

Quantum Credit Risk Analysis


Presented by:

Arjun Puppala, Jose Ramon Aleman, Marti Ciurana

Tutor:

Giulio Gasbarri





Introduction

The credit risk analysis is performed to determine a borrower's ability to meet their debt obligations, with the purpose to determine the creditworthiness of borrowers, by quantifying the risk of loss that the lender is exposed to. Using quantum computers, we can get faster and more accurate solutions. Banks and asset managers optimize portfolios based on computationally intense models that process large sets of variables, and for this reason, they will be able to more effectively analyze large or unstructured data sets. The ability to speedily identify an optimal risk-adjusted portfolio is likely to create significant competitive advantage

Problem

Description, Definition, and Parameters



Problem Description

We can describe the problem as the simple default risk that a lender takes on, in the chance that a borrower will be unable to make the required payments on their debt obligation. Measuring this probability is called the default risk. For example:

- A consumer may fail to make a payment due on a mortgage loan, credit card, line of credit, or other loan.
- A company is unable to repay asset-secured fixed or floating charge debt.
- A business or consumer does not pay a trade invoice when due.

Problem Definition

 We analyze the credit risk of a portfolio of k assets. The default probability of every asset follows a Gaussian Conditional Independence model

$$p_k(z) = F\left(rac{F^{-1}(p_k^0) - \sqrt{
ho_k}z}{\sqrt{1-
ho_k}}
ight)$$

We are interested in analyzing risk measures of the total loss

$$L = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k X_k(Z)$$

Problem Definition

- Value at Risk (VaR) is a measure of the risk of loss for investments. It estimates how much a set of investments might lose, given normal market conditions, in a set time period such as a day.

$$\operatorname{VaR}_lpha(L) = \inf\{x \mid \mathbb{P}[L <= x] \geq 1 - lpha\}$$

 Conditional VaR (CVaR), also known as the expected shortfall, is a risk assessment measure that quantifies the amount of tail risk an investment portfolio has.

$$\mathrm{CVaR}_lpha(L) = \mathbb{E}[L \mid L \geq \mathrm{VaR}_lpha(L)]$$

Problem Parameters

- 1. Number of qubits used to represent Z, denoted by n_z
- 2. Truncation value for Z, denoted by, z_{\max} i.e., Z is assumed to take equidistant values in $\{-z_{\max}, \ldots, +z_{\max}\}$
- 3. Base default probabilities for each asset $p_0^k \in (0,1)$, $k=1,\ldots,K$
- 4. Sensitivities of the default probabilities with respect to Z denoted by $\rho_k \in [0,1)$
- 5. Loss given default for asset k, denoted by λ_k
- 6. Confidence level for VaR / CVaR $\alpha \in [0,1]$

Methods

MC, QAE, Grover's Algorithm, QFT, IQAE



Methods

To compare the classical and quantum approach, we use the computation of risk measures of a simple two-asset (2) portfolio. These methods used were:

- Classical Method: Monte Carlo (MC)
- Quantum Method: Iterative Quantum Amplitude Estimation (IQAE)

Value at risk (VaR) is a statistic that quantifies the extent of possible financial losses within a firm, portfolio, or position over a specific time frame.

The CVar quantifies the average expected loss.

We use Qiskit to compute the quantum method.

Classical Method: Monte Carlo (MC)

This method relies on repeated random sampling to obtain numerical results, using randomness to solve problems that might be deterministic in principle.

MC recalculates results over and over, using a different set of random numbers between min and max values. This process can be repeated thousands of times for a more accurate result.

The error goes down like the reciprocal of the square root of the number of points. The estimate has nothing to do with the dimension, in that sense, MC integration is indeed independent of dimension.

Quantum Amplitude Estimation (QAE)

· What is it?

·What is it useful for?

·IQAE

Grover's Algorithm


- This algorithm can speed up an unstructured search problem quadratically.




Before looking at the list of items, we have no idea where the marked item is.
 Therefore, any guess of its location is as good as any other, which can be expressed in terms of a uniform superposition:

$$|s
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} |x
angle$$

Step 1 - Initialize System







$$|s
angle = \sin heta |w
angle + \cos heta |s'
angle$$


$$heta = rcsin \langle s | w
angle = rcsin rac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$$


Step 2 - Oracle Reflection and Inverted Phase






Step 3 - Additional Reflection and Rotate Phase





$$|\psi_t
angle=(U_sU_f)^t|s
angle$$

Grover's Algorithm Diagram



Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT)

$$y_k = rac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_j \omega_N^{jk}$$


$$\omega_N^{jk} = e^{2\pi i \frac{jk}{N}}.$$

$$|X
angle = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_j |j
angle$$

$$y_k = rac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_j \omega_N^{jk}$$

$$|Y
angle = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y_k |k
angle$$

QFT - Counting in Fourier basis




Iterative QAE (IQAE)

Estimation, but is only based on Grover's algorithm. IQAE iteratively applies carefully selected Grover iterations to find an estimate for the target amplitude. The output of the algorithm is an estimate for the amplitude a, that with at least probability 1 - alpha has an error of epsilon. The number of A operator calls scales linearly in 1/epsilon (up to a logarithmic factor).

Results

UM, EL, VaR, CVaR




Uncertainty Model (UM)


$$|\Psi
angle = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n_z}-1} \sqrt{p_z^i} |z_i
angle igotimes_{k=1}^K \left(\sqrt{1-p_k(z_i)}|0
angle + \sqrt{p_k(z_i)}|1
angle
ight)$$


```
q_0: 0
q_1: 1
P(X)
q_2: 2
q_3: 3
```

```
P[L] <= VaR[L]]: 0.9591
Expected Loss E[L]: 0.6409
Value at Risk VaR[L]: 2.0000
Conditional Value at Risk CVaR[L]: 3.0000
```


Loss Distribution, Z Distribution, Individual Probs







Expected Loss (EL)




Exact value: 0.6409
Estimated value: 0.6263
Confidence interval: [0.5577, 0.6950]

Value at Risk (VaR)

🕏 Start b	isection sear	ch for ta	rget valu	e: 0.950	
low_level	low_value	level	value	high_level	high_value
-1	0.000	1	0.752	3	1.000
1	0.752	2	0.959	3	1.000
Finished	d bisection se	earch			
Estimated Value at Risk:		2			
Exact Value at Risk:		2			
Estimated Probability:		0.959			
Exact Proba	bility:	0.959			

Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR)




Exact CVaR: 3.0000 Estimated CVaR: 3.2491

Conclusions

Quantum computers (QC) are crippled by errors in the form of noise, however, correction schemes exist but consume a large number of qubits, relatively to qubits for actual computation. When the number of qubits required increases, the computing will not viable.

The application of this quantum algorithm (or quantum computing in general) is not feasible due to the noise and the quantity of qubits required.

Thank you for your time!



Theoretical physicist Max Planck



Before discovering Quantum Physics



After discovering Quantum Physics

Outline - Draft

- Introduction
- Problem
 - Description
 - Definition
 - Parameters
- Methods
 - MC (Classical)
 - QAE
 - Grover's Algorithm
 - QFT
 - IQAE
- Results
 - Uncertainty model
 - Loss Distribution
 - Z Distribution
 - Default Probabilities
 - Expected Loss
 - Value at Risk (VaR)
 - Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR)
- Conclusions

The problem

Company

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud

Context

Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation

 Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit

Problem statement

Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Challenges deep-dive

Challenge 1

Expand audience

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Challenge 2

Up 30-day actives

Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation

 Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit

Challenge 3


Increase conversion

Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit



Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit

The team

