

$E=mc^2 = E=m$: The Constants Illusion Exposed

Why Einstein's c-constant conceals the fundamental error

From Dynamic Ratios to the Constants Illusion

Johann Pascher

Department of Communications Engineering,
Higher Technical Federal Institute (HTL), Leonding, Austria
johann.pascher@gmail.com

27 novembre 2025

Résumé

This work reveals the central point of Einstein's relativity theory : $E=mc^2$ is mathematically identical to $E=m$. The only difference lies in Einstein's treatment of c as a "constant" instead of a dynamic ratio. By fixing $c = 299,792,458$ m/s, the natural time-mass duality $T \cdot m = 1$ is artificially "frozen," leading to apparent complexity. The T0 theory shows : c is not a fundamental law of nature, but only a ratio that must be variable if time is variable. Einstein's error was not $E=mc^2$ itself, but the constant-setting of c .

Table des matières

1 The Central Thesis : E=mc² = E=m

The Fundamental Recognition

E=mc² and E=m are mathematically identical !

The only difference : Einstein treats c as a "constant," although c is a dynamic ratio.

Einstein's error : c = 299,792,458 m/s = constant

T0 truth : c = L/T = variable ratio

1.1 The Mathematical Identity

In natural units :

$$E = mc^2 = m \times c^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \quad (1)$$

This is not an approximation - this is exactly the same equation !

1.2 What is c really ?

$$c = \frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Time}} = \frac{L}{T} \quad (2)$$

c is a ratio, not a natural constant !

2 Einstein's Fundamental Error : The Constant-Setting

2.1 The Act of Constant-Setting

Einstein set : c = 299,792,458 m/s = **constant**

What does this mean ?

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{L}{T} = \text{fixed} \quad (3)$$

Implication : If L and T can vary, their **ratio** must remain constant.

2.2 The Problem of Time Variability

Einstein recognized himself : Time dilates !

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time is variable}) \quad (4)$$

But simultaneously he claimed :

$$c = \frac{L}{T} = \text{constant} \quad (5)$$

This is a logical contradiction !

2.3 The T0 Resolution

T0 insight : $T \cdot m = 1$

This means :

- Time **T must** be variable (coupled to mass)
- Therefore $c = L/T$ **cannot** be constant
- c is a **dynamic ratio**, not a constant

3 The Constants Illusion : How it Works

3.1 The Mechanism of the Illusion

Step 1 : Einstein sets $c = \text{constant}$

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{fixed} \quad (6)$$

Step 2 : Time becomes "frozen" by this

$$T = \frac{L}{c} = \frac{L}{\text{constant}} = \text{apparently determined} \quad (7)$$

Step 3 : Time dilation becomes "mysterious effect"

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{why?} \rightarrow \text{complicated relativity theory}) \quad (8)$$

3.2 What Really Happens (T0 View)

Reality : Time is naturally variable through $T \cdot m = 1$

Einstein's constant-setting "freezes" this natural variability artificially

Result : One needs complicated theory to repair the "frozen" dynamics

4 c as Ratio vs. c as Constant

4.1 c as Natural Ratio (T0)

$$c(x, t) = \frac{L(x, t)}{T(x, t)} \quad (9)$$

Properties :

- c varies with location and time
- c follows the time-mass duality
- No artificial constants
- Natural simplicity : $E = m$

4.2 c as Artificial Constant (Einstein)

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant everywhere} \quad (10)$$

Problems :

- Contradiction to time dilation
- Artificial "freezing" of time dynamics
- Complicated repair mathematics needed
- Inflated formula : $E = mc^2$

5 The Time Dilation Paradox

5.1 Einstein's Contradiction Exposed

Einstein claims simultaneously :

$$c = \text{constant} \quad (11)$$

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time varies}) \quad (12)$$

But :

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \text{and} \quad T \text{ varies} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ cannot be constant!} \quad (13)$$

5.2 Einstein's Hidden Solution

Einstein "solves" the contradiction through :

- Complicated Lorentz transformations
- Mathematical formalisms
- Space-time constructions
- **But the logical contradiction remains!**

5.3 T0's Natural Solution

No contradiction in T0 :

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{time is naturally variable} \quad (14)$$

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c \text{ is naturally variable} \quad (15)$$

No constant-setting → No contradictions → No complicated repair mathematics

6 The Mathematical Demonstration

6.1 From E=mc² to E=m

Starting equation : $E = mc^2$

c in natural units : $c = 1$

Substitution :

$$E = mc^2 = m \times 1^2 = m \quad (16)$$

Result : $E = m$

6.2 The Reverse Direction : From E=m to E=mc²

Starting equation : $E = m$

Artificial constant introduction : $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s}$

Inflating the equation :

$$E = m = m \times 1 = m \times \frac{c^2}{c^2} = m \times c^2 \times \frac{1}{c^2} \quad (17)$$

If one defines c^2 as "conversion factor" :

$$E = mc^2 \quad (18)$$

This shows : $E = mc^2$ is only $E = m$ with **artificial inflation factor** c^2 !

7 The Arbitrariness of Constant Choice : c or Time ?

7.1 Einstein's Arbitrary Decision

The Fundamental Choice Option

One can choose what should be "constant" !

Option 1 (Einstein's choice) : $c = \text{constant} \rightarrow \text{time becomes variable}$

Option 2 (alternative) : $\text{time} = \text{constant} \rightarrow c \text{ becomes variable}$

Both describe the same physics !

7.2 Option 1 : Einstein's c-constant

Einstein chose :

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant (defined)} \quad (19)$$

$$t' = \gamma t \quad (\text{time becomes automatically variable}) \quad (20)$$

Language convention :

- "Speed of light is universally constant"
- "Time dilates in strong gravitational fields"
- "Clocks run slower at high velocities"

7.3 Option 2 : Time-constant (Einstein could have chosen)

Alternative choice :

$$t = \text{constant (defined)} \quad (21)$$

$$c(x, t) = \frac{L(x, t)}{t} = \text{variable} \quad (22)$$

Alternative language convention :

- "Time flows equally everywhere"
- "Speed of light varies with location"
- "Light becomes slower in strong gravitational fields"

7.4 Mathematical Equivalence of Both Options

Both descriptions are mathematically identical :

Phenomenon	Einstein view	Time-constant view
Gravitation	Time slows down	Light slows down
Velocity	Time dilation	c-variation
GPS correction	"Clocks run differently"	"c is different"
Measurements	Same numbers	Same numbers

TABLE 1 – Two views, identical physics

7.5 Why Einstein Chose Option 1

Historical reasons for Einstein's decision :

- **Michelson-Morley** : c seemed locally constant
- **Aesthetics** : "Universal constant" sounded elegant
- **Tradition** : Newtonian constant physics
- **Conceivability** : c-constancy easier to imagine than time constancy
- **Authority effect** : Einstein's prestige fixed this choice

But it was only a convention, not a natural law !

7.6 T0's Overcoming of Both Options

T0 shows : Both choices are arbitrary !

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad (\text{natural duality without constant constraint}) \quad (23)$$

T0 insight :

- **Neither** c nor time are "really" constant
- **Both** are aspects of the same $T \cdot m$ dynamics
- **Constancy** is only definition convention
- **E = m** is the constant-free truth

7.7 Liberation from Constant Constraint

Instead of choosing between :

- c constant, time variable (Einstein)
- Time constant, c variable (alternative)

T0 chooses :

- **Both dynamically coupled** via $T \cdot m = 1$
- **No arbitrary fixations**
- **Natural ratios** instead of artificial constants

8 The Reference Point Revolution : Earth → Sun → Nature

8.1 The Reference Point Analogy : Geocentric → Heliocentric → T0

The Reference Point Revolution : From Earth → Sun → Nature

Geocentric (Ptolemy) : Earth at center

- Complicated epicycles needed
- Works, but artificially complicated

Heliocentric (Copernicus) : Sun at center

- Simple ellipses
- Much more elegant and simple

T0-centric : Natural ratios at center

- $T \cdot m = 1$ (natural reference point)
- Even more elegant : $E = m$

Einstein's c-constant corresponds to the geocentric system :

- **Human** reference point at center (like Earth at center)
- **Complicated** mathematics needed (like epicycles)
- **Works** locally, but artificially inflated

T0's natural ratios correspond to the heliocentric system :

- **Natural** reference point at center (like Sun at center)
- **Simple** mathematics (like ellipses)
- **Universally** valid and elegant

8.2 Why We Need Reference Points

Reference points are necessary and natural :

- **For measurements** : We need standards for comparison
- **For communication** : Common basis for exchange
- **For technology** : Practical applications require units
- **For science** : Reproducible experiments need standards

The question is not WHETHER, but WHICH reference point :

System	Reference Point	Complexity	Elegance
Geocentric	Earth	Epicycles	Low
Heliocentric	Sun	Ellipses	High
Einstein	c-constant	Relativity theory	Medium
T0	$T \cdot m = 1$	$E = m$	Maximum

TABLE 2 – Reference point systems comparison

8.3 The Right vs. Wrong Reference Point

Einstein's error was not to choose a reference point :

— But to choose the wrong reference point !

Wrong reference point (Einstein) : $c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{constant}$

— Based on human definition

— Leads to complicated mathematics

— Creates logical contradictions

Right reference point (T0) : $T \cdot m = 1$

— Based on natural ratio

— Leads to simple mathematics : $E = m$

— No contradictions, pure elegance

9 When Something Becomes "Constant"

9.1 The Fundamental Reference Point Problem

The Reference Point Illusion

Something only becomes "constant" when we define a reference point !

Without reference point : All ratios are relative and dynamic

With reference point : One ratio becomes artificially "fixed"

Einstein's error : He defined an absolute reference point for c

9.2 The Natural Stage : Everything is Relative

Before any reference point definition :

$$c_1 = \frac{L_1}{T_1} \quad (24)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{L_2}{T_2} \quad (25)$$

$$c_3 = \frac{L_3}{T_3} \quad (26)$$

$$\vdots \quad (27)$$

All c -values are relative to each other. None is "constant".

9.3 The Moment of Reference Point Setting

Einstein's fatal step :

$$\text{"I define : } c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} = \text{reference point"} \quad (28)$$

What happens at this moment :

— An **arbitrary reference point** is set

— All other c -values are measured relative to this

— The **dynamic ratio** becomes a "constant"

— The **natural relativity** is artificially "frozen"

9.4 The Reference Point Problematic

Every reference point is arbitrary :

- Why 299,792,458 m/s and not 300,000,000 m/s?
- Why in m/s and not in other units?
- Why measured on Earth and not in space?
- Why at this time and not at another?

9.5 T0's Reference Point-Free Physics

T0 eliminates all reference points :

$$T \cdot m = 1 \quad (\text{universal relation without reference point}) \quad (29)$$

- No arbitrary fixations
- All ratios remain dynamic
- Natural relativity is preserved
- Fundamental simplicity : $E = m$

9.6 Example : The Meter Definition

Historical development of meter definition :

1. **1793** : 1 meter = 1/10,000,000 of Earth meridian (Earth reference point)
2. **1889** : 1 meter = prototype meter in Paris (object reference point)
3. **1960** : 1 meter = 1,650,763.73 wavelengths of krypton-86 (atom reference point)
4. **1983** : 1 meter = distance light travels in 1/299,792,458 s (c reference point)

What does this show ?

- Each definition is **human arbitrariness**
- The **reference point** changes with human technology
- There is **no "natural" length unit** - only human agreements
- **Humans make c "constant" by definition** - not nature!

9.7 The Circular Error : Humans Define Their Own "Constants"

In 1983 humans defined :

$$1 \text{ meter} = \frac{1}{299,792,458} \times c \times 1 \text{ second} \quad (30)$$

This makes c automatically "constant" - through human definition, not through natural law :

$$c = \frac{299,792,458 \text{ meters}}{1 \text{ second}} = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (31)$$

Circular reasoning : Humans define c as constant and then "measure" a constant!
Nature is not asked in this process !

9.8 T0's Resolution of the Reference Point Illusion

T0 recognizes :

- Definition \neq natural law
- Measurement reference point \neq physical constant
- Practical agreement \neq fundamental truth

T0 solution :

For measurements : Use practical reference points (32)

For natural laws : Use reference point-free relations (33)

10 Why c-Constancy is Not Provable

10.1 The Fundamental Measurement Problem

To measure c, we need :

$$c = \frac{L}{T} \quad (34)$$

But : We measure L and T with **the same physical processes** that depend on c!

Circular problem :

- Light measures distances \rightarrow c determines L
- Atomic clocks use EM transitions \rightarrow c influences T
- Then we measure $c = L/T \rightarrow$ **We measure c with c !**

10.2 The Gauge Definition Problem

Since 1983 : 1 meter = distance light travels in 1/299,792,458 s

$$c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s} \quad (\text{not measured, but defined!}) \quad (35)$$

One cannot "prove" what one has defined !

10.3 The Systematic Compensation Problem

If c varies, ALL measuring devices vary equally :

- **Laser interferometers** : use light (c-dependent)
- **Atomic clocks** : use EM transitions (c-dependent)
- **Electronics** : uses EM signals (c-dependent)

Result : All devices **automatically compensate** the c-variation !

10.4 The Burden of Proof Problem

Scientifically correct :

- One **cannot prove** that something is constant
- One can only show that it **appears constant within measurement precision**
- **Each new precision level** could show variation

Einstein's "c-constancy" was belief, not proof!

10.5 T0 Prediction for Precise Measurements

T0 predicts : At highest precision one will find :

$$c(x, t) = c_0 \left(1 + \xi \times \frac{T(x, t) - T_0}{T_0} \right) \quad (36)$$

with $\xi = 1.33 \times 10^{-4}$ (T0 parameter)

c varies tiny ($\sim 10^{-15}$), but measurable in principle !

11 Ontological Consideration : Calculations as Constructs

11.1 The Fundamental Epistemological Limit

Ontological Truth

All calculations are human constructs !

They can **at best** give a certain idea of reality.

That calculations are internally consistent proves little about actual reality.

Mathematical consistency \neq ontological truth

11.2 Einstein's Construct vs. T0's Construct

Both are human thought structures :

Einstein's construct :

- $E = mc^2$ (mathematically consistent)
- Relativity theory (internally coherent)
- 10 field equations (work computationally)
- **But** : Based on arbitrary c-constant setting

T0's construct :

- $E = m$ (mathematically simpler)
- $T \cdot m = 1$ (internally coherent)
- $\partial^2 E = 0$ (works computationally)
- **But** : Also only a human thought model

11.3 The Ontological Relativity

What is "really" real ?

- **Einstein's space-time** ? (construct)
- **T0's energy field** ? (construct)
- **Newton's absolute time** ? (construct)
- **Quantum mechanics' probabilities** ? (construct)

All are human interpretive frameworks of the inaccessible reality !

11.4 Why T0 is Still "Better"

Not because of "absolute truth," but because of :

1. Simplicity (Occam's Razor) :

- $E = m$ is simpler than $E = mc^2$
- One equation is simpler than 10 equations
- Fewer arbitrary assumptions

2. Consistency :

- No logical contradictions (like Einstein's)
- No constant arbitrariness
- Unified thought structure

3. Predictive power :

- Testable predictions
- Fewer free parameters
- Clearer experimental distinction

4. Aesthetics :

- Mathematical elegance
- Conceptual clarity
- Unity

11.5 The Epistemological Humility

T0 does NOT claim to be "absolute truth."

T0 only says :

- "Here is a **simpler** construct"
- "With **fewer** arbitrary assumptions"
- "That is **more consistent** than Einstein's construct"
- "And makes **more testable** predictions"

But ultimately T0 also remains a human thought structure !

11.6 The Pragmatic Consequence

Since all theories are constructs :

Evaluation criteria are :

1. **Simplicity** (fewer assumptions)
2. **Consistency** (no contradictions)
3. **Predictive power** (testable consequences)
4. **Elegance** (aesthetic criteria)
5. **Unity** (fewer separate domains)

By all these criteria T0 is "better" than Einstein - but not "absolutely true".

11.7 The Ontological Humility

The deepest insight :

- Reality itself is inaccessible
- All theories are human constructs
- Mathematical consistency proves no ontological truth
- The best we have : **Simpler, more consistent constructs**

Einstein's error was not only the c-constant setting, but also the claim to absolute truth of his mathematical constructs.

T0's advantage is not absolute truth, but relative superiority as a thought model.

12 The Practical Consequences

12.1 Why E=mc² "Works"

E=mc² works because :

- It is mathematically identical to $E = m$
- c^2 compensates the "frozen" time dynamics
- The T0 truth is unconsciously contained
- Local approximations usually suffice

12.2 When E=mc² Fails

The constants illusion breaks down at :

- Very precise measurements
- Extreme conditions (high energies/masses)
- Cosmological scales
- Quantum gravity

12.3 T0's Universal Validity

E = m is valid everywhere and always :

- No approximations needed
- No constant assumptions
- Universal applicability
- Fundamental simplicity

13 The Correction of Physics History

13.1 Einstein's True Achievement

Einstein's actual discovery was :

$$E = m \quad (\text{in natural form}) \quad (37)$$

His error was :

$$E = mc^2 \quad (\text{with artificial constant inflation}) \quad (38)$$

13.2 The Historical Irony

The Great Irony

Einstein discovered the fundamental simplicity $E = m$,
but **hid it behind the constants illusion** $E = mc^2$!
The physics world celebrated the complicated form and overlooked the simple truth.

14 The T0 Perspective : c as Living Ratio

14.1 c as Expression of Time-Mass Duality

In T0 theory :

$$c(x, t) = f\left(\frac{L(x, t)}{T(x, t)}\right) = f\left(\frac{L(x, t) \cdot m(x, t)}{1}\right) \quad (39)$$

since $T \cdot m = 1$.

c becomes an expression of the fundamental time-mass duality !

14.2 The Dynamic Speed of Light

T0 prediction :

$$c(x, t) = c_0 \sqrt{1 + \xi \frac{m(x, t) - m_0}{m_0}} \quad (40)$$

Light moves faster in more massive regions !

(Tiny effect, but measurable in principle)

15 Experimental Tests of c-Variability

15.1 Proposed Experiments

Test 1 - Gravitational dependence :

- Measure c in different gravitational fields
- T0 prediction : c varies with $\sim \xi \times \Delta\Phi_{\text{grav}}$

Test 2 - Cosmological variation :

- Measure c over cosmological time periods
- T0 prediction : c changes with universe expansion

Test 3 - High-energy physics :

- Measure c in particle accelerators at highest energies
- T0 prediction : Tiny deviations at $E \sim \text{TeV}$

Experiment	Einstein (c constant)	T0 (c variable)
Gravitational field	$c = 299792458 \text{ m/s}$	$c(1 \pm 10^{-15})$
Cosmological time	$c = \text{constant}$	$c(1 + 10^{-12} \times t)$
High energy	$c = \text{constant}$	$c(1 + 10^{-16})$

TABLE 3 – Predicted c-variations

15.2 Expected Results

16 Conclusions

16.1 The Central Recognition

The Fundamental Truth

$$\mathbf{E=mc^2 = E=m}$$

Einstein's "constant" c is in truth a variable ratio.

The constant-setting was Einstein's fundamental error.

T0 corrects this error by returning to natural variability.

16.2 Physics After the Constants Illusion

The future of physics :

- No artificial constants
- Dynamic ratios everywhere
- Living, variable natural laws
- Fundamental simplicity : $E = m$

16.3 Einstein's Corrected Legacy

Einstein's true discovery : $E = m$ (energy-mass identity)

Einstein's error : Constant-setting of c

T0's correction : Return to natural form $E = m$

Einstein was brilliant - he just stopped one step too early !

Références

- [1] Einstein, A. (1905). *Does the inertia of a body depend upon its energy content ?* Annalen der Physik, 18, 639–641.
- [2] Michelson, A. A. and Morley, E. W. (1887). *On the relative motion of the Earth and the luminiferous ether*. American Journal of Science, 34, 333–345.
- [3] Pascher, J. (2025). *Field-Theoretic Derivation of the β_T Parameter in Natural Units*. T0 Model Documentation.
- [4] Pascher, J. (2025). *Simplified Dirac Equation in T0 Theory*. T0 Model Documentation.
- [5] Pascher, J. (2025). *Pure Energy T0 Theory : The Ratio-Based Revolution*. T0 Model Documentation.
- [6] Planck, M. (1900). *On the theory of the energy distribution law of the normal spectrum*. Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft, 2, 237–245.

- [7] Lorentz, H. A. (1904). *Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity smaller than that of light*. Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 6, 809–831.
- [8] Weinberg, S. (1972). *Gravitation and Cosmology*. John Wiley & Sons.