Thread Synchronization

Daniel Zappala

CS 360 Internet Programming Brigham Young University

Caution!

A Simple Example

```
1 void echo()
2 {
3    chin = getchar();
4    chout = chin;
5    putchar(chout);
6 }
```

- shared method among multiple threads
- what can happen?

A Simple Example

5

Thread 1 void echo() { chin = getchar(); chout = chin; putchar(chout); }

Thread 2

```
void echo()
{
   chin = getchar();
   chout = chin;
   putchar(chout);
}
```

- Thread1 executes line 3, then interrupted
 - Thread1 chin = 'x'
- Thread2 executes completely through the procedure

6

- Thread2 chin = 'y', chout = 'y'
- Thread1 starts again
 - Thread1 chin = 'y'!

Example Code

• see example code problem.cc



Concurrency Problems

- can't predict the speed with which threads will execute and therefore when a resource will be accessed
- if synchronization is not used, errors will be rare but they will occur
- errors are hard to duplicate and debug since they are nondeterministic

Mutual Exclusion

Mutual Exclusion

- need to protect shared resources (e.g. global variable, shared data structures) among multiple processes or threads
- may involve processes or threads interleaved in time on a single processor or running in parallel on a multiprocessor machine
- result of process or thread must be independent of the speed of execution of other concurrent processes

Mutual Exclusion

- critical section: shared portion of code that must be executed by one thread at a time
 - thread must mark the critical section because OS doesn't know where it is
- starvation: one or more threads are prevented from ever executing critical section
- deadlock: situation in which no thread can make progress because they are all waiting for a critical section
- must ensure data coherence, e.g. atomic access to a database

Mutual Exclusion Requirements

- only one thread has access to critical section at a time
- halting in non-critical section must not interfere with other threads
- no indefinite wait for critical section, i.e. no starvation or deadlock
- if no thread in critical section, then no wait to enter
- no assumptions about process speeds or number of processors
- thread may only spend finite time within critical section

Solutions

- software
 - assume no support from OS, hardware, or language
 - historic algorithms: Dekker, Peterson, Lamport
 - difficult to get right, to generalize
- hardware
 - disable interrupts: single processor machines
 - no other process can run until they are re-enabled
 - limits flexibility of OS to schedule threads, doesn't work for multiprocessors
 - atomic machine instructions: compare-and-swap
- operating system support

Hardware

Compare-and-Swap

```
int CompareAndSwap(int* register, int old, int new)

int original = *register;

if (original == old)

*register = new;

return original;

}
```

- atomic instruction implemented in hardware
- supported by most multiprocessor architectures
- compares register to old value
 - sets register to new value only if equal
- always returns original value of register

Using Compare-and-Swap

```
class Lock {
      int sleepTime = 1;
3
      int lock = 0:
4
5
      getLock() {
6
      do {
        value = CompareAndSwap(&1,0,1);
8
        if (value != 1) {
9
          sleep(sleepTime);
10
          sleepTime = sleepTime*2;
11
12
      } while (value != 1);
13
14
      unLock() {
15
        value = CompareAndSwap(&I,1,0);
16
17
```

Operating System Support

semaphore

- when one thread is in the critical section, others may wait by sleeping
- when thread is done with critical section, it wakes one other thread with a signal

monitor

- programming language construct that makes it easier to declare and use a critical section
- construct a class with methods, only one thread may access a method of the class at a time
- mutex and condition variable
 - mutex: lock that allows only one thread into a critical section
 - condition variable: signal conditions between threads
- message passing
 - synchronization by explicitly exchanging messages