# Computability via Recursive Functions

#### Justin Pumford

#### March 2020

## 1 Effective Calcubility and Computability

#### 2 Primitive Recursive Functions

#### 2.1 Functions

For this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  refers to the set  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$ 

### 3 The Ackermann Function

**Definition.** Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then define A(n, m) as follows:

$$A(m,n) = \begin{cases} n+1 & m=0\\ A(m-1,1) & m>0 \land n=0\\ A(m-1,A(m,n-1)) & m>0 \land n>0 \end{cases}$$

**Lemma.** For any  $m, n \in mathbb{N}$ ,  $A(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ 

**Theorem.** A(m, n) is a total function

*Proof.* We will proceed inductively to show that A(m, n) is defined for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Clearly A(0, n) is defined for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume A(k, n) is defined for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since k + 1 > 0, A(k + 1, 0) = A(k, 1), which is defined.

Now we assume A(k+1,j) is defined for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By our lemma, A(k+1,j) = a for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then since j+1>0, A(k+1,j+1) = A(k,A(k+1,j)) = A(k,a). Since A(k,n) is defined for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by our inductive hypothesis, A(k,a) = A(k+1,j+1) is defined.

## 4 General Recursive Functions

- 4.1 Partial Functions
- 4.2 Definition of General Recursive Functions