		Standardised Mean		
Study	TE seTE	Difference	SMD	95%-CI Weight
Aggarwal et al.	0.06 0.3190	=	0.06 [-	-0.56; 0.69] 13.8%
Oropesa et al.	0.29 0.5610		0.29	-0.81; 1.39 ⁻ 11.1%
Hofstad et al.	0.80 0.5033		0.80	-0.19; 1.79 <u>]</u> 11.8%
Jimbo et al.	0.87 0.3441	<u> </u>	0.87	[0.20; 1.54] 13.6%
Moorthy et al.	1.32 0.5418		1.32	[0.25; 2.38] 11.4%
Goldbraikh	2.02 0.3495	-	2.02	[1.33; 2.70] 13.5%
Pellen et al.	2.10 0.5654	-	2.10	[0.99; 3.20] 11.1%
Smith et al.	2.65 0.5790	=	2.65	[1.52; 3.79] 10.9%
Pagador et al.	6.29 1.9408		— 6.29 [2.48; 10.09] 2.7%
Random effects mo	odel	⇔	1.37 [0.41; 2.33] 100.0%
Prediction interval			[-	-0.88; 3.62]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 79\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.7831$, $p < 0.01$				
	-10	- 5 0 5	10	