Dynamic Mass of Photons and Its Implications for Nonlocality in the T0 Model: Updated Framework with Complete Geometric Foundations

Johann Pascher

May 25, 2025

Abstract

This updated work examines the implications of assigning a dynamic, frequency-dependent effective mass to photons within the comprehensive framework of the T0 model, building upon the complete field-theoretic derivation and natural units system where $\hbar = c = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = \beta_{\text{T}} = 1$. The theory establishes the fundamental relationship $T(x,t) = \frac{1}{\max(m,\omega)}$ with dimension $[E^{-1}]$, providing a unified treatment of massive particles and photons through the three fundamental field geometries. The dynamic photon mass $m_\gamma = \omega$ introduces energy-dependent nonlocality effects, with testable predictions including wavelength-dependent redshift $z(\lambda) = z_0(1 - \ln(\lambda/\lambda_0))$ and energy-dependent quantum correlations. All formulations maintain strict dimensional consistency with the fixed T0 parameters $\beta = 2Gm/r$, $\xi = 2\sqrt{G} \cdot m$, and the cosmic screening factor $\xi_{\text{eff}} = \xi/2$ for infinite fields.

Contents

1 Introduction: T0 Model Foundation for Photon Dynamics

This updated analysis builds upon the comprehensive T0 model framework established in the field-theoretic derivation, incorporating the complete geometric foundations and natural units system. The dynamic effective mass concept for photons emerges naturally from the T0 model's fundamental time-mass duality principle.

1.1 Fundamental T0 Model Framework

The T0 model is based on the intrinsic time field definition:

$$\boxed{T(x,t) = \frac{1}{\max(m(\vec{x},t), \omega)}} \tag{1}$$

Dimensional verification: $[T(x,t)] = [1/E] = [E^{-1}]$ in natural units ✓
This field satisfies the fundamental field equation:

$$\nabla^2 m(\vec{x},t) = 4\pi G \rho(\vec{x},t) \cdot m(\vec{x},t) \tag{2}$$

From this foundation emerge the key parameters:

> **T0 Model Parameters for Photon Analysis**
>
> $$\beta = \frac{2Gm}{r} \quad [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \tag{3}$$
> $$\xi = 2\sqrt{G} \cdot m \quad [1] \text{ (dimensionless)} \tag{4}$$
> $$\beta_T = 1 \quad [1] \text{ (natural units)} \tag{5}$$
> $$\alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1 \quad [1] \text{ (natural units)} \tag{6}$$

1.2 Photon Integration in Time-Mass Duality

For photons, the T0 model assigns an effective mass:

$$m_\gamma = \omega \tag{7}$$

Dimensional verification: $[m_\gamma] = [\omega] = [E]$ in natural units ✓
This gives the photon's intrinsic time field:

$$T(x,t)_\gamma = \frac{1}{\omega} \tag{8}$$

Physical interpretation: Higher-energy photons have shorter intrinsic time scales, creating energy-dependent temporal dynamics.

2 Energy-Dependent Nonlocality and Quantum Correlations

2.1 Entangled Photon Systems

For entangled photons with energies ω_1 and ω_2, the time field difference is:

$$\Delta T_\gamma = \left| \frac{1}{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_2} \right| \tag{9}$$

Physical consequence: Quantum correlations experience energy-dependent delays.

2.2 Modified Bell Inequality

The energy-dependent time fields lead to a modified Bell inequality:

$$|E(a,b) - E(a,c)| + |E(a',b) + E(a',c)| \leq 2 + \epsilon(\omega_1, \omega_2) \tag{10}$$

where:

$$\epsilon(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \alpha_{\text{corr}} \left| \frac{1}{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_2} \right| \frac{2G\langle m \rangle}{r} \tag{11}$$

with α_{corr} being a correlation coupling constant and $\langle m \rangle$ the average mass in the experimental setup.

3 Wavelength-Dependent Redshift

3.1 Photon Energy Loss Mechanism

Photons lose energy to the time field gradients according to:

$$\frac{d\omega}{dr} = -g_T \omega^2 \frac{2G}{r^2} \tag{12}$$

where $g_T = \alpha_{\text{EM}} = 1$ in natural units.
Dimensional verification:

- $[d\omega/dr] = [E]/[E^{-1}] = [E^2]$

- $[g_T \omega^2 2G/r^2] = [1][E^2][E^{-2}][E^2] = [E^2]$ ✓

3.2 Wavelength-Dependent Redshift Formula

The integrated energy loss yields:

$$z(\lambda) = z_0 \left(1 - \beta_T \ln \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} \right) \tag{13}$$

with $\beta_T = 1$ in natural units.
Distinctive prediction: This logarithmic wavelength dependence is unique to the T0 model.

4 Experimental Predictions and Tests

4.1 High-Precision Quantum Optics Tests

4.1.1 Energy-Dependent Bell Tests

Predicted time delay between entangled photons:

$$\Delta t_{\text{corr}} = \frac{G\langle m \rangle}{r} \left| \frac{1}{\omega_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_2} \right| \tag{14}$$

For laboratory conditions with $\langle m \rangle \sim 10^{-3}$ kg, $r \sim 10$ m, and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \sim 1$ eV:

$$\Delta t_{\text{corr}} \sim 10^{-21} \text{ s} \tag{15}$$

4.2 Astrophysical and Cosmological Tests

4.2.1 Multi-Wavelength Redshift Measurements

Precise spectroscopic observations across multiple wavelength bands should reveal:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial \ln \lambda} = z_0 \beta_T = z_0 \tag{16}$$

This provides a direct test of $\beta_T = 1$ in natural units.

5 Dimensional Consistency Verification

Equation	Left Side	Right Side	Status
Photon effective mass	$[m_\gamma] = [E]$	$[\omega] = [E]$	✓
Photon time field	$[T_\gamma] = [E^{-1}]$	$[1/\omega] = [E^{-1}]$	✓
Energy loss rate	$[d\omega/dr] = [E^2]$	$[g_T \omega^2 2G/r^2] = [E^2]$	✓
Time field difference	$[\Delta T_\gamma] = [E^{-1}]$	$[|1/\omega_1 - 1/\omega_2|] = [E^{-1}]$	✓
Bell correction	$[\epsilon] = [1]$	$[\alpha_{\mathrm{corr}} \Delta T_\gamma \beta] = [1]$	✓

Table 1: Dimensional consistency verification for photon dynamics in T0 model

6 Conclusions

6.1 Summary of Key Results

This updated analysis demonstrates that the dynamic photon mass concept integrates seamlessly into the comprehensive T0 model framework:

1. **Unified treatment**: Photons and massive particles follow the same fundamental relationship $T = 1/\max(m, \omega)$

2. **Energy-dependent effects**: Photon dynamics depend on frequency through the intrinsic time field

3. **Modified nonlocality**: Quantum correlations experience energy-dependent delays

4. **Testable predictions**: Specific experimental signatures distinguish T0 from standard theory

5. **Dimensional consistency**: All equations verified in natural units framework

6. **Parameter-free theory**: All effects determined by fundamental T0 parameters

6.2   Theoretical Significance

> **T0 Model: Dynamic Photon Mass Results**
>
> - **Photon effective mass**: $m_\gamma = \omega$ provides natural unification
>
> - **Energy-dependent time**: $T_\gamma = 1/\omega$ creates frequency-dependent dynamics
>
> - **Modified correlations**: Quantum nonlocality becomes energy-dependent
>
> - **Cosmological effects**: Wavelength-dependent redshift from energy loss
>
> - **Gravitational coupling**: Photons interact with time field gradients

The dynamic photon mass concept within the T0 model provides a comprehensive framework that unifies quantum mechanics, relativity, and gravitation while offering distinctive experimental predictions. The energy-dependent approach to photon dynamics opens new avenues for understanding the fundamental nature of light and its role in quantum phenomena.

References

[1] Pascher, J. (2025). *Field-Theoretic Derivation of the β_T Parameter in Natural Units ($\hbar = c = 1$).* GitHub Repository: T0-Time-Mass-Duality.

[2] L. de Broglie, "La Mécanique du Photon," Hermann & Cie, Paris (1940).

[3] A. Proca, "Sur la théorie ondulatoire des électrons positifs et négatifs," Journal de Physique et le Radium, **7**, 347–353 (1936).

[4] J.S. Bell, "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox," Physics Physique Fizika, **1**, 195–200 (1964).

[5] A. Einstein, "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?" Annalen der Physik, **18**, 639–641 (1905).

[6] R.P. Feynman, "The Feynman Lectures on Physics," Vol. III, Addison-Wesley (1965).