Jared Parkinson Mod 4 OSU CS162

Non recursive vs the Recursive Fib numbers:

Going into this I assumed that the recursive functions were going to end up being longer of course. I didn't have a time frame to put my expectations to, but I did think it would continue to get a larger gap between the two as time went on.

Below we can see that the Non Recursive time barely jumped up until #22, then it went back down. In contrast, the Recursive started to jump up quite a bit around #27 and steadily rose. I would not recommend anything past N = 48 for testing purposes. In Flip, even though I am using a double it doesn't seem to post the .001 time for Non Recursive. That being said, flip was MUCH faster than my own machine using Visual Studio. I have included visual studio screenshots to show you the output for the Non Recursive.

```
21
21th Fibonacci NonRec #:10946
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
21th Fibonacci Rec #:10946
Fib Rec Time: 0.002
22
22th Fibonacci NonRec #:17711
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.003
23
23th Fibonacci NonRec #:17711
Fib Rec Time: 0.003
23
23th Fibonacci NonRec #:28657
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
23th Fibonacci NonRec #:28657
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.002
24th Fibonacci NonRec #:46368
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.002
24th Fibonacci NonRec #:46368
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.005
25
25th Fibonacci NonRec #:75025
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
25th Fibonacci NonRec #:75025
Fib Rec Time: 0.008
26
26th Fibonacci NonRec #:75025
Fib Rec Time: 0.001
27th Fibonacci NonRec #:121393
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
27th Fibonacci NonRec #:121393
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
27th Fibonacci NonRec #:196418
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
27th Fibonacci NonRec #:196418
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
27th Fibonacci NonRec #:317811
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
28
28th Fibonacci NonRec #:317811
Fib Rec Time: 0.025
29
29th Fibonacci NonRec #:514229
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.0061
29th Fibonacci NonRec #:514229
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.007
30th Fibonacci NonRec #:832040
30th Fibonacci NonRec #:832040
```

```
33

33th Fibonacci NonRec #:3524578
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
33th Fibonacci Rec #:3524578
Fib Rec Time: 0.259

34

34th Fibonacci NonRec #:5702887
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
34th Fibonacci Rec #:5702887
Fib Rec Time: 0.421

35

35th Fibonacci NonRec #:9227465
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
35th Fibonacci Rec #:9227465
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
36th Fibonacci NonRec #:14930352
Fib Rec Time: 0.676

36

36th Fibonacci NonRec #:14930352
Fib Rec Time: 1.097

37

37th Fibonacci NonRec #:24157817
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.002
37th Fibonacci Rec #:24157817
Fib Rec Time: 1.773

38

38th Fibonacci NonRec #:39088169
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
38th Fibonacci Rec #:39088169
Fib Rec Time: 2.886

39

39th Fibonacci NonRec #:63245986
Fib Non Rec Time: 0.001
39th Fibonacci Rec #:63245986
Fib Rec Time: 4.714
```

By 38 and 39 it starts to explode and almost double. If you accidentally choose N much higher than 50 I would definitely hit Ctrl+Z to suspend the program.

Factorials

For factorials, you will want to use something between **500,000,000 and 1,000,000,000** to see any form of results. If you use greater than 1 billion, it will break my menu system. At first I was using 40 like the Fib numbers but I didn't understand you needed to use a very large number to make this show anything.

```
flip1 ~/CS162/10week/mod4 201% mod4
Enter desired N:
1000000000

----MAIN MENU----
Choose from the following options:
1. Fib Numbers
2. Factorials
Enter Choice: 2

-----
FACTORIALS
-----
rfactorial Time: 1.89 Num: 0
factorial Time: 1.88 Num: 0
flip1 ~/CS162/10week/mod4 202%
```

With the factorials, it looks pretty much like I expected. If you use 500,000,000 it will show almost no difference. As it gets closer to 1,000,000,000 it will start to increase. I would assume that the only way to see significant differences in this is to have a number so large that you will forget what digit you are on when typing it.

All-in-all, this turned out as expected. I knew that the recursive functions would take longer, but honestly if you are using the factorial stuff in real life, you wouldn't need to worry about it unless you were using a massive number of recursions. On the Fib numbers however, this shows up VERY soon and would be a horrible efficiency level. Your software would be turtle slow and people would not want to use it.