Your Name: Dakota, Nathalia, Oliver, Nazar

Writer's Name: Dakota

This should be filled out for each of your group members' papers, and placed in the same folder as the papers. It should be named as Theirname-reviewedby-Yourname

---

Topic/Problem Statement: Is the problem statement clear? Is there a clear argument that the author is making, and have they framed it as such?

The authors are making a statement against "doomscrolling," and the loss of productivity that occurs because of it. The authors make it clear what they are arguing for, and are very thorough in their delivery.

Background Research: Are facts and assertions well-supported by external sources?

Yes, there is a proper works cited page, and many examples and graphs. It makes the argument more credible.

Proposed Solution: Are contrasting points of view identified and addressed?

There is an extensive section covering adverse points of view. Almost too extensive, we feel there should be longer sections addressing the good factors, over the potential criticisms.

Structure: Are the ideas arranged logically to support the argument? Are they logically connected, and do they flow well from one to another? Is it easy to follow the line of reasoning?

The sections themselves are organized well, addressing what needs to be addressed within said section. Plus, the sections segway into each other well.

Writing quality: Did you find distracting grammar, punctuation, spelling, or word usage problems? If so, add suggested changes to the document. If you find awkward or confusing sentences, try to explain why they don't make sense to you.

Some responses to potential criticisms tend to get repetitive. While they do address good points, they could go into more diverse criticisms of their product. They tend to address criticisms dismissively.

Bibliography (optional for draft): Are the sources of information used in the paper shown in the bibliography? Are the citations complete and formatted according to a consistent style? Are there brief annotations discussing the value of the resource in the context of the paper?

The sources are valid, but there are some slight inconsistencies in the citations, such as font color differences and in-text citations. It does make sense to have the video link in the product feature section, but it could have looked more appealing.