Study	TE	seTE	Standardised Difference		95%-CI	Weight
Chmarra et al.	-0.98 (	0.4645	-	-0.98	[-1.89; -0.07]	4.2%
Aggarwal et al.	0.19 (	0.3196	-	0.19	[-0.44; 0.81]	4.4%
Pastewski et al.	0.56 (	0.3454	-	0.56	[-0.12; 1.24]	4.3%
Chainey et al.	0.70 (	0.3394	-	0.70	[ 0.04; 1.37]	4.3%
Kazemi et al.	0.84 (	0.5836	-	0.84	[-0.31; 1.98]	4.1%
Francis et al.	0.98 (	0.3354		0.98	[ 0.32; 1.64]	4.3%
Wilson et al.	1.33 (	0.6036		1.33	[ 0.15; 2.52]	4.1%
Hofstad et al.	1.38 (	0.5404	<del></del>	1.38	[ 0.32; 2.44]	4.1%
Moorthy et al.	1.42(	0.5490	-	1.42	[ 0.34; 2.49]	4.1%
Harada et al.	1.55 (	0.3547		1.55	[ 0.86; 2.25]	4.3%
O'Toole et al.		0.5366	—	1.71	. , ,	
Koskinen et al.		0.2183	+	1.84		4.4%
Zheng et al.	1.94 (	0.6372	-	- 1.94	[ 0.69; 3.19]	4.0%
Law et al.	2.03 (	0.3498	-	2.03		
Van Sickle et al.		0.5696	-	- 2.14		4.1%
Datta et al.		).5117	-	2.18	. , .	4.2%
Vedula et al.		).2475	+	2.21		4.4%
Hung et al.		0.2622	+	2.23	. , .	4.4%
Xeroulis et al.		0.6569	-	2.55		4.0%
Yamaguchi et al.		0.9309	:-	4.59		3.6%
Judkins et al.		0.8038		5.40		
Pellen et al.		1.0324		5.64		
Pagador et al.		1.9630	:-	6.37		
Huffman et al.		).8134		6.51		
Smith et al.	8.06 1	1.2760		8.06	[ 5.56; 10.56]	3.0%
Random effects mod	el			2.32	[ 1.45; 3.18]	100.0%
Prediction interval			+		[-1.62; 6.25]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 88\%$ , $\tau^2 = 3.4649$ , $p < 0.01$					_	
		–10	<b>-</b> 5 0	5 10		