

5.2

## Introduction to Super-B-Trees

A detailed description of the

Super-B-trees will be deferred

until Chapter 6 of this book.

This section will provide a brief introduction to Super-B-trees. It will also explain the ~~importance~~ of ~~advantages~~ the importance of advantages

of Super-B-trees.

The design of Super-B-trees

explains how the Super B-trees

solve a very important ~~problem~~

combinatorics problem.

The Super B-trees are

a generalization of the traditional

notion of "the" "B-Trees." They

will possess all

the characteristics that have been

~~had been~~ traditionally assigned to B-trees plus

~~of leaves in Super-B-trees will has also be~~

~~constitute a directory where the~~

Other properties as no.

common characteristics that will

~~be~~ be shown to be shared between  
traditional B-trees and Super-B-Trees

are listed below:

1) both will possess  $O(\log N)$  heights

2) both will support  $O(\log N)$  insertion,

deletion, and search operations

3) both will possess a data-structures

where the leaves are

arranged in order by

by increasing Key-value.

The distinctions between Super-B-Trees and the traditional notion of B-Trees can be best explained if the

structure of interior nodes of these

B-Trees are examined in further detail. Note that B-trees

have a very simple internal

node structure. These nodes thus

merely contained that information

The SDS will play a  
crucial role in this chapter.

The entire databases of the

SUM-3, FIND-3 and COUNT-3 algorithms

will be stored in the SDS structure.

This method will enable many

algorithms to achieve a significant

savings in runtime.

The Super-B-tree algorithms

~~will manipulate the SDS structures~~

will use a large number of different

SDS structures in this thesis. Throughout

this thesis, the symbol " $m$ " will

denote the worst-case time  ~~$T_{\max}$~~

~~$\#$~~  required to insert or delete ~~a single~~

a given  $y$ -record in the SDS-structure. The

of one single interior node. The

value of  $m$  will obviously be

a function of the particular

value of  $m_f$  will obviously be

a function of which SDS structure

~~is~~ is employed. For example,

the previous two chapters showed

that ~~we~~ will have an  $O(1)$

~~magnitude when SDS represents~~

~~The SUM-3~~

that the SUM-3, FIND-3, and

COUNT-3 databases would all

support  $O(1)$  data-modification

operations. Consequently, we will

have an  $O(1)$  magnitude when the

SDS is any one of these three

structures.

The main theorem is

Chapter 6 will state that any

~~any~~ leaf can be inserted into

from a Super-B-tree

$\Theta(n \log n)$  WORST-CASE-TIME.

The ~~Super-B-Tree Algorithm will employ~~ a

~~very different procedure from B-trees. This~~  
~~distinction is necessary because of~~

~~generalization of the B-tree~~  
~~B-tree algorithm. Many~~

~~additional modules are necessary to~~

~~insure that the procedures~~

~~worst-case-runtime is never~~

Proof of our Super B-Tree

Theorem and the procedure employed by it

~~by the efficient algorithms are~~

are very different from their traditional B-Tree

counterparts. The reason for this

distinction can be understood if traditional B-trees are examined in further detail.

~~$\Theta(n \log n)$  worst case runtime is~~

~~recommended.~~

Traditional B-tree will not operate efficiently if an SDS field is added to them. This

~~an addition of an SDS field~~

~~will cause the worst~~

field will cause the worst-case cost

of B-tree insertions and deletions

operations to rise above  $O(w \log N)$  time.

The reason for these difficulties can be understood

the SDS file is such

worst-case insertions and deletions time.

The difficulties with B-trees (4)

to see if the case is

considered where the modification of

one or two points causes  $N/4$

leaves to gain a new ancestor. In this

case, expensive  $mN/4$  time would

be needed to modify the

corresponding SDS structure of traditional B-trees. These B-Trees are thus unable to

only employ SDS structures because they too frequently engage in ~~performing pointer-changing operations.~~

such pointer-changing operations,

Only the Super-B-Tree algorithm



will be shown to insure

$\Theta(w \log N)$  runtime even in

worst-case circumstances.

## The Super-B-Tree Theorem

will be proven in Chapter 6. The

~~Chapter will use that theorem,~~

correctness of that theorem will

be assumed in this chapter. Our

various database algorithms will thus

be based on the presumption that

Super-B-Trees operate correctly.

I recommend that the

~~render complete this chapter before~~

~~examines Chapter 6~~

render accept this assumption and that

he should read chapter 5 before

6. It is not necessary for

the render to follow this course.

Chapter 6 and its Super-B-Trees

can be examined immediately.