## AE 5222 – Optimal Control of Dynamical Systems

# Homework Submission Cover Page and Statement of Academic Honesty

I, John O'Wei (1 , submit the solution to Homework Problem 4.
My signature below affirms that all of the writing in this submission is my own work. Any reference material that I used to prepare this submission, including text or video resources, but excluding the lecture notes and videos provided on the Canvas site for this course, is properly cited.
To prepare this submission:
$\Box$ I verbally collaborated with the following individuals (excluding <i>Piazza</i> discussions):
Currently enrolled in AE 5222:
Not currently enrolled in AE 5222:
■ I did not verbally collaborate with any other individual.
This submission reflects my individual effort and my own understanding of the course content.
I have read and I understand <u>WPI's Academic Honesty Policy</u> , and my conduct in preparing this submission has been in accordance with this Policy.
Signature: Date: 04/20/2019

### Jack O'Neill AE 5222 Homework 4

#### Method

The goal for this problem was to minimize the weight of the truss system which is described with this formula:

Weight = 
$$2\sqrt{2}x_1 + x_2$$
.

There are a number of inequality constraints that needed to be met. All nine inequality constraints were converted to equality constraints with the inclusion of respective slack variables:

```
\begin{array}{llll} P & = 20; \\ f & = @(x1,x2) & 2*sqrt(2)*x1 + x2; \\ h1 & = @(x1,x2,s1) & P*(x2+sqrt(2)*x1)/(sqrt(2)*x1^2 + 2*x1*x2) - 20 + s1^2; \\ h2 & = @(x1,x2,s2) & P/(x1 + sqrt(2)*x2) - 20 + s2^2; \\ h3 & = @(x1,x2,s3) & P*x2/(sqrt(2)*x1^2 + 2*x1*x2) - 15 + s3^2; \\ h4 & = @(A1,s4) & A1 - 5 + s4^2; \\ h5 & = @(A2,s5) & A2 - 5 + s5^2; \\ h6 & = @(A3,s6) & A3 - 5 + s6^2; \\ h7 & = @(A1,s7) & A1 - 0.1 + s7^2; \\ h8 & = @(A2,s8) & A2 - 0.1 + s8^2; \\ h9 & = @(A3,s9) & A3 - 0.1 + s9^2; \\ \end{array}
```

With these newly formed equality constraints I could then construct the Lagrangian equation which has the following structure:

$$L(\overline{x}, \overline{p}, \overline{s}) = f(\overline{x}) + \overline{p}^{T}(\overline{h}(\overline{x}, \overline{s}))$$

Like in problem 3 I calculated the gradient of the Lagrangian then solved for all 23 states in Matlab using fsolve(). The derivative of the lagrangian with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are very long, so I have attached the full gradient after this write-up. Here are the derivatives of the Lagrangian with respect to the 21 subsequent states:

```
dL dAl = p4 + p7;
dL dA2 = p5 + p8;
dL dA3 = p6 + p9;
dL dpl = (20*x2 + 20*2^{(1/2)}*x1)/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2) + s1^2 - 20;
dL dp2 = 20/(x1 + 2^{(1/2)}*x2) + s2^2 - 20;
dL dp3 = (20*x2)/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2) + s3^2 - 15;
dL dp4 = A1 + s4^2 - 5;
dL dp5 = A2 + s5^2 - 5;
dL dp6 = A3 + s6^2 - 5;
dL dp7 = A1 + s7^2 - 1/10;
dL dp8 = A2 + s8^2 - 1/10;
dL dp9 = A3 + s9^2 - 1/10;
dL dsl = 2*pl*sl;
dL ds2 = 2*p2*s2;
dL ds3 = 2*p3*s3;
dL ds4 = 2*p4*s4;
dL ds5 = 2*p5*s5;
dL ds6 = 2*p6*s6;
dL ds7 = 2*p7*s7;
dL ds8 = 2*p8*s8;
dL ds9 = 2*p9*s9;
```

#### Results

By solving for the 23 equations the optimal values of each state are determined:

```
x1^* = 0.789 A1^* = 0.1 p1^* = 0.132 s1^* = 0
                                s2* = 2.315
x2^* = 0.408 A2^* = 0.1 p2^* = 0
            A3* = 0.1 p3* = 0
                                 s3* = 3.105
                                 s4* = 2.214
                     p4* = 0
                                 s5* = 2.214
                      p5* = 0
                                 s6* = 2.214
                      p6* = 0
                                 s7* = 0.006
                      p7* = 0
                                 s8* = 0.006
                      p8* = 0
                      p9* = 0
                                 s9* = 0.006
```

It is apparent that the optimal length of the rods are  $x_1 = 0.789$  units, and  $x_2 = 0.408$  units, all with cross sectional areas of 0.1 square units.

#### Discussion

The constraints had an indirect effect on the beam lengths since the permissible stresses were related to the beam lengths. However it is apparent that the cross sectional areas were "clipped" in that in this case their optimal values were all equal to the minimum permissible area. Had there been no constraints on area it would have minimized to zero. The beam stresses and weight were not functions of area, so the only constraints would be the minimum permissible value, which is why the area will always try to be as small as possible.

```
dL_{-}dx1 = 2*2^{(1/2)} - (20*p2)/(x1 + 2^{(1/2)}*x2)^{2} + p1*((20*2^{(1/2)})/(x1 + 2^{(1/2)}*x2)^{2})
(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2) - ((2*x2 + 2*2^{(1/2)}*x1)*(20*x2 +
 20*2^{(1/2)*x1})/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)*x1^2})^2) - (20*p3*x2*(2*x2 + 2^{(1/2)*x1^2})^2)
 2*2^{(1/2)}*x1))/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2)^2;
dL_dx2 = p1*(20/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2) - (2*x1*(20*x2 +
 20*2^{(1/2)*x1})/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)*x1^2})^2) + p3*(20/(2*x1*x2)^2)
 + 2^{(1/2)*x1^2} - (40*x1*x2)/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)*x1^2})^2 -
 (20*2^{(1/2)*p2})/(x1 + 2^{(1/2)*x2})^2 + 1;
dL_dA1 = p4 + p7;
dL_dA2 = p5 + p8;
dL \ dA3 = p6 + p9;
dL_dp1 = (20*x2 + 20*2^{(1/2)}*x1)/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2) + s1^2 - 20;
dL dp2 = 20/(x1 + 2^{(1/2)*x2}) + s2^{2} - 20;
dL_dp3 = (20*x2)/(2*x1*x2 + 2^{(1/2)}*x1^2) + s3^2 - 15;
dL_dp4 = A1 + s4^2 - 5;
dL_dp5 = A2 + s5^2 - 5;
dL dp6 = A3 + s6^2 - 5;
dL dp7 = A1 + s7^2 - 1/10;
dL_dp8 = A2 + s8^2 - 1/10;
dL_dp9 = A3 + s9^2 - 1/10;
dL_ds1 = 2*p1*s1;
dL ds2 = 2*p2*s2;
dL_{ds3} = 2*p3*s3;
dL ds4 = 2*p4*s4;
dL_{ds5} = 2*p5*s5;
dL \ ds6 = 2*p6*s6;
dL_ds7 = 2*p7*s7;
dL \ ds8 = 2*p8*s8;
dL_{ds9} = 2*p9*s9;
x1* = 0.789
x2* = 0.408
A1* = 0.1
A2* = 0.1
A3* = 0.1
p1* = 0.132
p2* = 0
p3* = 0
p4* = 0
p5* = 0
p6* = 0
p7* = 0
p8* = 0
p9* = 0
s1* = 0
s2* = 2.315
s3* = 3.105
s4* = 2.214
s5* = 2.214
s6* = 2.214
s7* = 0.006
s8* = 0.006
```

1

s9\* = 0.006

Published with MATLAB® R2018a