

# Structures IV – Logarithms as Structure-Preserving Transformations

Research by: Jose Portillo

In the previous parts of this series, linear structure was developed independently of coordinates, and geometry was introduced as an enrichment rather than a replacement. The present document continues this philosophy in a different setting: logarithms are treated not as formulas to memorize, but as transformations that preserve and reveal structure.

At the most basic level, a logarithm answers the question: *what exponent produces a given number?* Yet historically and mathematically, logarithms owe their power to something deeper: they convert multiplication into addition.

A straight line is described algebraically by

$$y = mx + b,$$

where the slope  $m$  measures the rate of change of  $y$  with respect to  $x$ . Given two points  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$ , the slope is

$$m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}.$$

This notion of rate of change extends beyond straight lines. For a function  $y = f(x)$ , the average rate of change over an interval is

$$\frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}.$$

To understand behavior at a single point, we introduce a small increment  $h$  and examine

$$\frac{f(a + h) - f(a)}{h}.$$

As  $h \rightarrow 0$ , this expression converges—when it converges—to the derivative

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + h) - f(a)}{h}.$$

The derivative represents instantaneous change. Geometrically, it is the slope of the tangent line to the graph of  $f$  at  $a$ .

Near a point  $x_n$ , a differentiable function behaves approximately like its tangent line. This gives the linear approximation

$$f(x) \approx f(x_n) + f'(x_n)(x - x_n).$$

This local linearity is the foundation of one of the most important numerical methods in analysis.

Suppose we wish to solve the equation

$$f(x) = 0.$$

Starting from an initial guess  $x_n$ , we replace the curve by its tangent line and solve

$$0 = f(x_n) + f'(x_n)(x_{n+1} - x_n),$$

which yields the iteration

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

This is the Newton–Raphson method. It transforms a nonlinear problem into a sequence of linear ones.

Logarithms arise naturally from this perspective. To compute  $\ln(x)$ , we solve the implicit equation

$$e^y = x.$$

Define

$$f(y) = e^y - x.$$

Then

$$f'(y) = e^y.$$

Newton–Raphson gives

$$y_{n+1} = y_n - \frac{e^{y_n} - x}{e^{y_n}} = y_n + \frac{x - e^{y_n}}{e^{y_n}}.$$

The simplicity of this derivative is not accidental. Choosing base  $e$  minimizes algebraic overhead and improves numerical stability. Base-10 logarithms are obtained afterward by scaling:

$$\log_{10}(x) = \frac{\ln(x)}{\ln(10)}.$$

The constant  $e$  itself admits several independent constructions. One classical definition arises from compound interest:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e.$$

Another appears in its continued fraction expansion:

$$e = [2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, \dots].$$

These representations converge rapidly and are well suited for computation.

Applying Newton–Raphson to compute  $\ln(5)$  illustrates the method concretely. Starting from  $y_0 = 1.5$ , successive iterations converge rapidly to

$$\ln(5) \approx 1.60928.$$

At a deeper level, logarithms admit a geometric interpretation. By definition,

$$\ln(a) = \int_1^a \frac{1}{x} dx,$$

the area under the curve  $y = \frac{1}{x}$  from 1 to  $a$ .

This representation explains why

$$\frac{d}{da} \ln(a) = \frac{1}{a}.$$

Logarithms also arise from first principles using limits:

$$\frac{d}{da} \ln(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(a+h) - \ln(a)}{h}.$$

The derivative emerges as a limit of ratios, just as slope does for straight lines.

The most striking feature of the logarithm, however, is structural. The mapping

$$\log : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is not merely a bijection. It is an isomorphism between algebraic structures.

On  $\mathbb{R}^+$  under multiplication,

$$(\mathbb{R}^+, \cdot),$$

and on  $\mathbb{R}$  under addition,

$$(\mathbb{R}, +),$$

the logarithm satisfies

$$\log(ab) = \log(a) + \log(b), \quad \log(1) = 0.$$

Thus multiplication corresponds to addition, and multiplicative identity corresponds to additive identity.

This isomorphism is made physical in the slide rule. Distances on a logarithmic scale represent logarithmic values. Adding distances corresponds to multiplying numbers.

Lengths are not numbers, but they obey analogous structural rules. By exploiting this correspondence, the slide rule becomes an analog computer.

The construction of logarithmic scales proceeds by mapping

$$x \mapsto \log_{10}(x),$$

placing numbers at distances proportional to their logarithms. Equal ratios correspond to equal distances.

This same idea underlies historical algorithms for logarithms. Henry Briggs computed base-10 tables by accumulating small multiplicative corrections. Donald Knuth later described a closely related method suited to binary arithmetic.

The algorithm builds a number by successive multiplications of the form

$$\frac{10^k}{10^k - 1},$$

accumulating the corresponding logarithms when the product does not overshoot the target.

What appears as computation is, in fact, structure preservation.

The logarithm transforms nonlinear growth into linear motion. It converts multiplication into addition, products into sums, and exponential scales into linear ones.

This is why logarithms appear simultaneously in analysis, geometry, numerical methods, and physical instruments. They do not belong to a single domain. They preserve structure across domains.

*Note.* This memo is Part IV of an ongoing work on abstract linear and geometric structures. An AI language model was used as a support tool for discussion, clarification of concepts, and stylistic refinement. All mathematical choices and final wording are the responsibility of the author.

## References

- [1] Apostol, Tom M., *Calculus Volume I*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1967.
- [2] Knuth, Donald E., *The Art of Computer Programming Volume I Fundamental Algorithms*, Addison-Wesley, 1997.
- [3] Portillo, Jose, *Structures I: Linear Transformations, Matrices, and Composition*, 2025.