

# Chapter 1

## The Symplectic Group

A natural starting place is the study of the symplectic group. The symplectic group is one of the classical matrix groups that arises in the study of bilinear forms.

Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We define a bilinear form on  $V$  as a function  $B : V \times V \rightarrow F$  such that  $B_x : y \mapsto B(x, y)$  and  $B_y : x \mapsto B(x, y)$  are linear. If  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a choice of basis for  $V$ , then  $B$  has a matrix representation

$$(B(x_i, x_j))_{i,j \in [n]} \text{ where } B(x, y) = B\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i\right) = x^T B y = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i b_j B(x_i, x_j).$$

**Definition 1.2.** We say that bilinear form  $B$  is nondegenerate if the matrix form of  $B$  is of full rank.

It makes sense to assume our bilinear form is nondegenerate. If it weren't, we could produce a linear subspace  $V'$  of  $V$  such that  $B$  restricted to  $V'$  was of full rank.

**Definition 1.3.** We say that  $B$  is symmetric if  $\forall x, y \in V, B(x, y) = B(y, x)$  and that  $B$  is alternating if  $\forall x \in V, B(x, x) = 0$ .

When the characteristic of the underlying field is not 2, other words for alternating are anti-symmetric and skew-symmetric. These definitions are particularly important for Proposition 1.4.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $B$  be a bilinear form on  $V$  and say  $x \perp_B y$  iff  $B(x, y) = 0$ . Then  $(x \perp_B y \implies y \perp_B x)$  iff  $B$  is symmetric or alternating.

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $B$  is symmetric. If  $B(x, y) = 0$ , then  $B(y, x) = B(x, y) = 0$ . Suppose  $B$  is alternating. From

$$B(x + y, x + y) = B(x, x) + B(x, y) + B(y, x) + B(y, y) = B(x, y) + B(y, x) = 0,$$

we have that  $B(x, y) = -B(y, x)$ . If  $B(x, y) = 0$ , then  $B(y, x) = -B(x, y) = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Since  $B$  is bilinear

$$B(x, B(x, y)z - B(x, z)y) = B(x, y)B(x, z) - B(x, z)B(x, y) = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Now suppose that  $x \perp_B y \implies y \perp_B x$ . Then by 1.1

$$B(B(x, y)z - B(x, z)y, x) = B(x, y)B(z, x) - B(x, z)B(y, x) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

If we set  $z = x$  in the above equation, we get  $B(x, x)(B(x, y) - B(y, x)) = 0$ . So

$$\forall x \in V, \text{ either } B(x, x) = 0 \text{ or } \forall y \in V B(x, y) = B(y, x). \quad (1.3)$$

Now suppose that  $B$  is not symmetric. Then there exists  $x, y \in V$  such that  $B(x, y) \neq B(y, x)$ . Then  $B(x, x) = B(y, y) = 0$  by 1.3. We wish to prove that  $B$  is alternating, so suppose that  $\exists z \in V$  such that  $B(z, z) \neq 0$ . By 1.3,  $B(z, x) = B(x, z)$  and  $B(z, y) = B(y, z)$ . By 1.2, we have that

$$B(x, y)B(z, x) - B(x, z)B(y, x) = B(x, z)(B(x, y) - B(y, x)) = 0$$

and

$$B(y, x)B(z, y) - B(y, z)B(x, y) = B(z, y)(B(y, x) - B(x, y)) = 0.$$

Since  $B(x, y) \neq B(y, x)$ ,  $B(x, z) = B(x, z) = B(z, y) = B(y, z) = 0$ . But then

$$B(x, z + y) = B(x, y) \neq B(y, x) = B(z + y, x).$$

By 1.3,  $B(z + y, z + y) = B(z, z) + B(z, y) + B(y, z) + B(y, y) = B(z, z) = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $B$  is alternating, completing the proof.  $\square$

$\perp_B$  should be an obvious generalization of the notion of perpendicularity.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Suppose  $B$  is a nondegenerate alternating bilinear form on a vector space  $V$  over  $F$ . Then  $V$  is of even dimension.*

The most direct way to show this is to construct a basis so that the matrix representation of your alternating bilinear form is of the form of 1.4.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $B$  be a nondegenerate, alternating bilinear form over  $V$ . Then we say that  $(V, B)$  is a symplectic vector space.

Here we are continuing with our generalization of inner product spaces.

**Proposition 1.7.** *Let  $(V, B)$  be a symplectic vector space of dimension  $2n$ . Then  $V$  has a basis in which  $B$  is of the form*

$$J_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.4)$$

*Such a basis is called a symplectic basis of  $(V, B)$ .*

*Proof.* We choose an ordered basis  $(w_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$  by a greedy algorithm. Set  $W_i = \text{span}\{(w_j)_{j=1}^i\} \cup \text{span}\{(w_j)_{j=n+1}^{n+i}\}$ , defined at the appropriate time as we specify our basis. For any subspace  $W \subset V$ , define the  $W^\perp$  by

$$W^\perp = \{v \in V \mid B(v, w) = 0 \text{ for } w \in W\}.$$

Suppose  $w \in W_i$ . Since  $B$  is nondegenerate, so is  $B$  restricted to  $W_i$ . Let  $(w'_k)_{k=1}^i$  be an ordered basis for  $W_i$ , regardless of our prior choices of  $w_i$ . Let  $B(w'_i, w'_j) = b_{i,j}$  be the matrix elements of the restriction of  $B$  to  $W_i$  in basis  $(w'_k)_{k=1}^i$ . Write  $w = \sum_{j=1}^i c_j w'_j$ .

We wish to prove that  $w \notin W_i^\perp$ . If  $B(w, w'_k) = 0$  for all  $k \in [i]$ , then  $B(w, w') = 0$  for all  $w' \in W$ . Hence  $w \in W^\perp$  iff  $B(w, w'_k) = 0$  for all  $k \in [i]$ . Finally

$$w \in W_i^\perp \text{ iff } B(w, w'_k) = \sum_{j=1}^i c_j B(w'_j, w'_k) = \sum_{j=1}^i c_j b_{j,k} = 0.$$

But since  $B$  restricted to  $W_i$  is nondegenerate,  $\sum_{j=1}^i c_j b_{j,k} = 0 \implies c_j = 0 \forall j \in [i]$ . Hence  $w = 0$  and we can conclude that  $W_i \cap W_i^\perp = 0$ .

Since  $W^\perp$  is a linear subspace of  $V$  and  $B$  is nondegenerate,  $B$  restricted to  $W^\perp$  is nondegenerate. Thus  $\exists w_{i+1}, w'_{n+i+1} \in W_i^\perp$  such that  $B(w_{i+1}, w'_{n+i+1}) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $B(w_{i+1}, w'_{n+i+1}) = b$ . Then set  $w_{n+i+1} = -\frac{w'_{n+i+1}}{b}$  so that  $B(w_{i+1}, w_{n+i+1}) = -1 = -B(w_{n+i+1}, w_{i+1})$ . Let

$$y = x - \sum_{k=1}^i B(x, w_{n+i+1}) w_i + \sum_{k=1}^i B(x, w_i) w_{n+i+1}.$$

Then  $y \in W_i^\perp$

If  $W_i \neq V$ , then  $\exists w_{i+1}, w'_{n+i+1} \in W_i^\perp$  such that  $B(w_{i+1}, w'_{n+i+1}) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $B(w_{i+1}, w'_{n+i+1}) = b$ . Then set  $w_{n+i+1} = -\frac{w'_{n+i+1}}{b}$  so that  $B(w_{i+1}, w_{n+i+1}) = -1 = -B(w_{n+i+1}, w_{i+1})$ . The algorithm terminates when  $W_{2n} = V$  and  $(w_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$  is an order basis in which  $B$  has matrix form  $J$ .  $\square$

This particular  $J$  is chosen because it is “nice.” We can easily construct such a basis for proposition 1.7 using a greedy algorithm.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $(V_1, B_1)$  and  $(V_2, B_2)$  be two symplectic vector spaces. A linear transformation  $L : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is a symplectomorphism of  $(V_1, B_1)$ ,  $(V_2, B_2)$  if

$$B_2(L(x), L(y)) = B_1(x, y), \forall x, y \in V_1.$$

Most classical matrix are defined in this way as isogenies.

**Definition 1.9.** The group of symplectic automorphisms of  $(V, B)$ ,  $(V, B)$  is called the symplectic group  $Sp((V, B))$ .

We have a collection of morphisms and we want to find linear representations of those morphisms. That will give us  $Sp_n(F)$ .

**Proposition 1.10.** Let  $(V, B)$  be a symplectic vector space of dimension  $2n$  with a fixed symplectic basis. Then  $M$  is a matrix representation of some symplectic automorphism of  $(V, B)$  iff

$$M^\top J_n M = J_n.$$

*Proof.* Fix a symplectic basis of  $V$ . Let  $[u]$  represent the coordinate vector for  $u \in V$  in the symplectic basis. Then

$$B(v, w) = [w]^T J [v].$$

Let  $M$  be a matrix of a linear transformation  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  in the symplectic basis. Then  $\phi$  is symplectic if and only if

$$B(\phi(v), \phi(w)) = B(v, w), \text{ for all } v, w \in V.$$

In the symplectic basis this condition says

$$[\phi(w)]^T J [\phi(v)] = [w]^T J [v]$$

or

$$[w]^T M^T J M [v] = [w]^T J [v], \text{ for all } v, w \in V.$$

Since  $v, w$  are arbitrary this is equivalent to the statement.  $\square$

This characterization follows directly from the fact that any symplectomorphism can be represented by  $J$  under an appropriate choice of basis.

**Definition 1.11.** The symplectic matrix group is defined by

$$Sp_{2n}(S) = \{M \in M_{2n}(S) \mid M^T J M = J\}$$

where  $S = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \text{ or } \mathbb{C}$ .

The following properties come in handy when working with the symplectic group.

**Proposition 1.12.** For  $A, B, C, D \in M_n(S)$ , the following are equivalent:

1.  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in Sp_{2n}(S)$
2.  $A^T C = C^T A, B^T D = D^T B, A^T D - C^T B = I_n.$

*Proof.* Follows from the block representation of  $M$  on the condition  $M^T J M = J$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.13.** For all  $M \in Sp_{2n}(S)$ ,  $\det(M) = 1$ .

## Chapter 2

# Complex Structures of Real Symplectic Spaces

As vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  by the map

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow z = x + iy.$$

The operation  $z \mapsto iz$  corresponds to  $v \mapsto -J_n v$ , giving  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  a complex structure. It is now natural to ask the ways in which we can equip  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  a complex structure.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $J \in \text{Aut } V$  is a complex structure on  $V$  if

$$J^2 = -\text{id}_V.$$

If  $V$  is a symplectic vector space, we may ask that the complex structure  $J$  be compatible with the symplectic structure on  $V$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $B$  be a symplectic form on  $V$ . We say that complex structure  $J$  is compatible with  $B$  if  $\forall v, w \in V$ ,  $B(Jx, Jy) = B(x, y)$ .

Now suppose that  $J$  is a complex structure on  $V$  compatible with  $B$ . Define

$$B'(x, y) := B(v, Jy) \text{ for } x, y \in V.$$

Since  $J$  and  $B$  are compatible,

$$g(Jx, y) = B(x, y),$$

and since  $J^2 = -1$  and  $B$  is alternating,

$$g(x, y) = g(y, x)$$

and

$$g(Jx, Jy) = g(x, y).$$

Therefore  $g$  is symmetric and non-degenerate. If  $g$  is positive semi-definite, then it is also Hermitian. In that case, we say that  $J$  is a positive compatible complex structure and the triple  $(V, B, J)$  a Kähler vector space. As before, a natural question to ask is what are the positive compatible complex structures on a real vector space.

DRAFT

# Chapter 3

## Lagrangian Subspaces and Polarizations

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(V, B)$  be a symplectic vector space and  $W$  a linear subspace of  $V$ . Then the  $B$ -orthogonal complement of  $W$  is

$$W^\perp = \{v \in V \mid B(v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

$W$  is Lagrangian iff  $W^\perp = W$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Suppose  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are Lagrangian subspaces of  $V$ . Then  $W_1 \diamond_V W_2$  iff  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . We denote  $T(W_1) = \{W_2 \subset V \mid W_1 \diamond_V W_2\}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any Lagrangian subspace  $W_1$  of  $V$ , there exists Lagrangian subspace  $W_2$  of  $V$  such that  $W_1 \diamond_W W_2$ .*

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $L(V)$  denote the Lagrangian subspaces  $L \subset V$ . Then  $L(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cong U(n)/O(n)$ .*

*Proof.* test

**Proposition 3.5.** test

test

□

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose  $W_1 \diamond W_2$  in  $V$ . Then there exists a symplectic basis  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  of  $V$  such that  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a basis of  $W_1$  and  $\{x_i\}_{i=n+1}^{2n}$  is a basis of  $W_2$ . Such a basis is called adapted to the decomposition.*

**Proposition 3.7.** *The set of symplectomorphisms that preserve  $W$  act transitively on  $T(W)$ .*

**Proposition 3.8.** *Suppose  $W_1, W_2$ , and  $W_3$  are Lagrangian subspaces of  $V$  and that  $W_1 \diamond_V W_2$  and  $W_1 \diamond_V W_3$ . Then there is a symplectomorphism  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\phi(W_1) = W_1$  and  $\phi(W_2) = W_3$ .*

For a real symplectic vector space  $(V_{\mathbb{R}}, B_{\mathbb{R}})$ , denote its complexification by  $(V_{\mathbb{C}}, B_{\mathbb{C}})$  where

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

and  $B_{\mathbb{R}}$  is extended to  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  by linearity over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We define conjugation in  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  by

$$\overline{cv} = \bar{c}v \text{ for } v \in \mathbb{R}$$

real-linearly extended to  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Definition 3.9.** A Lagrangian subspace is real if it is a complexification of a Lagrangian subspace in  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ . We say that a Lagrangian subspace  $W$  is positive iff

$$-iB(x, \bar{x}) > 0, \forall x \in W.$$

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $W_1$  be a real Lagrangian subspace and  $W_2$  be a positive Lagrangian subspace of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $W_1 \diamond_V W_2$ .

Let  $\overline{W} = \{\bar{x} \in V \mid x \in W\}$ .

**Definition 3.11.** If  $W \diamond_V \overline{W}$  and  $W$  is positive, then  $W \oplus \overline{W}$  is a positive polarization of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** There is a natural bijection between the collection of positive complex structures on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  and the collection of positive polarizations of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a symplectic vector space of dimension  $2n$ . The set of positive polarizations  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus \overline{W}$  is parameterized by the Siegel upper half plane

$$\mathbb{H}_n = \{M \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid M \text{ symmetric and } \operatorname{Im} M \text{ positive definite}\} \cong Sp_n(\mathbb{R})/U(n).$$



# Chapter 4

## Moduli Space of Polarized Tori

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $b = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2g}\}$  be  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then

$$L = \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \mathbb{Z}b_2 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}b_{2n}$$

is a lattice subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Definition 4.2.**  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/L$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex torus.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Any connected compact complex Lie group  $X$  of dimension  $n$  is a complex torus.*

**Definition 4.4.** Given a lattice  $L$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with basis  $b = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2n}\}$ , the matrix

$$\Pi_b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

is called a period matrix of lattice  $L$  with respect to basis  $b$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Two bases  $a = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}\}$  and  $b = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2n}\}$  generate the same lattice iff*

$$\Pi_a = M\Pi_b$$

*with  $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\det(M) = \pm 1$ .*

**Definition 4.6.** An algebraic set is the locus of zeros of a finite collection of polynomials.

**Definition 4.7.** A complex manifold  $X$  is called projective algebraic if there is a holomorphic embedding  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  such that  $\phi(X)$  is a regular algebraic set.

**Definition 4.8.** A torus  $X$  is an abelian variety if it is projective algebraic.

**Theorem 4.9.** *All complex tori are compact Kähler manifolds.*

**Theorem 4.10.** *A compact Kähler manifold endowed with a positive line bundle admits a projective embedding.*

**Definition 4.11.** A positive polarization on  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/L$  is a positive definite hermitian form  $H$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that  $\text{Im } H$  is integer valued and alternating on  $L$ .

**Theorem 4.12.** *If torus  $X$  is equipped with a positive definite hermitian form  $H$ , then the corresponding line bundle is positive.*

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be lattices of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\phi$  be a linear isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that takes  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a homeomorphism  $\phi'$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n/L_1$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n/L_2$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{C}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^n/L_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \mathbb{C}^n/L_2 \end{array}$$

**Definition 4.14.** We say that two polarized abelian varieties  $(X_1, H_1)$  and  $(X_2, H_2)$  are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi' : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that

$$H_1(x, y) = H_2(\phi'(x), \phi'(y)).$$

**Proposition 4.15.** *Fix a complex vector space  $V$ . There is a bijection  $f$  between hermitian forms  $H$  on  $V$  and real-valued alternating forms  $E$  on  $V$  that satisfy  $E(ix, iy) = E(x, y)$  given by*

$$E(x, y) = \operatorname{Im} H(x, y) \text{ and } H(x, y) = E(ix, y) + iE(x, y)$$

**Proposition 4.16.** *A real-valued alternating form  $E$  provides a polarization on torus  $X$  via bijection  $f$  iff the following Riemann Relations are satisfied:*

1.  $\Pi_e[E]_e^{-1}\Pi_e^\top$
2.  $i\Pi_e[E]_e^{-1}\overline{\Pi_e^\top}$

**Proposition 4.17.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/L$  be a torus with polarization  $H$ . There exists a basis  $e$  of  $L$  such that  $E = \operatorname{Im} H$  has the matrix representation*

$$[E]_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D \\ -D & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  with integers  $d_i \geq 0$  and  $d_i \mid d_{i+1}$ .

**Definition 4.18.** Such a basis  $e$  is called a symplectic basis of  $L$ .  $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is called the type of the polarization of the abelian variety. A polarization is called principal if it is of type  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

**Lemma 4.19.** *Given  $n$  relatively prime integers  $(m_i)_{i=1}^n$ , there exists*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & \dots & m_n \\ * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & \dots & * \end{bmatrix}$$

such that  $M \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition 4.20.** *Let  $L$  be a polarized lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with polarization of type  $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i=1}^n$  and symplectic basis  $e = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ . Let  $e' = \{\frac{1}{d_i}e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then*

$$\Pi_{e'} = \begin{bmatrix} D & Z \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$ . Let this map from abelian varieties of specified type to  $\mathbb{H}_n$  be called  $g_D$ .

**Proposition 4.21.** *To type  $D$  and  $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$ , we can construct a polarized abelian variety  $A$  such that  $g_D(A) = Z$ .*

**Proposition 4.22.** *Given a type  $D$ , the Siegel upper half space  $\mathbb{H}_n$  is a moduli space for polarized abelian varieties of type  $D$  with symplectic basis.*

**Definition 4.23.** Let

$$G_D := \{M \in \text{Sp}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid M^\top L_D \subset L_D\}$$

and for  $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in G_D$  and  $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$ , define the fractional linear transformation action by

$$M(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 4.24.** *For  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{H}$  with polarization type  $D$  fixed, the following are equivalent:*

- *polarized abelian varieties  $g_D(Z_1)$  and  $g_D(Z_2)$  are isomorphic*
- *$Z_2 = M(Z)$  for some  $M \in G_D$*

**Theorem 4.25.** *When  $n = 2$ ,  $G_{\text{diag}(1, N)}$  is the paramodular group*

$$K(N) = \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & N\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & N\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & N\mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} & \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} & \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix}$$

DRAFT

# References

- Berndt, R. (2001). *An Introduction to Symplectic Geometry*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- Bruinier, J. H., van der Ghee, G., Harder, G., & Zagier, D. (2008). *The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms*. Berlin, GER: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Diamond, F., & Shurman, J. (2005). *A First Course in Modular Forms*. Providence, RI: Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer.
- Fritzsche, K., & Grauert, H. (2002). *From Holomorphic Functions to Complex Manifolds*. Providence, RI: Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer.
- Jacobson, N. (1985). *Basic Algebra I*. New York City, NY: Dover Publications.
- Lange, H., & Berkenhake, C. (1992). *Complex Abelian Varieties*. New York City, NY: Springer-Verlag.
- Poor, C., Shurman, J., & Yuen, D. S. (????). *Computing Siegel Modular Forms*.