

Problem I:

- Fish (4 wels - fixed) RV (Carcinogen level in bladder section)
- ~~Assess~~ Technique (3 wels - fixed)
- Mice (Litter) (4 wels - Random)

EU: Mice (Litter) - Trt (Fish)

Bladder section (Mice, litter) - Technique

(1) D

(2) D

(3) B, C

(4) A, E

(5) B

(6) (a) Response Variable - Carcinogen level in bladder section

(b) Covariates - None

(c) Subsampling - None

(d) Repeated Measures - None

(7) A

(8) B

(9) B

(10) D

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} + (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijkl}$$

Problem II: F - (3 wels - Fixed) L - (2 wels - Fixed) O(L) - (4 wels - Random)

$$a = 3, b = 2, c = 4, r = 2$$

SV	wels	Q_F	Q_L	$\sigma_{O(L)}^2$	$Q_{F \times L}$	$\sigma_{F \times O(L)}^2$	σ_e^2
F	3	16	0	0	0	2	1
L	2	0	24	16	0	2	1
O(L)	8	0	0	6	0	2	1
F * L	6	0	0	0	8	2	1
F * O(L)	24	0	0	0	0	2	1
Error	48	0	0	0	0	0	1

SV	DF	MS	EMS
F	2	41.40	$\sigma_e^2 + 2\sigma_{F \times O(L)}^2 + 16Q_F$
L	1	4.08	$\sigma_e^2 + 2\sigma_{F \times O(L)}^2 + 6\sigma_{O(L)}^2 + 24Q_L$
O(L)	6	11.99	$\sigma_e^2 + 2\sigma_{F \times O(L)}^2 + 6\sigma_{O(L)}^2$
F * L	2	9.52	$\sigma_e^2 + 2\sigma_{F \times O(L)}^2 + 8Q_{F \times L}$
F * O(L)	12	5.49	$\sigma_e^2 + 2\sigma_{F \times O(L)}^2$
Error	24	2.33	σ_e^2
Total	47		

(2) For Interaction

1) $H_0: Q_{F \times L} = 0$ $H_a: Q_{F \times L} \neq 0$

• Test Statistic: $F^* = \frac{MS_{F \times L}}{MS_{F \times O(L)}} = \frac{9.52}{5.49} = 1.73406$

• Decision: Fail to reject H_0 at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

$$F_{0.05, 2, 12} = 3.885 > 1.73406 = F^*$$

For Fixture:

• $H_0: Q_F = 0$; $H_a: Q_F \neq 0$

• Test Statistic: $F^* = \frac{MS_F}{MS_{F \times O(L)}} = \frac{41.40}{5.49} = 7.541$

• Decision: Reject H_0 and conclude we have significant evidence of differences in knot means due to Fixture at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

$$F_{0.05, 2, 12} = 3.885 < 7.541 = F^*$$

Problem II (continued):

For Assembly Layout:

- $H_0: \sigma_L^2 = 0$ $H_a: \sigma_L^2 \neq 0$
- Test statistic $F^* = MS_L / MS_{D(L)} = \frac{4.08}{11.99} = 0.34028$
- Decision: Fail to reject H_0 at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level.
 $F_{0.05, 1, 6} = 5.987 > 0.34028 = F^*$

(3) Compute a 95% CI for the mean time to assemble a circuit board using layout 2. Justify your answer clearly using the estimated mean, SE of the mean, also critical value

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \tau_i + \gamma_j + \beta_k(j) + (\tau\gamma)_{ij} + d_{i0}(j) + e_{ijkl}$$

$$\bar{y}_{\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot} = 26.38$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\bar{y}_{\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot}) &= \text{var}(\mu + \tau_i + \gamma_j + \beta_k(j) + (\tau\gamma)_{ij} + d_{i0}(j) + e_{ijkl}) \\ &= \text{var}(\tau_i) + \text{var}(\gamma_j) + \text{var}(e_{ijkl}) \\ &= \text{var}(\tau_i) + \text{var}(d_{i0}(j)) + \text{var}(e_{ijkl}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{\tau}^2}{4} + \frac{\sigma_{d_{i0}(j)}^2}{(3)(4)} + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{(6)(3)(4)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_{\tau}^2 = 2.33$$

$$\sigma_{d_{i0}(j)}^2 = \frac{5.49 - 2.33}{2} = 1.58$$

$$\sigma_{e(L)}^2 = \frac{11.99 - 2(1.58)}{6} = 1.471667$$

$$\text{var}(\bar{y}_{\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot}) = \frac{1.471667}{4} + \frac{1.58}{12} + \frac{2.33}{72}$$

$$= 0.3679 + 0.131667 + 0.0323611 = 0.532$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_E(\hat{\mu}_{\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot}) = 0.72938$$

95% CI: $\bar{y}_{\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(0.05, v)$ where v is the error df for the SE($\hat{\mu}_{\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot}$)

Problem III: 7-Factors A, B, C, D, E, F, G each having 2 levels

- Conduct 2^{7-3} FF design.
- Generators: $ABDG = -1$, $ACDF = +1$, $BCDE = -1$

(1) How many trts would be observed in this experiment?

$$2^{7-3} = 2^4 = 16 \text{ trts}$$

(2) Would the trt (A, B, C, D, E, F, G) = (+, -, +, +, -, +, +) be used in the experiment?

NO: b/c $BCDE = -1$ but for the given treatment $BCDE = (-1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot -1) = 1 \neq -1$.

(3) What is the resolution of this design?

Implicit constraints: $2^3 - 3 - 1 = 4$.

- $ABDG \cdot ACDF = BCDF$
- $ABDG \cdot BCDE = ACEG$
- $ACDF \cdot BCDE = ABCE$
- $ABDG \cdot ACDF \cdot BCDE = BCDF \cdot BCDE = DEFG$
- Resolution IV (4) \rightarrow we know this design is Resolution IV
b/c the # of factors in the shortest generator is 4.

	ABDG	ACDF	BCDE	BCFG	ACEG	ADEF	DEFG
A	BDG	CDF	ABCDE	ABCFG	CEG	BEF	ADEFG

- The interactions shown above would need to be negligible in order to be able to obtain an estimate of the main effect of Factor A

Problem IV:

(1) E

(2) C

(3) E

(4) D

(5) D

(6) C

(7) A - if saying could be made using Toluy's HSD

on the adjusted ttt means at specified values of the covariate

(8) D

(9) C

$$MS_{\text{Area}} = 36, \text{MSE} = 12$$

$$RE = \frac{SSR + (t-1)MSE}{(t-1)MSE}$$

$$MS_{\text{Area}} = 36, r = 10 \Rightarrow SSR = 36(10-1) = 324$$

$$RE = \frac{324 + 10(3)12}{39(12)} = 1.4615$$

\Rightarrow It would take $\approx 50\%$ more obs in a CRD to achieve the same precision