

*Is Panel Assignment Really Random: The Case of U.S.
Court of Appeals* Summer Research Proposal

Jeremy R. Johnson
Jeremy.Johnson@psu.edu

Pennsylvania State University

March 4, 2015

Introduction

The United States Courts of Appeals are broken in to eleven geographic circuits plus the D.C. Circuit and the Federal Circuit. These courts' primary responsibility is to hear appeals from the 94 U.S. District Courts. Traditionally these courts hear cases in panel of three judges. In normal practice, panels are randomly chosen than a series of cases are randomly assigned to these panels (Hooper, Miletich and Levy, 2011; *A Journalist's Guide to the Federal Courts*, 2011; Chilton and Levy, 2014; Songer, Kuersten and Haire, 2007).¹ These assignments are made to all current circuit judges and available senior judges. There is variation from circuit to circuit on panel length and the number of cases that each panel hears before judges are assigned to a different panel as well as the number of judges on the circuit, however, the randomness is nominally standard across all circuit courts.

While this process is generally taken to be the normative procedure in the Federal Appellate courts, there has been literature that has challenged this as fact. Chilton and Levy (2014) performed Monte Carlo simulations and concluded that the observed partisan breakdown of panel assignments for a five year period could not have happened using random assignment. Atkins and Zavoina (1974) as well as Brown and Lee (2000) examine "panel packing" during the 1960's. In addition, Brown and Lee (2000) examines modern practices qualitatively to determine if such practices could still go on today, determining that the court's have enough rule making discretion to do so.

Chilton and Levy (2014) have shown that the panel assignment process is not entirely random. If it is not entirely random, what then can help us predict how panels are assigned? The most likely hypotheses for answering these questions surrounds partisanship, both of the judges on the panel, as well as the chief judge, who exercises administrative control over the circuit. As an extension of that administrative control, what role does the Circuit Executive and Circuit Clerk play in assignments?

Data

This paper will create a dataset of U.S. Court of Appeals cases docketed for oral arguments during a certain time period, most likely 1995-2005. The data for this project will come from the Songer Court of Appeals Database for the case data (Songer, Kuersten and Haire, 2007). The data for the individual judge level variables will come from the U.S. Court of Appeals Judge Attribute Database (Gryski and Zuk, 2008). The Songer Dataset is a randomly drawn stratified sample of published Court of Appeals cases between 1925-2002, containing roughly 20,000 observations. A case salience measures are already coded into the Songer Dataset which reduces any additional coding, using the method developed by Hettinger, Lindquist and Martinek (2003).

¹There are obvious exceptions to the random assignment such as judge availability, or conflicts of interest.

Tasks to Be Performed / Timeline

The most important task will be to collect the dataset and clean the data for analysis. Both the Songer and Gryski datasets are readily available. The next task will be to match the judge and circuit attributes to the cases in the Songer dataset. This will be completed by Jeremy over the course of June. All cases for a set period of time will be coded for all case, judge, and circuit level variables, which should also be completed by the end of June. The next step will be to build the model which will be done by Chris and Jeremy and should be completed by mid-July. The final task should be the completion of the manuscript, which will be completed by Chris and Jeremy and should be done during the first week of August. This article should be ready for publication by the beginning of August.

References

- A Journalist's Guide to the Federal Courts*. 2011. Technical report Administrative Office of the United States Courts Washington, D.C.: .
- Atkins, Burton M. and William Zavoina. 1974. "Judicial Leadership on the Court of Appeals: A Probability Analysis of Panel Assignment in Race Relations Cases on the Fifth Circuit." *American Journal of Political Science* 18(4):701–711.
- Brown, J Robert and Allison Herren Lee. 2000. "Neutral assignment of judges at the court of appeals." *Texas Law Review* 78(5):1037.
- Chilton, Adam S. and Marin K. Levy. 2014. "Challenging the Randomness of Panel Assignment in the Federal Courts of Appeal."
- Gryski, Gerald S and Gary Zuk. 2008. "A Multi-User Data Base on the Attributes of US Appeals Court Judges, 1801-2000." *Retrieved online, June 20(08)*.
- Hettinger, Virginia A, Stefanie A Lindquist and Wendy L Martinek. 2003. "Acclimation Effects and Separate Opinion Writing in the US Courts of Appeals*." *Social Science Quarterly* 84(4):792–810.
- Hooper, Laural, Dean Miletich and Angelina Levy. 2011. *Case management procedures in the Federal Courts of Appeals*. Federal Judicial Center Washington, DC.
- Songer, Donald R, Ahslyn K Kuersten and Susan B Haire. 2007. "The United States Courts of Appeals Database." *Columbia, SC: Department of Political Science, University of South Carolina*. Available at; <http://www.cas.sc.edu/poli/juri/appctdata.htm> .