CSCI 3104 PS2a

Jonathan Phouminh

TOTAL POINTS

10 / 12

QUESTION 1
6 pts

1.1 2 / 2

✓ **+ 1.5 pts** Sufficient reasoning showing how C and n_0 were obtained.

✓ **+ 2 pts** Correct values of C and n_0

+ 1 pts Correct value for one constant with reasoning

+ 0 pts Incorrect

1.2 2 / 2

✓ **+ 1 pts** Correct value for n0

✓ **+ 1 pts** Correct value of c

+ 0 pts Incorrect or not attempted

1.3 0 / 2

+ 2 pts Correct values of c and n_0

✓ **+ 0 pts** Not attempted or Incorrect answer

QUESTION 2

2 2 / 2

✓ **+ 2 pts** Correct

+ 0 pts Incorrect

+ 1 pts incomplete answer

+ 2 pts Correct but please rearrange c1 and c2

+ 1 pts Correct c_1 or c_2 (but not both)

+ 0 pts Click here to replace this description.

QUESTION 3

3 2 / 2

✓ **+ 2 pts** g(n) is correct.

+ 1.5 pts g(n) is nearly correct, but some small mistakes made.

+ 0 pts Incorrect/Not attempted.

- 2 pts Plagiarism

+ 0.5 pts Correct asymptotic complexity of outer loop.

+ 0.5 pts Correct complexity of inner loop

QUESTION 4

4 2 / 2

✓ **+ 2 pts** Correct Function mentioned. Good work !!!

+ 0 pts Incorrect Function provided or Empty answer submitted. Please refer to the solution file.

+ 1 pts In the correct direction, but you need to remove constants while providing theta function.

+ 0.5 pts Correct asymptotic complexity for outer loop.

+ 0.5 pts Correct asymptotic complexity for inner loop

+ 1 pts Correctly related complexities of inner and outer loops

ıl gradescope

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms   **Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal**
Problem Set 2a (12 points)   **Fall 2019, CU-Boulder**

Advice 1: For every problem in this class, you must justify your answer: show how you arrived at it and why it is correct. If there are assumptions you need to make along the way, state those clearly.

Advice 2: Verbal reasoning is typically insufficient for full credit. Instead, write a logical argument, in the style of a mathematical proof.

Instructions for submitting your solution:

- The solutions **should be typed** and we cannot accept hand-written solutions. Here's a short intro to Latex.

- You should submit your work through **Gradescope** only.

- If you don't have an account on it, sign up for one using your CU email. You should have gotten an email to sign up. If your name based CU email doesn't work, try the identikey@colorado.edu version.

- Gradescope will only accept **.pdf** files (except for code files that should be submitted separately on Gradescope if a problem set has them) and **try to fit your work in the box provided**.

- You cannot submit a pdf which has less pages than what we provided you as Gradescope won't allow it.

CSCI 3104, Algorithms   **Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal**
Problem Set 2a (12 points)   **Fall 2019, CU-Boulder**

---

1. *(6 pts) For each of the following pairs of functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we have that $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n))$. Find valid constants c and n_0 in accordance with the definition of Big-O. For the sake of this assignment, both c and n_0 should be strictly less than 10. You do **not** need to formally prove that $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ (that is, no induction proof or use of limits is needed).*

   (a) $f(n) = n^3 \log(n)$ and $g(n) = n^4$.

   > $n^3 logn \leq c1(n^4)$ choose $n_o = 0$ must find c
   > c is equal to p + q + r. . . so let c = 1.
   > after letting $n_o = 1, c = 1$ the inequality will hold true and f(n) is in O(g(n))

   (b) $f(n) = n2^n$ and $g(n) = 2^{n \log_2(n)}$.

   > let $n_o = 0$ must find c,
   > $n2^n \leq 2^{(}nlog_2 n$, using exponent rules the equation can be written into the form
   > $ln(2) * n^2 \leq (\frac{ln(2)}{log(2)})nlog(n)$, we then can let $n_0 = 0$ and $c = \frac{ln(2)}{log(2))} + ln(2)$ and
   > the inequality will hold true and thus f(n) is in O(g(n))

   (c) $f(n) = 4^n$ and $g(n) = (2n)!$

   > $4^n \leq (2n)!$
   > $ln(4)n \leq (2n)n!$
   > $ln(4) \leq ln(4)n! + (2n)n!$
   > $ln(4) \leq (ln(4) + 2n)n!$
   > $n_o = 0, c = (ln(4) + 2n)$

2

CSCI 3104, Algorithms   **Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal**
Problem Set 2a (12 points)   **Fall 2019, CU-Boulder**

2. *(2 pts) Let $f(n) = 3n^3 + 6n^2 + 6000$. So $f(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$. Find appropriate constants c_1, c_2, and n_0 in accordance with the definition of Big-Theta.*

> Def big O: $0 \leq c1(g(n)) \leq 3n^3 + 6n^2 + 6000 \leq c2(g(n))$
> finding $c1, c2, n_o$ referring to lecture notes we can pick pick $c1 = 3, c2 = 6009, n_o = 1$
>
> Now to prove that $f(n)$ is in $\Theta(n^3)$ we can take the limit of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n))}$ as n goes to infinity
>
> we will have the limit as n approaches infinity of $\frac{3n^3 + 6n^2 + 6000}{n^3}$ which can be reduced down to the limit as n approaches infinity of 3.
>
> Therefore since the limit was a finite number, $f(n) is in \Theta(n^3)$

CSCI 3104, Algorithms   **Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal**
Problem Set 2a (12 points)   **Fall 2019, CU-Boulder**

3. *(2 pts) Consider the following algorithm. Find a suitable function $g(n)$, such that the algorithm's worst-case runtime complexity is $\Theta(g(n))$. You do **not** need to formally prove that $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ (that is, no induction proof or use of limits is needed).*

```
count = 0
for(i = n; i >= 0; i = i - 1){
    for(j = i-1; j >= 0; j = j-1){
        count = count+1
    }
}
```

Cost	BestCase	WorstCase
c1	1	1
c2	n+1	n+1
c3	n(n+1)	n(n+1)
c4	n	n

$\Omega(g(n)) = c1(1) + c2(n+1) + c3(n(n+1)) + c4(n)$
$Cancel out smaller terms and constants$
$\rightarrow c2(n+1) + c3(n^2 + n)$
$\rightarrow c2(n) + c2 + c3(n^2) + c3(n)$
$\rightarrow c3(n^2)$
$\rightarrow \Omega(g(n)) = n^2$

$O(g(n)) = c1(1) + c2(n+1) + c3(n(n+1)) + c4(n)$
cancel out smaller terms and constants
$\rightarrow c2(n+1) + c3(n^2 + n) + c4(n)$
$\rightarrow c2(n) + c2 + c3(n^2) + c3(n)$
$\rightarrow c3(n^2)$
$\rightarrow O(g(n)) = n^2$

$Therefore since \Omega(g(n)) is equal to O(g(n)) then$ theta is $\Theta(n^2)$.

CSCI 3104, Algorithms   **Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal**
Problem Set 2a (12 points)   **Fall 2019, CU–Boulder**

4. *(2 pts) Consider the following algorithm. Find a suitable function $g(n)$, such that the algorithm's worst-case runtime complexity is $\Theta(g(n))$. You do **not** need to formally prove that $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ (that is, no induction proof or use of limits is needed).*

```
count = 0
for(i = 1; i < n; i = i * 3){
    for(j = 0; j < n; j = j + 2){
        count = count + 1
    }
}
```

Cost	BestCase	WorstCase
c1	1	1
c2	$\log_3 n$	$\log_3 n$
c3	$\log_3 n(\frac{n}{2})$	$\log_3 n(\frac{n}{2})$
c4	$\log_3 n(\frac{n}{2})$	$\log_3 n(\frac{n}{2})$

$\Omega(g(n)) = c1(1) + c2(\log_3 n) + c3(\log_3 \frac{n}{2}) + c4(\log_3 \frac{n}{2})$
cancel out smaller terms and constants
$\rightarrow c3(\log_3 \frac{n}{2}) + c4(\log_3 \frac{n}{2})$
$\rightarrow c3(\frac{1}{2}) + c4(\frac{1}{2}) + \log 3n * n$
$\rightarrow \Omega(g(n)) = \log_3 n * n$

$O(g(n)) = c1(1) + c2(\log_3 n) + c3(\log_3 \frac{n}{2}) + c4(\log_3 \frac{n}{2})$
cancel out smaller terms and constants
$\rightarrow c3(\log_3 \frac{n}{2}) + c4(\log_3 \frac{n}{2})$
$\rightarrow c3(\frac{1}{2}) + c4(\frac{1}{2}) + \log 3n * n$
$\rightarrow O(g(n)) = \log_3 n * n$

Therefore since $\Omega(g(n)) equals O(g(n)) \rightarrow \Theta(\log_3 n * n)$