

Homework Assignment #9 (due April 24, 2:00 p.m.)

Written problems:

1. Wooldridge: Chapter 8, Problem 4
2. Wooldridge: Chapter 9, Problem 4
3. Suppose that you had measurement error in the y variable. The true value is y^* but you observe $y = y^* + v$, where $E[v \mid x_1, \dots, x_k] = \alpha$. In class, we considered the case where $\alpha = 0$. Note that v is still uncorrelated with the x variables, but we are allowing for systematic mis-reporting on average (over-reporting if α is positive, under-reporting if α is negative). How does this affect the consistency of our OLS estimators $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k$?

Computer problems (show any relevant Stata output):

1. Wooldridge: Chapter 8, Computer Exercise C2; also answer the following:
 - (iv) With lack of evidence for heteroskedasticity in the $\log(\text{price})$ model, our (homoskedasticity-based) approach for constructing prediction intervals is applicable. For a 3-bedroom house with a lot size (*lotsize*) of 9000 sq. ft. and house size (*sqrft*) of 2000 sq. ft., provide a 95% prediction interval for the unknown value of $\log(\text{price})$ for this particular home.
 - (v) To get a prediction interval for *price*, you can then exponentiate the bounds of your interval from part (iv). That is, if your prediction interval from part (iv) is $[L, U]$, your prediction interval here would be $[e^L, e^U]$. Compute this interval.
2. Wooldridge: Chapter 8, Computer Exercise C4, parts (i) and (ii)
3. Wooldridge: Chapter 9, Computer Exercise C4