Study	TE	seTE	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%–CI	Weight
Chainey et al.	0.70	0.3394		0.70	[0.04; 1.37]	8.8%
Francis et al.	0.98	0.3354		0.98	[0.32; 1.64]	8.8%
Moorthy et al.	1.42	0.5490		1.42	[0.34; 2.49]	8.4%
Harada et al.	1.55	0.3547		1.55	[0.86; 2.25]	8.8%
Koskinen et al.	1.84	0.2183		1.84	[1.41; 2.27]	8.9%
Law et al.	2.03	0.3498		2.03	[1.34; 2.71]	8.8%
Van Sickle et al.	2.14	0.5696		2.14	[1.02; 3.25]	8.4%
Vedula et al.	2.21	0.2475		2.21	[1.73; 2.70]	8.9%
Xeroulis et al.	2.55	0.6569		2.55	[1.27; 3.84]	8.2%
Judkins et al.	5.40	0.8038		5.40	[3.82; 6.97]	7.8%
Huffman et al.	6.51	0.8134		6.51	[4.92; 8.11]	7.8%
Smith et al.	8.06	1.2760		8.06	[5.56; 10.56]	6.5%
Random effects model				**2.78**	**[1.36; 4.20]**	**100.0%**
Prediction interval					**[−1.96; 7.52]**	

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 89\%$, $\tau^2 = 4.1564$, $p < 0.01$

−10 −5 0 5 10