INSIDER IMITATION

by E. Madsen (NYU) and N. Vellodi (PSE)

Discussion by Jacopo Perego (Columbia)

November 2022

Enormous policy interest

Enormous policy interest

General but simple approach that doesn't get lost in second-order details

Enormous policy interest

General but simple approach that doesn't get lost in second-order details

Answers concrete questions:

- ► Can data-privacy policies foster innovation?
- ► If so, what policies and under what conditions?

Enormous policy interest

General but simple approach that doesn't get lost in second-order details

Answers concrete questions:

- ► Can data-privacy policies foster innovation?
- ► If so, what policies and under what conditions?

An area where theory can be both cutting edge and policy relevant

1. E' can innovate by launching product at private cost	(innovation)
2. Upon entry, product demand α is observed by P	(data)

3. *P* can imitate the product (imitation)

1. E can innovate by launching product at private cost

(innovation)

2. Upon entry, product demand α is observed by P

(data)

3. *P* can imitate the product

(imitation)



1. E can innovate by launching product at private cost	(innovation)

- 2. Upon entry, product demand α is observed by P (data)
- **3.** *P* can imitate the product (imitation)

- **1.** E can innovate by launching product at private cost (innovation)
- 2. Upon entry, product demand lpha is observed by P (data)
- **3.** P can imitate the product (imitation)

A simple conflict:

- ▶ Innovation is valuable to both P and E
- ▶ But once innovation is sunk, platform can gain with imitation

- **1.** *E* can innovate by launching product at private cost (innovation)
- 2. Upon entry, product demand lpha is observed by P (data)
- **3.** *P* can imitate the product (imitation)

A simple conflict:

- ▶ Innovation is valuable to both P and E
- ▶ But once innovation is sunk, platform can gain with imitation

P uses **commitment** to balance gains from imitation and losses in innovation

- 1. E can innovate by launching product at private cost (innovation)
- 2. Upon entry, product demand lpha is observed by P (data)
- **3.** P can imitate the product (imitation)

A simple conflict:

- ▶ Innovation is valuable to both P and E
- ▶ But once innovation is sunk, platform can gain with imitation

P uses **commitment** to balance gains from imitation and losses in innovation

LF Solution: Platform commits to imitate only successful (high α) products

 $\textbf{Data-privacy policies} \ \text{limit what (and when) the platform learns about } \alpha$

Highlight 1. A <u>workable</u> set of conditions to characterize solutions to this problem under arbitrary data policies

Highlight 1. A <u>workable</u> set of conditions to characterize solutions to this problem under arbitrary data policies

These conditions are then used to solve for specific applications:

Highlight 1. A <u>workable</u> set of conditions to characterize solutions to this problem under arbitrary data policies

These conditions are then used to solve for specific applications:

1. Complete Data Ban

Does a data ban foster innovation? It depends...

Highlight 1. A <u>workable</u> set of conditions to characterize solutions to this problem under arbitrary data policies

These conditions are then used to solve for specific applications:

1. Complete Data Ban

Does a data ban foster innovation? It depends...

Highlight 2. The paper finds elegant sufficient conditions:

Highlight 1. A <u>workable</u> set of conditions to characterize solutions to this problem under arbitrary data policies

These conditions are then used to solve for specific applications:

1. Complete Data Ban

Does a data ban foster innovation? It depends...

Highlight 2. The paper finds elegant sufficient conditions:

- ▶ Data ban fosters innovation in markets with "sufficiently thin tail"
- ▶ Data ban hinders innovation in markets with "sufficiently fat tail"

Highlight 1. A <u>workable</u> set of conditions to characterize solutions to this problem under arbitrary data policies

These conditions are then used to solve for specific applications:

2. Data Patents (temporary data ban)

Highlight 3. Paper finds optimal data patent and shows it unambiguously improve on LF

COMMENTS comments

The paper offers a simple framework that helps us think more clearly about the issue of gatekeeper power

COMMENTS comments

The paper offers a simple framework that helps us think more clearly about the issue of gatekeeper power

Three small thoughts on:

- 1. The platform's commitment power
- 2. The need for new laws
- 3. The regulator's objectives

Should we care?

Should we care?

Platform uses commitment as a "voluntary data-privacy policy"

► This may lead us to underestimate the effectiveness of regulator-imposed data policies

Should we care?

Platform uses commitment as a "voluntary data-privacy policy"

► This may lead us to underestimate the effectiveness of regulator-imposed data policies

However, this is not the point. The <u>qualitative</u> message of the paper likely holds even with weakened commitment

1. THE ROLE OF COMMITMENT POWER

Besides, platforms do commit to policies that are somewhat costly to violate

Big Tech antitrust congressional hearings (July 2020):

Big Tech antitrust congressional hearings (July 2020):

Rep. Jayapal: Does Amazon ever access and use third party seller data when making business decisions?

Big Tech antitrust congressional hearings (July 2020):

Rep. Jayapal: Does Amazon ever access and use third party seller data when making business decisions?

Mr Bezos: I can tell you that we have a policy against using seller-specific data to aid our private label business

Big Tech antitrust congressional hearings (July 2020):

Rep. Jayapal: Does Amazon ever access and use third party seller data when making business decisions?

Mr Bezos: I can tell you that we have a policy against using seller-specific data to aid our private label business, but I cannot guarantee you that that policy has never been violated.

In other words, why do we need new laws?

In other words, why do we need new laws?

U.S. law recognizes the importance of protecting **only** the products that are "**novel**" and "**nonobvious**"

In other words, why do we need new laws?

U.S. law recognizes the importance of protecting **only** the products that are "**novel**" and "**nonobvious**"

For this reason:

- You cannot patent a camera bag
- ► You can patent a smartphone technology

In other words, why do we need new laws?

U.S. law recognizes the importance of protecting **only** the products that are "**novel**" and "**nonobvious**"

For this reason.

- ► You cannot patent a camera bag (is this incremental?)
- ► You can patent a smartphone technology (is this experimental?)

Maybe there is a connection to be made?

Perhaps, regulator needs new laws because its objective is not (only) to foster innovation in the downstream product market

Perhaps, regulator needs new laws because its objective is not (only) to foster innovation in the downstream product market

Regulator seems also concerned with concentration of market power <u>at</u> platform's level (contrast with grocers)

Perhaps, regulator needs new laws because its objective is not (only) to foster innovation in the downstream product market

Regulator seems also concerned with concentration of market power <u>at</u> <u>platform's level</u> (contrast with grocers)

Copying good products and, by exploiting scale, lowering their price may even be good for consumer welfare...

...but it could stifle entry at the platform's level, by giving the platform an unfair advantage

From the EU Digital Markets Act:

"The features of digital platform services — such as network effects, strong economies of scale, and benefits from data — have **limited the** contestability of those services and the related ecosystems.

From the EU Digital Markets Act:

"The features of digital platform services — such as network effects, strong economies of scale, and benefits from data — have **limited the** contestability of those services and the related ecosystems.

For the purpose of this Regulation, contestability should relate to the ability of competitors to effectively overcome barriers to entry and challenge the gatekeeper "

From the EU Digital Markets Act:

"The features of digital platform services — such as network effects, strong economies of scale, and benefits from data — have **limited the** contestability of those services and the related ecosystems.

For the purpose of this Regulation, contestability should relate to the ability of competitors to effectively overcome barriers to entry and challenge the gatekeeper "

A follow-up paper: Are data-privacy policies effective tools to decrease BTE at the platform's level?

