Study	TE seTI		lardised Me Difference	ean	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Oropesa et al.	-0.98 0.581		-			[-2.12; 0.16]	12.5%
Ebina et al.	-0.94 0.369	_	- _			[-1.66; -0.21]	14.4%
Azari et al.	-0.20 0.309					[-0.80; 0.41]	
Davids et al.	0.13 1.041	2 –			0.13	[-1.91; 2.17]	8.4%
Liang et al.	0.16 0.448)	- - - - - - - - - - 		0.16	[-0.72; 1.04]	13.8%
Maithel et al	1.61 0.438	7		-	1.61	[0.75; 2.47]	13.8%
Ghasemloonia et al.	1.71 0.276	2			1.71	[1.17; 2.25]	15.1%
Hwang et al.	2.62 1.248	7	:		2.62	[0.17; 5.07]	7.0%
Random effects model					0.41	[-0.64; 1.45]	100.0%
Prediction interval						[-2.48; 3.30]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 87\%$,	$\tau^2 = 1.2020, p <$	0.01				· , ·	
,	. 1	-4 -2	0 2	4			