

TMIRI assignment: Peer Reviewing

This assignment consists on writing a review for your selected research paper. Let's assume that you are acting as a referee for the conference or journal where the paper was published and your selected paper is assigned to you. You should assess the paper and make your suggestion for acceptance or rejection. Your review should be in accordance with the following template:

- Your ID number
- Title of the paper
- Summary. Write in your own words a short summary of the paper. The summary must indicate the problem being addressed and in what sense the authors claim that the paper contributes to solving it.
- Write constructive criticism on the following items (a few lines on each):
 - Originality
 - Significance
 - Relevance
 - Validity (including reproducibility of experiments)
 - Scholarship/references
 - Clarity
 - Suitability for the conference/journal
- Recommendation: (strong reject, weak reject, weak accept, strong accept)
- Level of confidence on your recommendation

Observation: I know that you are not a real expert on the topic and you do not know the related literature. You are just pretending to be. Therefore, your evaluation should be mainly based on how credible the claims are. For instance, when you assess the importance of the contribution you have to see if it is supported by a convincing discussion or by convincing references (recent citations of relevant previous work). If you were a true expert, you would include your own opinion based on your expertise. However, good papers should make easy the evaluation even for not-so-expert referees.

Observation: As an orientation, conference reviews are often about two pages long.

Delivery: You have to upload at the RACÒ both the paper and your review (both in pdf). The name of the files must be id-paper.pdf and id-review.pdf, where "id" is your id number. Remember that your review must not contain your name.