

Week 14 - Social Network Graphs 2

James Quacinella

11/15/2015

Exercise 10.5.1 (section 10.5.5)

If, in Fig. 10.20 you start the walk from Picture 2, what will be the similarity to Picture 2 of the other two pictures? Which do you expect to be more similar to Picture 2?

Answer

Confirm code works with getting same answer from book's example:

```
n_iters <- 100;
M <- matrix(c(0, 0, 0, 4/15, 2/5,
              0, 0, 0, 4/15, 0,
              0, 0, 0, 4/15, 2/5,
              2/5, 4/5, 2/5, 0, 0,
              2/5, 0, 2/5, 0, 0), ncol=5, byrow = TRUE);
beta <- 0.8;
en <- c(1,0,0,0,0);

Mnew <- M + matrix(rep((1-beta)*en, 5), ncol=5);

# Iterate 100 times
vn <- en;
for (i in 1:n_iters) {
  vn <- Mnew %*% vn;
}

# Print final results, which match the book
vn
```

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.34461028
## [2,] 0.06633499
## [3,] 0.14461028
## [4,] 0.24875622
## [5,] 0.19568823
```

Lets do the same for $N = 1$, which is picture 2:

```
n_iters <- 100;
M <- matrix(c(0, 0, 0, 4/15, 2/5,
              0, 0, 0, 4/15, 0,
              0, 0, 0, 4/15, 2/5,
              2/5, 4/5, 2/5, 0, 0,
              2/5, 0, 2/5, 0, 0), ncol=5, byrow = TRUE);
beta <- 0.8;
```

```

en <- c(0,1,0,0,0);

Mnew <- M + matrix(rep((1-beta)*en, 5), ncol=5);

# Iterate 100 times
vn <- en;
for (i in 1:n_iters) {
  vn <- Mnew %*% vn;
}

# Print final results, which match the book
vn

```

```

##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.1326700
## [2,] 0.2902156
## [3,] 0.1326700
## [4,] 0.3383085
## [5,] 0.1061360

```

Notice, that the similarity to Picture 1 and Picture 3 is the same; since its symmetrical we should not expect anything different.

Use R for 10.7.1 (section 10.7.8)

Exercise 10.7.1 : For the graph of Fig. 10.9, which we repeat here as Fig. 10.22:

- (a) If the graph is represented as a directed graph, how many arcs are there?
- (b) What are the neighborhood profiles for nodes A and B?
- (c) What is the diameter of the graph?
- (d) How many pairs are in the transitive closure? Hint : Do not forget that there are paths of length greater than zero from a node to itself in this graph.
- (e) If we compute the transitive closure by recursive doubling, how many rounds are needed?

Answer

- (a) There are 12 edges in the graph. To convert it from undirected to directed, we would double that amount (for each edge $x-y$, create two edges $x \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow x$). Therefore, **24 edges in the directed graph**.
- (b)

$$N(A, 1) = \{A, B, C\}$$

$$N(A, 2) = \{A, B, C, H, D\}$$

$$N(A, 3) = \{A, B, C, H, D, I, G, E, F\}$$

So the neighborhood profile for A is: **3, 5, 9**

$$N(B, 1) = \{A, B, C, H\}$$

$$N(B, 2) = \{A, B, C, H, D, I, G\}$$

$$N(B, 3) = \{A, B, C, H, D, I, G, E, F\}$$

So the neighborhood profile for A is: **4, 7, 9**

- (c) The diameter of a directed graph is the smallest integer d such that for every two nodes u and v there is a path of length d or less from u to v . In this case, the **diameter is 3**, since every node is at most 3 steps from another. Can be derived visually or by noticing that 3 is the largest path in part (b) and we know this graph is symmetrical with respect to these nodes to every other possible node, so there can be no longer paths.
- (d)
- (e) From the textbook: “By a recursive-doubling technique, we can double the length of paths we know about in a single round. Thus, on a graph of diameter d , we need only $\log_2(d)$ rounds, rather than d rounds”. Since the diameter is 3, we need only $\log_2(3)$ rounds, which is **2 rounds** when the deciam is rounded up. This saves us only one round, which is not spectacular.