Week 12 - Recommendation Systems part 2 $\,$

 $James\ Quacinella$ 11/10/2015

Exercise 9.4.2

If we wish to start out, as in Fig. 9.10, with all U and V entries set to the same value, what value minimizes the RMSE for the matrix M of our running example?

Answer

We have a 5x5M matrix which we want to decompose into d=2 dimensional U and V matricies.

"If we have chosen d as the lengths of the short sides of U and V , and a is the average nonblank element of M , then the elements of U and V should be $\operatorname{sqrt}(a/d)$."

```
d <- 2;
M <- matrix(c(5,2,4,4,3,3,1,2,4,1,2,NA,3,1,4,2,5,4,3,5,4,4,5,4,NA), ncol=5, byrow = TRUE);
sqrt(mean(M, na.rm = TRUE) / 2); # 1.276885</pre>
```

[1] 1.276885

Exercise 9.4.3

```
# Starting off
 U \leftarrow matrix(c(2.6, 1, 1.178, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), ncol=2);
 V <- matrix(c(1.617,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1), ncol=5);
M <- matrix(c(5.204, 2.617, 2.905, 2.617, 2.617, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2, 3.6, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2, 2.178, 2
 P <- U %*% V;
```

Answer

Starting with the U and V matrices in Fig. 9.16, do the following in order:

(a) Reconsider the value of u_{11} . Find its new best value, given the changes that have been made so far.

```
\max_{j} < -5;
r < -1;
s <- 1;
# Find the updated value by alculating the numerator and denominator
denominator <- sum(sapply(1:max_j, function(j) { V[s, j]**2; }))</pre>
numerator
## [1] 17.19787
denominator
## [1] 6.614689
\# Update and print U
U[r, s] <- numerator / denominator</pre>
          [,1] [,2]
##
## [1,] 2.599951
## [2,] 1.000000
## [3,] 1.178000
                 1
## [4,] 1.000000
                 1
## [5,] 1.000000
 (b) Then choose the best value for u_{52}
```

```
\max_{j} < -5;
r <- 5;
s <- 2;
# Find the updated value by alculating the numerator and denominator
numerator \leftarrow sum(sapply(1:max_j, function(j) \{ V[s, j] * (M[r, j] - sum(U[r, -c(s)] * V[-c(s), j])); \})
denominator <- sum(sapply(1:max_j, function(j) { V[s, j]**2; }))</pre>
numerator
```

```
## [1] 5
denominator
## [1] 5
\# Update and print U
U[r, s] <- numerator / denominator</pre>
##
          [,1] [,2]
## [1,] 2.599951
## [2,] 1.000000
## [3,] 1.178000
## [4,] 1.000000
                 1
## [5,] 1.000000
                 1
 (c) Then choose the best value for v_{22}.
max_i <- 5;
r <- 2;
s <- 2;
# Find the updated value by alculating the numerator and denominator
denominator <- sum(sapply(1:max_i, function(i) { U[i, r]**2; }))</pre>
numerator
## [1] -8.222
denominator
## [1] 5
# Update and print U
V[r, s] <- numerator / denominator</pre>
```

[,1]

[1,] 1.617 1.0000

[2,] 1.000 -1.6444

[,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]

1

1 1