$$\begin{aligned} F_{2}(2) &= \iint_{\{x,y\}: x+\gamma < 2\}} f_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy & \text{CDF Method} \\ &= \iint_{\infty} f_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy & = \iint_{\{(x,y): x+\gamma \in \{2\}, 2+d2\}\}} F_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \iint_{\infty} f_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy & = \iint_{\{(x,y): x+\gamma \in \{2\}, 2+d2\}\}} f_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \iint_{\infty} f_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy & = \iint_{\infty} f_{x\gamma}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \end{aligned}$$

## **ENGG 2470A**

## Assignment 6

## Spring 21

1. Let  $(X,Y) \sim f_{XY}(x,y)$  and Z = X + Y. Show that

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, z - x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(z - y, y) dy.$$

2. According to the statistics, among the students coming back from UK and USA, 1% are infected by COVID-19. They all take the saliva test with accuracy 99%. Suppose a particular student's saliva test is negative. A layman makes the following interpretation. The probability that the student is infected in the first place is 0.01 (i.e., 1%). Since the test independently indicates that he is not infected and the error probability of the test is 0.01 (i.e., 1%), the actual probability of the student being infected is 0.01 × 0.01 = 0.01%. Comment on his interpretation.

interpretation. A: student actual situation 30, 17, 0 for negative we want:

B: test result of this student. 40, 19

P(A=1|B=0) = P(B=0)P(B=0)

Textbook Problems 5.5.5, 6.5.4, 7.1.1, 7.1.5, 7.2.1, 7.2.3, 7.3.3, 7.4.3, 7.4.8, 7.5.7, 7.6.1.

## = 0.01 × 0.01 0.0(x0.0) + 0.99 +0.0

**Notes** Work out Problem 6.5.4 by two methods:

- 1. Take advantage of the observation that X and Y are independent random variables and apply the result in Example 4.17.
- 2. Use the result in the supplementary problem above.