Data Science Capstone

Final Report

Jackson Crum

Identifying Plant Species in Images with Deep Learning

**Problem Statement**

The United States federal government has a major legal responsibility to protect endangered species, threatened species, and critical habitats. Once a species becomes listed as "threatened" or "endangered", it receives special protections by the federal government under the Endangered Species Act. The goal of the Endangered Species Act is to make species' populations healthy and vital so they can be taken off the endangered/threatened species list.[[1]](#footnote-1) Ideally, new technologies for monitoring these species would be incorporated to make the conservation efforts as efficient as possible.

Though some technologies such as motion sensor camera traps and drone/satellite imagery have been incorporated into the efforts, extracting information from these pictures remains a tedious manual task conducted solely by highly-trained and specialized botanists.[[2]](#footnote-2) Large amounts of available images and data are often ignored or overlooked due to lack of manpower and resources. In response to this problem, this research will focus primarily on saving conservation resources by developing an automated or semi-automated system for identifying species in images and providing conservationists with a simple application for the overall identification and sorting process.

**Background**

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 requires federal agencies to ensure that any action they authorize does not threaten any listed endangered species or result in the damage or destruction of critical habitats of those species. Section 2(a)(2) states that it is “the policy of Congress that all Federal departments and agencies shall seek to conserve endangered species and threatened species and shall utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of this Act.”[[3]](#footnote-3) The Department of Defense (DoD) has the highest density of endangered species listed as threatened or endangered of any other federal agency, with 340 out of 420 large military installations requiring active conservation management plans to protect 492 listed species (274 animals, 218 plants). Expenditures on these conservation plans total more than $800 million annually.[[4]](#footnote-4)

**Related Research**

Species detection and recognition are still diﬃcult, time-consuming, and expensive challenges and researchers have yet to produce a method that provides an eﬃcient solution for all situations. Research conducted by faculty of the Electrical Engineering Department at University of Zilina compared a CNN model to well-known image recognition methods, including PCA, LDA, and SVM models. It was concluded that the CNN outperformed all conventional models and produced a significant increase in accuracy.[[5]](#footnote-5)

A joint university study tested various state-of-the-art neural network models, including AlexNet, GoogLeNet, VGG, and ResNet models, on Serengeti wildlife images. The study showed that deep neural networks performed well on the wildlife dataset, although performance was worse for rarer animals, and saved 99.3% on manual labor while maintaining human-level identification accuracy. While neural networks have proven successful in the task of image recognition, they rely on large datasets and perform worse on small training datasets.[[6]](#footnote-6)

Trends and future directions of automated plant species identification were reviewed by biogeochemists and software engineers from the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry and Technische Universität Ilmenau. CNN models with 6, 17, and 26 layers were used to classify the Flavia dataset and produced accuracies of 94.69%, 97.9%, and 99.65%, respectively. However, the Flavia dataset is a constrained set of leaf images taken against a white background and without any stem, meaning natural images may result in worse accuracy. Despite intensive research on automated plant species identification, very few studies have resulted in approaches that can be used by the general public. The most popular current applications for planet identification still suffer from inabilities to deal with cluttered backgrounds (LeafSnap) or low top-5 accuracy (Pl@ntNet).[[7]](#footnote-7)

**Frameworks**

**OpenCV**

OpenCV (Open Source Computer Vision Library) is an open source computer vision and machine learning software library. The library has more than 2500 optimized algorithms, including both classic and state-of-the-art computer vision algorithms, and supports a number of image formats. OpenCV is written natively in C++ but also has Python, Java and MATLAB interfaces.

A number of OpenCV functions and algorithms were used for this project. OpenCV’s *imread()* function was used for loading image files as numpy arrays in the BGR colorspace (OpenCV’s default colorspace). Other functions and algorithms were used to augment the dataset through rotation and flipping and preprocess the data, including normalization, smoothing, converting colorspaces, developing color masks.

**Keras**

Keras provided the backbone of the project with its high-level neural networks API, which written in Python and capable of running on top of [TensorFlow](https://github.com/tensorflow/tensorflow), [CNTK](https://github.com/Microsoft/cntk), or [Theano](https://github.com/Theano/Theano).

**PyTorch**

**Flask**

Flask is a Python micro web framework to develop web applications.

**Data**

**Original Dataset**

The dataset come from the Aarhus University Signal Processing group, in collaboration with University of Southern Denmark, and contains close-up images of approximately 960 unique plants belonging to 12 species at several growth stages. The data consists of 5544 total color images of the 12 species pre-split into training and testing datasets (4750 labeled training and unlabeled 794 testing images). Only the training dataset was used as the problem is supervised learning. The plant species include Black-grass, Charlock, Cleavers, Common Chickweed, Common Wheat, Fat Hen, Loose Silky-bent, Maize, Scentless Mayweed, Shepherds Purse, Small-flowered Cranesbill, and Sugar Beet. Species classes were non-uniform and ranged from 221 images to 654 images per species (*Figure 1*). All images had equal height and width dimensions. Image dimensions ranged from 51 pixels to 3991 pixels, with the majority of images having dimensions between 200 and 1000 pixels (*Figure 2*). Images were stored in a single train folder with a subfolder for each species. The image file name is the image ID and did not have any indication of species. All images are in PNG format.

**Data Augmentation**

As the image dataset was small and non-uniform in class distribution, the dataset was augmented to provide more images for training. All images were initially rotated 90˚, 180˚, and 270˚ and vertically flipped to increase the dataset size 8-fold. All images from classes that were highly underrepresented (Black-grass, Cleavers, Common Wheat, Maize, and Shepherds Purse) were horizontally flipped. For classes that were moderately underrepresented (Sugar Beet and Charlock), half of the images were horizontally flipped. The original and augmented class distributions can be seen below.

Finally, labeled training, validation, and test datasets were created from this augmented dataset. Within each new dataset folder, a subfolder for each species was created. The images were shuffled and the first 15% of the images were resorted into their respective species subfolder in the validation dataset. The images were shuffled again and the first 25% were resorted into their respective species subfolder in the testing dataset. The final dataset consisted of 32,439 training images, 10,813 testing images, and 7,632 validation images.

**Preprocessing**

Building an effective neural network model requires careful consideration of the network architecture as well as the input data format and preprocessing techniques relevant to the input images. The image pixels in this dataset each have 3 values, one for each color channel corresponding to red, green, and blue (RGB), and pixel values ranging from 0 to 255, with 0 being pure black and 255 being pure white. The OpenCV Python library has a number of functions that allow for easy image preprocessing.

The first preprocessing technique considered in the image preprocessing stage in data normalization. Data normalization is an important step which ensures that each pixel has a similar data distribution and makes convergence faster while training the network. Normalization is done by subtracting the mean from each pixel and dividing the result by the standard deviation, resulting in the data distribution resembling a normal Gaussian curve. The resulting data is then projected onto a range of [0, 255], with minimum domain value mapped to 0 and maximum domain value mapped to 255. This acts to stretch the pixel values to span the entire color range, which increases contrast and helps to eliminate the effect of lighting levels, which vary throughout the image dataset.

Next, masking was tested to separate the green plants from the non-green background. The plant seedlings are photographed on a pebbly background, a feature heavy background that can cause confusion in image identification. The images are converted from BGR color space to HSV (Hue, Saturation, Value) color space. HSV separates the image intensity from the color information. In this color space, shadow will primarily influence the value and saturation components, while the hue is primarily influenced by colors. Converting the HSV color space allows for the mapping the mask on solely the hue component while spanning the full range of saturation and hue. In this manner, using OpenCV’s inRange function, all pixels outside the hue range of the green of the plant seedlings are given values of 0 while pixels within the green range are unaffected, creating a black mask around the green plant. Using the HSV color space means that shadow and lighting do not affect the masking process.

Finally, median blurring was tested to smooth the background and remove noise from the image. The median filter runs through each pixel individually and replaces each pixel with the [median](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Median) of n-neighboring entries, n being the dimensions of the filter chosen. Median blurring is one of a number of smoothing operations employed in image preprocessing. However, median blurring is demonstrably better than Gaussian blurring at removing speckle noise whilst preserving edges. As leaf shape will most likely be a primary factor in image class labeling, it is vital to preserve the edges of the leaves. The pebbly background of the images also produces a significant amount of speckle noise that median blurring effectively eliminates.

The images preprocessing techniques were employed in their respective order and produced final images as seen in Figure.

**Convolutional Neural Network Architecture**

**Benefits of CNN**

The main benefits of a convolutional neural network over other neural network designs, such as multilayer perceptrons, is the ability of the CNN to work with matrix-formatted inputs and to isolate and analysis local features with relatively few parameters. Where MLP would require each image to be flattened to a 1-dimensionl input array with a length of tens or even hundreds of thousands (the square of the image dimension), the CNN accepts the image in its original matrix form. After flattening, the MLP requires each node to be connected to every other node in the next layer all they way down the network. For a 100 x 100 image, this means that a network with an input layer, one hidden layer, and 12 output nodes would require 1.2 billion weight parameters. The CNN drastically shrinks the number of parameters by running small sets of weight values over the input values in the form of kernels and stride.

**Input Layer**

The input layer is a four-dimensional matrix. In the case of image analysis, the dimensions represent image height, image width, number of color channels, and batch size. Grayscale images have a single color channel while color images have three color channels, one each for red, blue, and green. Batch size is used to updating weight and bias parameters using the average output of n-number of inputs. Using all training data (1 batch) to update parameters is highly computational expensive. Updating after each input creates noise if the sample is not a good representation of the whole data. Mini-batches are used to compromise between efficiency and noise.

**Convolutional Layers**

The convolution layer consists of a set of learnable kernels (also called filters). The kernels consist of randomly initialized weight values in a 3-dimensional matrix (height, width, number of color channels) and are typically of size 3x3, 5x5, or 7x7, but can be scaled up to detect more complex features. In the feedforward process, the kernels slide (convolve) over each element of the input matrix and compute the sum of the element-wise multiplication between the kernel and the local image pixel values. This results in a single value that maps to the new feature map. Each feature map is the result of the computed values of a single kernel convolving over the whole of the input matrix. A choice of *n* kernel creates *n* feature maps (new images), increasing the depth of the next layer’s input.

Aside from the number of kernels, convolutional layers have a number of adjustable hyperparameters that control output size. Stride is the number of pixels the kernel jumps with each calculation. The size of stride results in an output *1/stride* the size of the original input, with larger strides resulting in smaller outputs. Padding is a parameter that is used to maintain input size. The process of convolution results in a single value at the center of the kernel, which means that the edges of the input are cut off and the output is *n-1* (n representing the height and width of the kernel) dimensionssmaller than the input. For example, a 28x28 input with a 3x3 kernel and no padding will result in a 26x26 output as it omits the outermost layer of pixel values. To prevent this, layers of zeros or other values are added around outside of the input so that the first position of the kernel is centered on the upper-left pixel value. The number of padding layers is calculated by *(n-1)/2* with n representing kernel size.

Convolution layer are used to detect features of an image. In this process, the patterns of the weight values of the kernels are compared to the patterns of the input matrix and the colvovling of similar patterns results in feature extraction. Lower level features, such as vertical, horizontal, and diagonal lines, are detected in the earlier convolution layers whereas higher level features, such as plant leaf shape, are detected in the deeper convolution layers of the network.

**ReLU Activation**

Following the convolution layer, a ReLU (Rectified Linear Units) activation layer is applied. The ReLU layer applies the function *f(x) = max(0, x)* to all of the values in the input, where *x* represents the input value. Essentially, the function sets all negative inputs to 0 and sets the output as the input for positive inputs. This function is used to calculate gradients for parameter updating and. ReLU results in a network that is computationally efficient and fast training due to the simplicity of the function derivation and the avoidance of vanishing gradient (gradient values decreasing exponentially) by maintaining a constant derivative.

**Batch Normalization**

Batch normalization normalizes the output of the ReLU activation layer by subtracting the batch mean and dividing by the batch standard deviation.

Higher learning rates can be used because batch normalization makes sure that there’s no activation that’s gone really high or really low.

**Max Pooling**

A pooling layer is applied after the ReLU activation function to downsample (shrink) the input to reduce the number of trainable parameters. Maximum pooling applies a filter of size *n x n* and stride *n* and calculates the maximum of that filter as the output. The output of this layer is *n-*times smaller than the output. For example, as seen in Figure, a maximum pooling of 2x2 reduces the output to half the size of the input and the number of parameters by 75%. Since typical images contain tens-to-hundreds of thousands of input values and associated trainable parameters, reducing these sizes is vital to training viability.

**Flattening Layer**

For the purposes of classification, the final layer of the CNN is a 1-dimensional array of the class probabilities. This requires that the output of the convolutional layers also be converted to a 1-dimensional array. Following the final pooling layer, the output is flattened into a 1d array of a length equal to the number of feature maps multiplied by the output size of the final layer. For example, a final layer with a 6 x 6 output and 32 feature maps results in a 1 x 1152 array.

**Fully Connected Layers**

The fully connected layers act as a multilayer perceptron in which every neuron in the input layer is connected with weights and bias to every neuron in the output layer. These layers serve as the classification layers of the network by extracting important features related to each class. The final fully connected layer in the model is a *1 x n* array where *n* is the number of classes.

**Softmax Activation**

The softmax function normalizes the final output vector to values between 0 and 1 and divides each output value by the sum of the vector so that the sum of the vector equals 1. The result is a categorical probability distribution for the input class, with the index of the maximum value being the label of the most probable class.

**Dropout**

During each feedforward pass in the training stage, all nodes in a certain layer are nodes are either dropped with probability 1-p or kept with probability p. Fully connected layer consist of the majority of parameters in the network and this cause neurons to develop co-dependency with each other during training which leads to the weakening of individual neuron power and leads to over-fitting of training data. Randomly turning off neurons allows for the neurons of true importance to be determined and weighted appropriately.

**Loss**

Loss is a measure the quality of the parameters based how well the network classified the input, the difference between the output and the target labels. Crossentropy. The entire purpose of training is to find parameters that minimize loss in the model.

**Optimization**

The purpose of optimization is to move towards weight and bias parameters that minimizes the loss function. Optimization calculates gradient descent and discovers the direction of steepest descent towards the minimum of the loss function along which to update the weight vector.

**Backpropagation**

**Experimentation**

**Loading Images**

Keras requires the images to a be in a specific folder layout for model training. There are three parent folders, one each for training, testing, and validation datasets, with each dataset folder having a child folder for each image class that contains the images of only that class. Images were read and loaded directly from the folder path using the Keras ImageDataGenerator class and it’s “flow\_from\_directory” method. The ImageDataGenerator creates batches of tensor image data with real-time custom preprocessing and augmentation and loops over the data indefinitely. The “flow\_from\_directory” method takes the path to a directory, and generates batches of normalized data. The method allows for the specification of a number of parameters, including image input size, batch size, and class labels, which can be inferred from child folder labels. For this project, images were loaded with an input size of (100,100,3) and in minibatches of 100 images as various trials showed these values to provide reasonable training time without a significant drop in image quality.

Loading datasets into PyTorch requires a different process from Keras to load images for analysis. PyTorch requires the construction of custom image data loader wrapped around Torch’s Dataset class. Within this custom class, the data must be loaded

**Model Construction**

The model is initiated as an empty Keras Sequential model constructor. The Sequential constructor allows for the addition of linear, ordered stacks of layers through the simple passage of a list of layer instances.

The convolution section of the model was built with a series of convolution-pooling blocks. The convolution-pooling block consisted of a convolution layer, a ReLU activation layer, a batch normalization layer, and a max pooling layer. In Keras, the first convolution layer of the model requires an initial input shape tuple of (height, width, color channels), which was (100,100,3) for this project. Each convolution layer has arguments including number of feature maps, kernel size, stride length, and padding setting, which can be set to automatically preserve input size depending on kernel size. The max pooling layer requires a set pooling kernel, which is the standard (2,2) for the model.

The classification layers of the model are constructed with an initial flattening layer and a two dense (fully connected) layers. The first dense layer is built with 128 neurons as compromise between number of parameters and training time. The final dense layer has 12 neurons (one for each plant species) and is activated with a softmax function to produce class probabilities.

Prior to training the model, the learning process is configured using Keras’s “compile” method. The compiler takes as arguments one of various existing Keras optimizers, a learning rate, a loss function, and a list of metrics.

Finally, the model is fit on the training and, optionally, the validation batches. The “fit\_generator” method takes in as arguments the training and validation batches, the number of epochs, parameter updating steps per epoch (*total images / batch size*), and any callbacks placed in the model, such as early stopping.

**Number of Layers**

Initial experimentation trained several models with a varying number of convolutional-pooling blocks with static classification layers. Each convolution layer in the block had of 32 feature maps, 5x5 kernel, and 2x2 maxpool. The two-block model followed the data-size structure 100-50-25 with a flattening layer with 20,000 neurons and had 2,589,996 total trainable parameters. The three-block model followed the data-size structure 100-50-25-12 with a flattening layer with 4608 neurons and had 629,196 total trainable parameters. The four-block model followed the data-size structure 100-50-25-12-6 with a flattening layer with 1152 neurons and had 196,204 total trainable parameters. The five-block model followed the data-size structure 100-50-25-12-6-3 with a flattening layer of with 288 neurons and had 94,988 total trainable parameters.

In the analysis of training accuracy and loss, the four-block model performed the best, with a training accuracy of 0.9032 and training loss of 0.2643 after 5 epochs.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | 2 Conv/Pool | 3 Conv/Pool | 4 Conv/Pool | 5 Conv/Pool |
| Training | Accuracy | 0.7701 | 0.9005 | 0.9032 | 0.8632 |
|  | Loss | 0.6379 | 0.2788 | 0.2643 | 0.3769 |
| Validation | Accuracy | 0.8669 | 0.9331 | 0.9413 | 0.8885 |
|  | Loss | 0.4083 | 0.1895 | 0.175 | 0.2972 |
|  | Parameters | 2,589,996 | 629,196 | 196,204 | 94,988 |

**Preprocessing**

Models were trained at each stage of preprocessing to validate the use of each technique. Each convolution layer in the block had of 32 feature maps, 5x5 kernel, and 2x2 maxpool. Images were initially trained without any preprocessing. Next, the images were trained after being normalized. Third, the images were trained after being normalized and having a black mask of all pixels with HSV value outside the range (40, 0, 0) to (100, 255, 200) mapped onto the normalized image. These values were chosen through manual testing and deemed the optimal range as this mask isolated the entirety of the plant seedling with minimal background present. Finally, images were trained after having undergone median blur smoothing with a 9x9 kernel size, also deemed optimal through manual testing.


**Optimizers**

Models were trained with several optimizers to determine the optimizer that best converged on minimal loss. The first optimizer was the Adam optimizer.

* + SGD
  + RMSProp
* Kernel Size
* Dropout
* Final Architecture and Metrics


**End Product**


The goal of this project is to develop a full-stack application that will incorporate a machine-learning algorithm and sorting application to allow for the efficient identification of plant species in wildlife photos for United States government conservation agencies. This application will allow conservationists to simply upload files of images to the app, run the images through the algorithm, sort the files based on model output, and store image data in a MongoDB collection for quantitative analysis. This will allow non-technical researchers and conservationists to easily incorporate machine learning solutions to their problems. The hypothesis is that the minimal viable product will increase the effectiveness of conservation efforts and save the DoD in resource expenditure and man hours.






*Figure 1*


*Sample photos from dataset*












*Figure 2*





1. "Endangered Species | National Wildlife Federation." The National Wildlife Federation. Accessed September 20, 2018. https://www.nwf.org/Educational-Resources/Wildlife-Guide/Understanding-Conservation/Endangered-Species. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Norouzzadeh, Mohammad Sadegh, et al. "Automatically Identifying, Counting, and Describing Wild Animals in Camera-trap Images with Deep Learning." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115, no. 25 (November 17, 2017). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. U.S. Congress. Senate. *Endangered Species Act of 1973*. HR 37. 93rd Cong., 1st sess. Introduced in Senate June 12, 1973. https://www.fws.gov/international/pdf/esa.pdf. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. United States. Department of Defense. Natural Resources. By Peter Boice. February 2013. Accessed September 2018. <http://www.dodnaturalresources.net/files/te__s_fact_sheet_2-21-13.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Trnovszky, Tibor, Patrik Kamencay, Richard Orjesek, Miroslav Benco, and Peter Sykora. "Animal Recognition System Based on Convolutional Neural Network." *Advances in Electrical and Electronic Engineering* 15, no. 3 (September 2017). Accessed September 2018. doi:10.15598/aeee.v15i3.2202. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Norouzzadeh, et al. "Automatically Identifying, Counting, and Describing Wild Animals in Camera-trap Images with Deep Learning." [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Wäldchen, Jana, Michael Rzanny, Marco Seeland, and Patrick Mäder. "Automated Plant Species Identification—Trends and Future Directions." *PLOS Computational Biology*14, no. 4 (April 05, 2018). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005993. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)