

γ_5 IN DIMENSIONAL REGULARIZATION

I INTRODUCTION

In 4-dimensions, the Dirac matrices are of course 4×4 matrices which satisfy

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.1)$$

and γ_5 is defined by

$$\gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3; \quad (1.2)$$

this matrix then satisfies the relations

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma_5\} = 0, \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\gamma_5^2 = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

In the case of d -dimensional Dirac matrices, we once again have the anticommutation relation

$$\{\gamma_d^\mu, \gamma_d^\nu\} = 2g_d^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.5)$$

where we take the Dirac matrices to be $2^{d/2} \times 2^{d/2}$; we also use the convention

$$\text{Tr}(1) = 4. \quad (1.6)$$

The difficulty arises when considering γ_5 , which has no analagous definition in d -dimensions; furthermore if we consider the quantity

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^d \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma \gamma_d^\beta \gamma_5\right), \quad (1.7)$$

and use

$$\gamma_d^\mu \gamma_\mu^d = d, \quad (1.8)$$

then we arrive at a conundrum. Firstly, we use the cyclicity of the trace, and anticommute γ_5 with γ_d^α :

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha\beta}^d \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma \gamma_d^\beta \gamma_5\right) &= -g_{\alpha\beta}^d \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma \gamma_d^\beta \gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_5\right) \\ &= -d \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}(\gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma \gamma_5); \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

secondly, we anticommute γ_d^α through the adjacent four matrices:

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^d \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma \gamma_d^\beta \gamma_5\right) = (d-8) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}(\gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma \gamma_5). \quad (1.10)$$

If we combine these two results, then we find that

$$2(d-4) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr}(\gamma_d^\mu \gamma_d^\nu \gamma_d^\rho \gamma_d^\sigma) = 0; \quad (1.11)$$

so for $d \neq 4$, the attempt to impose both the anticommutation rule for γ_5 and the cyclicity rule causes this trace to become zero.

II THE 't HOOFT-VELTMAN SCHEME

In the 't Hooft-Veltman scheme, we keep the cyclicity of the trace intact and give up on the anticommutation rule for γ_5 . In analogy to 4-dimensions, we define γ_5 as we did in 4-dimensions as

$$\gamma_5 = i\gamma_d^0\gamma_d^1\gamma_d^2\gamma_d^3, \quad (2.1)$$

and impose the anticommutation relation

$$\{\gamma_d^\mu, \gamma_5\} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

for $\mu = 0, \dots, 4$. For the remaining matrices, we introduce the commutation relation

$$[\gamma_d^\mu, \gamma_5] = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

We can see how this changes Equation 1.9; we anticommute the first 4 matrices through γ_5 , and then commute the remaining $d-4$, which gives us an overall factor of $d-8$, in agreement with Equation 1.10.

III KREIMER'S SCHEME

In Kreimer's scheme, we establish 5 different rules:

III.i RULE 1

We keep the normal anticommutation rules:

$$\{\gamma_d^\mu, \gamma_d^\nu\} = 2g_d^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\{\gamma_d^\mu, \gamma_5\} = 0; \quad (3.1b)$$

from the first of these, we get the following contraction rules in d -dimensions:

$$\gamma_\mu^d \gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_d^\mu = (2-d)\gamma_d^\alpha, \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\gamma_\mu^d \gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_d^\beta \gamma_d^\mu = (d-4)\gamma_d^\alpha \gamma_d^\beta + 4g_d^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.2b)$$

Of course, as we established above, we cannot simultaneously keep both these anticommutation rules and the cyclicity of the trace, and since we have kept the former, we must abandon the latter; the purpose of the remaining rules is to compensate for this.

III.ii RULE 2

In cases involving no γ_5 matrix, we have

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma_d^{\mu_1} \dots \gamma_d^{\mu_{2n}}] = 4 \sum_{\text{perm}} (-1)^{\sigma(\text{perm})} g_d^{\mu_{i_1} \mu_{j_1}} \dots g_d^{\mu_{i_n} \mu_{j_n}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $1 = i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $i_k < j_k$; the sum is taken over all possible permutations of i_k, j_k . Of course, the trace of an odd number of Dirac matrices is zero. When we include γ_5 , we have

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma_d^{\mu_1} \dots \gamma_d^{\mu_4} \gamma_5] = 4i\varepsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4}, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma_d^{\mu_1} \dots \gamma_d^{\mu_{2n}} \gamma_5] = 4i \sum_{\text{perm}} (-1)^{\sigma(\text{perm})} \varepsilon_{\mu_{i_n+1} \mu_{i_n+2} \mu_{i_n+3} \mu_{i_n+4}} g_{\mu_{i_1} \mu_{j_1}} \dots g_{\mu_{i_{n+2}} \mu_{j_{n+2}}}; \quad (3.4b)$$

once again, when we have an odd number of γ_d^μ 's, the traces are zero. Note that these traces are unchanged when we reverse the ordering of the indices.

III.iii RULE 3

Cyclicity of the trace cannot be used in cases involving odd numbers of γ_5 's. Note that the application of this rule forbids Equation 1.9, which means that we would obtain Equation 1.10 by default, in agreement with the 't Hooft-Veltman scheme.

III.iv RULE 4

In each Dirac chain, a reading point must be chosen, i.e. we pick an index at which to start the chain and keep this consistent across all diagrams. If we did not do this, then the relative signs between Dirac chains would not be consistent.

III.v RULE 5

If the theory contains anomalous axial currents, then we must start the Dirac chains at an axial vertex. Once again, this rule is to ensure the correct relative signs.

IV REFERENCES

- [1] S. Weinzierl, *Feynman Integrals*, (2022) [<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.03593.pdf>].
- [2] J. G. Körner et. al., *A Practicable γ_5 -scheme in dimensional regularization*, *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields*. 54 (1991) 503-512.