E=mc² = E=m: The Constants Illusion Exposed Why Einstein's c-constant conceals the fundamental error From Dynamic Ratios to the Constants Illusion

Johann Pascher

Department of Communications Engineering, Higher Technical Federal Institute (HTL), Leonding, Austria johann.pascher@gmail.com

October 18, 2025

Abstract

This work reveals the central point of Einstein's relativity theory: $E=mc^2$ is mathematically identical to E=m. The only difference lies in Einstein's treatment of c as a "constant" instead of a dynamic ratio. By fixing c=299,792,458 m/s, the natural time-mass duality $T \cdot m=1$ is artificially "frozen," leading to apparent complexity. The T0 theory shows: c is not a fundamental law of nature, but only a ratio that must be variable if time is variable. Einstein's error was not $E=mc^2$ itself, but the constant-setting of c.

Contents

1	The Central Thesis: $E=mc^2=E=m$	2
	1.1 The Mathematical Identity	. 2
	1.2 What is c really?	
2	Einstein's Fundamental Error: The Constant-Setting	2
	2.1 The Act of Constant-Setting	. 2
	2.2 The Problem of Time Variability	. 2
	2.3 The T0 Resolution	
3	The Constants Illusion: How it Works	3
	3.1 The Mechanism of the Illusion	. 3
	3.2 What Really Happens (T0 View)	
4	c as Ratio vs. c as Constant	3
	4.1 c as Natural Ratio (T0)	. 3
	4.2 c as Artificial Constant (Einstein)	. 3
5	The Time Dilation Paradox	4
	5.1 Einstein's Contradiction Exposed	. 4
	5.2 Einstein's Hidden Solution	
	5.3 T0's Natural Solution	

6	The	Mathematical Demonstration	4
	6.1	From E=mc ² to E=m	4
	6.2	The Reverse Direction: From E=m to E=mc ² $\dots \dots \dots$	5
7	The	Arbitrariness of Constant Choice: c or Time?	5
	7.1	Einstein's Arbitrary Decision	5
	7.2	Option 1: Einstein's c-constant	5
	7.3	Option 2: Time-constant (Einstein could have chosen)	5
	7.4	Mathematical Equivalence of Both Options	6
	7.5	Why Einstein Chose Option 1	6
	7.6	T0's Overcoming of Both Options	6
	7.7	Liberation from Constant Constraint	6
8	The	Reference Point Revolution: Earth \rightarrow Sun \rightarrow Nature	7
	8.1	The Reference Point Analogy: Geocentric \rightarrow Heliocentric \rightarrow T0	7
		- The state of the	7
	8.2	Why We Need Reference Points	
	8.3	The Right vs. Wrong Reference Point	8
9	Whe	en Something Becomes "Constant"	8
	9.1	The Fundamental Reference Point Problem	8
	9.2	The Natural Stage: Everything is Relative	8
	9.3	The Moment of Reference Point Setting	9
	9.4	The Reference Point Problematic	9
	$9.4 \\ 9.5$		
		T0's Reference Point-Free Physics	9
	9.6	Example: The Meter Definition	9
	9.7		10
	9.8	T0's Resolution of the Reference Point Illusion	10
10	Why	y c-Constancy is Not Provable	10
	•		10
		The Gauge Definition Problem	
			11
	10.3	J I	
		The Burden of Proof Problem	
	10.5	To Prediction for Precise Measurements	11
11	Onte	ological Consideration: Calculations as Constructs	11
	11.1	The Fundamental Epistemological Limit	11
		•	11
			12
			12
		·	
			13
			13
	11.7	The Ontological Humility	13
12	The	Practical Consequences	13
		-	13
		When E=mc ² Fails	
		T0's Universal Validity	
	$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}$	TO SUMPERSAL VARIABLY	14

13		Physics History Achievement	
14	•	re: c as Living Ratio of Time-Mass Duality	
15		s of c-Variability iments	
16	16.2 Physics After th	cognition	. 16

1 The Central Thesis: $E=mc^2 = E=m$

The Fundamental Recognition

E=mc² and E=m are mathematically identical!

The only difference: Einstein treats c as a "constant," although c is a dynamic ratio.

Einstein's error: c = 299,792,458 m/s = constant

T0 truth: c = L/T = variable ratio

1.1 The Mathematical Identity

In natural units:

$$E = mc^2 = m \times c^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \tag{1}$$

This is not an approximation - this is exactly the same equation!

1.2 What is c really?

$$c = \frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Time}} = \frac{L}{T} \tag{2}$$

c is a ratio, not a natural constant!

2 Einstein's Fundamental Error: The Constant-Setting

2.1 The Act of Constant-Setting

Einstein set: c = 299,792,458 m/s = constant

What does this mean?

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{L}{T} = \text{fixed}$$
 (3)

Implication: If L and T can vary, their ratio must remain constant.

2.2 The Problem of Time Variability

Einstein recognized himself: Time dilates!

$$t' = \gamma t$$
 (time is variable) (4)

But simultaneously he claimed:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \tag{5}$$

This is a logical contradiction!

2.3 The T0 Resolution

T0 insight: $T \cdot m = 1$

This means:

- Time T must be variable (coupled to mass)
- Therefore c = L/T cannot be constant
- c is a **dynamic ratio**, not a constant

3 The Constants Illusion: How it Works

3.1 The Mechanism of the Illusion

Step 1: Einstein sets c = constant

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{fixed}$$
 (6)

Step 2: Time becomes "frozen" by this

$$T = \frac{L}{c} = \frac{L}{\text{constant}} = \text{apparently determined}$$
 (7)

Step 3: Time dilation becomes "mysterious effect"

$$t' = \gamma t \pmod{2} \rightarrow \text{complicated relativity theory}$$
 (8)

3.2 What Really Happens (T0 View)

Reality: Time is naturally variable through $T \cdot m = 1$

Einstein's constant-setting "freezes" this natural variability artificially Result: One needs complicated theory to repair the "frozen" dynamics

4 c as Ratio vs. c as Constant

4.1 c as Natural Ratio (T0)

$$c(x,t) = \frac{L(x,t)}{T(x,t)} \tag{9}$$

Properties:

- c varies with location and time
- c follows the time-mass duality
- No artificial constants
- Natural simplicity: E = m

4.2 c as Artificial Constant (Einstein)

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant everywhere}$$
 (10)

Problems:

- Contradiction to time dilation
- Artificial "freezing" of time dynamics
- Complicated repair mathematics needed
- Inflated formula: $E = mc^2$

5 The Time Dilation Paradox

5.1 Einstein's Contradiction Exposed

Einstein claims simultaneously:

$$c = \text{constant}$$
 (11)

$$t' = \gamma t$$
 (time varies) (12)

But:

$$c = \frac{L}{T}$$
 and T varies \Rightarrow c cannot be constant! (13)

5.2 Einstein's Hidden Solution

Einstein "solves" the contradiction through:

- Complicated Lorentz transformations
- Mathematical formalisms
- Space-time constructions
- But the logical contradiction remains!

5.3 To's Natural Solution

No contradiction in T0:

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{time is naturally variable}$$
 (14)

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ is naturally variable}$$
 (15)

No constant-setting \rightarrow No contradictions \rightarrow No complicated repair mathematics

6 The Mathematical Demonstration

6.1 From E=mc² to E=m

Starting equation: $E = mc^2$

c in natural units: c = 1

Substitution:

$$E = mc^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \tag{16}$$

Result: E = m

6.2 The Reverse Direction: From E=m to E=mc²

Starting equation: E = m

Artificial constant introduction: c = 299,792,458 m/sInflating the equation:

$$E = m = m \times 1 = m \times \frac{c^2}{c^2} = m \times c^2 \times \frac{1}{c^2}$$
 (17)

If one defines c^2 as "conversion factor":

$$E = mc^2 (18)$$

This shows: $E = mc^2$ is only E = m with artificial inflation factor c^2 !

7 The Arbitrariness of Constant Choice: c or Time?

7.1 Einstein's Arbitrary Decision

The Fundamental Choice Option

One can choose what should be "constant"!

Option 1 (Einstein's choice): $c = constant \rightarrow time$ becomes variable

Option 2 (alternative): time = constant \rightarrow c becomes variable

Both describe the same physics!

7.2 Option 1: Einstein's c-constant

Einstein chose:

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant (defined)}$$
 (19)

$$t' = \gamma t$$
 (time becomes automatically variable) (20)

Language convention:

- "Speed of light is universally constant"
- "Time dilates in strong gravitational fields"
- "Clocks run slower at high velocities"

7.3 Option 2: Time-constant (Einstein could have chosen)

Alternative choice:

$$t = \text{constant (defined)}$$
 (21)

$$c(x,t) = \frac{L(x,t)}{t} = \text{variable}$$
 (22)

Alternative language convention:

- "Time flows equally everywhere"
- "Speed of light varies with location"
- "Light becomes slower in strong gravitational fields"

7.4 Mathematical Equivalence of Both Options

Both descriptions are mathematically identical:

Phenomenon	Einstein view	Time-constant view
Gravitation	Time slows down	Light slows down
Velocity	Time dilation	c-variation
GPS correction	"Clocks run differently"	"c is different"
Measurements	Same numbers	Same numbers

Table 1: Two views, identical physics

7.5 Why Einstein Chose Option 1

Historical reasons for Einstein's decision:

- Michelson-Morley: c seemed locally constant
- Aesthetics: "Universal constant" sounded elegant
- Tradition: Newtonian constant physics
- Conceivability: c-constancy easier to imagine than time constancy
- Authority effect: Einstein's prestige fixed this choice

But it was only a convention, not a natural law!

7.6 To's Overcoming of Both Options

T0 shows: Both choices are arbitrary!

$$T \cdot m = 1$$
 (natural duality without constant constraint) (23)

T0 insight:

- Neither c nor time are "really" constant
- Both are aspects of the same $T \cdot m$ dynamics
- Constancy is only definition convention
- $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{m}$ is the constant-free truth

7.7 Liberation from Constant Constraint

Instead of choosing between:

- c constant, time variable (Einstein)
- Time constant, c variable (alternative)

T0 chooses:

- Both dynamically coupled via $T \cdot m = 1$
- No arbitrary fixations
- Natural ratios instead of artificial constants

8 The Reference Point Revolution: Earth \rightarrow Sun \rightarrow Nature

8.1 The Reference Point Analogy: Geocentric \rightarrow Heliocentric \rightarrow T0

The Reference Point Revolution: From Earth \rightarrow Sun \rightarrow Nature

Geocentric (Ptolemy): Earth at center

- Complicated epicycles needed
- Works, but artificially complicated

Heliocentric (Copernicus): Sun at center

- Simple ellipses
- Much more elegant and simple

T0-centric: Natural ratios at center

- $T \cdot m = 1$ (natural reference point)
- Even more elegant: E = m

Einstein's c-constant corresponds to the geocentric system:

- **Human** reference point at center (like Earth at center)
- Complicated mathematics needed (like epicycles)
- Works locally, but artificially inflated

To's natural ratios correspond to the heliocentric system:

- Natural reference point at center (like Sun at center)
- Simple mathematics (like ellipses)
- Universally valid and elegant

8.2 Why We Need Reference Points

Reference points are necessary and natural:

- For measurements: We need standards for comparison
- For communication: Common basis for exchange
- For technology: Practical applications require units
- For science: Reproducible experiments need standards

The question is not WHETHER, but WHICH reference point:

System	Reference Point	Complexity	Elegance
Geocentric	Earth	Epicycles	Low
Heliocentric	Sun	Ellipses	High
Einstein	c-constant	Relativity theory	Medium
T0	$T \cdot m = 1$	E = m	Maximum

Table 2: Reference point systems comparison

8.3 The Right vs. Wrong Reference Point

Einstein's error was not to choose a reference point:

• But to choose the wrong reference point!

Wrong reference point (Einstein): c = 299,792,458 m/s = constant

- Based on human definition
- Leads to complicated mathematics
- Creates logical contradictions

Right reference point (T0): $T \cdot m = 1$

- Based on natural ratio
- Leads to simple mathematics: E = m
- No contradictions, pure elegance

9 When Something Becomes "Constant"

9.1 The Fundamental Reference Point Problem

The Reference Point Illusion

Something only becomes "constant" when we define a reference point!

Without reference point: All ratios are relative and dynamic With reference point: One ratio becomes artificially "fixed" Einstein's error: He defined an absolute reference point for c

9.2 The Natural Stage: Everything is Relative

Before any reference point definition:

$$c_1 = \frac{L_1}{T_1} \tag{24}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{L_2}{T_2} \tag{25}$$

$$c_3 = \frac{L_3}{T_3} \tag{26}$$

 $\vdots (27)$

All c-values are relative to each other. None is "constant".

9.3 The Moment of Reference Point Setting

Einstein's fatal step:

"I define:
$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{reference point}$$
" (28)

What happens at this moment:

- An arbitrary reference point is set
- All other c-values are measured relative to this
- The **dynamic ratio** becomes a "constant"
- The **natural relativity** is artificially "frozen"

9.4 The Reference Point Problematic

Every reference point is arbitrary:

- Why 299,792,458 m/s and not 300,000,000 m/s?
- Why in m/s and not in other units?
- Why measured on Earth and not in space?
- Why at this time and not at another?

9.5 To's Reference Point-Free Physics

T0 eliminates all reference points:

$$T \cdot m = 1$$
 (universal relation without reference point) (29)

- No arbitrary fixations
- All ratios remain dynamic
- Natural relativity is preserved
- Fundamental simplicity: E = m

9.6 Example: The Meter Definition

Historical development of meter definition:

- 1. 1793: 1 meter = 1/10,000,000 of Earth meridian (Earth reference point)
- 2. **1889**: 1 meter = prototype meter in Paris (object reference point)
- 3. 1960: 1 meter = 1,650,763.73 wavelengths of krypton-86 (atom reference point)
- 4. 1983: 1 meter = distance light travels in 1/299,792,458 s (c reference point)

What does this show?

- Each definition is human arbitrariness
- The **reference point** changes with human technology
- There is **no "natural" length unit** only human agreements
- Humans make c "constant" by definition not nature!

9.7 The Circular Error: Humans Define Their Own "Constants" In 1983 humans defined:

1 meter =
$$\frac{1}{299,792,458} \times c \times 1$$
 second (30)

This makes c automatically "constant" - through human definition, not through natural law:

 $c = \frac{299,792,458 \text{ meters}}{1 \text{ second}} = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s}$ (31)

Circular reasoning: Humans define c as constant and then "measure" a constant! Nature is not asked in this process!

9.8 To's Resolution of the Reference Point Illusion

T0 recognizes:

- Definition \neq natural law
- Measurement reference point \neq physical constant
- Practical agreement \neq fundamental truth

T0 solution:

10 Why c-Constancy is Not Provable

10.1 The Fundamental Measurement Problem

To measure c, we need:

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \tag{34}$$

But: We measure L and T with the same physical processes that depend on c! Circular problem:

- Light measures distances \rightarrow c determines L
- Atomic clocks use EM transitions \rightarrow c influences T
- Then we measure $c = L/T \rightarrow We$ measure c with c!

10.2 The Gauge Definition Problem

Since 1983: 1 meter = distance light travels in 1/299,792,458 s

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s}$$
 (not measured, but defined!) (35)

One cannot "prove" what one has defined!

10.3 The Systematic Compensation Problem

If c varies, ALL measuring devices vary equally:

- Laser interferometers: use light (c-dependent)
- Atomic clocks: use EM transitions (c-dependent)
- Electronics: uses EM signals (c-dependent)

Result: All devices automatically compensate the c-variation!

10.4 The Burden of Proof Problem

Scientifically correct:

- One cannot prove that something is constant
- One can only show that it appears constant within measurement precision
- Each new precision level could show variation

Einstein's "c-constancy" was belief, not proof!

10.5 To Prediction for Precise Measurements

T0 predicts: At highest precision one will find:

$$c(x,t) = c_0 \left(1 + \xi \times \frac{T(x,t) - T_0}{T_0} \right)$$
 (36)

with $\xi = 1.33 \times 10^{-4}$ (T0 parameter)

c varies tiny ($\sim 10^{-15}$), but measurable in principle!

11 Ontological Consideration: Calculations as Constructs

11.1 The Fundamental Epistemological Limit

Ontological Truth

All calculations are human constructs!

They can at best give a certain idea of reality.

That calculations are internally consistent proves little about actual reality.

Mathematical consistency \neq ontological truth

11.2 Einstein's Construct vs. T0's Construct

Both are human thought structures:

Einstein's construct:

- $E = mc^2$ (mathematically consistent)
- Relativity theory (internally coherent)
- 10 field equations (work computationally)

• But: Based on arbitrary c-constant setting

T0's construct:

- E = m (mathematically simpler)
- $T \cdot m = 1$ (internally coherent)
- $\partial^2 E = 0$ (works computationally)
- But: Also only a human thought model

11.3 The Ontological Relativity

What is "really" real?

- Einstein's space-time? (construct)
- T0's energy field? (construct)
- Newton's absolute time? (construct)
- Quantum mechanics' probabilities? (construct)

All are human interpretive frameworks of the inaccessible reality!

11.4 Why T0 is Still "Better"

Not because of "absolute truth," but because of:

- 1. Simplicity (Occam's Razor):
- E = m is simpler than $E = mc^2$
- One equation is simpler than 10 equations
- Fewer arbitrary assumptions

2. Consistency:

- No logical contradictions (like Einstein's)
- No constant arbitrariness
- Unified thought structure

3. Predictive power:

- Testable predictions
- Fewer free parameters
- Clearer experimental distinction

4. Aesthetics:

- Mathematical elegance
- Conceptual clarity
- Unity

11.5 The Epistemological Humility

To does NOT claim to be "absolute truth."

T0 only says:

- "Here is a **simpler** construct"
- "With **fewer** arbitrary assumptions"
- "That is more consistent than Einstein's construct"
- "And makes more testable predictions"

But ultimately T0 also remains a human thought structure!

11.6 The Pragmatic Consequence

Since all theories are constructs:

Evaluation criteria are:

- 1. **Simplicity** (fewer assumptions)
- 2. Consistency (no contradictions)
- 3. Predictive power (testable consequences)
- 4. Elegance (aesthetic criteria)
- 5. Unity (fewer separate domains)

By all these criteria T0 is "better" than Einstein - but not "absolutely true".

11.7 The Ontological Humility

The deepest insight:

- Reality itself is inaccessible
- All theories are human constructs
- Mathematical consistency proves no ontological truth
- The best we have: Simpler, more consistent constructs

Einstein's error was not only the c-constant setting, but also the claim to absolute truth of his mathematical constructs.

To's advantage is not absolute truth, but relative superiority as a thought model.

12 The Practical Consequences

12.1 Why E=mc² "Works"

E=mc² works because:

- It is mathematically identical to E=m
- c^2 compensates the "frozen" time dynamics
- The T0 truth is unconsciously contained
- Local approximations usually suffice

12.2 When E=mc² Fails

The constants illusion breaks down at:

- Very precise measurements
- Extreme conditions (high energies/masses)
- Cosmological scales
- Quantum gravity

12.3 To's Universal Validity

E = m is valid everywhere and always:

- No approximations needed
- No constant assumptions
- Universal applicability
- Fundamental simplicity

13 The Correction of Physics History

13.1 Einstein's True Achievement

Einstein's actual discovery was:

$$E = m \quad \text{(in natural form)}$$
 (37)

His error was:

$$E = mc^2$$
 (with artificial constant inflation) (38)

13.2 The Historical Irony

The Great Irony

Einstein discovered the fundamental simplicity E = m, but hid it behind the constants illusion $E = mc^2$!

The physics world celebrated the complicated form and overlooked the simple truth.

14 The T0 Perspective: c as Living Ratio

14.1 c as Expression of Time-Mass Duality

In T0 theory:

$$c(x,t) = f\left(\frac{L(x,t)}{T(x,t)}\right) = f\left(\frac{L(x,t) \cdot m(x,t)}{1}\right)$$
(39)

since $T \cdot m = 1$.

c becomes an expression of the fundamental time-mass duality!

14.2 The Dynamic Speed of Light

T0 prediction:

$$c(x,t) = c_0 \sqrt{1 + \xi \frac{m(x,t) - m_0}{m_0}}$$
(40)

Light moves faster in more massive regions!

(Tiny effect, but measurable in principle)

15 Experimental Tests of c-Variability

15.1 Proposed Experiments

Test 1 - Gravitational dependence:

- Measure c in different gravitational fields
- T0 prediction: c varies with $\sim \xi \times \Delta \Phi_{\rm grav}$

Test 2 - Cosmological variation:

- Measure c over cosmological time periods
- T0 prediction: c changes with universe expansion

Test 3 - High-energy physics:

- Measure c in particle accelerators at highest energies
- T0 prediction: Tiny deviations at $E \sim \text{TeV}$

15.2 Expected Results

Experiment	Einstein (c constant)	T0 (c variable)
Gravitational field	c = 299792458 m/s	$c(1\pm 10^{-15})$
Cosmological time	c = constant	$c(1+10^{-12}\times t)$
High energy	c = constant	$c(1+10^{-16})$

Table 3: Predicted c-variations

16 Conclusions

16.1 The Central Recognition

The Fundamental Truth

 $E=mc^2=E=m$

Einstein's "constant" c is in truth a variable ratio.

The constant-setting was Einstein's fundamental error.

To corrects this error by returning to natural variability.

16.2 Physics After the Constants Illusion

The future of physics:

- No artificial constants
- Dynamic ratios everywhere
- Living, variable natural laws
- Fundamental simplicity: E = m

16.3 Einstein's Corrected Legacy

Einstein's true discovery: E = m (energy-mass identity)

Einstein's error: Constant-setting of c

T0's correction: Return to natural form E = m

Einstein was brilliant - he just stopped one step too early!

References

- [1] Einstein, A. (1905). Does the inertia of a body depend upon its energy content? Annalen der Physik, 18, 639–641.
- [2] Michelson, A. A. and Morley, E. W. (1887). On the relative motion of the Earth and the luminiferous ether. American Journal of Science, 34, 333–345.
- [3] Pascher, J. (2025). Field-Theoretic Derivation of the β_T Parameter in Natural Units. To Model Documentation.
- [4] Pascher, J. (2025). Simplified Dirac Equation in T0 Theory. T0 Model Documentation.
- [5] Pascher, J. (2025). Pure Energy T0 Theory: The Ratio-Based Revolution. T0 Model Documentation.
- [6] Planck, M. (1900). On the theory of the energy distribution law of the normal spectrum. Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft, 2, 237–245.
- [7] Lorentz, H. A. (1904). Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity smaller than that of light. Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 6, 809–831.
- [8] Weinberg, S. (1972). Gravitation and Cosmology. John Wiley & Sons.