







## **Survey Data: Intuition**

- Surveys are a most popular way to collect data
- The variety of survey designs and methods is quite broad (see: <a href="http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/mult\_pkg/faq/svy\_howtochoose.htm">http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/mult\_pkg/faq/svy\_howtochoose.htm</a> for popular designs, methods and R libraries)
- For this class, we focus on survey data with simple random design, which are widely used to collect marketing, opinion and other trend data, with a large number of questions, aimed at predicting outcomes.
- It is not uncommon to collect 100+ survey items, which presents several problems for data analysis
- The most frequent types of **analysis** include **simple statistics** like means, variance and frequencies
- But as we will see in this section, there are very sophisticated methods to extract interesting meaning out of survey data





## **Survey Data Collection Issues**

- Survey data analysis presents unique problems at both stages: data collection and analysis
- This is not a survey methods class, so we will not cover data collection issues in detail, but it is important to collect data that will meet the assumptions of the analysis models to be used, so let's look at three OLS assumptions that matter for survey models:
  - ✓ (YC) Y is continuous It is important to define the survey outcome variables of interest, which will determine the type of predictive modeling to use: Continuous (e.g., sales) → OLS, GLM; Count (e.g., number of customers) → GLM, Poisson; Binary (e.g., in-favor vs. against) → Logistic, LDA, Trees
  - √ (XI) X's are independent need to think of dimensionality and collinearity when designing survey instruments
  - ✓ (OI) Observations are independent random sampling is key
     → e.g., if you ask the same question to two close friends or relatives you are likely to get biased responses





# **Survey Data Analysis Issues**

- A simple random design survey with a large number of survey items collected can present many issues for data analysis. Some of the most commonly found problems are:
  - ✓ **Dimensionality** Because the data set has many items, it is important to select analysis methods that address dimensionality problems, particularly collinearity → structural equations, shrinkage models, PCA, PLS, etc.
  - ✓ Common Method Variance When respondents answer both outcome and predictor questions the answers are likely to be correlated (e.g., Do you like your job? Are you happy? → Job satisfaction predicts happiness)
  - ✓ Concurrent/Discriminant Validity Ensuring that the survey items measure what we intend to measure (concurrent) and that the outcome is differentiated from the predictors (discriminant)
  - ✓ Reliability we can group correlated survey items into more general predictors, as long as they are correlated as a group – i.e., reliability is high





#### **Dimensionality Issues**

- What do you do if you have several survey items that are highly correlated, exhibiting severe multicollinearity, but you think they are important predictors that need to be modeled? Popular approaches:
  - ➤ Subset Selection i.e. find a reduced set of survey items to avoid multicollinearity → throwing away survey data
  - ➤ Structural Equations i.e., a group of (i.e., more than one) interrelated regression models → Lisrel, PLS, Hierarchical OLS
  - ➤ High dimensional methods e.g., shrinkage, PCA, PLS → not very useful for interpretation, which is often the goal
  - ➤ Factor Analysis i.e., a data reduction method uniquely suited for survey (and other type of high-dimensional) data analysis → PCA, Varimax Rotation





# KOGOD SCHOOL of BUSINESS

