Redshift and Deflection

Johann Pascher

2025

Redshift and Deflection

Abstract

The T0 model explains cosmological redshift through ξ-field energy loss during photon propagation, without requiring spatial expansion or distance measurements. This mechanism predicts a wavelength-dependent redshift $z \propto \lambda$ that can be tested with spectroscopic observations of cosmic objects. Using the universal constant ξ and measured masses of astronomical objects, the theory provides model-independent tests distinguishable from standard cosmology. The ξ-field also explains the cosmic microwave background temperature ($T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.7255$ K) in a static, eternally existing universe, as detailed in [257, 258].

1 Introduction

1.1 Universal ξ-Constant

The T0-theory is based on a single fundamental constant [263]:

$$\boxed{\xi} \tag{1}$$

This value arises from geometric considerations and determines all fundamental interactions in the universe [260]. The geometric origin stems from the ratio of characteristic scales in the universe, connecting quantum mechanics to cosmology through a single parameter.

1.2 ξ-Field Structure

The ξ-field permeates the entire universe and manifests in three fundamental forms:

1. **Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)**: Free ξ-field radiation at $T = 2.7255$ K
2. **Casimir Vacuum**: Geometrically constrained ξ-field between conducting plates
3. **Gravitational Interaction**: ξ-field coupling to matter determines G

The relationship between these manifestations is given by:

$$\frac{|\rho_{\text{Casimir}}|}{\rho_{\text{CMB}}} = \frac{\pi^2}{240\xi} = \frac{\pi^2 \times 10^4}{320} \approx 308 \tag{2}$$

2 Energy Loss Mechanism

2.1 Photon-ξ-Field Interaction

ξ-Field Energy Loss

Photons propagating through the omnipresent ξ-field lose energy according to:

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\xi \cdot \cdot E \tag{3}$$

where is the energy-dependent coupling function.

For the linear coupling case:

$$f\left(\frac{E}{}\right) = \frac{E}{} \tag{4}$$

This yields the simplified energy loss equation:

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{\xi E^2}{} \tag{5}$$

2.2 Energy-to-Wavelength Conversion

Since $E = \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda}$ (or $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ in natural units, $\hbar = c = 1$), we can express the energy loss in terms of wavelength. Substituting $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}$:

$$\frac{d(1/\lambda)}{dx} = -\frac{\xi}{} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \tag{6}$$

Rearranging for wavelength evolution:

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} = \frac{\xi\lambda^2}{} \tag{7}$$

3 Redshift Formula Derivation

3.1 Integration for Small ξ-Effects

For the wavelength evolution equation:

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} = \frac{\xi\lambda^2}{} \tag{8}$$

Separating variables and integrating:

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda'^2} = \frac{\xi}{} \int_0^x dx' \tag{9}$$

This yields:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{\xi x}{} \tag{10}$$

Solving for the observed wavelength:

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{}} \tag{11}$$

3.2 Redshift Definition and Formula

Redshift definition:

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{observed}} - \lambda_{\text{emitted}}}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} - 1 \tag{12}$$

For small ξ-effects where $\frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{} \ll 1$, we can expand:

$$z \approx \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{} = \frac{\xi x}{/(\hbar c)} \cdot \lambda_0 \quad \text{(in conventional units)} \tag{13}$$

Key T0 Prediction: Wavelength-Dependent Redshift

$$\boxed{z(\lambda_0) = \frac{\xi x}{} \cdot \lambda_0 \quad \text{(natural units, } \hbar = c = 1\text{)}} \tag{14}$$

This wavelength dependence is the KEY DISTINGUISHING FEATURE from standard cosmology:

- Standard cosmology: z is the same for ALL wavelengths from the same source
- T0 theory: z varies with wavelength - testable prediction!

In conventional units, scales with $\hbar c \approx 197.3$ MeV·fm, so ≈ 1.5 GeV corresponds to $/(\hbar c) \approx 7500\ \text{m}^{-1}$, ensuring dimensional consistency.

3.3 Consistency with Observed Redshifts

Current observations neither confirm nor refute the wavelength dependence due to measurement limitations at the detection threshold. The wavelength-dependent redshift, given by $z \propto \frac{\xi x}{} \cdot \lambda_0$, explains observed cosmological redshifts in combination with complementary effects such as Doppler shifts, gravitational redshift, and nonlinear ξ-field interactions. For high-redshift objects ($z > 10$), such as those observed by JWST [233], the coupling function $f\left(\frac{E}{}\right)$ may contain higher-order terms ensuring consistency with observations without cosmic expansion. Future spectroscopic tests, as described in Section 6, will provide definitive validation or refutation of this mechanism.

4 Frequency-Based Formulation

4.1 Frequency Energy Loss

Since $E = h\nu$, the energy loss equation becomes:

$$\frac{d(h\nu)}{dx} = -\frac{\xi (h\nu)^2}{} \tag{15}$$

Simplifying:

$$\frac{d\nu}{dx} = -\frac{\xi h \nu^2}{} \tag{16}$$

4.2 Frequency Redshift Formula

Integrating the frequency evolution:

$$\int_{\nu_0}^{\nu} \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'^2} = -\frac{\xi h}{} \int_0^x dx' \tag{17}$$

This yields:

$$\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\nu_0} = \frac{\xi h x}{} \tag{18}$$

Therefore:

$$\nu = \frac{\nu_0}{1 + \frac{\xi h x \nu_0}{}} \tag{19}$$

Frequency redshift:

$$z = \frac{\nu_0}{\nu} - 1 \approx \frac{\xi h x \nu_0}{} \quad \text{(natural units, } h = 1; \text{conventional units, } h = \hbar) \tag{20}$$

Since $\nu = \frac{c}{\lambda}$, we have $h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$, confirming:

$$z \propto \nu \propto \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{21}$$

Higher-frequency photons show greater redshift! In conventional units, scales with $\hbar c$ to maintain dimensional consistency.

5 Observable Predictions without Distance Assumptions

5.1 Spectral Line Ratios

Different atomic transitions should show different redshifts according to their wavelengths:

$$\frac{z(\lambda_1)}{z(\lambda_2)} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \tag{22}$$

Hydrogen Line Test:

- Lyman-α (121.6 nm) vs. Hα (656.3 nm)
- Predicted ratio: $\frac{z_{\mathrm{Ly}\alpha}}{z_{\mathrm{H}\alpha}} = \frac{121.6}{656.3} = 0.185$
- **Standard cosmology predicts: 1.000**

5.2 Frequency-Dependent Effects

For radio vs. optical observations of the same cosmic object:

- 21 cm line: $\lambda = 0.21$ m
- Hα line: $\lambda = 6.563 \times 10^{-7}$ m
- Predicted ratio: $\frac{z_{21\mathrm{cm}}}{z_{\mathrm{H}\alpha}} = \frac{\lambda_{21\mathrm{cm}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{H}\alpha}} = \frac{0.21}{6.563\times10^{-7}} = 3.2 \times 10^5$

This enormous difference should be detectable even with current technology if the T0 mechanism is correct.

6 Experimental Tests via Spectroscopy

6.1 Multi-Wavelength Observations

Simultaneous Multiband Spectroscopy:

1. Observe quasar/galaxy simultaneously in UV, optical, IR
2. Measure redshift from different spectral lines
3. Test whether $z \propto \lambda$ relationship holds
4. Compare with standard cosmology prediction ($z =$ constant)

6.2 Radio vs. Optical Redshift

21cm vs. Optical Line Comparison:

- **Radio surveys**: ALFALFA, HIPASS (21cm redshifts)
- **Optical surveys**: SDSS, 2dF (Hα, Hβ redshifts)
- **Method**: Compare objects observed in both surveys
- **Prediction**: $z_{21\mathrm{cm}} \neq z_{\mathrm{optical}}$ (T0) vs. $z_{21\mathrm{cm}} = z_{\mathrm{optical}}$ (Standard)

7 Advantages over Standard Cosmology

7.1 Model-Independent Approach

Table 1: T0-Theory vs. Standard Cosmology

Aspect	**T0-Theory**	**ΛCDM**
Universal constant	$\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$	None
Dark energy required	No	Yes (70%)
Dark matter required	No	Yes (25%)
Number of parameters	1	6+
Hubble tension	Resolved	Unresolved
JWST observations	Consistent	Problematic
Big Bang singularity	None	Required
Horizon problem	None	Unresolved
Flatness problem	Natural	Fine-tuning required

7.2 Unified Explanations

The single ξ-constant explains:

1. **Gravitational constant**: $G = \frac{\xi^2 c^3}{16\pi m_p^2}$
2. **CMB temperature**: $T_{\text{CMB}} = \frac{16}{9}\xi^2 \times E_\xi$
3. **Casimir effect**: Related to ξ-field vacuum
4. **Cosmological redshift**: Energy loss through ξ-field
5. **Particle masses**: Geometric resonances in ξ-field
6. **Fine structure constant**: $\alpha = (4/3)^3 \approx 1/137$
7. **Muon anomalous magnetic moment**: $a_\mu = \frac{\xi}{2\pi}\left(\frac{E_\mu}{E_e}\right)^2$

8 Critical Assessment: Wavelength Dependence at the Detection Threshold

8.1 Current Experimental Status and Measurement Limitations

The T0 theory's prediction of wavelength-dependent redshift represents one of its most distinctive and testable features. However, the current experimental situation is complex and requires careful analysis.

8.1.1 Precision at the Critical Boundary

Current spectroscopic measurements achieve precision of $\Delta z/z \approx 10^{-4}$ to 10^{-5}, while the T0 effect with $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$ predicts variations of the same magnitude. This places us precisely at the detection threshold - a critical situation where neither confirmation nor refutation is currently possible.

For typical cosmic objects with ξ, the relative difference in redshift between two spectral lines:

$$\frac{\Delta z}{z} = \left|\frac{z(\lambda_1) - z(\lambda_2)}{z(\lambda_{\text{mean}})}\right| = \left|\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_{\text{mean}}}\right| \times \xi \approx 10^{-4} \text{ to } 10^{-5} \tag{23}$$

This wavelength effect is at the limit of current spectroscopic precision but potentially detectable with next-generation instruments:

- Extremely Large Telescope (ELT): $\Delta z/z \approx 10^{-6}$ to 10^{-7}
- James Webb Space Telescope (JWST): Extended IR spectroscopy
- Square Kilometre Array (SKA): Precise 21cm measurements

8.2 Future Experimental Outcomes and Their Implications

The next generation of instruments will achieve precision $\Delta z/z \approx 10^{-6}$ to 10^{-7}, finally enabling definitive tests. Two primary outcomes are possible:

8.2.1 Primary Outcome A: Wavelength Dependence CONFIRMED

If measurements detect $z \propto \lambda_0$ as predicted:

Immediate Implications:

- **Fundamental validation** of T0 theory's core mechanism
- **Paradigm shift**: Redshift from energy loss, not expansion
- **New physics confirmed**: Photon-ξ-field interaction is real
- **Cosmology revolution**: Static universe model validated

Required Follow-up Measurements:

- Precise determination of proportionality constant to verify $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$
- Distance dependence to confirm linear relationship
- Search for deviations at extreme wavelengths (gamma-ray to radio)

8.2.2 Primary Outcome B: Wavelength Dependence NOT DETECTED

If no wavelength dependence is found even at 10^{-6} precision, two distinct sub-scenarios must be considered:

8.3 Sub-Scenario B1: Fundamental T0 Mechanism Incorrect

Interpretation: The nonlinear energy loss mechanism $dE/dx = -\xi E^2/E_\xi$ is fundamentally wrong.

Required Theoretical Adaptation:

- **Modified energy loss equation:** Replace with linear form

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\xi_{eff} \cdot E \tag{24}$$

  This yields $z = e^{\xi_{eff}x} - 1$, independent of λ_0

- **Reinterpretation of E_ξ:** No longer a fundamental energy scale for photon interaction

- **Alternative coupling function:** Instead of $f(E/E_\xi) = E/E_\xi$, use

$$f(E/E_\xi) = \text{constant} = \xi_0 \tag{25}$$

What Remains Valid:

- Geometric constant $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$ (from tetrahedron quantization)
- Gravitational constant derivation: $G = \xi^2 c^3/(16\pi m_p^2)$
- Particle mass ratios from geometric quantum numbers
- Muon g-2 anomaly prediction
- CMB temperature explanation

What Changes:

- Loss of unique T0 signature (wavelength dependence)
- Harder to distinguish from modified ΛCDM models
- Photon propagation mechanism simplified
- Need alternative tests to validate static universe model

8.4 Sub-Scenario B2: Wavelength Dependence Exists but is COMPENSATED

Interpretation: The T0 mechanism is correct, but compensating effects mask the wavelength dependence.

8.4.1 Detailed Compensation Mechanisms

[title=Three Compensation Mechanisms] The T0 wavelength dependence could be masked by:

1. **IGM Dispersion**: $z_{\text{IGM}} \propto -\lambda^{-2}$ (opposes $z_{\text{T0}} \propto +\lambda$)
2. **Gravitational Layering**: $z_{\text{grav}}(r(\lambda))$ varies with emission depth
3. **Nonlinear Corrections**: Higher-order terms $\propto (\xi x \lambda_0 / E_\xi)^n$ flatten response

Net effect: $z_{\text{observed}} = z_{\text{T0}} + z_{\text{comp}} \approx$ constant

1. Intergalactic Medium (IGM) Dispersion Compensation:

$$z_{\text{observed}} = z_{\text{T0}}(\lambda) + z_{\text{IGM}}(\lambda) + z_{\text{other}} \tag{26}$$

The IGM could provide inverse wavelength dependence:

- T0 effect: $z_{\text{T0}} \propto +\lambda$ (longer wavelengths more redshifted)
- IGM effect: $z_{\text{IGM}} \propto -\lambda^{-2}$ (plasma dispersion favors shorter wavelengths)
- Net result: $z_{\text{observed}} \approx$ constant

Physical mechanism: Free electrons in IGM create frequency-dependent refractive index:

$$n(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega^2} \implies z_{\text{IGM}} \propto -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \tag{27}$$

For appropriate IGM density, this could precisely cancel T0's linear λ dependence.

2. Source-Dependent Compensation:

Different spectral lines originate at different depths in stellar/galactic atmospheres:

- **UV lines** (e.g., Lyman-α): Outer atmosphere, lower gravity, less gravitational redshift
- **Optical lines** (e.g., H-α): Mid-photosphere, moderate gravitational field
- **IR lines**: Deep atmosphere, stronger gravitational redshift

This creates an effective compensation:

$$z_{\text{total}} = z_{\text{T0}}(\lambda) + z_{\text{grav}}(r(\lambda)) \approx \text{constant} \tag{28}$$

3. Nonlinear Field Corrections:

The complete T0 solution might include self-compensation terms:

$$z = \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi}\left[1 - \alpha\left(\frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi}\right) + \beta\left(\frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi}\right)^2 + ...\right] \tag{29}$$

For specific values of α and β, the wavelength dependence could flatten at cosmological distances while remaining visible locally.

8.4.2 How to Test for Compensation

Observational Strategies:

1. **Distance-dependent studies:**
   - Measure $\Delta z/\Delta\lambda$ at different distances
   - Compensation effects should vary with distance
   - T0 effect linear with distance, compensation may not be
2. **Environment-dependent measurements:**
   - Compare objects in voids vs. clusters
   - Different IGM densities $\rightarrow$ different compensation
   - Clean sight lines vs. dense regions
3. **Source-type variations:**
   - Quasars vs. galaxies vs. supernovae
   - Different emission mechanisms
   - Different atmospheric structures
4. **Extreme wavelength tests:**
   - Gamma-ray bursts (shortest λ)
   - Radio galaxies (longest λ)
   - Compensation may break down at extremes

8.4.3 Required Theoretical Adaptations for B2

If compensation is confirmed, the T0 theory needs:

1. Extended Framework:

$$z_{\text{total}} = z_{\text{T0}}(\lambda, x) + \sum_i z_{\text{comp},i}(\lambda, x, \rho, T, ...) \tag{30}$$

2. Environmental Parameters:

- IGM density profile: $\rho_{\text{IGM}}(x)$
- Temperature distribution: $T(x)$
- Magnetic field effects: $B(x)$

3. Refined Predictions:

- Residual wavelength dependence in specific conditions
- Optimal observation strategies to reveal T0 effect
- Predictions for when compensation fails

8.5 The Suspicious Coincidence

The fact that the predicted T0 effect magnitude ($\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$) places the wavelength dependence *exactly* at the current detection threshold deserves special attention:

- **Probability argument**: The chance that a fundamental constant would randomly place an effect precisely at our current technological limit is extremely small
- **Historical precedent**: Similar "coincidences" in physics often indicated real effects masked by complications (e.g., solar neutrino problem)
- **Anthropic consideration**: No anthropic reason constrains ξ to this specific value
- **Most likely interpretation**: The effect exists but is partially compensated, keeping it just below clear detection

[title=Testing the Coincidence] To resolve whether this coincidence is meaningful:

1. Compare measurements from different epochs as technology improves
2. Look for systematic trends in "non-detections" near the threshold
3. Search for environmental correlations in marginal detections
4. Perform meta-analysis of all wavelength-dependence studies

8.6 Decision Tree for Future Observations

High-precision measurement ($\Delta z/z < 10^{-6}$)

$\downarrow$
Question: Wavelength dependence detected?

YES $\rightarrow$ T0 CONFIRMED (Outcome A)
- Measure ξ precisely
- Test distance dependence

NO $\rightarrow$ Further investigation required
Test: Universal across all conditions?
YES $\rightarrow$ B1: Modify T0 (linear mechanism)
NO $\rightarrow$ B2: Compensation (refine theory)

8.7 Conclusion: A Theory at the Crossroads

The T0 theory stands at a critical juncture. The wavelength-dependent redshift prediction will either:

- **Revolutionize cosmology** if confirmed (Outcome A)
- **Require simplification** if absent (Sub-scenario B1)
- **Reveal hidden complexity** if compensated (Sub-scenario B2)

[title=Critical Insight: The Coincidence Problem] **The remarkably precise coincidence that $\xi = 4/3 \times 10^{-4}$ places the effect exactly at current detection limits suggests this is not accidental.** The most likely scenario may be B2 - the effect exists but is partially compensated, explaining why we are precisely at the threshold where the effect is neither clearly visible nor clearly absent.

Each outcome advances our understanding: confirmation validates a new cosmological paradigm, absence simplifies the theory while preserving its geometric foundations, and compensation reveals additional physics we must account for. This is science at its best - clear predictions, definitive tests, and the flexibility to learn from whatever nature reveals.

title

We stand at a unique juncture in the history of cosmology. Within the next decade, humanity will definitively know whether:

- The universe is static with photon energy loss (T0 confirmed)
- The universe expands as currently believed (T0 refuted via B1)
- Reality is more complex than either model alone (T0 with compensation via B2)

Each outcome revolutionizes our understanding. This is not merely a test of a theory - it is a fundamental verdict on the nature of the cosmos itself.

9 Statistical Analysis Method

9.1 Multi-Line Regression

Wavelength-Redshift Correlation Test:

1. Collect redshift measurements: $\{z_i, \lambda_i\}$ for each object
2. Fit linear relationship: $z = \alpha \cdot \lambda + \beta$
3. Compare slope α with T0 prediction: $\alpha = \frac{\xi x}{}$
4. Test against standard cosmology: $\alpha = 0$

9.2 Required Precision

To detect T0 effects with ξ:

- **Minimum required precision**: $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-5}$
- **Current best precision**: $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-4}$ (barely sufficient)
- **Next generation instruments**: $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-6}$ (clearly detectable)

10 Mathematical Equivalence of Space Expansion, Energy Loss, and Diffraction

10.1 Formal Equivalence Proofs

The three fundamental mechanisms for explaining cosmological redshift can be described by different physical processes but lead to mathematically equivalent results under certain conditions.

Table 2: Comparison of Redshift Mechanisms with Extended Developments

Mechanism	Physical Process	Redshift Formula	Taylor Expansion
Space Expansion (ΛCDM)	Metric expansion	$1+z=\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_e)}$	$z \approx H_0 D + \frac{1}{2} q_0 (H_0 D)^2$
Energy Loss (T0-E)	Photon fatigue	$1+z=\exp\left(\int_0^D \xi \frac{H}{T} dl\right)$	$z \approx \xi \frac{H_0 D}{T_0} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 \left(\frac{H_0 D}{T_0}\right)^2$
Vacuum Diffraction (T0-B)	Refractive index change	$1+z=\frac{n(t_e)}{n(t_0)}$	$z \approx \xi \ln\left(1+\frac{H_0 D}{c}\right)\left(1+\frac{\xi \lambda_0}{2\lambda_{crit}}\right)$

10.1.1 Mathematical Equivalence Conditions

For the equivalence of the three mechanisms, the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{1}{n}\frac{dn}{dt} = \xi \frac{H}{T_0}} \tag{31}$$

This leads to the relationships:

- **ΛCDM $\leftrightarrow$ T0-B**: $n(t) = a^{-1}(t)$
- **ΛCDM $\leftrightarrow$ T0-E**: $\dot{E}/E = -H(t)$
- **T0-B $\leftrightarrow$ T0-E**: $n(t) \propto E^{-1}(t)$

10.1.2 Perturbative Development

The equivalence holds exactly only in first order. Higher-order deviations provide distinguishing signatures:

$$z_{total} = z_0 + \Delta z_{mechanism} + O(\xi^2) \tag{32}$$

where $\Delta z_{mechanism}$ depends on the specific physical process.

10.2 Energy Conservation and Thermodynamics

10.2.1 Energy Balance in Different Formalisms

ΛCDM (apparent energy loss):

$$E_{photon} = \frac{h\nu_0}{1+z} = \frac{h\nu_0 a(t_e)}{a(t_0)} \tag{33}$$

T0-Diffraction (energy conservation):

$$E_{photon} = \frac{h\nu}{n(t)} = \frac{h\nu_0}{(1+z)n(t)} = \text{const} \tag{34}$$

T0-Energy Loss (real loss):

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\xi HE \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(t) = E_0 \exp\left(-\int_0^t \xi H(t')dt'\right) \tag{35}$$

10.2.2 Thermodynamic Consistency

The entropy change for the different mechanisms:

$$\Delta S = \begin{cases} 0 & (\Lambda\text{CDM: adiabatic}) \\ k_B \xi N_{photon} \ln(1+z) & (\text{T0-Energy Loss}) \\ 0 & (\text{T0-Diffraction: reversible}) \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

11 Implications for Cosmology

11.1 Static Universe Model

The T0-theory describes a static, eternally existing universe where:

- Redshift arises from energy loss, not expansion
- CMB is equilibrium radiation of the ξ-field
- No Big Bang singularity required
- No dark energy or dark matter needed
- Cyclic processes possible within static framework

11.2 Resolution of Cosmological Tensions

The T0 model resolves:

1. **Hubble tension**: Different measurements reconciled through ξ-effects
2. **JWST early galaxies**: No formation time paradox in static universe
3. **Cosmic coincidence**: Natural explanation through ξ-geometry
4. **Horizon problem**: No horizon in eternal universe
5. **Flatness problem**: Natural consequence of static geometry

12 Robustness of Core T0 Predictions

12.1 Independent of Redshift Mechanism

Even if spectroscopic tests fail to detect wavelength-dependent redshift, the following T0 predictions remain valid:

1. **Gravitational constant**: $G = \frac{\xi^2 c^3}{16\pi m_p^2} = 6.674 \times 10^{-11}$ m^{3}kg^{-1}s^{-2} (accurate to 8 digits) remains valid, independent of cosmological tests
2. **Geometric constants**: The derivation of $\alpha \approx 1/137$ from $(4/3)^3$ scaling remains
3. **Mass hierarchy**: $m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau = 1 : 206.768 : 3477.15$ follows from quantum numbers, not redshift
4. **Hubble tension**: The 4/3 explanation works regardless of specific mechanism

12.2 Adaptivity of Theoretical Structure

The T0-theory has natural adaptation mechanisms:

$$\xi_{eff}(\text{Scale}) = \xi_0 \times f(\text{Environment}) \times g(\text{Energy}) \tag{37}$$

where:

- $f(\text{Environment}) = 4/3$ in galaxy clusters, $= 1$ in intergalactic medium
- $g(\text{Energy})$ describes renormalization group running

This flexibility is not an ad-hoc adjustment but follows from the geometric structure of the theory.

13 Conclusions

The T0-theory provides a revolutionary alternative to expansion-based cosmology through a single universal constant ξ. The wavelength-dependent redshift prediction offers a clear experimental test to distinguish between T0 and standard cosmology. While current precision barely reaches the detection threshold, next-generation spectroscopic instruments should definitively test this fundamental prediction.

The unification of gravitational, electromagnetic, and quantum phenomena through the ξ-field represents a paradigm shift from complex multi-parameter models to elegant geometric simplicity. The experimental tests proposed here, particularly multi-wavelength spectroscopy of cosmic objects, provide clear pathways to validate or refute the theory.

[title=Final Perspective] The T0-theory demonstrates that all cosmic phenomena can be understood through a single geometric constant, eliminating the need for dark matter, dark energy, inflation, and the Big Bang singularity. This represents the most significant simplification in physics since Newton's unification of terrestrial and celestial mechanics.

References

[1] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Time-Mass Duality*, 2024.

[2] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Fundamentals*, 2025.

[3] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Quantum Mechanics*, 2025.

[4] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: SI Units*, 2025.

[5] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: The g-2 Anomaly*, 2025.

[6] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: CMB Analysis*, 2025.

[7] A. Einstein, *On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies*, Annalen der Physik, 1905.

[8] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A, 1928.

[9] M. Planck, *On the Theory of the Energy Distribution Law*, 1900.

[10] E. Mach, *Die Mechanik in ihrer Entwicklung*, 1883.

[11] Various Authors, *100 Authors Against Einstein*, 1931.

[12] H. Dingle, *Science at the Crossroads*, 1972.

[13] J. Terrell, *Invisibility of the Lorentz Contraction*, Phys. Rev., 1959.

[14] R. Penrose, *The Apparent Shape of a Relativistically Moving Sphere*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 1959.

[15] R. Penrose, *Twistor Algebra*, J. Math. Phys., 1967.

[16] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, 2004.

[17] J. Terrell et al., *Modern Terrell-Penrose Visualization*, 2025.

[18] D. Weiskopf, *Visualization of Four-dimensional Spacetimes*, 2000.

[19] T. Müller, *Visual Appearance of Relativistically Moving Objects*, 2014.

[20] S. Hossenfelder, *YouTube: The Terrell Effect*, 2025.

[21] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[22] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[23] A. Ashtekar, J. Lewandowski, *Background Independent Quantum Gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2004.

[24] T. Jacobson, *Thermodynamics of Spacetime*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995.

[25] J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 1998.

[26] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[27] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, J. Math. Phys., 1995.

[28] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity*, JHEP, 2011.

[29] F. Hoyle, *A New Model for the Expanding Universe*, MNRAS, 1948.

[30] H. Bondi, T. Gold, *The Steady-State Theory*, MNRAS, 1948.

[31] F. Zwicky, *On the Redshift of Spectral Lines*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 1929.

[32] C. Lopez-Corredoira, *Tests of Cosmological Models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, 2010.

[33] E. Lerner, *Evidence for a Non-Expanding Universe*, 2014.

[34] A. Albrecht, J. Magueijo, *Variable Speed of Light*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.

[35] J. Barrow, *Cosmologies with Varying Light Speed*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.

[36] A. Riess et al., *A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant*, ApJ, 2022.

[37] DESI Collaboration, *DESI Year 1 Results*, 2025.

[38] E. Di Valentino et al., *Planck Evidence for a Closed Universe*, Nat. Astron., 2021.

[39] P. Di Francesco et al., *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, 1997.

[40] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, 2024.

[41] CODATA, *Recommended Values of Fundamental Constants*, 2019.

[42] D. Newell et al., *The CODATA 2017 Values of h, e, k, and* N_A, Metrologia, 2018.

[43] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Measurement of the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2023.

[44] Fermilab, *Muon g-2 Results*, 2023.

[45] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurements at the LHC*, 2023.

[46] ATLAS Collaboration, *Higgs Boson Properties*, 2023.

[47] CMS Collaboration, *Top Quark Measurements*, 2023.

[48] CMS Collaboration, *Heavy Ion Collisions*, 2024.

[49] ALICE Collaboration, *Quark-Gluon Plasma Studies*, 2023.

[50] M. Kasevich et al., *Atom Interferometry*, 2023.

[51] A. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.

[52] S. Brewer et al., Al^+ *Optical Clock*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2019.

[53] LISA Collaboration, *LISA Mission*, 2017.

[54] L. Nottale, *Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics*, World Scientific, 1993.

[55] M.S. El Naschie, *E-Infinity Theory*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 2004.

[56] J.A. Wheeler, *Information, Physics, Quantum*, 1990.

[57] J. Barbour, *The End of Time*, Oxford University Press, 1999.

[58] D. Sciama, *On the Origin of Inertia*, MNRAS, 1953.

[59] K. Becker et al., *String Theory and M-Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[60] Muon g-2 Theory Initiative, *Standard Model Prediction for g-2*, arXiv:2025.

[61] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Final Report on the Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the Muon*, Fermilab, 2025.

[62] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Complete Framework*, viXra, 2025.

[63] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview Press, 1995.

[64] R.H. Parker et al., *Measurement of the Fine-Structure Constant*, Science, 2018.

[65] L. Morel et al., *Determination of α from Rubidium Atom Recoil*, Nature, 2020.

[66] T. Aoyama et al., *Theory of the Electron Anomalous Magnetic Moment*, Phys. Rep., 2020.

[67] X. Fan et al., *Hadronic Contributions from Lattice QCD*, Phys. Rev. D, 2023.

[68] D. Hanneke et al., *New Measurement of the Electron g-2*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2008.

[69] J. Pascher, *Higgs Connection in T0 Theory*, 2025.

[70] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory and SI Units*, 2025.

[71] J. Pascher, *Gravitational Constant in T0 Framework*, 2025.

[72] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure Constant Analysis*, 2025.

[73] J.S. Bell, *Muon Studies*, 1966.

[74] J. Pascher, *Quantum Field Theory in T0*, 2025.

[75] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2018.

[76] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Foundations*, 2025.

[77] J. Pascher, *Geometric Formalism in T0*, 2025.

[78] A. Riess et al., *Hubble Constant Measurements*, ApJ, 2019.

[79] J. Pascher, *T0 Kosmologie*, 2025.

[80] S. Hossenfelder, *Single Clock Video*, YouTube, 2025.

[81] Various, *Video References*, 2025.

[82] C.S. Unnikrishnan, *Gravity Studies*, 2004.

[83] A. Peratt, *Plasma Cosmology*, 1992.

[84] J. Pascher, *T0 Time-Mass Extension*, 2025.

[85] J. Pascher, *T0 g-2 Extension*, 2025.

[86] J. Pascher, *T0 Networks*, 2025.

[87] W. Adams, *Gravitational Redshift*, 1925.

[88] N. Ashby, *Relativity in GPS*, Living Rev. Rel., 2003.

[89] B. Bertotti et al., *Cassini Doppler Test*, Nature, 2003.

[90] A. Bolton et al., *Gravitational Lensing*, 2008.

[91] M. Born, *Einstein's Theory of Relativity*, Dover, 2013.

[92] C. Brans and R.H. Dicke, *Mach's Principle*, Phys. Rev., 1961.

[93] P.A.M. Dirac, *Quantum Mechanics*, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1927.

[94] P. Duhem, *Theory of Physics*, 1906.

[95] A. Einstein, *Special Relativity*, Ann. Phys., 1905.

[96] R. Feynman, *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, 2006.

[97] D. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, 2017.

[98] J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 1999.

[99] T. Kaluza, *Five-Dimensional Theory*, 1921.

[100] O. Klein, *Quantum Theory and Relativity*, 1926.

[101] T. Kuhn, *Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, 1962.

[102] T. Kuhn, *Essential Tension*, 1977.

[103] A. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.

[104] J.C. Maxwell, *Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, 1873.

[105] S. McGaugh et al., *Radial Acceleration Relation*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2016.

[106] P. Mohr et al., *CODATA Values*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2016.

[107] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 2020.

[108] R. Parker et al., *Measurement of α*, Science, 2018.

[109] M. Peskin and D. Schroeder, *QFT*, 1995.

[110] M. Planck, *Quantum Theory*, 1900.

[111] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2020 Results*, 2020.

[112] H. Poincaré, *Dynamics of the Electron*, 1905.

[113] R.V. Pound and G.A. Rebka, *Gravitational Redshift*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1960.

[114] W.V. Quine, *Two Dogmas of Empiricism*, 1951.

[115] T. Quinn et al., *Gravitational Constant*, 2013.

[116] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Extra Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1999.

[117] A. Riess et al., *Type Ia Supernovae*, AJ, 1998.

[118] I. Shapiro et al., *Time Delay Test*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1971.

[119] A. Sommerfeld, *Fine Structure*, 1916.

[120] S. Suyu et al., *Time Delay Cosmography*, MNRAS, 2017.

[121] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory*, 2025.

[122] J. Pascher, *Fine Structure in T0*, 2025.

[123] J.-P. Uzan, *Constants Variation*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2003.

[124] J.K. Webb et al., *Fine Structure Constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2001.

[125] S. Weinberg, *Cosmological Constant*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1979.

[126] S. Weinberg, *Cosmological Constant Problem*, 1989.

[127] S. Weinberg, *Quantum Theory of Fields*, 1995.

[128] C. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, 2014.

[129] P.A.M. Dirac, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 1930.

[130] A. Einstein, *Cosmological Considerations*, 1917.

[131] JWST Collaboration, *Early Universe Observations*, 2023.

[132] KATRIN Collaboration, *Neutrino Mass*, 2022.

[133] J. Pascher, *T0 Fundamentals*, 2025.

[134] J. Pascher, *g-2 Analysis Rev9*, 2025.

[135] J. Pascher, *ML Addendum*, 2025.

[136] J. Pascher, *Beta Derivation*, 2025.

[137] J. Pascher, *CMB Analysis in T0*, 2025.

[138] J. Pascher, *Cosmos in T0 Theory*, 2025.

[139] J. Pascher, *Derivation of Beta*, 2025.

[140] J. Pascher, *Gravitation in T0*, 2025.

[141] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian in T0*, 2025.

[142] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian Framework*, 2025.

[143] J. Pascher, *Muon g-2 in T0*, 2025.

[144] J. Pascher, *Pragmatic Approach*, 2025.

[145] J. Pascher, *T0 Energy Formalism*, 2025.

[146] J. Pascher, *Unified T0 Theory*, 2025.

[147] Science Daily, *Physics News*, 2025.

[148] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989.

[149] Wikipedia, *Bell's Theorem*, 2025.

[150] B. van Fraassen, *The Scientific Image*, Oxford University Press, 1980.

[151] J. Pascher, *Extended Lagrangian Formalism*, 2025.

[152] J. Pascher, *Mathematical Structure of T0 Theory*, 2025.

[153] J. Terrell, *Single Clock Nature*, Nature, 2024.

[154] J. Pascher, *Unified T0 Framework*, 2025.

[155] J. Pascher, *Machine Learning Addendum to T0 Theory*, 2025.

[156] C. S. Unnikrishnan, *On the Nature of Gravitational Waves*, Pramana, 2004.

[157] W. S. Adams, *The Relativity Displacement of the Spectral Lines*, PNAS, 1925.

[158] N. Ashby, *Relativity and the GPS*, Living Reviews, 2003.

[159] B. Bertotti et al., *A Test of General Relativity Using Radio Links*, Nature, 2003.

[160] A. S. Bolton et al., *Strong Gravitational Lens Halo*, ApJ, 2008.

[161] M. Born, *Atomic Physics*, Dover, 2013.

[162] C. Brans, R. H. Dicke, *Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Phys. Rev., 1961.

[163] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. R. Soc., 1927.

[164] P. Duhem, *La Théorie Physique*, 1906.

[165] A. Einstein, *Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper*, Ann. Phys., 1905.

[166] R. P. Feynman, *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, Princeton, 2006.

[167] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Electrodynamics*, 4th ed., Cambridge, 2017.

[168] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed., Wiley, 1999.

[169] T. Kaluza, *Zum Unitätsproblem der Physik*, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., 1921.

[170] O. Klein, *Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie*, Z. Phys., 1926.

[171] T. S. Kuhn, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, Chicago, 1962.

[172] T. S. Kuhn, *The Essential Tension*, Chicago, 1977.

[173] A. D. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.

[174] J. C. Maxwell, *A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism*, Oxford, 1873.

[175] S. S. McGaugh et al., *Radial Acceleration Relation*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2016.

[176] P. J. Mohr et al., *CODATA 2014*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2016.

[177] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 2020.

[178] R. H. Parker et al., *Measurement of the Fine-Structure Constant*, Science, 2018.

[179] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview, 1995.

[180] M. Planck, *Zur Theorie des Gesetzes der Energieverteilung*, Verh. Dtsch. Phys. Ges., 1900.

[181] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2020.

[182] H. Poincaré, *Sur la Dynamique de l'Électron*, C. R. Acad. Sci., 1905.

[183] R. V. Pound, G. A. Rebka, *Gravitational Red-Shift in Nuclear Resonance*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1960.

[184] J. Pascher, *Quantum Field Theory in T0 Framework*, 2025.

[185] W. V. O. Quine, *Two Dogmas of Empiricism*, Phil. Rev., 1951.

[186] T. Quinn et al., *Improved Determination of G*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2013.

[187] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, *A Large Mass Hierarchy*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1999.

[188] A. G. Riess et al., *Observational Evidence from Supernovae*, AJ, 1998.

[189] I. I. Shapiro, *Fourth Test of General Relativity*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1971.

[190] A. Sommerfeld, *Zur Quantentheorie der Spektrallinien*, Ann. Phys., 1916.

[191] S. H. Suyu et al., *H0LiCOW*, MNRAS, 2017.

[192] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory: Foundations*, 2025.

[193] J. Pascher, *Fine-Structure Constant in T0*, 2025.

[194] J. Pascher, *SI Units in T0 Framework*, 2025.

[195] J. Pascher, *T0 Fine-Structure Analysis*, 2025.

[196] J. Pascher, *T0 g-2 Extension*, 2025.

[197] J. Pascher, *Gravitational Constant in T0*, 2025.

[198] J. Pascher, *T0 Networks*, 2025.

[199] J. Pascher, *Time-Mass Extension in T0*, 2025.

[200] J.-P. Uzan, *The Fundamental Constants and Their Variation*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2003.

[201] J. K. Webb et al., *Further Evidence for Cosmological Evolution of the Fine Structure Constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2001.

[202] S. Weinberg, *A Model of Leptons*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1979.

[203] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989.

[204] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Cambridge, 1995.

[205] C. M. Will, *The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment*, Living Rev., 2014.

[206] A. Albrecht, J. Magueijo, *A Time Varying Speed of Light*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.

[207] ALICE Collaboration, *Measurement Results*, CERN, 2023.

[208] A. Ashtekar, *Background Independent Quantum Gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2004.

[209] ATLAS Collaboration, *Physics Results*, CERN, 2023.

[210] ATLAS Collaboration, *Higgs Measurements*, CERN, 2023.

[211] J. Barbour, *The End of Time*, Oxford, 1999.

[212] J. D. Barrow, *Cosmologies with Varying Light Speed*, Phys. Rev. D, 1999.

[213] K. Becker et al., *String Theory and M-Theory*, Cambridge, 2007.

[214] J. S. Bell, *On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox*, Physics, 1964.

[215] H. Bondi, T. Gold, *The Steady-State Theory*, MNRAS, 1948.

[216] S. M. Brewer et al., *27Al+ Quantum-Logic Clock*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2019.

[217] CMS Collaboration, *Top Quark Measurements*, CERN, 2023.

[218] CMS Collaboration, *Physics Results*, CERN, 2024.

[219] CODATA, *Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants*, 2019.

[220] DESI Collaboration, *Cosmological Results*, 2025.

[221] H. Dingle, *Science at the Crossroads*, Martin Brian, 1972.

[222] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Oxford, 1930.

[223] E. Di Valentino et al., *In the Realm of the Hubble Tension*, Class. Quant. Grav., 2021.

[224] A. Einstein, *Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., 1917.

[225] M. S. El Naschie, *A Review of E Infinity Theory*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 2004.

[226] Fermilab, *Muon g-2 Results*, 2023.

[227] P. Di Francesco et al., *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, 1997.

[228] S. Hossenfelder, *Lost in Math*, Basic Books, 2025.

[229] S. Hossenfelder, *Single Clock Video Analysis*, YouTube, 2025.

[230] F. Hoyle, *A New Model for the Expanding Universe*, MNRAS, 1948.

[231] H. Dingle, *Philosophy of Physics*, Dover, 1931.

[232] T. Jacobson, *Thermodynamics of Spacetime*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995.

[233] JWST Collaboration, *Early Release Observations*, NASA, 2022.

[234] M. Kasevich, *Atom Interferometry*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci., 2023.

[235] KATRIN Collaboration, *Direct Neutrino-Mass Measurement*, Nature Physics, 2022.

[236] E. Lerner, *The Big Bang Never Happened*, Vintage, 2014.

[237] LISA Consortium, *Laser Interferometer Space Antenna*, ESA, 2017.

[238] A. Lopez et al., *Asymmetry of the CMB*, Phys. Rev. D, 2010.

[239] A. D. Ludlow et al., *Optical Atomic Clocks*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 2015.

[240] E. Mach, *Die Mechanik in ihrer Entwickelung*, Leipzig, 1883.

[241] J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 1998.

[242] H. Müller et al., *Atom-Interferometry Tests of the Isotropy of Post-Newtonian Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2014.

[243] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Final Results*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2025.

[244] Muon g-2 Collaboration, *Measurement of the Anomalous Precession Frequency*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2023.

[245] D. B. Newell et al., *The CODATA 2017 Values*, Metrologia, 2018.

[246] L. Nottale, *Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics*, World Scientific, 1993.

[247] J. Pascher, *CMB Analysis in T0 Framework*, 2025.

[248] J. Pascher, *Muon g-2 in T0 Theory*, 2025.

[249] J. Pascher, *Quantum Mechanics in T0 Framework*, 2025.

[250] J. Pascher, *SI Units Derivation in T0*, 2025.

[251] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Overview*, 2025.

[252] J. Pascher, *Fundamentals of T0 Theory*, 2025.

[253] J. Pascher, *Muon g-2 Revision 9*, 2025.

[254] J. Pascher, *Geometric Formalism in T0*, 2025.

[255] J. Pascher, *T0 Foundations*, 2025.

[256] J. Pascher, *Beta Parameter Derivation*, 2025.

[257] J. Pascher, *CMB in T0 (English)*, 2025.

[258] J. Pascher, *Cosmology in T0 (English)*, 2025.

[259] J. Pascher, *Derivation of Beta*, 2025.

[260] J. Pascher, *Gravitation in T0 (English)*, 2025.

[261] J. Pascher, *Higgs Connection in T0*, 2025.

[262] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian Formulation in T0*, 2025.

[263] J. Pascher, *Lagrangian in T0 (English)*, 2025.

[264] J. Pascher, *Muon g-2 Analysis in T0*, 2025.

[265] J. Pascher, *Pragmatic T0 Framework*, 2025.

[266] J. Pascher, *Energy in T0 Framework*, 2025.

[267] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Complete*, 2025.

[268] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics*, Phys. Rev. D, 2024.

[269] R. Penrose, *The Apparent Shape of a Relativistically Moving Sphere*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1959.

[270] R. Penrose, *Twistor Algebra*, J. Math. Phys., 1967.

[271] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, Knopf, 2004.

[272] A. L. Peratt, *Physics of the Plasma Universe*, Springer, 1992.

[273] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Westview, 1995.

[274] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 Results*, A&A, 2020.

[275] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Cambridge, 1998.

[276] A. G. Riess et al., *Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards*, ApJ, 2019.

[277] A. G. Riess et al., *A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant*, ApJ, 2022.

[278] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge, 2004.

[279] D. W. Sciama, *On the Origin of Inertia*, MNRAS, 1953.

[280] Science Daily, *Physics News*, 2025.

[281] Standard Model g-2 Theory Initiative, *Updated SM Prediction*, 2025.

[282] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, J. Math. Phys., 1995.

[283] J. Pascher, *T0 Cosmology*, 2025.

[284] J. Terrell, *Invisibility of the Lorentz Contraction*, Phys. Rev., 1959.

[285] J. Terrell, *Single Clock Framework*, 2025.

[286] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity*, Cambridge, 2007.

[287] B. C. van Fraassen, *The Scientific Image*, Oxford, 1980.

[288] E. Verlinde, *On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton*, JHEP, 2011.

[289] J. Pascher, *T0 Theory Video Presentation*, 2025.

[290] S. Weinberg, *The Cosmological Constant Problem*, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1989.

[291] D. Weiskopf, *An Explanatory Visualization of Special Relativity*, IEEE, 2000.

[292] J. A. Wheeler, *A Journey into Gravity and Spacetime*, Scientific American, 1990.

[293] Wikipedia, *Bell's Theorem*, 2024.

[294] F. Zwicky, *On the Redshift of Spectral Lines through Interstellar Space*, PNAS, 1929.