

Early trigger conditions

- ▶ Prescales, definitions, and even names in trigger menu may change rapidly in response to unknowns in early collisions
- ▶ AICaReco is bound to a release cycle, can't update as quickly
- ▶ **Old idea:** take an “OR” of all available triggers of one type (e.g. inclusive muon), at least one will collect data
- ▶ **Problem:** unable to take advantage of new trigger name
- ▶ **Sub-problem:** current code throws exceptions when it encounters an unknown name; we're getting a parameter to turn that off

Conclusions from HLT/AICaReco meeting

1. Disappearing/changing trigger names would only happen in emergencies, with a reversion time of ~ 24 hours
2. We could move our trigger selection to the offline database

Current method

```
import HLTrigger.HLTfilters.hltHighLevel_cfi
ALCARECOMuAlCalIsolatedMuHLT = HLTrigger.HLTfilters.hltHighLevel_cfi.hltHighLevel.clone()
ALCARECOMuAlCalIsolatedMuHLT.HLTPaths = ["HLT_L1MuOpen", "HLT_L1Mu", "HLT_Mu3", "HLT_Mu5",
    "HLT_Mu9", "HLT_Mu11", "HLT_Mu13", "HLT_Mu15", "HLT_Mu15_L1Mu7", "HLT_L2Mu9",
    "HLT_IsoMu11", "HLT_IsoMu13", "HLT_IsoMu15"]
```

Access via database

```
ALCARECOMuAlCalIsolatedMuHLT = cms.EDFilter("HLTFromDatabase", label="somelabel")
somelabel = cms.ESSource("PoolDBESSource",
    connect = "...",
    toGet = ...
```



Offline database (not ConfDB!)

AlCaTriggerSelection	IOV	
		"HLT_L1MuOpen"
		"HLT_L1Mu"
		"HLT_Mu3"
		"HLT_Mu5"
		"HLT_Mu9"
		...

- ▶ Associates trigger selection with run number (IOV) to better match trigger scenario during data-taking
- ▶ In the very early stages of development

Do all AICaRecos need to select trigger names?

3/4



- ▶ Of course trigger definitions affect all data collection, including AICaRecos, but some AICaReco configurations don't need to explicitly select a set of names
- ▶ Explicit AICaReco trigger name selection not relevant for rate because it happens after reconstruction



- ▶ AICaRecos must be associated with relevant primary datasets: in some cases, this provides all the filtering an explicit trigger name requirement would have (e.g. cosmic rays, beam-halo)
- ▶ This simplification would reduce the amount of work we need to do to keep up with changes in the trigger, without loss in many cases



	Muon AICaReco Stream	trigger	Comments
E	MuAICallIsolatedMu	none	muon p_T cut is higher than HLT
E?	MuAIOverlaps	none	track pattern recognition is a tighter cut than HLT
P	MuAIZMuMu	none	dimuon mass cut is tighter than HLT
P	MuAIStandAloneCosmics	cosmic ray tech. trigger	special primary dataset for special reco path
P	MuAIGlobalCosmics	same as above	
P	MuAIBeamHalo	L1_SingleMuBeamHalo/ HLT_CSCBeamHalo	may or may not be separate from cosmics
P	MuAIBeamHaloOverlaps	same as above	

- ▶ “E” labels Express Streams (no Primary Datasets), “P” labels Prompt Reconstruction (split into Primary Datasets)
- ▶ “none” means no explicit selection, though event distribution is determined by union of all active triggers, selected afterward for muons