# Analysis of Software Design Principles under Complex Network Theory

Juan Pablo Royo Sales & Francesc Roy Campderrós

# Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

## January 18, 2021

# Contents

1	Intr	roduction	2
2	Pre: 2.1 2.2	liminaries Context	2 2 3
3	Res 3.1	Experiments	5
4	Disc	cussion and Analysis	7
5	Con	nclusions	7
Re	efere	nces	7
$\mathbf{A}$	Org	ganization	8
В	B.1	grams Details - Line of Codes  FP Programs	8 8 9

#### 1 Introduction

One of the most well known Software Design principles in **Software Engineering** is High Cohesion (High Cohesion) and Low Coupling (Low Coupling), which is well described here [You79].

As this two principles states a *robust Software* should be design with Low Coupling between their modules and High Cohesion inside it.

In other words, a Software that fullfil this characteristics should be very connected in their minimum Functional Units (FU) (Functions inside same file, Methods inside a class, etc), and with few connections between their coarse grained FU (a.k.a Modules or Packages).

In this work, we are going to formulate some hypothesis which we believe it can been empirically proved and shown the relationship between these principles and how to measure with **Complex Network Theory** (**Complex Network Theory**). At the same time, we are going to analysis different kinds of software of different sizes and build under different language paradigms to see if the tool set that Complex Network Theory provides are suitable for the general case.

#### 2 Preliminaries

In this section we are going to describe how and why the different Language Paradigms are selected and what is the criterion for selection of different Software solutions to be evaluated.

On the other hand as well, we are going to formulate some hypothesis that are going to guide our work to see if our assumptions can empirically been proved using Complex Network Theory.

#### 2.1 Context

We have selected the most important 2 main Language Paradigm to conduct the analysis: Functional Programming (Functional Programming) and Object Oriented Programming (Object Oriented Programming).

The reasons behind this decision are basically the following:

• 95% of Software in the Industry are built with one of these 2 Paradigms according to the last results of this well-known survey [Inc20].

• Due to the intrinsic nature of each of those Paradigms we have some hypothesis that we are going to describe later that can lead to different conclusion and Metrics

- If we can deduce some Software Design properties analyzing these 2 Paradigms we can generalize for the rest because they are quite different in nature and covers almost the whole Industry.
- We also believe that Software Principles should apply indistinguishably the Paradigm.

On the other hand the selection of the programs to be analyzed are the following:

- Most of the software are Open Source or Free software that can be download publicly either from [Inc21] or from [Cen21].
- Software that are marked as **PRIVATE** are Big Projects from Privates Companies that don't want to reveal the Sources Code and Names for Commercial reasons.
- In the case of **PRIVATE** Functional Programming Solution, it belongs to a Company one of the authors of the current work is working right now.
- In the case of **PRIVATE** Object Oriented Programming Solution, it belongs to a Company one of the authors of the current work worked in the past.
- In both cases, taken anonymous data for conducting this analysis has been agreed with legal representatives of those Companies.

On the last hand we are going to use *Haskell* Programs for analyzing Functional Programming and *Java* Programs for Object Oriented Programming. We believe that right now both are the most representative ones in their Paradigm fields.

## 2.2 Hypothesis

In this work we are trying to prove the following **Hypothesis** that we consider can be proved using Complex Network Theory (Complex Network Theory).

**Hypothesis 1.** Given any Software Program Solution, its Network Metrics should be between the 1st Quartile and 3rd Quartile, according to the aver-

age of the Network Metrics that we have been identified in this work, to be considered as a well Software Designed Solution.

**Hypothesis 2.** Any Object Oriented Programming Program have a better modularity in terms of Complex Network Theory Metric rather than Functional Programming Programs.

**Hypothesis 3.** The more Lines of Code (LoC) a Program have, the better Modularity it presents.

**Hypothesis 4.** If the Software follows the principle design of High Cohesion and Low Coupling, the Degree Distribution (Degree Distribution) of the Generated Graph should follow a power-law like.

## 3 Results

In this section first we are going to describe the **Experiments** conducted and after that we have obtained after running the different experiments; this is what we call **Metrics** subsection.

### 3.1 Experiments

In this section we are going to described how the experiment have been set up in order to prepare the graphs for taking the desired metrics, that could allow us to explain and verify the proposed hypothesis.

#### 3.1.1 Preliminaries

In order to determine if a Software fullfil the 2 Software Design Principles that we want to analyze, High Cohesion and Low Coupling, we need to extract the Call Dependency Graph (CDG) from the programs that we want to analyze.

In CDG, **nodes** are Functional Units: *Functions* in the case of Functional Programming and *Methods* in the case of Object Oriented Programming. An **edge** is when from inside a FU another FU is called or invoked.

Therefore, we need to build this Call Dependency Graph in order to establish how the different Modules are interconnected in order to measure Low Coupling and High Cohesion.

#### 3.1.2 Building Graphs from Programs

In order to achieve the desired Call Dependency Graph we are going to use some specific tooling for each Paradigm. The following has been used on each case:

- Functional Programming: function-call-graph [dus19] is a Program that given a Haskell Source Code it outputs a DOT file with the Call Dependency Graph
- Object Oriented Programming: java-callgraph [gou18] is a Program that given a Java Compiled Jar it outputs a in stdout the Call Dependency Graph. In order to use only DOT files we have converted this output into DOT using a script that is under code/script\_java.sh

All the resulting graphs are under their respective folders as you can see here A.

#### 3.1.3 R Scripts

#### 3.2 Metrics

#### 3.2.1 FP Program Metrics

Table 1: FP Programs Metrics 1

Program	N	$\mathbf{E}$	K	Delta	MGD	Diameter
aeson	373	1167	6.26	0.0168	3.2450	9
amazonka	739	2366	6.40	0.0087	3.3202	8
async	60	120	4.00	0.0678	2.2458	6
attoparsec	61	180	5.90	0.0984	2.3978	5
PRIVATE	1088	2239	4.12	0.0038	4.7322	13
beam	852	2215	5.20	0.0061	4.4898	11
cabal	2294	9115	7.95	0.0035	3.6017	10
co-log	97	159	3.28	0.0341	3.8937	9
$\operatorname{conduit}$	457	875	3.83	0.0084	3.4084	9
containers	61	125	4.10	0.0683	3.3486	8
criterion	71	143	4.03	0.0575	3.3851	6
cryptol	1803	6540	7.25	0.0040	3.4149	9
cryptonite	292	652	4.47	0.0153	3.9468	9
dhall	707	2100	5.94	0.0084	3.6749	10
free	148	328	4.43	0.0302	5.0257	11
haskoin	569	1252	4.40	0.0077	5.0333	12
hedgehog	567	1383	4.88	0.0086	4.0136	12

Table 1: FP Programs Metrics 1

Program	N	${ m E}$	K	Delta	MGD	Diameter
helm	66	97	2.94	0.0452	3.4014	6
hlint	266	624	4.69	0.0177	3.1839	10
lens	1118	3908	6.99	0.0063	2.7743	7
liquid	2568	7742	6.03	0.0023	3.3709	12
megaparsec	107	191	3.57	0.0337	4.4812	9
mios	169	397	4.70	0.0280	3.8088	10
optparse	174	464	5.33	0.0308	3.0912	7
pandoc	3640	15951	8.76	0.0024	3.3057	9
pipes	100	250	5.00	0.0505	2.2760	3
postgresql	501	1198	4.78	0.0096	3.8283	8
protolude	106	193	3.64	0.0347	4.0385	9
QuickCheck	264	699	5.30	0.0201	3.1726	8
reflex	222	425	3.83	0.0173	3.4672	12
relude	209	267	2.56	0.0123	6.0423	13
servant	237	445	3.76	0.0159	4.5035	11
snap	220	481	4.37	0.0200	3.8888	10
$\operatorname{stm}$	70	171	4.89	0.0708	2.4576	5
summoner	194	458	4.72	0.0245	4.1549	10
text	105	170	3.24	0.0311	2.1471	4
vector	399	$2953\ 1$	4.80	0.0372	2.2036	6
yesod	367	748	4.08	0.0111	3.9486	12

Table 2: FP Programs Metrics 2

Program	Modularity	Communities	Mean CC Coef	Mean LC Coef
aeson	0.4826	14	0.3521	0.3367
amazonka	0.4875	36	0.3273	0.2297
async	0.3403	9	0.4868	0.4141
attoparsec	0.2458	16	0.4757	0.2906
PRIVATE	0.6461	63	0.2323	0.1480
beam	0.6363	37	0.2488	0.2455
cabal	0.4939	96	0.2981	0.1915
co-log	0.6203	11	0.3144	0.4594
conduit	0.4621	35	0.3211	0.3221
containers	0.4138	5	0.3692	0.3520
criterion	0.6054	6	0.3516	0.3147
cryptol	0.5320	79	0.3169	0.2060
cryptonite	0.5825	25	0.2886	0.2790

Page 6 of 10

Table 2: FP Programs Metrics 2

Program	Modularity	Communities	Mean CC Coef	Mean LC Coef
dhall	0.5832	29	0.2975	0.2485
free	0.5749	15	0.2570	0.4042
haskoin	0.7069	24	0.2270	0.2604
hedgehog	0.5792	44	0.2500	0.2757
helm	0.5655	4	0.3585	0.4360
hlint	0.4633	40	0.3589	0.3124
lens	0.4207	24	0.3884	0.4447
liquid	0.3388	19	0.3229	0.2057
megaparsec	0.6245	6	0.2847	0.2359
mios	0.5310	16	0.3081	0.2211
optparse	0.4466	8	0.3665	0.2248
pandoc	0.4614	22	0.3248	0.2379
pipes	0.3251	6	0.4704	0.6419
postgresql	0.6063	16	0.3060	0.5070
protolude	0.5651	8	0.3174	0.3897
QuickCheck	0.4640	19	0.3605	0.3677
reflex	0.5238	22	0.3469	0.4267
relude	0.7759	14	0.2051	0.3334
servant	0.6871	20	0.2463	0.3390
snap	0.5939	19	0.2894	0.2925
$\operatorname{stm}$	0.3033	9	0.4538	0.3540
summoner	0.5757	17	0.2866	0.1518
text	0.3191	17	0.4863	0.5178
vector	0.1458	17	0.4825	0.4041
yesod	0.5858	13	0.2932	0.2731

# 4 Discussion and Analysis

# 5 Conclusions

# References

[Cen21] Maven Central. Maven. https://repo1.maven.org, 2021.

[dus19] dustinnorwood. Haskell function callgraph. https://github.com/dustinnorwood/function-call-graph, 2019.

[gou18] gousiosg. Java callgraph. https://github.com/gousiosg/java-callgraph, 2018.

- [Inc20] Stack Exchange Inc. 2020 development survey. https://insights.stackoverflow.com/survey/2020, 2020.
- [Inc21] GitHub Inc. Github. https://github.com, 2021.
- [You79] E. Yourdon. Structured Design Fundamentals of a Discipline of-Computer Program and Systems Design. Prentice-Hall Inc, Reno, 1979.

## A Organization

- **code**: Under this folder you are going to find *R Scripts* code for conducting this analysis.
- **fp\_graphs**: *DOT* files that contains the Dependency Graph Representation of each Functional Programming Program
- oop\_graphs: *DOT* files that contains the Dependency Graph Representation of each Object Oriented Programming Program
- report: This report in Latex and PDF format.

## B Programs Details - Line of Codes

## **B.1** FP Programs

Table 3: FP Analyzed Programs

Program	$\operatorname{LoC}$
aeson	6948
amazonka	715531
async	743
attoparsec	4718
beam	20151
cabal	102525
co-log	1436
conduit	12963
containers	19556
criterion	2421
cryptol	30740

Table 3: FP Analyzed Programs

Program	LoC
cryptonite	18763
dhall	29058
free	4472
fused-effects	4145
ghcid	1664
haskoin	12066
hedgehog	8277
helm	2071
$\operatorname{hlint}$	6306
lens	16691
liquid	133740
megaparsec	8144
mios	6178
$\mathrm{mtl}$	932
optparse	3220
pandoc	69179
pipes	1969
postgresql	6596
protolude	1901
quickcheck	5077
reflex	10062
relude	2913
servant	15725
snap	5310
$\operatorname{stm}$	1550
summoner	4025
text	9783
vector	12166
yesod	19971
PRIVATE PROGRAM	26975

# **B.2** OOP Analytzed Programs

Table 4: OOP Analyzed Programs

Program	LoC
akka-actor_2.10-2.3.9	35702
commons-cli-1.4	830

 ${\bf Table\ 4:\ OOP\ Analyzed\ Programs}$ 

Program	LoC
commons-codec-1.10	2231
commons-csv-1.8	607
commons-email-1.4	760
disruptor-3.4.2	1131
ftpserver-core-1.0.6	4052
grpc-core-1.34.1	9142
guava-28.1-jre	37216
hbase-client-2.4.0	33209
hsqldb-2.4.1	30578
jackson-databind-2.12.0	22516
javax.servlet-api-4.0.1	901
jedis-3.4.1	12640
jersey-core-1.19.4	5656
jetty-7.0.0.pre5	6727
joda-time-2.10.6	8891
jsch-0.1.54	4833
jsoup-1.13.1	5955
junit-4.13.1	5803
mail-1.4.7	8817
mariadb-java-client-2.7.1	9229
mongo-java-driver-3.12.7	35676
mx4j-3.0.2	6902
org.eclipse.jgit	42417
pdfbox-2.0.22	26413
poi-4.1.2	44691
postgresql-42.2.18	15199
resteasy-jaxrs-3.14.0.Final	15267
$runtime \hbox{-} 3.10.0 \hbox{-} v \hbox{20140318-2214}$	921
slf4j-api-1.7.30	763
spring-security-core-5.4.2	4726
spring-web- $5.3.2$	21393
tomcat-embed-core-10.0.0	47185
zookeeper-3.6.2	19207
PRIVATE PROGRAM	2143