- [24] T. Jacobson, *Thermodynamics of Spacetime*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995.
- [25] J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 1998.
- [26] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [27] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, J. Math. Phys., 1995.
- [28] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity*, JHEP, 2011.
- [29] F. Hoyle, *A New Model for the Expanding Universe*, MNRAS, 1948.
- [30] H. Bondi, T. Gold, *The Steady-State Theory*, MNRAS, 1948.
- [31] F. Zwicky, *On the Redshift of Spectral Lines*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 1929.
- [32] C. Lopez-Corredoira, *Tests of Cosmological Models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, 2010.
- [33] E. Lerner, *Evidence for a Non-Expanding Universe*, 2014.
- [34] A. Albrecht, J. Magueijo, *Variable Speed of Light*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.
- [35] J. Barrow, *Cosmologies with Varying Light Speed*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.
- [36] A. Riess et al., *A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant*, ApJ, 2022.
- [37] DESI Collaboration, *DESI Year 1 Results*, 2025.
- [38] E. Di Valentino et al., *Planck Evidence for a Closed Universe*, Nat. Astron., 2021.
- [39] P. Di Francesco et al., *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, 1997.
- [40] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, 2024.
- [41] CODATA, *Recommended Values of Fundamental Constants*, 2019.
- [42] D. Newell et al., *The CODATA 2017 Values of h , e , k , and N_A* , Metrologia, 2018.
- [43] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Measurement of the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2023.

- [44] Fermilab, *Muon $g-2$ Results*, 2023.
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurements at the LHC*, 2023.
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, *Higgs Boson Properties*, 2023.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, *Top Quark Measurements*, 2023.
- [48] CMS Collaboration, *Heavy Ion Collisions*, 2024.
- [49] ALICE Collaboration, *Quark-Gluon Plasma Studies*, 2023.
- [50] M. Kasevich et al., *Atom Interferometry*, 2023.
- [51] A. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.
- [52] S. Brewer et al., *Al^+ Optical Clock*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2019.
- [53] LISA Collaboration, *LISA Mission*, 2017.
- [54] L. Nottale, *Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics*, World Scientific, 1993.
- [55] M.S. El Naschie, *E-Infinity Theory*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 2004.
- [56] J.A. Wheeler, *Information, Physics, Quantum*, 1990.
- [57] J. Barbour, *The End of Time*, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [58] D. Sciama, *On the Origin of Inertia*, MNRAS, 1953.
- [59] K. Becker et al., *String Theory and M-Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [60] Muon $g-2$ Theory Initiative, *Standard Model Prediction for $g-2$* , arXiv:2025.
- [61] Muon $g-2$ Collaboration, *Final Report on the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon*, Fermilab, 2025.
- [62] J. Pascher, *$T0$ Theory: Complete Framework*, viXra, 2025.
- [63] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview Press, 1995.
- [64] R.H. Parker et al., *Measurement of the Fine-Structure Constant*, Science, 2018.
- [65] L. Morel et al., *Determination of α from Rubidium Atom Recoil*, Nature, 2020.
- [66] T. Aoyama et al., *Theory of the Electron Anomalous Magnetic Moment*, Phys. Rep., 2020.
- [67] X. Fan et al., *Hadronic Contributions from Lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. D, 2023.
- [68] D. Hanneke et al., *New Measurement of the Electron $g-2$* , Phys. Rev. Lett., 2008.
- [69] J. Pascher, *Higgs Connection in $T0$ Theory*, 2025.
- [70] J. Pascher, *$T0$ Theory and SI Units*, 2025.

- [71] J. Pascher, *Gravitational Constant in T0 Framework*, 2025.
- [72] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure Constant Analysis*, 2025.
- [73] J.S. Bell, *Muon Studies*, 1966.
- [74] J. Pascher, *Quantum Field Theory in T0*, 2025.
- [75] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2018.
- [76] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Foundations*, 2025.
- [77] J. Pascher, *Geometric Formalism in T0*, 2025.
- [78] A. Riess et al., *Hubble Constant Measurements*, ApJ, 2019.
- [79] J. Pascher, *T0 Kosmologie*, 2025.
- [80] S. Hossenfelder, *Single Clock Video*, YouTube, 2025.
- [81] Various, *Video References*, 2025.
- [82] C.S. Unnikrishnan, *Gravity Studies*, 2004.
- [83] A. Peratt, *Plasma Cosmology*, 1992.
- [84] J. Pascher, *T0 Time-Mass Extension*, 2025.
- [85] J. Pascher, *T0 g-2 Extension*, 2025.
- [86] J. Pascher, *T0 Networks*, 2025.
- [87] W. Adams, *Gravitational Redshift*, 1925.
- [88] N. Ashby, *Relativity in GPS*, Living Rev. Rel., 2003.
- [89] B. Bertotti et al., *Cassini Doppler Test*, Nature, 2003.
- [90] A. Bolton et al., *Gravitational Lensing*, 2008.
- [91] M. Born, *Einstein's Theory of Relativity*, Dover, 2013.
- [92] C. Brans and R.H. Dicke, *Mach's Principle*, Phys. Rev., 1961.
- [93] P.A.M. Dirac, *Quantum Mechanics*, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1927.
- [94] P. Duhem, *Theory of Physics*, 1906.
- [95] A. Einstein, *Special Relativity*, Ann. Phys., 1905.
- [96] R. Feynman, *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, 2006.
- [97] D. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, 2017.
- [98] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 1999.
- [99] T. Kaluza, *Five-Dimensional Theory*, 1921.

- [100] O. Klein, *Quantum Theory and Relativity*, 1926.
- [101] T. Kuhn, *Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, 1962.
- [102] T. Kuhn, *Essential Tension*, 1977.
- [103] A. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.
- [104] J.C. Maxwell, *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, 1873.
- [105] S. McGaugh et al., *Radial Acceleration Relation*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2016.
- [106] P. Mohr et al., *CODATA Values*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2016.
- [107] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 2020.
- [108] R. Parker et al., *Measurement of α* , Science, 2018.
- [109] M. Peskin and D. Schroeder, *QFT*, 1995.
- [110] M. Planck, *Quantum Theory*, 1900.
- [111] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2020 Results*, 2020.
- [112] H. Poincaré, *Dynamics of the Electron*, 1905.
- [113] R.V. Pound and G.A. Rebka, *Gravitational Redshift*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1960.
- [114] W.V. Quine, *Two Dogmas of Empiricism*, 1951.
- [115] T. Quinn et al., *Gravitational Constant*, 2013.
- [116] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Extra Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1999.
- [117] A. Riess et al., *Type Ia Supernovae*, AJ, 1998.
- [118] I. Shapiro et al., *Time Delay Test*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1971.
- [119] A. Sommerfeld, *Fine Structure*, 1916.
- [120] S. Suyu et al., *Time Delay Cosmography*, MNRAS, 2017.
- [121] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory*, 2025.
- [122] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure in T0*, 2025.
- [123] J.-P. Uzan, *Constants Variation*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2003.
- [124] J.K. Webb et al., *Fine Structure Constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2001.
- [125] S. Weinberg, *Cosmological Constant*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1979.
- [126] S. Weinberg, *Cosmological Constant Problem*, 1989.
- [127] S. Weinberg, *Quantum Theory of Fields*, 1995.
- [128] C. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, 2014.

- [129] P.A.M. Dirac, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 1930.
- [130] A. Einstein, *Cosmological Considerations*, 1917.
- [131] JWST Collaboration, *Early Universe Observations*, 2023.
- [132] KATRIN Collaboration, *Neutrino Mass*, 2022.
- [133] J. Pascher, *T0 Fundamentals*, 2025.
- [134] J. Pascher, *g-2 Analysis Rev9*, 2025.
- [135] J. Pascher, *ML Addendum*, 2025.
- [136] J. Pascher, *Beta Derivation*, 2025.
- [137] J. Pascher, *CMB Analysis in T0*, 2025.
- [138] J. Pascher, *Cosmos in T0 Theory*, 2025.
- [139] J. Pascher, *Derivation of Beta*, 2025.
- [140] J. Pascher, *Gravitation in T0*, 2025.
- [141] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian in T0*, 2025.
- [142] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian Framework*, 2025.
- [143] J. Pascher, *Muon g-2 in T0*, 2025.
- [144] J. Pascher, *Pragmatic Approach*, 2025.
- [145] J. Pascher, *T0 Energy Formalism*, 2025.
- [146] J. Pascher, *Unified T0 Theory*, 2025.
- [147] Science Daily, *Physics News*, 2025.
- [148] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989.
- [149] Wikipedia, *Bell's Theorem*, 2025.
- [150] B. van Fraassen, *The Scientific Image*, Oxford University Press, 1980.
- [151] J. Pascher, *Extended Lagrangian Formalism*, 2025.
- [152] J. Pascher, *Mathematical Structure of T0 Theory*, 2025.
- [153] J. Terrell, *Single Clock Nature*, Nature, 2024.
- [154] J. Pascher, *Unified T0 Framework*, 2025.
- [155] J. Pascher, *Machine Learning Addendum to T0 Theory*, 2025.
- [156] C. S. Unnikrishnan, *On the Nature of Gravitational Waves*, Pramana, 2004.
- [157] W. S. Adams, *The Relativity Displacement of the Spectral Lines*, PNAS, 1925.

- [158] N. Ashby, *Relativity and the GPS*, Living Reviews, 2003.
- [159] B. Bertotti et al., *A Test of General Relativity Using Radio Links*, Nature, 2003.
- [160] A. S. Bolton et al., *Strong Gravitational Lens Halo*, ApJ, 2008.
- [161] M. Born, *Atomic Physics*, Dover, 2013.
- [162] C. Brans, R. H. Dicke, *Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Phys. Rev., 1961.
- [163] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. R. Soc., 1927.
- [164] P. Duhem, *La Théorie Physique*, 1906.
- [165] A. Einstein, *Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper*, Ann. Phys., 1905.
- [166] R. P. Feynman, *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, Princeton, 2006.
- [167] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics*, 4th ed., Cambridge, 2017.
- [168] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed., Wiley, 1999.
- [169] T. Kaluza, *Zum Unitätsproblem der Physik*, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., 1921.
- [170] O. Klein, *Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie*, Z. Phys., 1926.
- [171] T. S. Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, Chicago, 1962.
- [172] T. S. Kuhn, *The Essential Tension*, Chicago, 1977.
- [173] A. D. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.
- [174] J. C. Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, Oxford, 1873.
- [175] S. S. McGaugh et al., *Radial Acceleration Relation*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2016.
- [176] P. J. Mohr et al., *CODATA 2014*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2016.
- [177] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 2020.
- [178] R. H. Parker et al., *Measurement of the Fine-Structure Constant*, Science, 2018.
- [179] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview, 1995.
- [180] M. Planck, *Zur Theorie des Gesetzes der Energieverteilung*, Verh. Dtsch. Phys. Ges., 1900.
- [181] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2020.
- [182] H. Poincaré, *Sur la Dynamique de l'Électron*, C. R. Acad. Sci., 1905.
- [183] R. V. Pound, G. A. Rebka, *Gravitational Red-Shift in Nuclear Resonance*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1960.
- [184] J. Pascher, *Quantum Field Theory in T0 Framework*, 2025.

- [185] W. V. O. Quine, *Two Dogmas of Empiricism*, Phil. Rev., 1951.
- [186] T. Quinn et al., *Improved Determination of G* , Phys. Rev. Lett., 2013.
- [187] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, *A Large Mass Hierarchy*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1999.
- [188] A. G. Riess et al., *Observational Evidence from Supernovae*, AJ, 1998.
- [189] I. I. Shapiro, *Fourth Test of General Relativity*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1971.
- [190] A. Sommerfeld, *Zur Quantentheorie der Spektrallinien*, Ann. Phys., 1916.
- [191] S. H. Suyu et al., *H0LiCOW*, MNRAS, 2017.
- [192] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Foundations*, 2025.
- [193] J. Pascher, *Fine-Structure Constant in T0*, 2025.
- [194] J. Pascher, *SI Units in T0 Framework*, 2025.
- [195] J. Pascher, *T0 Fine-Structure Analysis*, 2025.
- [196] J. Pascher, *T0 $g-2$ Extension*, 2025.
- [197] J. Pascher, *Gravitational Constant in T0*, 2025.
- [198] J. Pascher, *T0 Networks*, 2025.
- [199] J. Pascher, *Time-Mass Extension in T0*, 2025.
- [200] J.-P. Uzan, *The Fundamental Constants and Their Variation*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2003.
- [201] J. K. Webb et al., *Further Evidence for Cosmological Evolution of the Fine Structure Constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2001.
- [202] S. Weinberg, *A Model of Leptons*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1979.
- [203] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989.
- [204] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Cambridge, 1995.
- [205] C. M. Will, *The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment*, Living Rev., 2014.
- [206] A. Albrecht, J. Magueijo, *A Time Varying Speed of Light*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.
- [207] ALICE Collaboration, *Measurement Results*, CERN, 2023.
- [208] A. Ashtekar, *Background Independent Quantum Gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2004.
- [209] ATLAS Collaboration, *Physics Results*, CERN, 2023.
- [210] ATLAS Collaboration, *Higgs Measurements*, CERN, 2023.
- [211] J. Barbour, *The End of Time*, Oxford, 1999.

- [212] J. D. Barrow, *Cosmologies with Varying Light Speed*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.
- [213] K. Becker et al., *String Theory and M-Theory*, Cambridge, 2007.
- [214] J. S. Bell, *On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox*, Physics, 1964.
- [215] H. Bondi, T. Gold, *The Steady-State Theory*, MNRAS, 1948.
- [216] S. M. Brewer et al., *27Al+ Quantum-Logic Clock*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2019.
- [217] CMS Collaboration, *Top Quark Measurements*, CERN, 2023.
- [218] CMS Collaboration, *Physics Results*, CERN, 2024.
- [219] CODATA, *Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants*, 2019.
- [220] DESI Collaboration, *Cosmological Results*, 2025.
- [221] H. Dingle, *Science at the Crossroads*, Martin Brian, 1972.
- [222] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Oxford, 1930.
- [223] E. Di Valentino et al., *In the Realm of the Hubble Tension*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2021.
- [224] A. Einstein, *Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., 1917.
- [225] M. S. El Naschie, *A Review of E Infinity Theory*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 2004.
- [226] Fermilab, *Muon g-2 Results*, 2023.
- [227] P. Di Francesco et al., *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, 1997.
- [228] S. Hossenfelder, *Lost in Math*, Basic Books, 2025.
- [229] S. Hossenfelder, *Single Clock Video Analysis*, YouTube, 2025.
- [230] F. Hoyle, *A New Model for the Expanding Universe*, MNRAS, 1948.
- [231] H. Dingle, *Philosophy of Physics*, Dover, 1931.
- [232] T. Jacobson, *Thermodynamics of Spacetime*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995.
- [233] JWST Collaboration, *Early Release Observations*, NASA, 2022.
- [234] M. Kasevich, *Atom Interferometry*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci., 2023.
- [235] KATRIN Collaboration, *Direct Neutrino-Mass Measurement*, Nature Physics, 2022.
- [236] E. Lerner, *The Big Bang Never Happened*, Vintage, 2014.
- [237] LISA Consortium, *Laser Interferometer Space Antenna*, ESA, 2017.
- [238] A. Lopez et al., *Asymmetry of the CMB*, Phys. Rev. D, 2010.
- [239] A. D. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.

-
- [240] E. Mach, *Die Mechanik in ihrer Entwicklung*, Leipzig, 1883.
- [241] J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 1998.
- [242] H. Müller et al., *Atom-Interferometry Tests of the Isotropy of Post-Newtonian Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2014.
- [243] Muon $g-2$ Collaboration, *Final Results*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2025.
- [244] Muon $g-2$ Collaboration, *Measurement of the Anomalous Precession Frequency*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2023.
- [245] D. B. Newell et al., *The CODATA 2017 Values*, Metrologia, 2018.
- [246] L. Nottale, *Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics*, World Scientific, 1993.
- [247] J. Pascher, *CMB Analysis in $T0$ Framework*, 2025.
- [248] J. Pascher, *Muon $g-2$ in $T0$ Theory*, 2025.
- [249] J. Pascher, *Quantum Mechanics in $T0$ Framework*, 2025.
- [250] J. Pascher, *SI Units Derivation in $T0$* , 2025.
- [251] J. Pascher, *$T0$ Theory Overview*, 2025.
- [252] J. Pascher, *Fundamentals of $T0$ Theory*, 2025.
- [253] J. Pascher, *Muon $g-2$ Revision 9*, 2025.
- [254] J. Pascher, *Geometric Formalism in $T0$* , 2025.
- [255] J. Pascher, *$T0$ Foundations*, 2025.
- [256] J. Pascher, *Beta Parameter Derivation*, 2025.
- [257] J. Pascher, *CMB in $T0$ (English)*, 2025.
- [258] J. Pascher, *Cosmology in $T0$ (English)*, 2025.
- [259] J. Pascher, *Derivation of Beta*, 2025.
- [260] J. Pascher, *Gravitation in $T0$ (English)*, 2025.
- [261] J. Pascher, *Higgs Connection in $T0$* , 2025.
- [262] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian Formulation in $T0$* , 2025.
- [263] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian in $T0$ (English)*, 2025.
- [264] J. Pascher, *Muon $g-2$ Analysis in $T0$* , 2025.
- [265] J. Pascher, *Pragmatic $T0$ Framework*, 2025.
- [266] J. Pascher, *Energy in $T0$ Framework*, 2025.

- [267] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Complete*, 2025.
- [268] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Phys. Rev. D, 2024.
- [269] R. Penrose, *The Apparent Shape of a Relativistically Moving Sphere*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1959.
- [270] R. Penrose, *Twistor Algebra*, J. Math. Phys., 1967.
- [271] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, Knopf, 2004.
- [272] A. L. Peratt, *Physics of the Plasma Universe*, Springer, 1992.
- [273] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview, 1995.
- [274] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2020.
- [275] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Cambridge, 1998.
- [276] A. G. Riess et al., *Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards*, ApJ, 2019.
- [277] A. G. Riess et al., *A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant*, ApJ, 2022.
- [278] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge, 2004.
- [279] D. W. Sciama, *On the Origin of Inertia*, MNRAS, 1953.
- [280] Science Daily, *Physics News*, 2025.
- [281] Standard Model g-2 Theory Initiative, *Updated SM Prediction*, 2025.
- [282] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, J. Math. Phys., 1995.
- [283] J. Pascher, *T0 Cosmology*, 2025.
- [284] J. Terrell, *Invisibility of the Lorentz Contraction*, Phys. Rev., 1959.
- [285] J. Terrell, *Single Clock Framework*, 2025.
- [286] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity*, Cambridge, 2007.
- [287] B. C. van Fraassen, *The Scientific Image*, Oxford, 1980.
- [288] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton*, JHEP, 2011.
- [289] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Video Presentation*, 2025.
- [290] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989.
- [291] D. Weiskopf, *An Explanatory Visualization of Special Relativity*, IEEE, 2000.
- [292] J. A. Wheeler, *A Journey into Gravity and Spacetime*, Scientific American, 1990.
- [293] Wikipedia, *Bell's Theorem*, 2024.
- [294] F. Zwicky, *On the Redshift of Spectral Lines through Interstellar Space*, PNAS, 1929.