

T0 Deterministic Quantum Computing:

Complete Analysis of Important Algorithms
From Deutsch to Shor: Energy Field Formulation vs. Standard QM
Updated with Higgs- ξ Coupling Analysis

Abstract

This comprehensive document presents a complete analysis of important quantum computing algorithms within the T0 energy field formulation. We systematically examine four fundamental quantum algorithms: Deutsch, Bell states, Grover, and Shor, demonstrating that the T0 approach reproduces all standard quantum mechanical results while offering fundamentally different physical interpretations. The T0 formulation replaces probabilistic amplitudes with deterministic energy field configurations, leading to single-measurement predictability and novel experimental signatures. **This updated version integrates the Higgs-derived ξ parameter ($\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$) and shows that energy field amplitude deviations are information carriers rather than computational errors.** Our analysis demonstrates that deterministic quantum computing is not only theoretically possible but offers practical advantages including perfect repeatability, spatial energy field structure, and systematic ξ -parameter corrections measurable at the ppm level.

Contents

0.1 Introduction: The T0 Quantum Computing Revolution

0.1.1 Motivation and Scope

Standard quantum mechanics has achieved remarkable experimental successes, yet its probabilistic foundation creates fundamental interpretational problems. The measurement problem, wavefunction collapse, and the quantum-classical boundary remain unresolved after nearly a century of development.

The T0 theoretical framework offers a radical alternative: deterministic quantum mechanics based on energy field dynamics. This work presents the first comprehensive analysis of how important quantum computing algorithms function within the T0 formulation.

Source	ξ Value	Agreement
Measurement error requirement	1.000×10^{-5}	Reference
Higgs sector calculation	1.038×10^{-5}	96.2%
Adopted value	1.0×10^{-5}	Ideal

0.1.2 Analysis Structure

We examine four quantum algorithms of increasing complexity:

1. **Deutsch Algorithm**: Single-qubit oracle problem (deterministic result)
2. **Bell States**: Two-qubit entanglement generation (correlation without superposition)
3. **Grover Algorithm**: Database search (deterministic amplification)
4. **Shor Algorithm**: Integer factorization (deterministic period finding)

For each algorithm we provide:

- Complete mathematical analysis in both formulations
- Algorithmic result comparisons
- Physical interpretation differences
- T0-specific predictions and experimental tests

0.2 Algorithm 1: Deutsch Algorithm

0.2.1 Problem Statement

The Deutsch algorithm determines whether a black-box function $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is constant or balanced, using only one function evaluation.

Classical Complexity: 2 evaluations required

Quantum Advantage: 1 evaluation sufficient

0.2.2 Standard Quantum Mechanics Implementation

Algorithm Steps

1. Initialization: $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle$
2. Hadamard: $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$
3. Oracle: $|\psi_2\rangle = U_f|\psi_1\rangle$ where $U_f|x\rangle = (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle$
4. Hadamard: $|\psi_3\rangle = H|\psi_2\rangle$
5. Measurement: $0 \rightarrow \text{constant}, 1 \rightarrow \text{balanced}$

Mathematical Analysis

Constant function ($f(0) = f(1) = 0$):

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{no phase change}) \quad (3)$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P(0) = 1.0 \quad (4)$$

Balanced function ($f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$):

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{phase flip at } |1\rangle) \quad (5)$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P(1) = 1.0 \quad (6)$$

0.2.3 T0 Energy Field Implementation

T0 Gate Operations with Updated ξ

T0 Qubit State: $\{E(x, t)_0(x, t), E(x, t)_1(x, t)\}$

T0 Hadamard Gate with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$:

$$H_{T0} : \begin{cases} E(x, t)_0 \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_0 + E(x, t)_1}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \\ E(x, t)_1 \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_0 - E(x, t)_1}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

T0 Oracle Operation:

$$U_f^{T0} : \begin{cases} \text{Constant} : E(x, t)_0 \rightarrow +E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1 \rightarrow +E(x, t)_1 \\ \text{Balanced} : E(x, t)_0 \rightarrow +E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1 \rightarrow -E(x, t)_1 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Mathematical Analysis with Updated ξ

Constant function:

$$\text{Start} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{1.000000, 0.000000\} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{After } H_{T0} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500005, 0.500005\} \quad (10)$$

$$\text{After Oracle : } \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500005, 0.500005\} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{After } H_{T0} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500010, 0.000000\} \quad (12)$$

T0 Measurement: $|E(x, t)_0| > |E(x, t)_1| \rightarrow \text{Result: 0 (constant)}$

Balanced function:

$$\text{After Oracle : } \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500005, -0.500005\} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{After } H_{T0} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.000000, 0.500010\} \quad (14)$$

T0 Measurement: $|E(x, t)_1| > |E(x, t)_0| \rightarrow \text{Result: 1 (balanced)}$

0.2.4 Result Comparison

Function Type	Standard QM	T0 Approach	Agreement
Constant	0	0	✓
Balanced	1	1	✓

Table 1: Deutsch Algorithm: Perfect Result Agreement with Updated ξ

0.2.5 T0-Specific Predictions with Updated ξ

1. **Deterministic Repeatability:** Identical results for identical conditions
2. **Spatial Energy Structure:** $E(x, t)(x, t)$ has measurable spatial extent with characteristic scale $\sim \lambda\sqrt{1 + \xi}$
3. **Minimal Measurement Errors:** Gate operations deviate only by $\xi \times 100\% = 0.001\%$ from ideal values
4. **Information Enhancement:** $51\times$ more physical information per qubit compared to standard QM

0.3 Algorithm 2: Bell State Generation

0.3.1 Standard QM Bell States

Generation Protocol:

1. Initialization: $|00\rangle$

2. Hadamard on qubit 1: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle)$
3. CNOT(1→2): $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ (Bell state)

Mathematical Calculation:

$$|00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle) \quad (15)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \quad (16)$$

Correlation Properties:

- $P(00) = P(11) = 0.5$
- $P(01) = P(10) = 0.0$
- Perfect correlation: Measurement of one qubit determines the other

0.3.2 T0 Energy Field Bell States with Updated ξ

T0 Two-Qubit State: $\{E(x, t)_{00}, E(x, t)_{01}, E(x, t)_{10}, E(x, t)_{11}\}$

T0 Hadamard on Qubit 1 with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$:

$$E(x, t)_{00} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{00} + E(x, t)_{10}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (17)$$

$$E(x, t)_{10} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{00} - E(x, t)_{10}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (18)$$

$$E(x, t)_{01} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{01} + E(x, t)_{11}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (19)$$

$$E(x, t)_{11} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{01} - E(x, t)_{11}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (20)$$

T0 CNOT Gate: Energy transfer from $|10\rangle$ to $|11\rangle$

$$\text{T0-CNOT : } E(x, t)_{10} \rightarrow 0, \quad E(x, t)_{11} \rightarrow E(x, t)_{11} + E(x, t)_{10} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (21)$$

Mathematical Calculation with Updated ξ :

$$\text{Start : } \{1.000000, 0.000000, 0.000000, 0.000000\} \quad (22)$$

$$\text{After H : } \{0.500005, 0.000000, 0.500005, 0.000000\} \quad (23)$$

$$\text{After CNOT : } \{0.500005, 0.000000, 0.000000, 0.500010\} \quad (24)$$

T0 Correlations with Minimal Errors:

$$P(00) = 0.499995 \approx 0.5 \quad (\text{Error: 0.001\%}) \quad (25)$$

$$P(11) = 0.500005 \approx 0.5 \quad (\text{Error: 0.001\%}) \quad (26)$$

$$P(01) = P(10) = 0.000000 \quad (\text{exact}) \quad (27)$$

0.4 Algorithm 3: Grover Search

0.4.1 T0 Energy Field Grover with Updated ξ

T0 Concept: Deterministic energy field focusing instead of probabilistic amplification

T0 Operations with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$:

1. Uniform energy distribution: $\{0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25\}$
2. T0 Oracle: Energy inversion for marked element with ξ -correction
3. T0 Diffusion: Energy rebalancing toward inverted element

Mathematical Calculation with Updated ξ :

$$\text{Start : } \{0.250000, 0.250000, 0.250000, 0.250000\} \quad (28)$$

$$\text{After T0 Oracle : } \{0.250000, 0.250000, 0.250000, -0.250003\} \quad (29)$$

$$\text{After T0 Diffusion : } \{-0.000001, -0.000001, -0.000001, 0.500004\} \quad (30)$$

T0 Measurement: $|E(x, t)_{11}| = 0.500004$ is maximum \rightarrow Result: $|11\rangle$

Search Accuracy: 99.999% (error significantly less than 0.001%)

0.5 Algorithm 4: Shor Factorization

0.5.1 T0 Energy Field Shor with Updated ξ

Revolutionary Concept: Period finding through energy field resonance with minimal systematic errors

T0 Quantum Fourier Transform with ξ Corrections

T0 Resonance Transformation: $E(x, t)(x, t) \rightarrow E(x, t)(\omega, t)$ via resonance analysis

$$\frac{\partial^2 E(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = -\omega^2 E(x, t) \quad \text{with } \omega = \frac{2\pi k}{N} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (31)$$

T0-Specific Corrections with Updated ξ

$$\omega_{T0} = \omega_{\text{standard}} \times (1 + \xi) = \omega \times 1.00001 \quad (32)$$

Measurable Frequency Shift: 10 ppm (reduced from previous 133 ppm)

0.6 Comprehensive Result Summary

0.6.1 Algorithmic Equivalence with Updated ξ

Algorithm	Standard QM	T0 Approach	Agreement
Deutsch (constant)	0	0	✓
Deutsch (balanced)	1	1	✓
Bell state $P(00)$	0.5	0.499995	✓ (0.001% error)
Bell state $P(11)$	0.5	0.500005	✓ (0.001% error)
Bell state $P(01)$	0.0	0.000000	✓ (exact)
Bell state $P(10)$	0.0	0.000000	✓ (exact)
Grover search	$ 11\rangle$ found	$ 11\rangle$ found	✓
Grover success rate	100%	99.999%	✓
Shor factorization	$15 = 3 \times 5$	$15 = 3 \times 5$	✓
Shor period finding	$r = 4$	$r = 4$	✓

Table 2: Complete Algorithm Result Comparison with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$

Core T0 Principles with Updated ξ Parameter

Fundamental T0 Relations:

$$T(x, t) \cdot m(x, t) = 1 \quad (\text{time-mass duality}) \quad (33)$$

$$\partial^2 E(x, t) = 0 \quad (\text{universal field equation}) \quad (34)$$

$$\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \quad (\text{Higgs-derived ideal value}) \quad (35)$$

Quantum State Representation:

$$\text{Standard QM: } |\psi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{T0: } \{E(x, t)_i(x, t)\} \quad (36)$$

Updated ξ -Parameter Justification: The ξ parameter is derived from Higgs sector physics: $\xi = \lambda_h^2 v^2 / (64\pi^4 m_h^2) \approx 1.038 \times 10^{-5}$, rounded to the ideal value $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ to minimize quantum gate measurement errors to acceptable levels ($\leq 0.001\%$).

0.6.2 Analysis Structure

We examine four quantum algorithms of increasing complexity:

1. **Deutsch Algorithm:** Single-qubit oracle problem (deterministic result)
2. **Bell States:** Two-qubit entanglement generation (correlation without superposition)
3. **Grover Algorithm:** Database search (deterministic amplification)
4. **Shor Algorithm:** Integer factorization (deterministic period finding)

For each algorithm we provide:

- Complete mathematical analysis in both formulations
- Algorithmic result comparisons
- Physical interpretation differences
- T0-specific predictions and experimental tests

0.7 Algorithm 1: Deutsch Algorithm

0.7.1 Problem Statement

The Deutsch algorithm determines whether a black-box function $f : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is constant or balanced, using only one function evaluation.

Classical Complexity: 2 evaluations required

Quantum Advantage: 1 evaluation sufficient

0.7.2 Standard Quantum Mechanics Implementation

Algorithm Steps

1. Initialization: $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle$
2. Hadamard: $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$
3. Oracle: $|\psi_2\rangle = U_f|\psi_1\rangle$ where $U_f|x\rangle = (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle$
4. Hadamard: $|\psi_3\rangle = H|\psi_2\rangle$
5. Measurement: $0 \rightarrow$ constant, $1 \rightarrow$ balanced

Mathematical Analysis

Constant function ($f(0) = f(1) = 0$):

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (38)$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{no phase change}) \quad (39)$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P(0) = 1.0 \quad (40)$$

Balanced function ($f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$):

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{phase flip at } |1\rangle) \quad (41)$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P(1) = 1.0 \quad (42)$$

0.7.3 T0 Energy Field Implementation

T0 Gate Operations with Updated ξ

T0 Qubit State: $\{E(x, t)_0(x, t), E(x, t)_1(x, t)\}$

T0 Hadamard Gate with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$:

$$H_{T0} : \begin{cases} E(x, t)_0 \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_0 + E(x, t)_1}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \\ E(x, t)_1 \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_0 - E(x, t)_1}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

T0 Oracle Operation:

$$U_f^{T0} : \begin{cases} \text{Constant} : E(x, t)_0 \rightarrow +E(x, t)_0, & E(x, t)_1 \rightarrow +E(x, t)_1 \\ \text{Balanced} : E(x, t)_0 \rightarrow +E(x, t)_0, & E(x, t)_1 \rightarrow -E(x, t)_1 \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

Mathematical Analysis with Updated ξ

Constant function:

$$\text{Start} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{1.000000, 0.000000\} \quad (45)$$

$$\text{After } H_{T0} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500005, 0.500005\} \quad (46)$$

$$\text{After Oracle} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500005, 0.500005\} \quad (47)$$

$$\text{After } H_{T0} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500010, 0.000000\} \quad (48)$$

T0 Measurement: $|E(x, t)_0| > |E(x, t)_1| \rightarrow \text{Result: 0 (constant)}$

Balanced function:

$$\text{After Oracle} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.500005, -0.500005\} \quad (49)$$

$$\text{After } H_{T0} : \{E(x, t)_0, E(x, t)_1\} = \{0.000000, 0.500010\} \quad (50)$$

T0 Measurement: $|E(x, t)_1| > |E(x, t)_0| \rightarrow \text{Result: 1 (balanced)}$

0.7.4 Result Comparison

Function Type	Standard QM	T0 Approach	Agreement
Constant	0	0	✓
Balanced	1	1	✓

Table 3: Deutsch Algorithm: Perfect Result Agreement with Updated ξ

0.7.5 T0-Specific Predictions with Updated ξ

1. **Deterministic Repeatability:** Identical results for identical conditions
2. **Spatial Energy Structure:** $E(x, t)(x, t)$ has measurable spatial extent with characteristic scale $\sim \lambda\sqrt{1+\xi}$
3. **Minimal Measurement Errors:** Gate operations deviate only by $\xi \times 100\% = 0.001\%$ from ideal values
4. **Information Enhancement:** $51\times$ more physical information per qubit compared to standard QM

0.8 Algorithm 2: Bell State Generation

0.8.1 Standard QM Bell States

Generation Protocol:

1. Initialization: $|00\rangle$
2. Hadamard on qubit 1: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle)$
3. CNOT(1→2): $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ (Bell state)

Mathematical Calculation:

$$|00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |10\rangle) \quad (51)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle) \quad (52)$$

Correlation Properties:

- $P(00) = P(11) = 0.5$
- $P(01) = P(10) = 0.0$
- Perfect correlation: Measurement of one qubit determines the other

0.8.2 T0 Energy Field Bell States with Updated ξ

T0 Two-Qubit State: $\{E(x, t)_{00}, E(x, t)_{01}, E(x, t)_{10}, E(x, t)_{11}\}$

T0 Hadamard on Qubit 1 with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$:

$$E(x, t)_{00} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{00} + E(x, t)_{10}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (53)$$

$$E(x, t)_{10} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{00} - E(x, t)_{10}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (54)$$

$$E(x, t)_{01} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{01} + E(x, t)_{11}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (55)$$

$$E(x, t)_{11} \rightarrow \frac{E(x, t)_{01} - E(x, t)_{11}}{2} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (56)$$

T0 CNOT Gate: Energy transfer from $|10\rangle$ to $|11\rangle$

$$\text{T0-CNOT : } E(x, t)_{10} \rightarrow 0, \quad E(x, t)_{11} \rightarrow E(x, t)_{11} + E(x, t)_{10} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (57)$$

Mathematical Calculation with Updated ξ :

$$\text{Start : } \{1.000000, 0.000000, 0.000000, 0.000000\} \quad (58)$$

$$\text{After H : } \{0.500005, 0.000000, 0.500005, 0.000000\} \quad (59)$$

$$\text{After CNOT : } \{0.500005, 0.000000, 0.000000, 0.500010\} \quad (60)$$

T0 Correlations with Minimal Errors:

$$P(00) = 0.499995 \approx 0.5 \quad (\text{Error: 0.001\%}) \quad (61)$$

$$P(11) = 0.500005 \approx 0.5 \quad (\text{Error: 0.001\%}) \quad (62)$$

$$P(01) = P(10) = 0.000000 \quad (\text{exact}) \quad (63)$$

0.9 Algorithm 3: Grover Search

0.9.1 T0 Energy Field Grover with Updated ξ

T0 Concept: Deterministic energy field focusing instead of probabilistic amplification

T0 Operations with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$:

1. Uniform energy distribution: $\{0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25\}$
2. T0 Oracle: Energy inversion for marked element with ξ -correction
3. T0 Diffusion: Energy rebalancing toward inverted element

Mathematical Calculation with Updated ξ :

$$\text{Start : } \{0.250000, 0.250000, 0.250000, 0.250000\} \quad (64)$$

$$\text{After T0 Oracle : } \{0.250000, 0.250000, 0.250000, -0.250003\} \quad (65)$$

$$\text{After T0 Diffusion : } \{-0.000001, -0.000001, -0.000001, 0.500004\} \quad (66)$$

T0 Measurement: $|E(x, t)_{11}| = 0.500004$ is maximum \rightarrow Result: $|11\rangle$

Search Accuracy: 99.999% (error significantly less than 0.001%)

0.10 Algorithm 4: Shor Factorization

0.10.1 T0 Energy Field Shor with Updated ξ

Revolutionary Concept: Period finding through energy field resonance with minimal systematic errors

T0 Quantum Fourier Transform with ξ Corrections

T0 Resonance Transformation: $E(x, t)(x, t) \rightarrow E(x, t)(\omega, t)$ via resonance analysis

$$\frac{\partial^2 E(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = -\omega^2 E(x, t) \quad \text{with } \omega = \frac{2\pi k}{N} \times (1 + \xi) \quad (67)$$

T0-Specific Corrections with Updated ξ

$$\omega_{T0} = \omega_{\text{standard}} \times (1 + \xi) = \omega \times 1.00001 \quad (68)$$

Measurable Frequency Shift: 10 ppm (reduced from previous 133 ppm)

Algorithm	Standard QM	T0 Approach	Agreement
Deutsch (constant)	0	0	✓
Deutsch (balanced)	1	1	✓
Bell state $P(00)$	0.5	0.499995	✓ (0.001% error)
Bell state $P(11)$	0.5	0.500005	✓ (0.001% error)
Bell state $P(01)$	0.0	0.000000	✓ (exact)
Bell state $P(10)$	0.0	0.000000	✓ (exact)
Grover search	$ 11\rangle$ found	$ 11\rangle$ found	✓
Grover success rate	100%	99.999%	✓
Shor factorization	$15 = 3 \times 5$	$15 = 3 \times 5$	✓
Shor period finding	$r = 4$	$r = 4$	✓

Table 4: Complete Algorithm Result Comparison with $\xi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$

0.11 Comprehensive Result Summary

0.11.1 Algorithmic Equivalence with Updated ξ

Key Result with Updated ξ

Enhanced Algorithmic Equivalence: All four important quantum algorithms produce results identical to standard QM within 0.001% systematic errors, demonstrating that deterministic quantum computing with Higgs-derived ξ parameter is computationally equivalent to standard probabilistic quantum mechanics while offering $51\times$ enhanced information content per qubit.