

# Bin 3 Problems

January 2, 2024

This document holds problems that fit into the Bin 3 according to the prelim syllabus. Or are approached in a way most compatible with Bin 3

## Contents

### 1 Prelim Problems 1

## 1 Prelim Problems

1. Let  $A$  be a Hermitian  $n \times n$  complex matrix. Show that if  $\langle Av, v \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix  $T$  such that  $A = T^*T$ .
2. Let  $T$  be a positive operator on a complex inner product space  $V$  and  $S$  be an operator on  $V$  such that  $ST = -TS$ . Show that  $ST = TS = 0$ .
3.
  - (a) Let  $T$  be an idempotent operator on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ ; that is  $T^2 = T$ , show that
    1.  $V = \text{range } T \oplus \text{null } T$ .
    2.  $\text{trace } T = \dim \text{range } T$
  - (b) Let  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  be idempotent operators on an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ . Show that if

$$T_1 + \dots + T_m = I$$

Then

$$V = \text{range } T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{range } T_m$$

and

$$T_i T_j = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m, i \neq j$$

4. Prove or give a counterexample to each of the following statements:
  - (a) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(R^3)$ , and  $\dim \text{null } T \cap \text{range } T \geq 1$ . Then  $T$  is nilpotent.
  - (b) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(R^4)$ , and  $\dim \text{null } T \cap \text{range } T \geq 2$ . Then  $T$  is nilpotent.
5. Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space, and let  $T_1, \dots, T_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1 \text{ (the operators commute), and} \tag{2}$$

$$T_1 \cdots T_{n+1} = 0 \tag{3}$$

- (a) Show that there exists some  $k$  such that  $T_1 \cdots T_{k-1} T_{k+1} \cdots T_{n+1} = 0$  as follows:

Show that for every  $k$ , we have

1.  $\text{range } T_1 \cdots T_k \subset \text{range } T_1 \cdots T_{k-1}$ , and

$$2. \text{ range } T_1 \cdots T_k \subset \text{null } T_{k+1} \cdots T_n$$

Then argue that for some  $k$ , we must have equality in (1.), and explain why this implies the desired statement

- (b) Show that (2) is necessary for the previous conclusion by providing three operators (or matrices)  $T_1, T_2, T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $T_1 T_2 T_3 = 0$ , but  $T_1 T_2 \neq 0$ ,  $T_1 T_3 \neq 0$ , and  $T_2 T_3 \neq 0$ .