Study	TE sel	E D	ifference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Aggarwal et al.	0.06 0.319	90	6	0.06	[-0.56; 0.69]	8.7%
Oropesa et al.	0.29 0.56		_		[-0.81; 1.39]	6.9%
Hofstad et al.	0.80 0.503		 		[-0.19; 1.79]	7.3%
Jimbo et al.	0.87 0.344	1 1	-	0.87	[0.20; 1.54]	8.5%
Harada et al.	1.02 0.330)2		1.02	[0.37; 1.67]	8.6%
Moorthy et al.	1.32 0.54	18	-	1.32	[0.25; 2.38]	7.0%
D'Angelo et al.	1.75 0.730)3	<u> </u>	1.75	[0.32; 3.18]	5.6%
Hung et al.	1.80 0.243	39	<u>-</u>	1.80	[1.32; 2.28]	9.2%
Goldbraikh	2.02 0.349	95	+	2.02	[1.33; 2.70]	8.5%
Pellen et al.	2.10 0.56	54	-	2.10	[0.99; 3.20]	6.8%
Vedula et al.	2.42 0.254	16	-+-	2.42	[1.92; 2.92]	9.1%
Smith et al.	2.65 0.579	90	-	2.65	[1.52; 3.79]	6.7%
Yamaguchi	3.37 0.754	15	-	3.37	[1.89; 4.84]	5.5%
Pagador et al.	6.29 1.940	08	-	— 6.29	[2.48; 10.09]	1.6%
	_					
Random effects mode	el		◇	1.60	[0.96; 2.24]	100.0%
Prediction interval	2	L			[-0.31; 3.51]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 80\%$,	$\tau^2 = 0.6979, \mu$		1 1	1		
		−10 −5	0 5	10		

Standardised Mean