$$p(x,0) = \psi(x,0) \cdot \psi *(x,0)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x,0) = 1$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x,0) \cdot \psi *(x,0) = 1$$

$$\psi(x,0)=A\cdot e^{-\lambda|x|}$$

note that this is a purely real term.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A \cdot e^{-\lambda|x|} \cdot A \cdot e^{-\lambda|x|} = 1$$

Rather than integrate the absolute value of x from $-\infty$ to ∞ , we can drop the absolute value and double the integral from 0 to ∞ , since the curve will be symmetric about the y-axis

$$2 \cdot A^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda x} = 2 \cdot A^2 \cdot \frac{e^{-2\lambda x}}{-2\lambda} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} = \frac{A^2}{\lambda} = 1$$

$$A = \sqrt{\lambda}$$

given that we know that there is no x-offset, we know that

$$\langle x \rangle = 0$$

however, working out the proof is good practice.

$$p(x,t)=\psi(x,t)\cdot\psi^*(x,t)$$

note here that I have not encountered the complex conjugate of $e^{-i\cdot\omega\cdot t}$

let's stop to figure out what that is

$$C = e^{-i \cdot \omega \cdot t} = \cos(-\omega \cdot t) + i \sin(-\omega \cdot t)$$

$$C^* = \cos(-\omega \cdot t) - i\sin(-\omega \cdot t)$$

If plotted on the complex plane, it could be seen that the angle of the conjugate vector is equal to 2*pi – the angle of the original vector.

Therefore, the complex conjugate of $e^{-i\cdot\omega\cdot t}$ is $e^{i(2\cdot\pi-[-\omega\cdot t])}=e^{i(2\cdot\pi+\omega\cdot t)}$

$$p(x,t) = \psi(x,t) \cdot \psi * (x,t) = (\sqrt{\lambda} \cdot e^{-\lambda \cdot |x|} \cdot e^{-i \cdot \omega \cdot t}) \cdot (\sqrt{\lambda} \cdot e^{-\lambda \cdot |x|} \cdot e^{i(2 \cdot \pi + \omega \cdot t)})$$

$$p(x,t) = \lambda \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot |x|} \cdot e^{-i \cdot \omega \cdot t + i \cdot 2 \cdot \pi + i \cdot \omega \cdot t} = \lambda \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot |x|} \cdot e^{i \cdot 2 \cdot \pi}$$

$$p(x,t) = \lambda \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot |x|}$$
 here, we are using the fact that $e^{i \cdot 2 \cdot \pi} = 1$

note that this function is independent of time. I'm sort of wondering if I made a mistake here?

$$p(x,t)=p(x)$$

$$\langle x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot p(x) \cdot dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot \lambda \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot |x|} \cdot dx = \lambda \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} x \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx + \lambda \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{0} x \cdot e^{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx$$

We will continue this solution on the next page.

$$\langle x \rangle = \lambda \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} x \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx + \lambda \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{0} x \cdot e^{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx$$

$$u=2 \lambda x; x=\frac{u}{2 \lambda}; dx=\frac{du}{2 \lambda}$$

$$\langle x \rangle = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u}{2\lambda} \cdot e^{-u} \cdot \frac{du}{2\lambda} + \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{u}{2\lambda} \cdot e^{u} \cdot \frac{du}{2\lambda} = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} u \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{0} u \cdot e^{u} \cdot du$$

we will do integration by parts on each half of the integral separately. For the integral from 0 to ∞:

$$v=u$$
; $dw=e^{-u}\cdot du$ $dv=du$; $w=-e^{-u}$

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda}\int_{0}^{\infty}u\cdot e^{-u}\cdot du = \frac{1}{4\lambda}\int_{0}^{\infty}v\cdot dw = \frac{1}{4\lambda}\cdot v\cdot w\Big|_{0}^{\infty} - \frac{1}{4\lambda}\cdot\int_{0}^{\infty}w\cdot dv = \frac{1}{4\lambda}\cdot u\cdot (-e^{-u})\Big|_{0}^{\infty} - \frac{1}{4\lambda}\cdot\int_{0}^{\infty}-e^{-u}\cdot du$$

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda}\int_{0}^{\infty}u\cdot e^{-u}\cdot du = -\frac{u}{4\cdot\lambda\cdot e^{u}}\bigg|_{0}^{\infty} - \frac{1}{4\cdot\lambda\cdot e^{u}}\bigg|_{0}^{\infty} = -\frac{u+1}{4\cdot\lambda\cdot e^{u}}\bigg|_{0}^{\infty}$$

we'll now have to use L'Hopital's rule to evaluate

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda}\int_{0}^{\infty}u\cdot e^{-u}\cdot du = -\frac{1}{4\cdot\lambda\cdot e^{u}}\Big|_{0}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{4\cdot\lambda}$$

For the integral from $-\infty$ to 0:

$$v=u:dw=e^{u}\cdot du$$
 $dv=du:w=e^{u}$

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{0} u \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{0} v \cdot dw = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \cdot v \cdot w \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{0} w \cdot dv = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \cdot u \cdot (e^{u}) \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{u} \cdot du$$

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{0} u \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = \frac{u \cdot e^{u}}{4\lambda} \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} - \frac{e^{u}}{4\lambda} \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} = \frac{e^{u}}{4\lambda} \cdot (u-1) \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} = -\frac{1}{4\lambda} - \frac{e^{u}}{4\lambda} \cdot (u-1) \Big|_{-\infty} = -\frac{1}{4\lambda} \cdot \frac{e^{u}}{4\lambda} \cdot$$

I'm only, like, pretty sure that I did that last part right. I first evaluated the function at 0, and then used a L'Hopital-esque rule, where because $e^{-\infty}$ ends up in the denominator, I differentiated it as if it was in the denominator even though we started with e^u in the numerator... It's not exactly valid but I'm pretty sure I could substitute another variable, say, w=-u, and then evaluate this at positive infinity, and that would be correct.

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} u \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{0} u \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = \frac{1}{4\lambda} + \left(-\frac{1}{4\lambda}\right) = 0$$

I got the answer I expected so...I guess I got it right. Maybe.

In deriving $\langle x \rangle$ we found $p(x,t) = p(x) = \lambda \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot |x|}$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty x^2 \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx + \lambda \cdot \int_{-\infty}^0 x^2 \cdot e^{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx$$

$$u=2 \lambda x; x=\frac{u}{2 \lambda}; dx=\frac{du}{2 \lambda}$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{2 \cdot \lambda} \right)^2 \cdot e^{-u} \cdot \frac{du}{2 \cdot \lambda} + \lambda \cdot \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{u}{2 \cdot \lambda} \right)^2 \cdot e^{u} \cdot \frac{du}{2 \cdot \lambda} = \frac{1}{8 \cdot \lambda^2} \cdot \int_0^\infty u^2 \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du + \frac{1}{8 \cdot \lambda^2} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^0 u^2 \cdot e^{u} \cdot du$$

For the integral from 0 to ∞ :

$$v=u^2$$
; $dw=e^{-u}\cdot du$ $dv=2\cdot u\cdot du$; $w=-e^{-u}$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{2} \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du = \int_{0}^{\infty} v \cdot dw = v \cdot w \Big|_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{0}^{\infty} w \cdot dv = u^{2} \cdot (-e^{-u}) \Big|_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{0}^{\infty} (-e^{-u}) \cdot (2 \cdot u \cdot du)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{2} \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du = -\frac{u^{2}}{e^{u}} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} + 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} \cdot u \cdot du$$

in the derivation for $\langle x \rangle$ we found that

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} u \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du = \frac{1}{4 \cdot \lambda} \quad \text{which implies that} \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} u \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du = 1$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{2} \cdot e^{-u} \cdot du = -\frac{u^{2}}{e^{u}} \bigg|_{0}^{\infty} + 2 = 2$$

the integral from -∞ to 0 is evaluated on the next page

For the integral from $-\infty$ to 0:

$$v = u^{2}; dw = e^{u} \cdot du \qquad dv = 2 \cdot u \cdot du; w = e^{u}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} u^{2} \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = \int_{-\infty}^{0} v \cdot dw = v \cdot w \Big|_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{0} w \cdot dv = u^{2} \cdot (e^{u}) \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} - \int_{-\infty}^{0} (e^{u}) \cdot (2 \cdot u \cdot du)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} u^{2} \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = -\frac{u^{2}}{e^{u}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{u} \cdot u \cdot du$$

in the derivation for <x> we found that

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{0} u \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = -\frac{1}{4\lambda} \quad \text{which implies that} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{0} u \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = -1$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} u^{2} \cdot e^{u} \cdot du = -u^{2} \cdot e^{u} \Big|_{-\infty}^{0} - 2 = -2$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \lambda \cdot \int_0^\infty x^2 \cdot e^{-2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx + \lambda \cdot \int_{-\infty}^0 x^2 \cdot e^{2 \cdot \lambda \cdot x} \cdot dx = \lambda \cdot 2 - \lambda \cdot 2 = 0$$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = 0$$

This is not in keeping with what we've seen in the past for this value – often the average of the square is nonzero even when the average is zero.