

WHO AND WHY?

An introduction to player evaluation



J. POHLKAMP-HARTT, NOV 20 2021

ANALYTICS SUPPORTS DATA-DRIVEN DECISIONS



EXAMPLE DECISION: SIGNING FREE AGENT CENTER

INFORMATION

- LAST 3 SEASONS METRICS
- SCOUTING REPORTS
- "WE NEED A PLAY DRIVING CENTER THAT IS RESPONSIBLE DEFENSIVELY"
- "WE ARE IN A WIN NOW MODE"

PROCESS

- SUMMARY REPORT OF METRICS AND SCOUTING OPINIONS FOR AVAILABLE PLAYERS
- ROUNDTABLE MEETING TO DISCUSS AND RANK PLAYERS
- APP OR SPREADSHEET TO PRESENT DATA, RECORD OPINIONS AND RANKINGS

DECISION

- "WE WILL TRY TO SIGN THE TOP RANKED PLAYERS IN ORDER, IF WE GET TO A PART OF THE LIST WHERE AGREEMENT WAS NOT COMPLETE WE WILL RECONVENE."

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

- Some traits of good processes are:

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

- Some traits of good processes are:
 - Structure

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

- Some traits of good processes are:
 - Structure
 - Conflict Resolution

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

- Some traits of good processes are:
 - Structure
 - Conflict Resolution
 - Transparency

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

- Some traits of good processes are:
 - Structure
 - Conflict Resolution
 - Transparency
 - Measurability

THE IMPORTANCE OF PROCESS

Good processes allow for unbiased and friction-minimal assimilation of information.

- Some traits of good processes are:
 - Structure
 - Conflict Resolution
 - Transparency
 - Measurability
 - Evolving with Feedback

PLAYER METRICS

ADVANCED BOX SCORE STATS (2009-PRESENT)

- We use broadcast/web play-by-play data streams for detailed box score events including who was on the ice and where events happened.

ADVANCED BOX SCORE STATS (2009-PRESENT)

- We use broadcast/web play-by-play data streams for detailed box score events including who was on the ice and where events happened.
- The most common “advanced” stat is shot differential while on ice (Corsi). This is a useful statistic because it is predictive of future scoring.
Eg. $\text{Corsi}\% = \frac{\text{Shots For WOI}}{\text{Shots For WOI} + \text{Shots Against WOI}}$

ADVANCED BOX SCORE STATS (2009-PRESENT)

- We use broadcast/web play-by-play data streams for detailed box score events including who was on the ice and where events happened.
- The most common “advanced” stat is shot differential while on ice (Corsi). This is a useful statistic because it is predictive of future scoring.
Eg. $\text{Corsi}\% = \frac{\text{Shots For WOI}}{\text{Shots For WOI} + \text{Shots Against WOI}}$
- Counting/rate stats are biased by linemates, luck, opportunity.
To understand these effects: WOWY, PDO%, zone start %

ADVANCED BOX SCORE STATS (2009-PRESENT)

- We use broadcast/web play-by-play data streams for detailed box score events including who was on the ice and where events happened.
- The most common “advanced” stat is shot differential while on ice (Corsi). This is a useful statistic because it is predictive of future scoring.
Eg. $\text{Corsi}\% = \frac{\text{Shots For WOI}}{\text{Shots For WOI} + \text{Shots Against WOI}}$
- Counting/rate stats are biased by linemates, luck, opportunity.
To understand these effects: WOWY, PDO%, zone start %
- Performance relative to team or linemates can indicate who drives play.
Eg. $\text{Shot Share} = \frac{\text{Shots}}{\text{Shots For WOI}}$

EXAMPLE

WE ARE UP TO PICK AT THE DRAFT AND WE NEED TO DECIDE BETWEEN 2 USHL PLAYERS, WHO DO YOU WANT?

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Goals	14	73.4	79.2
Assists	40	98.2	96.8
Corsi %	56.5	86.6	82.1
Shot Share	17.6	68.3	69.9
OZ Start %	72	91.6	98.3

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Goals	23	92.9	88.4
Assists	29	90.6	93.2
Corsi %	50.9	66.8	60.2
Shot Share	28.7	93.4	95.5
OZ Start %	49	48.2	50

EXAMPLE

WE ARE UP TO PICK AT THE DRAFT AND WE NEED TO DECIDE BETWEEN 2 USHL PLAYERS, WHO DO YOU WANT?

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Goals	14	73.4	79.2
Assists	40	98.2	96.8
Corsi %	56.5	86.6	82.1
Shot Share	17.6	68.3	69.9
OZ Start %	72	91.6	98.3

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Goals	23	92.9	88.4
Assists	29	90.6	93.2
Corsi %	50.9	66.8	60.2
Shot Share	28.7	93.4	95.5
OZ Start %	49	48.2	50

Note: All data is fictitious.

NON-SHOT METRICS (2015-PRESENT)

- **Non-shot events, can create similar count and rate stats as with box scores:
puck recoveries, dz exits, oz entries, passes, possession time**

NON-SHOT METRICS (2015-PRESENT)

- Non-shot events, can create similar count and rate stats as with box scores: puck recoveries, dz exits, oz entries, passes, possession time
- Tracked manually, with computer vision or from hardware

NON-SHOT METRICS (2015-PRESENT)

- **Non-shot events, can create similar count and rate stats as with box scores:**
puck recoveries, dz exits, oz entries, passes, possession time
- **Tracked manually, with computer vision or from hardware**
- **Usually provided by 3rd parties.**
Data quality, quantity and event definitions will vary. Be wary!

NON-SHOT METRICS (2015-PRESENT)

- Non-shot events, can create similar count and rate stats as with box scores: puck recoveries, dz exits, oz entries, passes, possession time
- Tracked manually, with computer vision or from hardware
- Usually provided by 3rd parties.
Data quality, quantity and event definitions will vary. Be wary!
- Can evaluate decisions to create metrics for player tendencies,
Eg. Entry Tendency = $\text{entries} / (\text{dump-ins} + \text{entries})$

NON-SHOT METRICS (2015-PRESENT)

- Non-shot events, can create similar count and rate stats as with box scores: puck recoveries, dz exits, oz entries, passes, possession time
- Tracked manually, with computer vision or from hardware
- Usually provided by 3rd parties.
Data quality, quantity and event definitions will vary. Be wary!
- Can evaluate decisions to create metrics for player tendencies,
Eg. Entry Tendency = $\text{entries} / (\text{dump-ins} + \text{entries})$
- This data helps us answer **how** players play and **why** they are worthwhile.

NON-SHOT METRICS (2015-PRESENT)

- Non-shot events, can create similar count and rate stats as with box scores: puck recoveries, dz exits, oz entries, passes, possession time
- Tracked manually, with computer vision or from hardware
- Usually provided by 3rd parties.
Data quality, quantity and event definitions will vary. Be wary!
- Can evaluate decisions to create metrics for player tendencies,
Eg. Entry Tendency = $\text{entries}/(\text{dump-ins} + \text{entries})$
- This data helps us answer **how** players play and **why** they are worthwhile.
- New advancements collect spatial data creating non-puck events. Eg. Screening a goalie. Some spatial metrics are not event based like player fitness or space occupied.

EXAMPLE

IT IS THE TRADE DEADLINE, WE WANT A SCORING WINGER TO COMPLIMENT OUR PLAY DRIVING CENTER.

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Slot Shots	3.93	84.3	88.9
Passes	11.86	44.4	50.1
Slot Shot Tendency	76.2	86.1	92.3
Defensive Touches	5.43	31.6	37.4
Possession Time	66.6	73.6	78.9

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Slot Shots	2.19	51.7	50
Passes	17.3	80.2	81.4
Slot Shot Tendency	48.5	49.7	47.6
Defensive Touches	9.65	76.9	79.1
Possession Time	74.6	87.4	87.8

Note: All data is fictitious. Metrics are per 20 minutes.

EXAMPLE

IT IS THE TRADE DEADLINE, WE WANT A SCORING WINGER TO COMPLIMENT OUR PLAY DRIVING CENTER.

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Slot Shots	3.93	84.3	88.9
Passes	11.86	44.4	50.1
Slot Shot Tendency	76.2	86.1	92.3
Defensive Touches	5.43	31.6	37.4
Possession Time	66.6	73.6	78.9

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
Slot Shots	2.19	51.7	50
Passes	17.3	80.2	81.4
Slot Shot Tendency	48.5	49.7	47.6
Defensive Touches	9.65	76.9	79.1
Possession Time	74.6	87.4	87.8

Note: All data is fictitious. Metrics are per 20 minutes.

EXPECTATIONS(2012-PRESENT)

- We can model the probability of success of events given the situation they occur.
Eg. $XPG = P(\text{goal} \mid \text{location, shot type, defenders, puck movement, etc.})$

EXPECTATIONS(2012-PRESENT)

- We can model the probability of success of events given the situation they occur.
Eg. $XPG = P(\text{goal} \mid \text{location, shot type, defenders, puck movement, etc.})$
- It is useful to treat the probabilities as weights, which we can add or average just like their original counts.
Eg. $iXG = \text{sum of } XPG \text{ for shots by a player, can be a rate or total}$

EXPECTATIONS(2012-PRESENT)

- We can model the probability of success of events given the situation they occur.
Eg. $XPG = P(\text{goal} \mid \text{location, shot type, defenders, puck movement, etc.})$
- It is useful to treat the probabilities as weights, which we can add or average just like their original counts.
Eg. $iXG = \text{sum of } XPG \text{ for shots by a player, can be a rate or total}$
- Another useful tool is to examine the residuals of these models.
Eg. $A2E = \text{is_Goal} - XPG$, over last 4 seasons Ovechkin is #1.

EXPECTATIONS(2012-PRESENT)

- We can model the probability of success of events given the situation they occur.
Eg. $XPG = P(\text{goal} \mid \text{location, shot type, defenders, puck movement, etc.})$
- It is useful to treat the probabilities as weights, which we can add or average just like their original counts.
Eg. iXG = sum of XPG for shots by a player, can be a rate or total
- Another useful tool is to examine the residuals of these models.
Eg. $A2E = \text{is_Goal} - XPG$, over last 4 seasons Ovechkin is #1.
- These models can get quite complicated,
It is important to understand how a model works before using it for player evaluation!
Eg. expected possession value: $EPV = P(\text{goal in possession} \mid \text{current state})$

EXAMPLE

IT IS THE TRADE DEADLINE, WE WANT A SCORING WINGER TO COMPLIMENT OUR PLAY DRIVING CENTER.

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
XPGF	0.964	61	55.5
XPGA	0.973	43.1	32.3
XPG%	49.8	53.6	48.9
iXG	0.429	85.9	93.9
A2E	0.175	85	94.5

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
XPGF	1.23	94.3	100
XPGA	0.878	67.9	69.9
XPG%	62.9	84.6	80
iXG	0.286	59.7	48.7
A2E	-0.197	17.5	35

Note: All data is fictitious. Metrics are per 20 minutes.

EXAMPLE

IT IS THE TRADE DEADLINE, WE WANT A SCORING WINGER TO COMPLIMENT OUR PLAY DRIVING CENTER.

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
XPGF	0.964	61	55.5
XPGA	0.973	43.1	32.3
XPG%	49.8	53.6	48.9
iXG	0.429	85.9	93.9
A2E	0.175	85	94.5

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
XPGF	1.23	94.3	100
XPGA	0.878	67.9	69.9
XPG%	62.9	84.6	80
iXG	0.286	59.7	48.7
A2E	-0.197	17.5	35

Note: All data is fictitious. Metrics are per 20 minutes.

ALL-IN-ONE METRICS

- All-in-one metrics are closely aligned with your true goal and account for as many sources of variance as you can measure.

ALL-IN-ONE METRICS

- All-in-one metrics are closely aligned with your true goal and account for as many sources of variance as you can measure.
- As analysis methods evolved these metrics improved.
ie. $\text{points} < \text{shot differential} < \text{xg\%} < \dots < \text{WAR} < \dots$

ALL-IN-ONE METRICS

- All-in-one metrics are closely aligned with your true goal and account for as many sources of variance as you can measure.
- As analysis methods evolved these metrics improved.
ie. points < shot differential < xg% < ... < WAR < ...
- The most common is Wins-Above-Replacement (WAR).
Ideal versions of this account for the player's situation providing a team agnostic value.

ALL-IN-ONE METRICS

- All-in-one metrics are closely aligned with your true goal and account for as many sources of variance as you can measure.
- As analysis methods evolved these metrics improved.
ie. points < shot differential < xg% < ... < WAR < ...
- The most common is Wins-Above-Replacement (WAR).
Ideal versions of this account for the player's situation providing a team agnostic value.
- Can be either descriptive or predictive.
Eg. xRank = average public Draft Rank vs NHLLe = $E(\text{Points in NHL Y1} \mid \text{data in draft year})$

ALL-IN-ONE METRICS

- All-in-one metrics are closely aligned with your true goal and account for as many sources of variance as you can measure.
- As analysis methods evolved these metrics improved.
ie. points < shot differential < xg% < ... < WAR < ...
- The most common is Wins-Above-Replacement (WAR).
Ideal versions of this account for the player's situation providing a team agnostic value.
- Can be either descriptive or predictive.
Eg. xRank = average public Draft Rank vs NHLe = $E(\text{Points in NHL Y1} \mid \text{data in draft year})$
- They are good at initial player identification.

ALL-IN-ONE METRICS

- All-in-one metrics are closely aligned with your true goal and account for as many sources of variance as you can measure.
- As analysis methods evolved these metrics improved.
ie. points < shot differential < xg% < ... < WAR < ...
- The most common is Wins-Above-Replacement (WAR).
Ideal versions of this account for the player's situation providing a team agnostic value.
- Can be either descriptive or predictive.
Eg. xRank = average public Draft Rank vs NHLLe = E(Points in NHL Y1 | data in draft year)
- They are good at initial player identification.
- It is important to remember team/role fit for player recommendations.

EXAMPLE

WE ARE UP TO PICK AT THE DRAFT AND WE NEED TO DECIDE BETWEEN 2 USHL PLAYERS, WHO DO YOU WANT?

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
WAR	1.73	85.1	79.6
EPV	0.42	71.7	74.7
NHLe	7.82	95.4	95.8
xRank	85.6th	5th	1st

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
WAR	1.16	74.2	73.1
EPV	0.53	86.2	83.6
NHLe	8.14	96.6	96.1
xRank	58.4th	4th	1st

Note: All data is fictitious. EPV is per 20 minutes. All others are total Value.

@JPohlkampHartt

EXAMPLE

WE ARE UP TO PICK AT THE DRAFT AND WE NEED TO DECIDE BETWEEN 2 USHL PLAYERS, WHO DO YOU WANT?

A

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
WAR	1.73	85.1	79.6
EPV	0.42	71.7	74.7
NHLe	7.82	95.4	95.8
xRank	85.6th	5th	1st

B

Stat	Value	League %	Team %
WAR	1.16	74.2	73.1
EPV	0.53	86.2	83.6
NHLe	8.14	96.6	96.1
xRank	58.4th	4th	1st

Note: All data is fictitious. EPV is per 20 minutes. All others are total Value.

@JPohlkampHartt

CONSIDERATIONS

CONTEXT IS KING

- When making recommendations it is key to consider the context of the decision, including:

CONTEXT IS KING

- When making recommendations it is key to consider the context of the decision, including:
 - Team lifecycle
Eg. A rebuilding team would rather trade for future value so longer term predictive models are preferred.

CONTEXT IS KING

- When making recommendations it is key to consider the context of the decision, including:
 - Team lifecycle
Eg. A rebuilding team would rather trade for future value so longer term predictive models are preferred.
 - Team culture/strategy
Eg. A team focused on defensive responsibility and toughness will have a harder time creating success from a smaller high skill player

CONTEXT IS KING

- When making recommendations it is key to consider the context of the decision, including:
 - Team lifecycle
Eg. A rebuilding team would rather trade for future value so longer term predictive models are preferred.
 - Team culture/strategy
Eg. A team focused on defensive responsibility and toughness will have a harder time creating success from a smaller high skill player
 - Team needs
Eg. If your team needs a bottom pair defenseman, don't only offer top pair players that are available (even if they are better).

UTILITY

- **Test your metrics for:**
 - **Reliability**
 - **Stability**
 - **Sensitivity**

UTILITY

- **Test your metrics for:**
 - **Reliability**
 - **Stability**
 - **Sensitivity**
- **Are your metrics actionable?**
Eg. Successful Dump-outs over expected for ranking forwards

UTILITY

- **Test your metrics for:**
 - **Reliability**
 - **Stability**
 - **Sensitivity**
- **Are your metrics actionable?**
Eg. Successful Dump-outs over expected for ranking forwards
- **Is the metric valid? aka Do they impact your primary objective?**
Eg. NZ Hit Rate

UTILITY

- **Test your metrics for:**
 - **Reliability**
 - **Stability**
 - **Sensitivity**
- **Are your metrics actionable?**
Eg. Successful Dump-outs over expected for ranking forwards
- **Is the metric valid? aka Do they impact your primary objective?**
Eg. NZ Hit Rate
- **Are the results logical?**
Eg. OZ Possession % does not correlate with higher iXG

BE PREPARED

- The greatest skill we can have as data analysts is to be prepared for (almost) everything!

BE PREPARED

- The greatest skill we can have as data analysts is to be prepared for (almost) everything!
- Writing notes while reviewing data helps keep our opinions well founded and unbiased.

BE PREPARED

- The greatest skill we can have as data analysts is to be prepared for (almost) everything!
- Writing notes while reviewing data helps keep our opinions well founded and unbiased.
- People can contribute quickly with an opinion. To be involved in the conversation you need fast data recall.

PRESENTATION IS VITAL

- **Objective: Minimize effort others require to understand your point.**

PRESENTATION IS VITAL

- **Objective:** Minimize effort others require to understand your point.
- **Tips:**

PRESENTATION IS VITAL

- **Objective: Minimize effort others require to understand your point.**
- **Tips:**
 - **Figures are not always better than tables**

PRESENTATION IS VITAL

- **Objective: Minimize effort others require to understand your point.**
- **Tips:**
 - **Figures are not always better than tables**
 - **Keep things consistent**

PRESENTATION IS VITAL

- **Objective: Minimize effort others require to understand your point.**
- **Tips:**
 - **Figures are not always better than tables**
 - **Keep things consistent**
 - **Plot captions can drive your point**

PRESENTATION IS VITAL

- **Objective: Minimize effort others require to understand your point.**
- **Tips:**
 - **Figures are not always better than tables**
 - **Keep things consistent**
 - **Plot captions can drive your point**
 - **Be cognizant of accessibility: color blind friendly palettes and alt text are key!**

FITTING IN

- Many people involved in hockey decisions are experientially trained.
Eg. An estimated **70%** of scouts played Jr. hockey or higher.

FITTING IN

- Many people involved in hockey decisions are experientially trained.
Eg. An estimated **70%** of scouts played Jr. hockey or higher.
- When meetings with non-data people and those less familiar with our metrics, it is important to frame the data for success.

FITTING IN

- Many people involved in hockey decisions are experientially trained.
Eg. An estimated **70%** of scouts played Jr. hockey or higher.
- When meetings with non-data people and those less familiar with our metrics, it is important to frame the data for success.
 - Ask questions when the data is notable

FITTING IN

- Many people involved in hockey decisions are experientially trained.
Eg. An estimated **70%** of scouts played Jr. hockey or higher.
- When meetings with non-data people and those less familiar with our metrics, it is important to frame the data for success.
 - Ask questions when the data is notable
 - Avoid unnecessary technical details

FITTING IN

- Many people involved in hockey decisions are experientially trained.
Eg. An estimated 70% of scouts played Jr. hockey or higher.
- When meetings with non-data people and those less familiar with our metrics, it is important to frame the data for success.
 - Ask questions when the data is notable
 - Avoid unnecessary technical details
 - Use examples of who/what the player describes.
Note: Examples are dangerous in predictive models. Eg. Draft Comps

FITTING IN

- Many people involved in hockey decisions are experientially trained.
Eg. An estimated 70% of scouts played Jr. hockey or higher.
- When meetings with non-data people and those less familiar with our metrics, it is important to frame the data for success.
 - Ask questions when the data is notable
 - Avoid unnecessary technical details
 - Use examples of who/what the player describes.
Note: Examples are dangerous in predictive models. Eg. Draft Comps
 - Lean on technology

CONTINUED EDUCATION

- In the process of player evaluation there is good feedback to be had.

CONTINUED EDUCATION

- In the process of player evaluation there is good feedback to be had.
- When data \neq reality, ask why and determine how to update the metrics accordingly.

CONTINUED EDUCATION

- In the process of player evaluation there is good feedback to be had.
- When data \neq reality, ask why and determine how to update the metrics accordingly.
- Sometimes there are questions we cannot answer. Keep a record to guide future research.

CONTINUED EDUCATION

- In the process of player evaluation there is good feedback to be had.
- When data \neq reality, ask why and determine how to update the metrics accordingly.
- Sometimes there are questions we cannot answer. Keep a record to guide future research.
- Always keep looking for new methods or data that can help.
Lucky all you smart young people continue to push the envelope!

**THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING
AND ENJOY THE REST OF MSAM 2021!**

JOIN US!

TWO ROLES CURRENTLY OPEN FOR APPLICATIONS:

DEVELOPER

DATA ENGINEER

GOOGLE SEARCH “BRUINS JOBS” FOR LINKS TO APPLY.

