

CSCI 3104 PS10b

Jonathan Phouminh

TOTAL POINTS

21.5 / 34

QUESTION 1

34 pts

1.1 12 / 21

+ 7 pts AlignStrings: correct.

✓ + 4 pts AlignStrings: Implement correctly. Use 2D matrix and traverse correctly.

+ 2 pts AlignStrings: Output matrix is correct.

✓ + 1 pts AlignStrings: Explanations/Comments are reasonable.

+ 4 pts AlignStrings: Minor mistake for codes and output matrix.

+ 0 pts AlignStrings: Not attempted/Incorrect.

+ 7 pts ExtractAlignment: correct.

✓ + 4 pts ExtractAlignment: Implement correctly. (Do backtrack randomly.)

+ 2 pts ExtractAlignment: Outputs are correct.

+ 1 pts ExtractAlignment: Explanations/Comments are reasonable.

+ 4 pts ExtractAlignment: Minor mistake for codes and output vector.

✓ - 1 pts ExtractAlignment: All correct, but didn't trace back randomly.

+ 0 pts ExtractAlignment: Not attempted/Incorrect.

+ 4 pts CommonSubstrings: Correctly identified the substrings using the sequence of edits.

+ 2 pts CommonSubstrings: Correctly identified the substrings of length at least L.

+ 1 pts CommonSubstrings: Written valid explanation

✓ + 4 pts CommonSubstrings: Correct algorithm but the student is increasing the sequence index of the string for 'insert' ops which is incorrect.

+ 0 pts CommonSubstrings: Not attempted or Incorrect answer

+ 3 pts CommonSubstrings: In the right direction,

but the logic for finding the substrings using sequence of ops has bugs.

- 1 pts CommonSubstrings: Minor errors in the implementation

+ 2 pts CommonSubstrings: Major errors in code.

1.2 6.5 / 7

✓ + 2 pts Correct time complexity for alignStrings

✓ + 2 pts Correct time complexity for extractAlignment

✓ + 2 pts Correct time complexity for commonSubstrings

✓ + 1 pts Concluding that running time is dominated by alignStrings

+ 0 pts Incorrect

+ 0 pts not attempted

✓ - 0.5 pts Minor mistake in runtime complexity.

commonSubstrings and extractAlignment have a runtime of $O(\text{len}(x) + \text{len}(y))$. Please refer to the solution.

1.3 3 / 6

✓ + 1 pts Correctly invoking methods of 1.a

+ 4 pts Written correct output in correct format

+ 1 pts Correct brief explanation on the result

+ 0 pts Incorrect answer or No answer

- 1 pts Output not presented in the correct format as asked in the question.

+ 3 pts Output has minor errors.

✓ + 2 pts Output has significant errors

+ 3 pts Your commonSubstring() is working correctly, but the output is only partially correct because of incorrect implementation of other methods (extractAlignment() or alignStrings())

+ 0 pts Your code could not be executed. Make

sure to submit a working code

- I saw your code and I can see you were doing the right thing but the output said the list went out of bound

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 10b (34 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

Advice 1: For every problem in this class, you must justify your answer: show how you arrived at it and why it is correct. If there are assumptions you need to make along the way, state those clearly.

Advice 2: Verbal reasoning is typically insufficient for full credit. Instead, write a logical argument, in the style of a mathematical proof.

Instructions for submitting your solution:

- The solutions **should be typed** and we cannot accept hand-written solutions. Here's a short intro to Latex.
- You should submit your work through **Gradescope** only.
- If you don't have an account on it, sign up for one using your CU email. You should have gotten an email to sign up. If your name based CU email doesn't work, try the identikey@colorado.edu version.
- Gradescope will only accept **.pdf** files (except for code files that should be submitted separately on Gradescope if a problem set has them) and **try to fit your work in the box provided**.
- You cannot submit a pdf which has less pages than what we provided you as Gradescope won't allow it.

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 10b (34 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

Important: This assignment has 1 (Q1) coding question.

- You need to submit 1 python file.
- The .py file should run for you to get points and name the file as following -
If Q1 asks for a python code, please submit it with the following naming convention -
`Lastname-Firstname-PS10b-Q1.py`.
- You need to submit the code via Canvas but the table/plot/result should be on the main .pdf.

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 10b (34 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

1. (34 pts total) Recall that the *string alignment problem* takes as input two strings x and y , composed of symbols $x_i, y_j \in \Sigma$, for a fixed symbol set Σ , and returns a minimal-cost set of *edit* operations for transforming the string x into string y .

Let x contain n_x symbols, let y contain n_y symbols, and let the set of edit operations be those defined in the lecture notes (substitution, insertion, and deletion).

Let the cost of *insert* be c_{insert} and *delete* be c_{delete} , and the cost of *sub* be c_{sub} , except when $x_i = y_j$, which is a “no-op” and has cost 0.

In this problem, you will implement and apply three functions.

(i) `alignStrings(x,y, cinsert, cdelete, csub)` takes as input two ASCII strings x and y , cost of the operations, and runs a dynamic programming algorithm to return the cost matrix S , which contains the optimal costs for all the subproblems for aligning these two strings.

(ii) `extractAlignment(S,x,y, cinsert, cdelete, csub)` takes as input an optimal cost matrix S , strings x, y , cost of the operations, and returns a vector a that represents an optimal sequence of edit operations to convert x into y . This optimal sequence is recovered by finding a path on the implicit DAG of decisions made by `alignStrings` to obtain the value $S[n_x, n_y]$, starting from $S[0, 0]$.

When storing the sequence of edit operations in a , use a special symbol to denote no-ops.

(iii) `commonSubstrings(x,L,a)` which takes as input the ASCII string x , an integer $1 \leq L \leq n_x$, and an optimal sequence a of edits to x , which would transform x into y . This function returns each of the substrings of length at least L in x that aligns exactly, via a run of no-ops, to a substring in y .

- (a) (21 pts) From scratch, implement the functions `alignStrings`, `extractAlignment`, and `commonSubstrings`. You may not use any library functions that make their implementation trivial. Within your implementation of `extractAlignment`, ties must be broken uniformly at random.

If you plan to create a version that saves the parent information in `alignStrings` itself, then you should break the ties randomly in `alignStrings` instead.

Submit:

- A brief paragraph for each function that explains how you implemented it (describe how it works and how it uses its data structures).
- Your code implementation, with code (the code should be submitted on Canvas)

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 10b (34 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

- The cost matrix S that your code produces on the strings $x = \text{EXPONENTIAL}$ and $y = \text{POLYNOMIAL}$ with $c_{\text{insert}} = 2$, $c_{\text{delete}} = 1$, $c_{\text{sub}} = 2$

Solution.

- (b) (7 pts) Using asymptotic analysis, determine the running time of the call `commonSubstrings(x, L, extractAlignment(alignStrings(x,y,cinsert,cdelete,csub), x,y,cinsert,cdelete,csub))`. Justify your answer.

Solution.

RUNTIME ANALYSIS OF `commonSubstrings`

This function traverses a single array only once so the runtime of this function is $O(n)$.

RUNTIME ANALYSIS OF `extractAlignment`

Our recurrence is $T(n) = T(n-1) + 1$
therefore our runtime of our
function is in
 $O(n)$

RUNTIME ANALYSIS OF `alignStrings`

The true runtime of this function should just be in $O(n * m)$ complexity but since we implemented in a way such that we made both strings the same length to try and avoid indexing errors we actually end up getting a worse runtime of $O(n^2)$.

- (c) (6 pts) **Plagiarism detector** - String alignment algorithms can be used to detect changes between different versions of the same document (as in version control systems) or to detect verbatim copying between different documents (as in plagiarism detection systems).

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 10b (34 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

The two song lyrics files for PS10b (see class Canvas) contain lyrics of two different songs in text format. Use your functions from (1a) with $c_{insert} = 1$, $c_{delete} = 1$, $c_{sub} = 1$ to align the text of these two documents. Utilize your **commonSubstrings** function for plagiarism detection. Present the results of your analysis, including a reporting of all the substrings in x of length $L = 10$ or more that could have been taken from y in two columns with the first being the length of the substring and the second being the actual common substring obtained via continuous 'no-op' run.

Briefly comment on whether these songs could be reasonably considered original works, under CU's academic integrity policy.

Solution.

Attempting to put the string of input into our functions we get a segmentation error so I will explain the logic behind this problem. We first take in the two input files text into string variables and strip all whitespaces in each to make the runtime a bit faster and then we should have passed it into the alignStrings and extractAlignment functions. Once we have the operation array for the string containing the students song we can look out how many matching sequences there are compared to the the real song. We determine if the students song is a case of plagerism if by comparing the ratio of matches in the students song to the real song and seeing if there is at least a 40ambiguous to my choice).

Name: Jonathan Phouminh

ID: 106054641

CSCI 3104, Algorithms
Problem Set 10b (34 points)

Profs. Hoenigman & Agrawal
Fall 2019, CU-Boulder

COLLABORATED WITH: BAO NGUYEN, ZACH CHOMMALA