# Minerva: A modern, free software database system

# James Percent james@empty-set.net

Syndetic Logic, Boston, MA USA

### June 8, 2011

#### Abstract

Minerva is a modern database system with its design at the cross-roads of software engineering and database implementation theory. It's state-of-art and it's also free for everyone to modify and learn from.

## Contents

1	Introduction	<b>2</b>
	1.1 Injectable	
	1.2 Testable	2
	1.3 Infrastructure for research	2
2	Linearis	2
	2.1 CompositeKeys	3
3	Bratus	3
4	Distributus	3
5	Logicus	3

## List of Figures

### 1 Introduction

Includes modern features: injection, testability composition, performance anlaysiable

Why? Mostly because I just love creating great software. But also I believe there is a gaping hole in availability of free software that embodies the ideas, widely accepted in the research communities.

Basic ideas use modern software engineering technicques to create a fully functional database that supports researching new algorithms. The lego principle of connecting components

Supports decorating major subsystems with changes. Interrupting the behavior of subsystems. Building in correctness checking software; parallelizing algorithms.

I never got the whole uproar regarding the CAP theorem. Let me be clear, I understand what the CAP theorem says, but draws focus to an issue that is irrelevant. Hierarchies of systems.

## 1.1 Injectable

Software composition. It fucking matters.

#### 1.2 Testable

Performance and correctness.

#### 1.3 Infrastructure for research

Includes all kinds of infrastructure for reasearch to take advantage. Cloud deployment for testing on large scale. Already mentioned, performance and correctness.

#### 2 Linearis

As abstract as possible, without being too abstract. There is a thing called a namespace that encapsulates a set, which contains 1 or more arrays. These corrospond to schema, relation and attribute. You might be thinking, why the fuck did he name everything differently. It's because they do not describe a schema, relation or attribute, respectively, in Linearis. In another system, in fact they are. But future systems may find a different use for Linearis, and then an artificial naming scheme, based on relational database terminology,

would be even more inconvenient. Therefore, I decided it was better to use naming that served only inside of Linearis and not out.

Set name should go away.

#### 2.1 CompositeKeys

I have to make this really work tomorrow. I need to take notes on how it works because I'm doing some wacky stuff in there. The keys are coded as follows. The first byte encodes the first 4 types. Types are byte=0, int=1, long=2, string=3, the first 4 types follow, and so on. This HAS A PROBLEM because the first byte encodes type BYTE as a 0. But then empty data after that may be consider an element.

Multi-version concurrency control. I can achieve it by breaking my maps into sections and storing, if necessary multiple sections per version. this will work well given i do not expect too many updates. Further, i can provide more index information. Actually, after some reflection, I like the idea of keeping deltas for MVCCs better. I can make deltas without explicit keys. When I worked on the Vertical Store at Akiban Technologies we had the btree+ hand me the deltas with an associated key. We needed the key to keep the hierarchical mapping.

- 3 Bratus
- 4 Distributus
- 5 Logicus

# References