

Introduction to Machine Learning, Programming Assignment 2

Analyzing Feature Selection and Clustering Methods

Julie P. Garcia

Johns Hopkins University

### Abstract

In this paper, we evaluate the Stepwise Forward Selection algorithm as a technique for performing Feature Selection. The SFS algorithm was used as wrapper for a Naïve Bayes Classifier to find the feature set that performs the best. The resulting reduced feature set was input into a K-means clustering algorithm that clustered the data based on the number of classes in the dataset. The labels produced by K-means clustering were then used to train the data with Naïve Bayes in order to evaluate K-means as a classifier. The Silhouette Coefficient was calculated to measure the performance of K-means a clustering algorithm. All algorithms were implemented in Python and run on three publicly available datasets that are commonly used for learning experiments.<sup>3</sup> In general, the results show that the K-means clustering algorithm works well for clustering data, however, it does work well as a classifier and should be used in conjunction with other classifying methods.

### **Problem Statement**

In this paper, we will analyze the performance of a feature selection algorithm Stepwise Forward Selection, wrapping a Naïve Bayes classifier. We will also test the K-means clustering algorithm as a method of clustering data and as a classifier.

### **Algorithms Implemented**

We first implemented Stepwise Forward Selection for feature selection and used it to wrap a Naïve Bayes classifier in order to test the performance of each proposed set of features. We then input the reduced feature set into the K-means clustering algorithm and clustered the data based on the number  $k$  classes. The K-means algorithm produced labels for each data set that were used as input to the Naïve Bayes algorithm again and performance was tested to see how well K-means classified the data. The Silhouette Coefficient was calculated to measure the performance of K-means as a clustering algorithm.

### **Stepwise Forward Selection**

The Stepwise Forward Selection (SFS) algorithm is a feature selection technique in which you start with no features and keep adding them until there is no performance improvement. If a feature causes performance to decline it is removed from the new feature set. Each feature is tested and performance is measured by a classifier algorithm. For this paper, we used Naïve Bayes as a classifier. The SFS algorithm acts as a wrapper to Naïve Bayes, and outputs an optimal set of features.<sup>1</sup> Steps to the algorithm are as follows:

1. Start with zero features in the new feature set.
2. Iterate through each feature, and perform the following:
  - a. Add the feature to the test feature set

- b. Train the new feature set using a classifier (Naïve Bayes in this case)
  - c. Test the performance of the classifier model on the test set.
  - d. If this improves performance, add it to the new feature list
3. Return the new feature set

The pseudocode for the SFS Algorithm is shown here:

---

**Algorithm 10.1** Stepwise Forward Selection

---

```

1: function SFS( $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{train}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{valid}$ , Learn())
2:    $\mathcal{F}_0 \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
3:    $basePerf \leftarrow -\infty$ 
4:   repeat
5:      $bestPerf \leftarrow -\infty$ 
6:     for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  do
7:        $\mathcal{F}_0 \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_0 + F$ 
8:        $h \leftarrow \text{Learn}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{D}_{train})$ 
9:        $currPerf \leftarrow \text{Perf}(h, \mathcal{D}_{valid})$ 
0:       if  $currPerf > bestPerf$  then
1:          $bestPerf \leftarrow currPerf$ 
2:          $bestF \leftarrow F$ 
3:       end if
4:        $\mathcal{F}_0 \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_0 - F$ 
5:     end for
6:     if  $bestPerf > basePerf$  then
7:        $basePerf \leftarrow bestPerf$ 
8:        $\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \mathcal{F} - bestF$ 
9:        $\mathcal{F}_0 \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_0 + bestF$ 
0:     else
1:       exit
2:     end if
3:   until  $\mathcal{F} \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
4:   return  $\mathcal{F}_0$ 

```

---

## K-means Clustering

The K-means clustering algorithm is an unsupervised learning technique for clustering data.<sup>1</sup> In this paper, we test it's performance as a clustering technique and also as a classifier. The algorithm was implemented as follows:

1. Randomly initialize the centers of each cluster by choosing them from the rows of samples

2. Loop the following steps until the centers converge
  - a. Find the cluster center that is closest to current datapoint and label that row with the appropriate cluster
  - b. Calculate the new centers by finding the mean of newly labeled clusters
  - c. Break when the centers converge

The pseudocode for the K-means algorithm is shown here:

---

**Algorithm 10.3** *K*-Means Clustering

---

```

1: function KMEANS( $\mathcal{D}, k$ )
2:   initialize  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$  randomly
3:   repeat
4:     for all  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}$  do
5:        $c \leftarrow \arg \min_{\mu_j} d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mu_j)$        $\triangleright d()$  is the distance between  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\mu_j$ 
6:       assign  $\mathbf{x}_i$  to the cluster  $c$ 
7:     end for
8:     recalculate all  $\mu_j$  based on new clusters
9:   until no change in  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ 
10:  return  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ 
11: end function

```

---

## Experimental Approach

All algorithms were run on three datasets, Iris, Glass, and Spambase. For each of the three the datasets, data was first split into test and training set (1/3 and 2/3, respectively). Stepwise Forward Selection was performed on the training set, to reduce dimensionality. This algorithm was used as a wrapper for Naïve Bayes in order to test the performance of each combination of features. This new optimized feature set was input into the K-means clustering algorithm with  $k$  = the number of classes.

Once the dataset was clustered, these cluster labels were fed into the Naïve Bayes classifier and tested on the test data in order to see how K-means performed as a classifier. The Silhouette Coefficient of the clusters was used to test the performance of K-means as a clustering algorithm. It is calculated as follows:

1. For each data point in the set, calculate the average distance to all other objects in the cluster, call this  $a$ .
2. For each data point in the set, calculate the average distance to each point in the other clusters and take the minimum, call this  $b$ .
3. Calculate the silhouette coefficient:

$$s_i = \frac{b_i - a_i}{\max\{a_i, b_i\}}$$

4. Evaluate how the k-means clustering algorithm did overall:

$$sil(C) = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{x_i \in D} s_i$$

## Results

After running the algorithms on each dataset multiple times, the average best performance of the Stepwise Forward Selection method, was 70% for the Iris dataset, 63% for the Glass dataset and the Spambase dataset was 82%. These results show that with 56 features as opposed to the Iris datasets 4 and the Glass datasets 7, the Spambase dataset was better suited for Feature Selection by SFS.

The K-means algorithm performed well as a clustering algorithm, as the silhouette scores averaged .79 for the Iris dataset, .70 for Glass and .78 for the Spambase dataset. However, as a classifier K-means performed poorly, often at 100% error rate.

## Summary

In summary, the Stepwise Forward Selection method for Feature Selection seems to work better on datasets with a large number of features. the K-means clustering algorithm works well for clustering data, however, it does not work well as a classifier and should be used in conjunction with other classifying methods.

## References

1. Alpaydin, E. (2014). *Introduction to machine learning*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
2. Bursac, Z., Gauss, C. H., Williams, D. K., & Hosmer, D. W. (2008). Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code for Biology and Medicine*, 3(1). doi:10.1186/1751-0473-3-17
3. Dua, D. and Graff, C. (2019). UCI Machine Learning Repository [<http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml>]. Irvine, CA: University of California, School of Information and Computer Science.