Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Oropesa et al. Ebina et al. Azari et al. Davids et al. Liang et al.	-0.98 0.5818 -0.94 0.3690 -0.20 0.3097 0.13 1.0412 0.16 0.4480		-0.94 [- -0.20 [ 0.13 [	[-2.12; 0.16] -1.66; -0.21] [-0.80; 0.41] [-1.91; 2.17] [-0.72; 1.04]	9.1% 10.1% 10.3% 6.7% 9.7%
Shafiel et al. Hofstad et al. Maithel et al Ghasemloonia et al. Hwang et al. Islam et al.	0.42 0.0624 1.32 0.7526 1.61 0.4387 1.71 0.2762 2.62 1.2487 3.61 0.5708	+	0.42 1.32 [ 1.61 1.71 – 2.62	[ 0.30; 0.54] [-0.15; 2.80] [ 0.75; 2.47] [ 1.17; 2.25] [ 0.17; 5.07] [ 2.49; 4.73]	10.9% 8.2% 9.8% 10.4% 5.7% 9.1%
Random effects mode Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$ ,		-2 0 2 4	-	[-0.17; 1.75] <i>[</i> [-2.32; 3.90]	100.0%

**Standardised Mean**