

Architecture for a High Speed SAR ADC

Joseph Palackal Mathew
Department of Electrical Engineering
University of California, Los Angeles
jpmathew@ucla.edu

July 18, 2011

Problem Definition

Derive a topology that will minimize power while achieving 12 bits of resolution and 200MSPS speed . Make topology amenable for future interleaving that can improve speed.

Problem Analysis

We are given an input $x \in [-1, 1]$ and converter tries to find K such that

$$-1 + K\Delta \leq x \leq -1 + (K + 1)\Delta.$$

If input is uniformly distributed then the problem will require a minimum $N = \log_2(2/\Delta)$ “Yes or No” questions with precise answers. From a circuit perspective “Yes or No” is that of a comparator comparing input with a threshold with infinite precision. If the comparison has finite precision i.e it is unreliable for $|inp| < \epsilon$ then at the end of N questions input can be as far as ϵ away from the said range.

A typical SAR ADC conversion proceeds as follows . We compare the input with a threshold $V_{th}(k) = r(k) * (x_{max}(k) + x_{min}(k)) + \epsilon(k)$ where it's guaranteed by previous knowledge that $x \in [x_{max}(k), x_{min}(k)]$. At the end of comparison the input is guaranteed to be in $[x_{max}(k), V_{th}(k)]$ or $[x_{min}(k), V_{th}(k)]$ so by setting $x_{max}(k+1)$ and $x_{min}(k+1)$ accordingly we can guarantee that range in which input can lie $S(k+1) = x_{max}(k+1) - x_{min}(k+1) < S(k)$ provided that we put the thresholds $V_{th}(k) \in [x_{max}(k), x_{min}(k)]$. This by iteration can guarantee that we can locate input to a finite precision in required number of steps.

Observations

1. In a latched comparator $V_{in} * e^{t/\tau} = VDD$. For a minimum resolution input V_δ it will need a time $T_\delta = \tau * \ln(VDD/V_\delta)$. Every other input needs time less than T_δ . An asynchronous ADC optimizes by making every comparison time just enough to make accurate decision and allowing remaining time to be used for some other operation. Here $T_{cmp} = \tau * \ln(VDD/V_{in})$ giving a two fold improvement in time required in decision . For a latch $\tau = Gm/C = \beta * \sqrt{(w)/C_{oxl}} * W + C_{load}$. if offset is non critical (SAR) or calibrated (multibit) no optimization is possible in this end. At higher precision ($V_\delta = 10mV = 8bit$) noise (thermal/capacitive coupling noise) from this may become critical and a switch from a noisy to low noise latch may be required. This will increase W and reduce τ . Total worst case comparison time = $N(N-1)/2 * \ln(2) * \tau$.
2. Non Binary SAR ADC is based on following observation . In a SAR ADC without any redundancy k_{th} DAC step $S(k)$ needs to settle to $1LSB = VREF/2^N$ to converge correctly . In a constant clock environment this requires a time $T_{dac} = \tau * N * \ln(2)$ corresponding to worst case first step and hence a total DAC time of $\tau * N^2 * \ln(2)$. If each DAC step gets a settling time just enough to meet the settling requirement then $T_{dac}(k) = \tau * (N-k) * \ln(2)$ and total dac time will be $\tau * N(N-1)/2 * \ln(2)$. To make T_{DAC} a constant we need redundancy propotional to current step so that an error $S(k) * e^{-T_{dac}/\tau}$ can be tolerated .For this

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(k+1)}^N S(i) &= (1+m) * S(k) \\
\sum_{(k+2)}^N S(i) &= (1+m) * S(k+1) \\
S(k+1) &= (1+m) * (S(k) - S(k+1)) \\
S(k+1) &= (1+m)/(2+m) * S(k)
\end{aligned}$$

This Points to a non binary radix $r = (1+m)/(2+m)$. since redundancy greater than a step is not required $m < 1$ and $r < 2/3$. Total conversion clock = $\tau * \ln(1/m) * \ln(2) * \ln(m+1)/\ln(2+m)$. Though this points to a near zero conversion time with $m=1$ its a mathematical anomaly because of approximating error as $S(k) * e^{-T_{dac}/\tau}$. More correct Derivation is as

follows (assumes non binary sar)

$$\begin{aligned}
settlingerror &= \sum_1^k S(i) * e^{(-(k-i)*T_{clk}+T_{dac})/\tau} \\
S(k) &= 1/2 * r^{(k-1)} \\
settlingerror &= 1/2 * r^{(k-1)} * e^{-T_{dac}/\tau} * \sum_0^{k-1} r^{-i} * e^{-iT_{clk}/\tau} \\
settlingerror &= 1/2 * r^{(k-1)} * e^{-T_{dac}/\tau} * (1 - r^{-k} * e^{-k*T_{clk}/\tau}) / (1 - r^{-1} * e^{-T_{clk}/\tau}) \\
e^{-T_{clk}/\tau} &< r \\
settlingerror &= 1/2 * r^{(k-1)} * e^{-T_{dac}/\tau} / (1 - r^{-1} * e^{-T_{clk}/\tau}) = m * 1/2 * \\
e^{-T_{dac}/\tau} &= m / (1 + rm) < r \\
r &= 1/2 \\
T_{dac} &= 2 * \ln(2) * \tau;
\end{aligned}$$

3. In a constant clock binary asynchronous ADC 's advantageous to constraint

$$T_{cmp}(k) + T_{dacNamp}(k+1) = T_{clk}$$

This is because if $(k+1)^{th}$ decision is critical k^{th} decision is non critical and should take less time which can be used to make $(k+1)^{th}$ decision more precise. Also $(k+1)^{th}$ decision will take more time but since $(k+2)^{th}$ decision is noncritical its dac settling error doesnt matter.