
Study
TE
seTE
Standardised Mean Difference
SMD
95%−CI
Weight
Aggarwal et al. 0.19 0.3196 0.19 [−0.44; 0.81] 4.8%
Chainey et al. 0.70 0.3394 0.70 [0.04; 1.37] 4.8%
Kazemi et al. 0.84 0.5836 0.84 [−0.31; 1.98] 4.5%
Francis et al. 0.98 0.3354 0.98 [0.32; 1.64] 4.8%
Wilson et al. 1.33 0.6036 1.33 [0.15; 2.52] 4.4%
Hofstad et al. 1.38 0.5404 1.38 [0.32; 2.44] 4.5%
Moorthy et al. 1.42 0.5490 1.42 [0.34; 2.49] 4.5%
Harada et al. 1.55 0.3547 1.55 [0.86; 2.25] 4.8%
O'Toole et al. 1.71 0.5366 1.71 [0.66; 2.76] 4.5%
Koskinen et al. 1.84 0.2183 1.84 [1.41; 2.27] 4.9%
Zheng et al. 1.94 0.6372 1.94 [0.69; 3.19] 4.4%
Law et al. 2.03 0.3498 2.03 [1.34; 2.71] 4.8%
Van Sickle et al. 2.14 0.5696 2.14 [1.02; 3.25] 4.5%
Datta et al. 2.18 0.5117 2.18 [1.18; 3.18] 4.6%
Vedula et al. 2.21 0.2475 2.21 [1.73; 2.70] 4.9%
Hung et al. 2.23 0.2622 2.23 [1.72; 2.75] 4.9%
Xeroulis et al. 2.55 0.6569 2.55 [1.27; 3.84] 4.4%
Yamaguchi et al. 4.59 0.9309 4.59 [2.76; 6.41] 3.9%
Judkins et al. 5.40 0.8038 5.40 [3.82; 6.97] 4.1%
Pellen et al. 5.64 1.0324 5.64 [3.61; 7.66] 3.7%
Pagador et al. 6.37 1.9630 6.37 [2.52; 10.22] 2.2%
Huffman et al. 6.51 0.8134 6.51 [4.92; 8.11] 4.1%
Smith et al. 8.06 1.2760 8.06 [5.56; 10.56] 3.2%
Random effects model 2.53 [1.67; 3.40] 100.0%
Prediction interval [−1.20; 6.26]
Heterogeneity: I^2 = 86%, τ^2 = 3.0684, p < 0.01
−10
−5
0
5
10