STAT 243: Problem Set 5

Jin Rou New [jrnew]

October 20, 2014

1 Problem 1

There was an overflow, since the result is smaller than the smallest number that can be represented, i.e. e^{-1022} . They should instead calculate the log likelihood by taking the sum of the logarithms of the probabilities.

```
options(digits = 10)
load("ps5prob1.Rda")
p <- c(1/(1 + exp(-X %*% beta)))
likelihood <- prod(dbinom(y, n, p)) # Value of 0 obtained
likelihood
## [1] 0
log_likelihood <- sum(log(dbinom(y, n, p)))
log_likelihood
## [1] -1862.33088</pre>
```

We can then calculate the likelihood by hand as either $e^{-1862.3309}$ or $10^{-1862.3309}/ln(10) = 10^{-1862.3309}/2.3026$.

2 Problem 2

(a) We can expect 16 decimal places of accuracy at most for our result.

```
options(digits = 20)
num <- 1.000000000001
num
## [1] 1.000000000010000889</pre>
```

(b), (c) and (d) No, sum() does not give the right accuracy, but only up to 15 decimal places. In Python, it gives 0 decimal places whether I use the base sum() or from np. In both R and Python, using a for loop to do the summation with 1 as the first value in the vector do not give the right answer, but only a result with 0 decimal places of accuracy. With 1 as the last value in the vector, the right answer with 16 decimal places of accuracy is obtained.

```
# In R
options(digits = 20)
x <- c(1, rep(1e-16, 10000))
identical(num, sum(x))
## [1] FALSE</pre>
```

```
sum(x) # 15 dp of accuracy
## [1] 1.000000000009996448
sum_x <- 0
for (i in 1:(length(x)))
 sum_x \leftarrow sum_x + x[i]
identical(num, sum_x)
## [1] FALSE
sum_x # 0 dp of accuracy
## [1] 1
y \leftarrow c(rep(1e-16, 10000), 1)
sum_y <- 0
for (i in 1:(length(y)))
sum_y \leftarrow sum_y + y[i]
identical(num, sum_y)
## [1] TRUE
sum_y # 16 dp of accuracy, right answer
## [1] 1.00000000010000889
```

```
# In Python
import numpy as np
import decimal
num = 1.00000000001
x = np.array([1e-16]*(10001))
x[0] = 1
print(np.equal(num, sum(x)))
print(decimal.Decimal(sum(x))) # 0 dp of accuracy
print(np.equal(num, np.sum(x)))
print(decimal.Decimal(np.sum(x))) # 0 dp of accuracy
sum_x = 0
for x_select in x:
 sum_x += x_select
print(np.equal(num, sum_x))
print(decimal.Decimal(sum_x)) # 0 dp of accuracy
y = np.array([1e-16]*(10001))
y[len(y)-1] = 1
sum_y = 0
for y_select in y:
 sum_y += y_select
print(np.equal(num, sum_y))
print(decimal.Decimal(sum_y)) # 16 dp of accuracy, right answer
## False
## 1
```

```
## False
## 1
## False
## 1
## True
## 1.0000000000010000889005823410116136074066162109375
```

- (e) It suggests that R's sum() function does not simply sum from left to right, but either sums the numbers of higher precision first before the
- (f) The sum function in R calls a sum function written in C. The C source code is available at: https://github.com/wch/r-source/blob/trunk/src/main/summary.c. In C, the do_summary function calls rsum to carry out summation of doubles.

```
# Code for sum()
sum
## function (..., na.rm = FALSE) .Primitive("sum")
```