4

Announcements

- Homework
 - Homework 9 is due on Monday!
 - Homework 10 is going to be super short (like 1 or 2 problems) and will be due a
 week from today
- Physics Tea at 3!
- Physics Seminar today on Laser Fusion!!
 - 3:30pm in Collins 318
- Read Chapter 6.1 for Monday

For an infinite solenoid of radius R, with current \mathcal{I} , and n turns per unit length, what would be a correct way of writing $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$?

A.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = n\mathcal{I}\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

B.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = n\mathcal{I}\delta(r-R)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

C.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = \frac{\mathcal{I}}{n}\delta(r-R)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

D.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = \mu_0 n \mathcal{I} \delta(r - R) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

For an infinite solenoid of radius R, with current \mathcal{I} , and n turns per unit length, what would be a correct way of writing $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$?

A.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = n\mathcal{I}\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

B.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = n\mathcal{I}\delta(r-R)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

C.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = \frac{\mathcal{I}}{n}\delta(r-R)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

D.
$$\vec{\mathbf{J}} = \mu_0 n \mathcal{I} \delta(r - R) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

What is required in order to define a vector potential where:

$$abla^2 \vec{\mathbf{A}} = -\mu_0 \vec{\mathbf{J}}$$

$$\mathbf{A}. \ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{B.} \ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{C}. \ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}$$

D.
$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \to 0$$
 at ∞

What is required in order to define a vector potential where:

$$abla^2 \vec{\mathbf{A}} = -\mu_0 \vec{\mathbf{J}}$$

$$\mathbf{A}. \ \mathbf{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}} = 0$$

B.
$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{C}. \ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}$$

D.
$$\vec{\textbf{A}}
ightarrow 0$$
 at ∞

What flexibility do you have in defining the vector potential, given the Coulomb gauge ($\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = 0$)? That is, what can $\vec{\mathbf{A}}_2$ be that gives us the same $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$? Here C or $\vec{\mathbf{C}}$ is an arbitrary scalar or vector function.

- $\mathbf{A}. \ \vec{\mathbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{A}} + C$
- $\textbf{B.} \ \vec{\textbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\textbf{A}} + \vec{\textbf{C}}$
- $\mathbf{C.} \ \vec{\mathbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{A}} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} C$
- $\label{eq:decomposition} \textbf{D}. \ \vec{\textbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\textbf{A}} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \vec{\textbf{C}}$

What flexibility do you have in defining the vector potential, given the Coulomb gauge $(\nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = 0)$? That is, what can $\vec{\mathbf{A}}_2$ be that gives us the same $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$? Here C or $\vec{\mathbf{C}}$ is an arbitrary scalar or vector function.

- $\mathbf{A}. \ \vec{\mathbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{A}} + C$
- $\mathbf{B.} \ \vec{\mathbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{A}} + \vec{\mathbf{C}}$
- C. $\vec{\mathbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\mathbf{A}} + \nabla C$
- $\label{eq:decomposition} \textbf{D}. \ \vec{\textbf{A}}_2 = \vec{\textbf{A}} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \vec{\textbf{C}}$

Assuming \vec{J} goes to 0 at ∞ , we can calculate \vec{A} in Cartesian using:

$$ec{\mathbf{A}}(ec{m{r}}) = rac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int rac{ec{\mathbf{J}}(ec{m{r}}_s)}{\imath} d au_s$$

Can this integral also be done in spherical coordinates?

- A. Yes, no problem
- B. Yes, r_s can be spherical but $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$ needs to be in Cartesian components
- C. Yes, $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$ can be spherical, but r_s needs to be in Cartesian components
- D. No, this will not work due to cross terms in the spherical Laplacian

Assuming \vec{J} goes to 0 at ∞ , we can calculate \vec{A} in Cartesian using:

$$ec{\mathbf{A}}(ec{m{r}}) = rac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int rac{ec{\mathbf{J}}(ec{m{r}}_s)}{\imath} d au_s$$

Can this integral also be done in spherical coordinates?

- A. Yes, no problem
- B. Yes, r_s can be spherical but $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$ needs to be in Cartesian components
- C. Yes, $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$ can be spherical, but r_s needs to be in Cartesian components
- D. No, this will not work due to cross terms in the spherical Laplacian

Assuming the Coulomb gauge, the vector potential $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$ due to a long straight wire with current $\vec{\mathcal{I}}$ along the *z*-axis points in what direction?

- A. 2
- B. $\hat{oldsymbol{\phi}}$
- C. ŝ

Assuming the Coulomb gauge, the vector potential $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$ due to a long straight wire with current $\vec{\mathcal{I}}$ along the *z*-axis points in what direction?

- A 2
- B. $\hat{\phi}$
- C. ŝ