Study	TE	seTE	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Aggarwal et al.	0.06	0.3190		0.06	[-0.56; 0.69]	7.6%
Oropesa et al.	0.29	0.5610	<del></del> :	0.29	[-0.81; 1.39]	5.8%
Hofstad et al.	0.80	0.5033	<del>     </del>	0.80	[-0.19; 1.79]	6.3%
Jimbo et al.	0.87	0.3441	<del>-</del>	0.87	[ 0.20; 1.54]	7.5%
Ebina et al.	0.91	0.3679	-	0.91	[ 0.19; 1.63]	7.3%
Harada et al.	1.02	0.3302	<u> </u>	1.02	[ 0.37; 1.67]	7.6%
Chmarra et al.	1.21	0.4781	<del></del>	1.21	[0.27; 2.14]	6.4%
Moorthy et al.	1.32	0.5418	-	1.32	[ 0.25; 2.38]	6.0%
D'Angelo et al.	1.75	0.7303	<del></del>	1.75	[ 0.32; 3.18]	4.7%
Hung et al.	1.80	0.2439	-	1.80	[ 1.32; 2.28]	8.1%
Goldbraikh	2.02	0.3495	-	2.02	[1.33; 2.70]	7.4%
Pellen et al.	2.10	0.5654	<del>                                    </del>	2.10	[0.99; 3.20]	5.8%
Vedula et al.	2.42	0.2546	+	2.42	[1.92; 2.92]	8.1%
Smith et al.	2.65	0.5790		2.65	[ 1.52; 3.79]	5.7%
Yamaguchi	3.37	0.7545	<del></del>	3.37	[1.89; 4.84]	4.5%
Pagador et al.	6.29	1.9408		- 6.29	[ 2.48; 10.09]	1.2%
Random effects mode	el		<b>♦</b>	1.51	[ 0.97; 2.06]	100.0%
<b>Prediction interval</b>			<del></del>	_	[-0.19; 3.22]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 78\%$ ,	$\tau^2 = 0.5$	801, $p < 0.0$	1	7		

-10 -5 0 5 10