Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%–CI	Weight
Islam et al.	-8.70 1.0679	<u> </u>	-	0.79; -6.60]	15.1%
Koskinen et al.	-3.06 0.4202	<del></del>	-3.06 [-	3.88; –2.24]	17.4%
Hofstad et al.	-3.01 0.8340	<del>-</del>	-3.01 [-	4.65; –1.38]	16.0%
Mori et al.	-2.69 0.5137	-	<b>–</b> 2.69 [ <b>–</b>	3.69; -1.68]	17.1%
Demirel et al.	-2.03 0.5135		-2.03 [-	3.04; -1.03]	17.1%
Zulbaran-Rojas et al.	-0.83 0.4763	-	-0.83 [	-1.76; 0.11]	17.2%
Random effects model			-3.28 [ -6.04; -0.51]		100.0%
Prediction interval			[-1	10.70; 4.15]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$ ,	$\tau^2 = 6.0648, p < 0.01$	1 1 1	I		
	-10	-5 O 5	10		

**Standardised Mean**