# A Report of Type Theory and Formal Proof

# Juan Pablo Royo Sales

# Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

# February 10, 2021

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	2	
2	Untyped lambda calculus			
	2.1	Definition	2	
		2.1.1 Lambda-terms	3	
	2.2	Free and bound variables	3	
		2.2.1 Alpha conversion	4	
	2.3	Substitution	4	
	2.4	Beta reduction	4	
	2.5	Fixed Point Theorem	5	
	2.6	Exercises	5	
	2.0	2.6.1 1.10 Church numerals	5	
		2.6.2 1.11 - Successor	6	
		2.6.3 1.12 - If then else	7	
3	Sim	oly typed lambda calculus	8	
	3.1	Simple types	8	
		3.1.1 Remarks	8	
	3.2		8	
		v -	8	
		3.2.2 Typing à la Curry	9	
$\mathbf{R}$	efere	ices	9	

### 1 Introduction

This report is going to provide a summary over the book [NG14]. Alongside the different chapters of the book I am going to describe briefly the most important parts of each chapter and, at the same time, I am going to solve 1 or 2 of the exercises proposed by the authors.

The organization of the report is going to be the same as the chapters of the book.

## 2 Untyped lambda calculus

In this first chapter the authors define and describe Lambda Calculus ( $\lambda$ -calculus) system which encapsulates the formalization of basic aspects of mathematical functions, in particular construction and use. In  $\lambda$ -calculus formalization system there are typed and untyped formalization of the same system. In this first case authors introduced the first basic and simple formalization which is untyped.

#### 2.1 Definition

There are two constructions principles and one evaluation rule

#### Construction principles:

- Abstraction: Given an expression M and a variable x we can construct the expression:  $\lambda x.M$ . This is abstraction of x over M Example:  $\lambda y.(\lambda x.x y)$  Abstraction of y over  $\lambda x.x y$
- Application: Given 2 expressions M and N we can construct the expression: M N. This is the application of M to N. Example:  $(\lambda x.x^2+1)(3)$  Application of 3 over  $\lambda x.x^2+1$

**Evaluation Rule:** Formalization of this process is called Beta Reduction ( $\beta$ -reduction).  $\beta$ -reduction: An expression ( $\lambda x.M$ )N can be rewritten to M[x:=N], which means every x should be replaced by N in M. This process is called  $\beta$ -reduction of ( $\lambda x.M$ )N to M[x:=N].

Example:  $(\lambda x.x^2 + 1)(3)$  reduces to  $(x^2 + 1)[x := 3]$ , which is  $3^2 + 1$ .

In this book, functions on  $\lambda$ -calculus notation are Curried.

#### 2.1.1 Lambda-terms

Expressions in  $\lambda$ -calculus are called Lambda Terms ( $\lambda$ -term)

**Definition 1.** The set  $\Lambda$  of all  $\lambda$ -term

- 1. (Variable) If  $u \in V$ , then  $u \in \Lambda$ Example: x, y, z
- 2. (Application) If M and  $N \in \Lambda$ , then  $(MN) \in \Lambda$ Example: (xy), (x(xy))
- 3. (Abstraction) If  $u \in V$  and  $M \in \Lambda$ , then  $(\lambda u.M) \in \Lambda$ Example:  $(\lambda x.(xz)), (\lambda y.(\lambda z.x))$

**Definition 2.** Multiset of subterms Sub

- 1. (Basis)  $Sub(x) = \{x\}$ , for each  $x \in V$
- 2. (Application)  $Sub((MN)) = Sub(M) \cup Sub(N) \cup \{(MN)\}$
- 3. (Abstraction)  $Sub((\lambda x.M)) = Sub(M) \cup \{(\lambda x.M)\}$

**Lemma 1.** (1) (Reflexivity) For all  $\lambda$ -term M, we have  $M \in Sub(M)$ . (2) (Transitivity) If  $L \in Sub(M)$  and  $M \in Sub(N)$ , then  $L \in Sub(N)$ .

**Definition 3** (Proper subterm). L is a proper subterm of M if L is a subterm of M, but  $L \not\equiv M$ 

- Parenthesis can be omitted
- Application is lef-associative, MNL is ((MN)L)
- Application takes precedence over Abstraction

#### 2.2 Free and bound variables

Variables can be *free*, bound and binding. A variable x which is *free* in M becomes bound in  $\lambda x.M.$  M is called a binding variable occurrence.

**Definition 4** (FV, set of free variables of a  $\lambda$ -term).

- 1. (Variable)  $FV(x) = \{x\}$
- 2. (Application)  $FV(MN) = FV(M) \cup FV(N)$
- 3. (Abstraction)  $FV(\lambda x.M) = FV(M) \setminus \{x\}$

**Definition 5** (Closed  $\lambda$ -term; combinator;  $\Lambda^0$ ). The  $\lambda$ -term M is closed if  $FV(M) = \emptyset$ . This is also called a combinator. The set of all closed  $\lambda$ -term is denoted by  $\Lambda^0$ 

#### 2.2.1 Alpha conversion

It is based on the possibility of renaming bound and binding variables.

**Definition 6** (Renaming;  $M^{x\to y}$ ;  $=_{\alpha}$ ). Let  $M^{x\to y}$  denote the result of replacing every free ocurrence of x in M by y. Renaming, expressed by  $=_{\alpha}$  is defined as:  $\lambda x.M =_{\alpha} \lambda y.M^{x\to y}$ , provided that  $y \notin FV(M)$  and y is not binding in M

**Definition 7** ( $\alpha$ -convertion or  $\alpha$ -equivalence;  $=_{\alpha}$ ).

- 1. (Renaming) same as 6
- 2. (Compatibility) If  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $ML =_{\alpha} NL$ ,  $LM =_{\alpha} LN$  and, for any arbitrary z,  $\lambda z.M =_{\alpha} \lambda z.N$
- 3. (Reflexivity)  $M =_{\alpha} M$
- 4. (Symmetry) If  $M =_{\alpha} N$  then  $N =_{\alpha} M$
- 5. (Transitivity) If both  $L =_{\alpha} M$  and  $M =_{\alpha} N$ , then  $L =_{\alpha} N$

#### 2.3 Substitution

**Definition 8** (Substitution).

- 1.  $x[x := N] \equiv N$
- 2.  $y[x := N] \equiv y \text{ if } x \not\equiv y$
- 3.  $(PQ)[x := N] \equiv (P[x := N])(Q[x := N])$
- 4.  $(\lambda y.P)[x := N] \equiv \lambda z.(P^{y\to z}[x := N])$ , if  $\lambda z.P^{y\to z}$  is  $\alpha$ -variant of  $\lambda y.P$  such that  $z \notin FV(N)$

#### 2.4 Beta reduction

**Definition 9** (One-step  $\beta$ -reduction,  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$ ).

- 1. (Basis)  $(\lambda x.M)N \to_{\beta} M[x := N],$
- 2. (Compatibility) If  $M \to_{\beta} N$ , then  $ML \to_{\beta} NL$ ,  $LM \to_{\beta} LN$  and  $\lambda x.M \to_{\beta} \lambda x.N$

In 1 the left part of  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$  is called *redex* (reducible expression), and the right side is called *contractum* (of the redex).

**Definition 10** ( $\beta$ -reduction (zero-or-more-step),  $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta}$ ).  $M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N$  if there is an  $n \geq 0$  and there are terms  $M_0$  to  $M_n$  such that  $M_0 \equiv M$ ,  $M_n \equiv N$  and for all  $i, 0 \leq i < n$ :

$$M_i \to_\beta M_{i+1}$$

Hence, if  $M \to_{\beta} N$ , there exists a chain of single-step  $\beta$ -reductions, starting with M and ending with N:

$$M \equiv M_0 \rightarrow_{\beta} M_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} M_2 \rightarrow_{\beta} \dots \rightarrow_{\beta} M_{n-2} \rightarrow_{\beta} M_{n-1} \rightarrow_{\beta} M_n \equiv N$$

**Definition 11** ( $\beta$ -conversion,  $\beta$ -equality;  $=_{\beta}$ ).  $M =_{\beta} N$  if there is an  $n \geq 0$  and there are terms  $M_0$  to  $M_n$  such that  $M_0 \equiv M$ ,  $M_n \equiv N$  and for all  $i, 0 \leq i < n$ :

either 
$$M_i \rightarrow_{\beta} M_{i+1}$$
 or  $M_{i+1} \rightarrow_{\beta} M_i$ 

#### 2.5 Fixed Point Theorem

**Theorem 1.** For all  $L \in \Lambda$  there is  $M \in \Lambda$  such that  $LM =_{\beta} M$ 

*Proof.* For given L, define  $M := (\lambda x.L(xx))(\lambda x.L(xx))$  This M is a redex, so we have:

$$M \equiv (\lambda x. L(xx))(\lambda x. L(xx)) \tag{1a}$$

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} L((\lambda x.L(xx))(\lambda x.L(xx)))$$
 (1b)

$$\equiv LM$$
 (1c)

Therefore,  $LM =_{\beta} M$ 

#### 2.6 Exercises

#### 2.6.1 1.10 Church numerals

Having that:

- $zero := \lambda fx.x$
- one :=  $\lambda fx.fx$
- $two := \lambda fx.f(fx)$
- $add := \lambda mnfx.mf(nfx)$
- $mult := \lambda mnfx.m(nf)x$

(a). Show that: (add one one  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$  two)

*Proof.* Replacing by lambda expressions

add one one := 
$$(\lambda mnfx.mf(nfx))(\lambda fx.fx)(\lambda fx.fx)$$
 (2a)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda n f x. (\lambda f x. f x) f (n f x)) (\lambda f x. f x)$$
 (2b)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x.(\lambda f x.f x) f((\lambda f x.f x) f x)) \tag{2c}$$

$$\to_{\beta} (\lambda f x.(\lambda f x. f x) f(f x)) \tag{2d}$$

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f(f x))$$
 (2e)

$$:= two$$
 (2f)

(b). Show that: (add one one  $\neq_{\beta}$  mult one zero)

*Proof.* We need to reduce (mult one zero) and show that is not two

$$mult\ one\ zero\ := (\lambda mnfx.m(nf)x)(\lambda fx.fx)(\lambda fx.x)$$
 (3a)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda n f x. (\lambda f x. f x) (n f) x) (\lambda f x. x) \tag{3b}$$

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x. (\lambda f x. f x) ((\lambda f x. x) f) x) \tag{3c}$$

$$\to_{\beta} (\lambda f x.(\lambda x.((\lambda f x.x)f)x)x) \tag{3d}$$

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x.(\lambda x.(\lambda x.x)x)x)$$
 (3e)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x.(\lambda x.x)x)$$
 (3f)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x. x)$$
 (3g)

$$:= zero$$
 (3h)

2.6.2 1.11 - Successor

Having that  $suc := \lambda mfx.f(mfx)$ . Check the following

(a).  $suc\ zero =_{\beta} one$ 

Proof.

$$suc\ zero\ =_{\beta} (\lambda m f x. f(m f x))(\lambda f x. x)$$
 (4a)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f((\lambda f x. x) f x))$$
 (4b)

$$\to_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f((\lambda x. x) x)) \tag{4c}$$

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f x)$$
 (4d)

$$:= one$$
 (4e)

(b).  $suc\ one =_{\beta} two$ 

Proof.

$$suc\ one\ =_{\beta} (\lambda mfx.f(mfx))(\lambda fx.fx)$$
 (5a)

$$\to_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f((\lambda f x. f x) f x)) \tag{5b}$$

$$\to_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f((\lambda x. f x) x)) \tag{5c}$$

$$\to_{\beta} (\lambda f x. f(f x)) \tag{5d}$$

$$:= two (5e)$$

2.6.3 1.12 - If then else

The term 'If x then u else v' is represented by  $\lambda x.xuv$ . Check this by calculating  $\beta$ -normal forms of  $(\lambda x.xuv)$ true and  $(\lambda x.xuv)$ false, having that:

•  $true := \lambda xy.x$ 

•  $false := \lambda xy.y$ 

 $(\lambda x.xuv)true.$ 

$$:= (\lambda x. xuv)(\lambda xy. x) \tag{6a}$$

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda xy.x)uv$$
 (6b)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.u)v$$
 (6c)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} u$$
 (6d)

 $(\lambda x.xuv)$  false.

 $:= (\lambda x. xuv)(\lambda xy. y) \tag{7a}$ 

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda xy.y)uv$$
 (7b)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y.y)v$$
 (7c)

$$\rightarrow_{\beta} v$$
 (7d)

(7e)

(6e)

Page 7 of 9

### 3 Simply typed lambda calculus

In this chapter authors introduce Types to  $\lambda$ -calculus Formalization system. When we are acting on mathematical functions, the natural thing is to restrict over some domain, both the image and the pre-image. The addition of types to the formalization system prevents some anomalies that are present in the regular  $\lambda$ -calculus model.

### 3.1 Simple types

It is done adding type *variables* with an infinite set  $\mathbb{V} = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots\}$ 

**Definition 12** (The set  $\mathbb{T}$  of all simple types).

- 1. (Type variable) If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$
- 2. (Arrow type) If  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ , then  $(\sigma \to \tau) \in \mathbb{T}$

Also,  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{V} \mid \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ .

Parenthesis in arrow types are right-associative

#### 3.1.1 Remarks

- Type variable represent simple types like Nat, Lists, etc.
- Arrow types represent functions such as  $nat \rightarrow real$
- 'term M has type  $\sigma$ ' (typing statement) is represented as  $M:\sigma$
- 'variable x has type  $\sigma$ ' is represented as  $x : \sigma$
- If  $x : \sigma$  and  $x : \tau$  then  $\sigma \equiv \tau$
- Application: If  $M: \sigma \to \tau$  and  $N: \sigma$ , then  $MN: \tau$
- Abstraction: If  $x : \sigma$  and  $M : \tau$ , then  $\lambda x.M : \sigma \to \tau$

### 3.2 Church-typing and Curry-typing

#### 3.2.1 Typing à la Church

Unique type for each variable upon its introduction [Chu40].

**Example**: If x has type  $\alpha \to \alpha$  and y has type  $(\alpha \to \alpha) \to \beta$ , then yx has type  $\beta$ .

If z has type  $\beta$  and u has type  $\gamma$ , then  $\lambda zu.z$  has type  $\beta \to \gamma \to \beta$ . Therefore application  $(\lambda zu.z)(yx)$  is permitted.

#### 3.2.2 Typing à la Curry

Not give the types of variables, leave them *implicit*, therefore is called *implicit* typing.

**Example**: Suppose we have  $M \equiv (\lambda z u.z)(yx)$  but types are not given. Guessing we have  $\lambda z u.z$  should have some type  $A \to B$ , so (yx) must be of type A, then M is of type B. If we continue with the guessing assigning type variables after replacing we end up with the same expression as explicit typing.

Most of the book use *Typing a la Church* because in math and logic types are usually fixed and known beforehand.

### References

- [Chu40] Alonzo Church. A formulation of the simple theory of types. The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 5(2):56–68, 1940.
- [NG14] Rob Nederpelt and Herman Geuvers. Type Theory and Formal Proof. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kindom, 2014.