

# Manual variance correction for 2SDD

John Gardner

Note: These variances assume that the group and period effects can be estimated consistently. If the outcome variable is measured at the group level, convert it to a group-level rate, bootstrap the standard errors, or use one of the many excellent alternative estimators that are available.

## The baseline case

Let

$$g(\gamma, \theta) = (Y - X_1' \gamma - \theta D) D = \varepsilon_1 D$$

and

$$m(\theta) = (Y - X_1' \gamma) X_1' (1 - D) = \varepsilon_2 X_1' (1 - D)$$

denote the second- and first-stage moments, and also define

$$\begin{aligned} G_\theta &= -E(D^2) = -E(D) \\ G_\gamma &= -E(X_1' D) \\ \psi &= E[X_1 X_1' (1 - D)]^{-1} (Y - X_1' \theta) X_1' (1 - D). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 6.1 of Newey and McFadden (1994), the asymptotic variance of the two-stage estimator is

$$V = G_\theta^{-1} E[(g + G_\gamma \psi)(g + G_\gamma \psi)'] G_\theta^{-1'}.$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} V &= E(D)^{-1} E \left\{ [\varepsilon_1 D - E(X_1' D) E[X_1 X_1' (1 - D)]^{-1} \varepsilon_1 X_1' (1 - D)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. [\varepsilon_1 D - E(X_1' D) E[X_1 X_1' (1 - D)]^{-1} \varepsilon_1 X_1' (1 - D)]' \right\} E(D)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the moment conditions are uncorrelated, so that  $E(mg) = 0$ , this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &E(D)^{-1} E[\varepsilon_1^2 D] E(D)^{-1'} + \\ &E(D)^{-1} E(X_1' D) E[X_1 X_1' (1 - D)]^{-1} E\{\varepsilon_1^2 X_1 X_1' (1 - D)\} E[X_1 X_1' (1 - D)]^{-1'} E(X_1' D)' E(D)^{-1} \\ &= V_2 + CVC', \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_2 = E(\varepsilon^2 D)/E(D)^2$  is the (uncorrected) second-stage variance,  $V_1 = E\{\varepsilon_1^2 X_1 X_1' (1 - D)\} E[X_1 X_1' (1 - D)]^{-1}$  is the first-stage variance, and  $C = E(X_1' D)/E(D) = E(X_1' D)/E(D^2)$  is the matrix of coefficients from regressions of the elements of  $X_1$  on  $D$ .

This can be estimated by replacing  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with estimated (uncorrected) variance matrices.

Note that since  $X_1$  and  $D$  only vary at the cluster level, sample means of expressions involving those variables can already be interpreted as cross-cluster expectations). This approach is also valid when the second-stage includes treatment-duration indicators (in which case the elements of  $C$  are coefficients from regressions of  $X_1$  onto all of the second-stage covariates).

## The general case

When the first-stage uses all observations, or when the second stage includes leads of treatment status, the moment conditions may be correlated. For this case, define

$$\begin{aligned} g(\gamma, \theta) &= (Y - X'_{12}\gamma - X'_2\theta)X_2 \\ m(\gamma) &= (Y - X'_{11}\gamma)X_{11} \\ G_\theta &= -E(X_2X'_2) \\ G_\gamma &= -E(X_2X'_{12}) \\ \psi &= E(X_{11}X'_{11})^{-1}\varepsilon_1X_{11}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_{11}$  are the regressors in the first stage,  $X_2$  are the regressors in the second stage, and  $X_{12}$  are the regressors used to form the adjusted dependent variable. In the simple case where the first stage is estimated using only untreated observations, we would have  $X_{11} = X(1 - D)$  and  $X_{12} = X$ , where  $X$  is the vector of group/period dummies (excluding whatever variable is omitted).

The asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\theta}$  is

$$V = E(X_2X'_2)^{-1}E\left\{\left[\underbrace{\varepsilon_2X_2}_{K_2 \times 1} - \underbrace{E(X_2X'_{12})}_{K_2 \times K_1} \underbrace{E(X_{11}X'_{11})^{-1}}_{K_1 \times K_1} \underbrace{\varepsilon_1X_{11}}_{K_1 \times 1}\right] \left[\varepsilon_2X_2 - E(X_2X'_{12})E(X_{11}X'_{11})^{-1}\varepsilon_1X_{11}\right]'\right\}E(X_2X'_2)^{-1}$$

which can be estimated using

$$(\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}\left(\sum_g \mathbf{w}_g\mathbf{w}'_g\right)(\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_g = \underbrace{\mathbf{X}'_{2g}\hat{\varepsilon}_{2g}}_{K_2 \times 1} - \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_{12})}_{K_2 \times K_1} \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}'_{11}\mathbf{X}_{11})^{-1}}_{K_1 \times K_1} \underbrace{\mathbf{X}'_{11g}\hat{\varepsilon}_{1g}}_{K_1 \times 1}.$$

Doing this for the Autor example replicates Stata's GMM output (although it is common to apply a finite-sample adjustment to clustered estimates, see Cameron and Miller, 2015).

Corrected variances can also be estimated by noting that, in general,

$$V = V_2 + CV_1C' - 2E(X_2X'_2)^{-1}E(X_2\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_1X'_{11})E(X_{11}X'_{11})C',$$

where  $C = E(X_2X'_2)^{-1}E(X_2X'_{12})$  is the  $K_2 \times K_1$  matrix of coefficients from regressions of the elements of  $X_1$  onto those of  $X_2$ , and  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are defined as above. This is the same as in the baseline case, but with a third term corresponding to the correlation between the moments, and which can be estimated using

$$2 \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}}_{K_2 \times K_2} \left( \sum_g \underbrace{\mathbf{X}'_{2g}\hat{\varepsilon}_{2g}}_{K_2 \times 1} \underbrace{\hat{\varepsilon}'_{1g}\mathbf{X}_{11g}}_{1 \times K_1} \right) \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}'_{11}\mathbf{X}_{11})^{-1}}_{K_1 \times K_1} \underbrace{\mathbf{C}'}_{K_1 \times K_2},$$

with  $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_{12}$ .