Ps4_report Jared Kinneer 436828

1a:

transform

[[-0.45827554 0.29474236 0.01395749 -0.00402579]

[0.05085589 0.05458471 0.54105993 0.05237592]

<u, v> first

[[1.04675226]

[-0.36260293]]

<u, v> last

[[0.14190605]

[-0.451843]]

residual first point

[0.00264821]

residual last point

[0.4065751]

1b:

K=8 residuals	K=12 residuals	K=16 residuals
.0096	.0020	.0031
.0116	.0092	.0024
.0046	.0050	.0031
.0033	.0043	.0030
.0048	.0046	.0018
.0079	.0061	.0051
.0151	.0048	<mark>.0017</mark>
.0041	.0051	.0062
.0030	.0061	.0018
.0156	.0045	.0027

best m

[[-0.45823345 0.29491868 0.01376227 -0.00407002]

[0.05078784 0.05452477 0.54135718 0.05227555]

Differences: with k = 8, the residuals had the most variability, and k=16 had the lowest variability overall

```
Ps4_report
Jared Kinneer
436828
With k=12 being somewhere in the middle
1c:
My calculated center was
[[-1.51555537]
[-2.35420549]
[ 0.28273153]]
2a:
F_tilda =
[[-6.60698417e-07 8.82396296e-06 -9.07382302e-04]
[7.91031621e-06 1.21382933e-06 -2.64234650e-02]
[-1.88600198e-03 1.72332901e-02 9.99500092e-01]]
2b:
F_rank2=
[[-5.36264198e-07 8.83539184e-06 -9.07382264e-04]
```

[7.90364771e-06 1.21321685e-06 -2.64234650e-02]

[-1.88600204e-03 1.72332901e-02 9.99500092e-01]]

2c:



