

Ontological Reality and Narrative Structure of T0 Theory

From Fundamental Structure to Observable Physics

Hierarchical Levels of Physical Reality

Systematic Analysis

February 5, 2026

Abstract

This work examines the ontological structure of T0 theory and its narrative organization. The central question is: Which level of description represents the “fundamental reality,” and how do the various formulations (4D torsion crystal, fractal dimension, observable 3D physics) organize themselves hierarchically? The analysis reveals a clear four-level ontological hierarchy: (1) **Fundamental Level**: The 4D torsion crystal as primary ontological reality with compactified 4th dimension at scale $r_4 = \xi \cdot \ell_P \approx 2 \times 10^{-39}$ m. (2) **Sub-Planck Level**: The fractal granulation $D_f = 3 - \xi$ as first emergent structure. (3) **Effective Level**: Phenomenological laws with $\sim 1\text{--}2\%$ corrections. (4) **Observational Level**: Classical 3D physics as macroscopic limit. This hierarchy follows the principle of ontological priority: The 4D torsion lattice is fundamentally real, while lower levels represent emergent approximations. Narrative integration occurs through “projection upwards”: From fundamental 4D geometry, all observable phenomena successively emerge.

Contents

1 Introduction: The Ontological Question

1.1 Problem Statement

In T0 theory, multiple descriptive levels exist:

- The 4-dimensional torsion crystal
- The fractal dimension $D_f = 3 - \xi$
- Effective 3D physics with corrections
- Observable classical physics

Central Question

Which of these levels represents the **fundamental ontological reality**?
Put differently: What "truly exists," and what is merely an approximate description or emergent phenomenon?

1.2 Significance of the Question

This question is not only philosophical but has practical consequences:

1. **Narrative presentation:** How to explain the theory coherently?
2. **Physical interpretation:** Where do particles "live"?
3. **Experimental predictions:** What are real effects vs. mathematical artifacts?
4. **Consistency:** How to avoid contradictions between descriptive levels?

2 The Ontological Hierarchy

2.1 Basic Principle: Ontological Priority

T0 theory follows the principle of **ontological priority**:

Fundamental Principle

The most fundamental description has **ontological priority**.
All other descriptions are:

- **Emergent:** They arise from the fundamental level
- **Approximative:** They are approximations for specific regimes
- **Effective:** They describe macroscopic phenomena

2.2 The Four Levels of Reality