

Computability via Recursive Functions

Justin Pumford

March 2020

1 Effective Calculability and Computability

2 Primitive Recursive Functions

2.1 Functions

For this paper, \mathbb{N} refers to the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

3 The Ackermann Function

Definition (The Ackermann Function). *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then define $A(n, m)$ as follows:*

$$A(m, n) = \begin{cases} n + 1 & m = 0 \\ A(m - 1, 1) & m > 0 \wedge n = 0 \\ A(m - 1, A(m, n - 1)) & m > 0 \wedge n > 0 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. *For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}$*

Proof. Proof Here

□

Theorem 1. *$A(m, n)$ is a total function*

Proof. We will proceed inductively to show that $A(m, n)$ is defined for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly $A(0, n)$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $A(k, n)$ is defined for some

$k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $k + 1 > 0$, $A(k + 1, 0) = A(k, 1)$, which is defined.

Now we assume $A(k + 1, j)$ is defined for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1, $A(k + 1, j) = a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then since $j + 1 > 0$, $A(k + 1, j + 1) = A(k, A(k + 1, j)) = A(k, a)$. Since $A(k, n)$ is defined for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by our inductive hypothesis, $A(k, a) = A(k + 1, j + 1)$ is defined. \square

Definition. Let P be the set of all primitive recursive functions so that if $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in P$ and $m = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < A(t, m)$

Theorem 2. $c(x), s(x), p_i(x) \in P$

Proof. \square

Theorem 3. P is closed under composition

Proof. \square

Theorem 4. P is closed under primitive recursion

Proof. \square

Theorem 5. P is precisely the primitive recursive functions

Proof. \square

Theorem 6. $A(m, n)$ is not a primitive recursive function

Proof. Proof Here \square

4 General Recursive Functions

4.1 Partial Functions

4.2 Definition of General Recursive Functions