

HW-track Comparison and Tracker Global Shape

Jim Pivarski

Texas A&M University

4 December, 2009

Outline



- Hardware alignment as seen by tracks
- Resolving tracker global distortions with muon residuals

New hardware alignment





- Nov 19 Link-fixed HW barrel alignment
- $\phi = x/R$
- Much more consistent with a single offset of the tracker
  - $x \longrightarrow 1.2$ mm
  - $y \longrightarrow 4.5$ mm
  - $\phi_z \longrightarrow 0.58$ mrad
- See https://hypernews.cern.ch/HyperNews/CMS/get/muon-alignment/423/1.html or Nov 20 Indico page for the full set of plots

After global adjustment



- RMS of x' deviations (top-left) is only 1.8 mm after removing global offset in transverse plane
- Similar to photogrammetry, which had an RMS of 1.6 mm

Other translational d.o.f.





- Top: y' differences (direction parallel to beamline)
- Bottom: z' differences (radial)
- These are more consistent within sector groups
- y' has a clear trend with respect to wheel

Map plots



- Map plots show that the remaining differences are not due to tracker distortions
- For example, the statement "relative misalignment of sectors 4 and 5 is 6 mm" is tracker-independent and propagation-independent
- When the hardware geometry correctly describes the muon system as a rigid body, the differences with respect to track-based will be a *smooth* function in these plots (e.g. between sectors 11 and 12)

Resolving tracker distortions

- We can identify tracker global distortions using muon chamber data
- Without introducing circularity when we later align the muon system with tracker tracks



- Independence from muon alignment: plot residuals in *only one muon layer* (wh 0, st 1, sec 10, lay 2) and disregard global position of that layer
- Look at muon residuals as a function of p_T
- We only assume that the muon layer is in one location that can't be a function of the p_T of the tracks used to measure it

p_T-dependent muon residuals







- Three ways of looking at it:
  - as a muon residual (Δx)
  - tracker curvature error ($\Delta\kappa = \Delta x \frac{d\kappa}{dx}$, $\kappa = q/p_T$)
  - tracker momentum error ($\Delta p_T = \Delta x \frac{dp_T}{dx}$)

  where $\frac{d\kappa}{dx}$ and $\frac{dp_T}{dx}$ come from track propagator, numerically
- $\frac{d\kappa}{dx}$ is nearly constant for a single DT layer (depends on distance from tracker)
- From muon hits, we learn something which is purely about the tracker's shape
- If errors were from $\vec{B}(\vec{x})$ or dE/dx, top plot would be antisymmetric, not symmetric

Ambiguity from muon alignment







- To avoid a circular argument, we should keep the position of the muon chamber as a free parameter in this study
- Knowledge of tracker shape will later be used to determine positions of muon chambers (track-based alignment)
- Freedom to make low-p_T region "correct" and high-p_T region "wrong"
- Still, difference in curvature between low- and high-p_T regions is the same: this should constrain models of the tracker's shape

Does this analysis make sense?







- When applied to ideal MC, everything is perfect
- That's good (not a software problem or anything)

Straw-man global distortions (1)

Jim Pivarski











- $r\phi$ rotation of tracker layers as a function of r
- Tracker track χ^2 is highly sensitive to this, so it has been ruled out
- Nevertheless, it would produce a similar effect

Straw-man global distortions (2)











- $r\phi$ rotation of tracker layers as a function of ϕ
- Cosmic ray tracker tracks are not very sensitive to this
- It also produces a similar effect
- That doesn't mean that it's the only explanation

Why it's not sagitta

- Plot tracker curvature error $\Delta(q/p_T)$ on the color scale (GeV^{-1})
- Horizontal axes as indicated





- Real distortion is more a function of q/p_T than ϕ
- Sagitta error is more a function of ϕ than q/p_T

Conclusions



- New hardware geometry is much more internally consistent
- There are still observable discrepancies that are not due to tracker global distortions or track propagation
- Muon residuals can be used to identify (and eventually constrain) tracker global distortions
  - turning the problem discovered in May into an asset
  - I'm trying to get people interested in using this method as a tool for tracker diagnosis: two people are possibly interested