

## CSC 365 - Databases

### Lab 1 part 1

**Team members:** Cole Cummins, Jasmine Patel

#### **Initial Decisions:**

Programming Language: Python3

Environment: local machine

We debated using Pandas dataframes, but that would have been excessive considering the scope of the project. We used GitHub for collaboration.

#### **Internal Architecture:**

We chose to create a “Student” class that represents one student. A student has the following fields:

- first name
- last name
- Grade
- Classroom
- Bus
- Gpa
- Teacher first name
- Teacher last name

We read and parsed the students.txt file and used the data to create an array called “students.” Each student line in students.txt is represented as a Student object in the *students* array. Then we made a switch statement to handle all of the user logic. Depending on which command the user provides, a different query is done on the *students* array. This step involved iterating through the *students* array and selecting instances that matched the query. The answer then is displayed and the prompt appears again in loop until the user invokes the Quit.

#### **Task log:**

| Task                            | Who     | Start Time | End Time | How Long<br>(approx in<br>hours) |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| Set up: Git Hub,<br>move files, | Jasmine | 11:10      | 11:30    | 0.34                             |

|  |         |       |       |      |
|--|---------|-------|-------|------|
| create initial empty files   |         |       |       |      |
| Create a class for Students and read in students.txt file to <i>students</i> array | Jasmine | 11:40 | 12:40 | 1    |
| Implemented “Quit” and “Information” commands                                      | Jasmine | 12:40 | 1:40  | 1    |
| Implemented “Average”  | Jasmine | 3:00  | 3:30  | 0.5  |
| Implemented “Teacher”, “Bus”, “Grade”, “Student”                                   | Cole    | 12:00 | 12:45 | 0.75 |
| Made “tests.txt” “tests.out” “README.md”   | Cole    | 2:00  | 3:30  | 1.5  |

### Notes on Testing:

|                   | Jasmine   | Cole             |
|-------------------|---|------------------|
| When              | throughout the process/each time a new feature is developed | Each new command |
| How long          | 45 min within the development time                          | ~10 mins         |
| How many bugs     | 1-3   | 5-6              |
| Total fixing time | 45 min  | 10 mins          |

**Final Notes:**

Overall our implementation strategy worked out well and we were able to get correct results. It seemed a little tedious at times but the end result came out satisfactory.