Temperature Units in Natural Units: Field-Theoretic Foundations and CMB Analysis (Nullpoint-Based Universal Methodology)

Johann Pascher

July 23, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of temperature units in natural unit systems within the field-theoretic framework of the T0 model. We establish the nullpoint-based universal methodology where characteristic scales are determined from quantum mechanical ground states rather than cosmological distance assumptions. The analysis reveals that CMB manifestations follow field-theoretic energy scaling with characteristic temperatures derived from universal energy field properties. All derivations maintain strict dimensional consistency and are based on first-principles field theory without free parameters. The approach eliminates dependence on uncertain cosmological distance measurements while preserving robust local physics predictions.

Contents

1 Introduction and Theoretical Framework

1.1 The T0 Model Foundation

The T0 model is based on the fundamental time field $T(x)$ which satisfies the field equation:

$$\nabla^2 m(x,t) = 4\pi G\rho(x,t) \cdot m(x,t) \tag{1}$$

where the time field is defined through:

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{\max(m(x,t),\omega)} \tag{2}$$

Dimensional verification in natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$):

- $[\nabla^2 m] = [E^2][E] = [E^3]$
- $[4\pi G\rho m] = [1][E^{-2}][E^4][E] = [E^3]$ ✓
- $[T(x)] = [1/E] = [E^{-1}]$ ✓

1.2 Nullpoint-Based Scale Determination

Nullpoint-Based Universal Methodology

Key Principle: All T0 scale determinations derive from quantum mechanical ground states and fundamental physics constants rather than cosmological distance assumptions. This approach eliminates circular dependencies on uncertain distance measurements while maintaining rigorous theoretical foundations.

The T0 model employs scales determined from fundamental physics:
Particle Physics Scale (directly measurable):

$$\xi_{\text{particle}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{(from muon g-2)} \tag{3}$$

$$r_{0,\text{particle}} = \xi_{\text{particle}} \times \ell_P \tag{4}$$

$$\beta_{\text{particle}} = \frac{r_{0,\text{particle}}}{r} \tag{5}$$

Universal Field Scale (from quantum ground states):

$$T_{\text{universal}} \approx 1.8 \text{ K} \quad \text{(quantum limit temperature)} \tag{6}$$

$$\xi_{\text{universal}} = \left(\frac{T_{\text{universal}} \times 2\pi}{k_B E_P}\right)^4 \times \frac{4}{3} \tag{7}$$

where E_P is the Planck energy and k_B the Boltzmann constant.

2 Natural Unit Systems and Dimensional Analysis

2.1 Unified Natural Unit Framework

In the T0 natural unit system:

$$\hbar = 1 \tag{8}$$
$$c = 1 \tag{9}$$
$$k_B = 1 \tag{10}$$
$$G = 1 \tag{11}$$
$$\beta_{\text{T}} = 1 \quad \text{(field-theoretically derived)} \tag{12}$$
$$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1 \quad \text{(local scale normalization)} \tag{13}$$

This system reduces all physics to energy dimensions:

$$[L] = [E^{-1}] \tag{14}$$
$$[T] = [E^{-1}] \tag{15}$$
$$[M] = [E] \tag{16}$$
$$[T_{\text{temp}}] = [E] \tag{17}$$

2.2 Scale-Dependent Parameter Relations

The fundamental insight is that the geometric factor 4/3 remains universal, while the scale ratio varies:

$$\xi(\text{scale}) = \frac{4}{3} \times \left(\frac{r_{\text{characteristic}}(\text{scale})}{\ell_P}\right) \tag{18}$$

For different physical regimes:

$$\xi_{\text{particle}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{(laboratory confirmed)} \tag{19}$$
$$\xi_{\text{universal}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-20} \quad \text{(nullpoint derived)} \tag{20}$$

3 Energy Scale Foundations

3.1 Quantum Ground State Determination

Rather than relying on cosmological distance measurements, the universal scale is determined from fundamental quantum limits:

Quantum mechanical constraints:

- Zero-point energy: $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$
- Heisenberg uncertainty: $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \frac{1}{2}\hbar$
- Experimentally achievable temperatures: $T_{\text{min}} \sim 10^{-15}$ K

Universal ground temperature: The characteristic temperature $T_{\text{universal}} \approx 1.8$ K emerges from:

- Cosmic neutrino background: ~ 1.9 K
- Interstellar medium minima: $\sim 1 - 3$ K
- Quantum field vacuum fluctuations

3.2 Field Energy Scaling

The T0 field equation relates energy scales through:

$$E_{\text{characteristic}} = \frac{T_{\text{characteristic}}}{k_B} \tag{21}$$

Leading to the scale ratio:

$$\frac{r_{\text{characteristic}}}{\ell_P} = \left(\frac{E_{\text{characteristic}} \times 2\pi}{E_P}\right)^{1/4} \tag{22}$$

4 Field Equations and Universal Solutions

4.1 Scale-Independent Field Formulation

The fundamental field equation maintains its form across all scales:

Field equation:

$$\nabla^2 m(r) = 4\pi G\rho(r) \cdot m(r) \tag{23}$$

Universal solution structure:

$$T(x)(r) = \frac{1}{m}\left(1 - \frac{r_0(\text{scale})}{r}\right) \tag{24}$$

where $r_0(\text{scale})$ is determined by the appropriate physical regime.

4.2 Geometric Consistency

The universal geometric factor $\frac{4}{3}$ derives from three-dimensional space geometry:

$$\frac{4}{3} = \frac{V_{\text{sphere}}}{V_{\text{cube}}} \times \text{normalization} \tag{25}$$

This factor remains invariant across all scales, ensuring geometric consistency from particle to cosmological physics.

5 Energy Manifestations and Field Interactions

5.1 Local vs Universal Energy Scales

The T0 model distinguishes between directly measurable local effects and universal field manifestations:

Local scale (particle physics):

- Muon anomalous magnetic moment: confirmed at $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$
- Electromagnetic couplings: laboratory verified
- Yukawa interactions: experimentally accessible

Universal scale (field manifestations):

- Background energy field density
- Cosmic microwave signatures
- Large-scale field gradients

5.2 Field Interaction Mechanisms

Energy loss through field interactions follows:

$$\frac{dE}{dr} = -g_T(\text{scale})\omega^2 \frac{2G}{r^2} \tag{26}$$

where $g_T(\text{scale})$ depends on the characteristic scale of the system.

6 Cosmic Microwave Field Analysis

6.1 Field-Theoretic Interpretation

Rather than interpreting cosmic microwave radiation as thermal emission from an expanding universe, the T0 model treats it as a manifestation of the universal energy field:

$$\rho_{\text{field}}(\nu) = \frac{4}{3} \times \xi_{\text{universal}} \times f(\nu, T_{\text{characteristic}}) \tag{27}$$

where $f(\nu, T_{\text{characteristic}})$ describes the field's spectral characteristics.

6.2 Energy Field Temperature Characteristics

The observed 2.725 K "temperature" represents the characteristic energy scale of the universal field:

$$T_{\text{characteristic}} = \left(\xi_{\text{universal}}^{1/4} \times \frac{E_P}{2\pi}\right) \times k_B^{-1} \tag{28}$$

With $\xi_{\text{universal}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-20}$:

$$T_{\text{characteristic}} \approx 2.7 \text{ K} \tag{29}$$

6.3 Spectral Consistency

The universal energy field produces spectral distributions that closely approximate blackbody characteristics without requiring thermal equilibrium assumptions:

Frequency (GHz)	Wavelength (mm)	Field Coupling	Relative Intensity
30	10.0	Minimal	1.000
100	3.0	Standard	1.000
217	1.38	Standard	1.000
353	0.85	Standard	1.000
857	0.35	Minimal	1.000

Table 1: Universal field spectral characteristics

7 Physical Implications and Observational Consequences

7.1 Static Universe Framework

Static Universe Paradigm

The T0 model operates within a static universe framework where:

- No spatial expansion or contraction
- Universal energy field provides cosmic structure
- Observed redshifts result from energy field interactions
- Distance-independent cosmic time
- Preserved surface brightness relationships

7.2 Galactic Dynamics Without Dark Matter

Modified gravitational dynamics emerge naturally from field interactions:

$$v^2_{\text{rotation}}(r) = \frac{GM(r)}{r} + \xi_{\text{universal}}\frac{r^2}{\ell_P^2} \times v^2_{\text{characteristic}} \tag{30}$$

The second term provides the observed flat rotation curves without requiring dark matter.

7.3 Energy Field Gradients and Structure

Large-scale structure formation occurs through energy field gradient interactions:

- Field density variations create effective gravitational potentials
- No expansion-driven structure suppression
- Natural explanation for observed cosmic web patterns
- Elimination of dark energy requirements

8 Experimental Accessibility and Verification

8.1 Directly Measurable Effects

Confirmed measurements:

- Particle physics: $\xi_{\text{particle}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$ (muon g-2)
- Laboratory electromagnetic couplings
- Atomic transition frequencies

Precision measurement opportunities:

- Atomic clock frequency comparisons across different transition types
- High-precision spectroscopy of nearby stellar sources
- Gravitational wave propagation characteristics

8.2 Limits of Direct Verification

Universal scale effects ($\xi_{\text{universal}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-20}$):

- Field manifestations too subtle for direct laboratory measurement
- Cosmic observations require interpretation rather than direct verification
- Consistent with absence of measurable cosmic-scale anomalies

Scientific honesty principle: The model acknowledges limitations while providing consistent explanations for observed phenomena without introducing unmeasurable exotic components.

9 Mathematical Consistency and Dimensional Verification

9.1 Complete Dimensional Analysis

Equation	Left Side	Right Side	Status
Field equation	$[\nabla^2 m] = [E^3]$	$[4\pi G\rho m] = [E^3]$	✓
Time field	$[T(x)] = [E^{-1}]$	$[1/m] = [E^{-1}]$	✓
Scale parameter	$[\xi] = [1]$	$[r_0/\ell_P] = [1]$	✓
Energy field	$[E_{\text{field}}] = [E]$	$[\xi^{1/4} E_P] = [E]$	✓
Temperature scale	$[T] = [E]$	$[E_{\text{field}}/k_B] = [E]$	✓

Table 2: Complete dimensional consistency verification

9.2 Parameter Relationships

All T0 parameters maintain consistent relationships:

$$\xi_{\text{particle}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{(measured)} \tag{31}$$

$$\xi_{\text{universal}} = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-20} \quad \text{(derived)} \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{\xi_{\text{universal}}}{\xi_{\text{particle}}} = 10^{-16} \quad \text{(scale ratio)} \tag{33}$$

The 16 orders of magnitude difference reflects the natural hierarchy between particle and cosmic energy scales.

10 Cosmological Problem Resolution

10.1 Elimination of Exotic Components

The T0 static universe framework eliminates requirements for:

Dark Matter (85% of matter):

- Replaced by modified gravitational dynamics from field interactions

- No need for undetected massive particles
- Natural explanation for galactic rotation curves

Dark Energy (70% of universe):

- No cosmic acceleration requiring explanation
- Energy field provides apparent distance-redshift relationships
- Static universe eliminates expansion-related problems

10.2 Natural Problem Solutions

Horizon Problem: Resolved naturally in static universe with uniform energy field

Flatness Problem: Eliminated by absence of expansion dynamics

Hubble Tension: Different measurement techniques probe different aspects of energy field interactions

11 Integration with Established Physics

11.1 Quantum Field Theory Compatibility

The T0 framework integrates with established quantum field theory through:

- Preservation of local Lorentz invariance
- Maintenance of gauge symmetries
- Natural emergence of Standard Model parameters
- Consistent particle physics predictions

11.2 General Relativity Relationship

While operating in a static framework, T0 field equations reduce to general relativity in appropriate limits:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{eff}} g_{\mu\nu} \tag{34}$$

where Λ_{eff} emerges from energy field dynamics.

12 Conclusions

12.1 Key Theoretical Achievements

This analysis establishes:

1. **Nullpoint-based methodology**: Scale determination from quantum ground states rather than uncertain distance measurements.
2. **Universal energy field**: Cosmic microwave observations interpreted as manifestations of fundamental energy field at characteristic temperature ~ 2.7 K.

3. **Static universe paradigm**: Consistent framework eliminating exotic dark components while explaining observations.

4. **Mathematical rigor**: Complete dimensional consistency across all scales with parameter-free derivations.

5. **Experimental honesty**: Clear distinction between directly verifiable local effects and interpretive cosmic-scale applications.

12.2 Paradigm Comparison

Physical Aspect	Standard Model	T0 Model
Universe evolution	Expanding spacetime	Static with field evolution
Cosmic redshift	Doppler + expansion	Energy field interactions
Dark matter	85% unknown particles	Field-modified gravity
Dark energy	70% unknown energy	Eliminated
CMB origin	Big Bang thermal relic	Universal energy field
Parameter count	> 20 free parameters	Geometric constants only
Distance dependence	Expansion history required	Local physics sufficient

Table 3: Fundamental paradigm comparison

12.3 Scientific Methodology

The T0 approach emphasizes:

- **Measurable foundations**: Basing theory on directly accessible physics
- **Minimal assumptions**: Avoiding exotic components when simpler explanations exist
- **Mathematical consistency**: Maintaining dimensional rigor throughout
- **Honest limitations**: Acknowledging what can and cannot be directly verified

12.4 Future Directions

The nullpoint-based T0 framework opens avenues for:

- Precision tests using advanced atomic clocks and interferometry
- High-accuracy spectroscopy of local stellar sources
- Laboratory investigations of field effects at intermediate scales
- Theoretical development of field-matter interaction mechanisms

The T0 model provides a mathematically consistent, experimentally grounded alternative to expansion-based cosmology, offering natural explanations for observed phenomena without requiring exotic physics components.

References

[1] Pascher, J. (2025). *Field-Theoretic Derivation of the β_T Parameter in Natural Units*. GitHub Repository: T0-Time-Mass-Duality.

[2] Planck Collaboration, Aghanim, N., Akrami, Y., et al. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, A6.

[3] Riess, A. G., Casertano, S., Yuan, W., et al. (2019). Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards Provide a 1% Foundation for the Determination of the Hubble Constant. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 876(1), 85.

[4] Weinberg, S. (2008). *Cosmology*. Oxford University Press.

[5] Peebles, P. J. E. (1993). *Principles of Physical Cosmology*. Princeton University Press.

[6] Ketterle, W. (2002). Nobel Lecture: When atoms behave as waves: Bose-Einstein condensation and the atom laser. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74(4), 1131.

[7] Phillips, W. D. (1998). Nobel Lecture: Laser cooling and trapping of neutral atoms. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 70(3), 721.