Running head: ENGAGEMENT IN STEM

1

How engagement during out-of-school time STEM programs predicts changes in motivation in STEM  $\,$ 

Joshua Rosenberg<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Beymer<sup>1</sup>, & Jennifer Schmidt<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Michigan State University

# Author Note

This paper is to be presented at the 2018 Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York, NY.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Joshua Rosenberg, Postal address. E-mail: jrosen@msu.edu

# Abstract

Enter abstract.

Keywords: Engagement

Word count: X

How engagement during out-of-school time STEM programs predicts changes in motivation in STEM  $\,$ 

### Introduction

#### Literature Review

## Out-of-school time STEM programs

Out-of-school time (OST) STEM programs have started focusing on STEM content due to the lack of individuals choosing to focus on STEM careers. Although adaptive outcomes have been found while youth are attending OST STEM programs, little research has focused on outcomes after the program has ended. Often, the goal of OST STEM programs is to increase youth's long-term interest and competence in STEM. Therefore, examining youth interest and competence in STEM after spending time in the program is key. Framing the study around Emergent Motivation Theory (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990), we use a profile-oriented approach to investigate the relationship between youths' momentary engagement and their interest and perceived competence at program completion.

#### The Present Study

Research questions include: 1) What momentary profiles emerge? 2) What profiles are predictive of interest and perceived competence after attending an OST STEM program?

#### Method

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

### **Participants**

Data were collected from 203 youth in nine OST programs, each lasting four weeks. Through an Experience Sampling Method (ESM) approach, youth were signaled through mobile phones, yielding 2,463 total ESM responses. Youth were asked to complete a survey before and after the program. A two-step cluster analysis was used to identity momentary profiles. Multilevel Modeling was used to account for the nesting of momentary responses within the nine OST STEM programs.

## Material

### Procedure

## Data analysis

We used R (Version 3.4.3; R Core Team, 2017) and the R-package *papaja* (Version 0.1.0.9655; Aust & Barth, 2017) for all our analyses.

### Results

### **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**

This model uses Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimation. Note that this model does not account for the error in the predictions for engagement when relating repeated measures engagement and post interest. The first (model 0a) does not include any covariates while the second (model 0b) adds gender, under-represented minority (URM) status, and pre-interest.

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: post_interest ~ 1 + rm_engagement_BLUP + gender_female + urm +
## pre_interest + (1 | program_ID)
## Data: d_for_m2
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 307
##
## Scaled residuals:
```

```
##
      Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
                                   Max
## -4.2017 -0.4728 0.0722 0.5639 2.7381
##
## Random effects:
## Groups
                       Variance Std.Dev.
             Name
  program_ID (Intercept) 0.0249
                                0.1578
## Residual
                        0.4681
                                0.6842
## Number of obs: 141, groups: program_ID, 9
##
## Fixed effects:
                   Estimate Std. Error t value
##
## (Intercept)
                     1.6870
                              0.2782 6.064
## rm_engagement_BLUP 0.4669 0.1096 4.261
## gender_female
                    -0.0933 0.1208 -0.772
## urm
                    -0.2056 0.1630 -1.262
## pre_interest
                    0.5309 0.0731 7.263
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
             (Intr) r BLU gndr f urm
## rm ngg BLUP 0.056
## gender feml -0.210 0.158
## urm
             -0.458 -0.028 -0.118
## pre_interst -0.809 -0.106 0.047 -0.005
                       Rsq upper.CL lower.CL
##
               Effect
## 1
                Model 0.392 0.510
                                     0.288
## 5
        pre_interest 0.296 0.415
                                     0.184
## 2 rm_engagement_BLUP 0.112 0.221
                                     0.034
```

##

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo Estimation

These models use Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) estimation (via the MCMCglmm package). The first (model 1a) does not include any covariates while the second (model 1b) adds gender, under-represented minority (URM) status, and pre-interest.

```
Iterations = 3001:12991
##
##
    Thinning interval = 10
   Sample size = 1000
##
##
   DIC: 9872.511
##
                 ~us(trait):participant_ID
   G-structure:
##
##
                                                        post.mean 1-95% CI
## traitrm engagement:traitrm engagement.participant ID
                                                            0.3375 0.26378
## traitpost interest:traitrm engagement.participant ID
                                                            0.1078 0.03689
## traitrm engagement:traitpost interest.participant ID
                                                            0.1078
                                                                   0.03689
## traitpost interest:traitpost interest.participant ID
                                                            0.6361 0.51238
##
                                                         u-95% CI eff.samp
## traitrm_engagement:traitrm_engagement.participant_ID
                                                                     717.8
                                                           0.4226
## traitpost interest:traitrm engagement.participant ID
                                                           0.1847
                                                                    1000.0
## traitrm_engagement:traitpost_interest.participant_ID
                                                                    1000.0
                                                           0.1847
## traitpost_interest:traitpost_interest.participant_ID
                                                           0.7794
                                                                    1000.0
```

```
##
##
                  ~us(trait):program ID
##
                                                     post.mean 1-95% CI
##
## traitrm_engagement:traitrm_engagement.program_ID
                                                         5.775
                                                                  1.496
## traitpost_interest:traitrm_engagement.program_ID
                                                         5.587
                                                                  1.557
## traitrm engagement:traitpost interest.program ID
                                                         5.587
                                                                  1.557
## traitpost_interest:traitpost_interest.program_ID
                                                         5.965
                                                                  1.218
                                                     u-95% CI eff.samp
##
## traitrm engagement:traitrm engagement.program ID
                                                        12.18
                                                                  1093
## traitpost interest:traitrm engagement.program ID
                                                        12.01
                                                                  1000
## traitrm engagement:traitpost interest.program ID
                                                        12.01
                                                                  1000
## traitpost_interest:traitpost_interest.program_ID
                                                                  1000
                                                        12.81
##
    R-structure: ~idh(trait):units
##
##
                            post.mean 1-95% CI u-95% CI eff.samp
##
                                                  0.4309
                                                             1000
## traitrm engagement.units
                               0.4085
                                        0.3864
## traitpost interest.units
                               0.0001
                                        0.0001
                                                  0.0001
                                                                0
##
    Location effects: cbind(rm engagement, post interest) ~ -1 + trait:gender female + t
##
##
                                    post.mean 1-95% CI u-95% CI eff.samp
## traitrm engagement:gender female -0.05842 -0.23983 0.13108
                                                                   1000.0
## traitpost interest:gender female -0.20243 -0.46167
                                                         0.03909
                                                                    896.5
                                     0.09985 -0.18320 0.35113
## traitrm_engagement:urm
                                                                    891.7
## traitpost interest:urm
                                     -0.11672 -0.45906 0.21206
                                                                   1000.0
```

```
0.18050 0.06142 0.30424
                                                                  1073.6
## traitrm engagement:pre interest
## traitpost interest:pre interest
                                     0.35013
                                              0.19166 0.51467
                                                                  763.2
##
                                     pMCMC
## traitrm engagement:gender female
                                     0.510
## traitpost_interest:gender_female
                                    0.112
## traitrm_engagement:urm
                                     0.434
## traitpost interest:urm
                                     0.498
## traitrm_engagement:pre_interest
                                     0.002 **
## traitpost interest:pre interest
                                   <0.001 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
       var1
## 0.2410096
```

# Summary of findings

To calculate these correlations, I calculated the partial R^2 (i.e., the change in R-squared when adding the predictor) for the BLUPs for engagement, which I think helps to compare the results with the two approaches.

- BLUPs / lme4 model
  - Correlation between BLUPs for engagement and post-interest without covariates: .298
  - Correlation between BLUPs for engagement and post-interest with covariates
     (pre-interest, gender, and URM status): .334
- MCMC / mcmcGLMM model
  - Correlation between engagement and post-interest without covariates: .248
  - Correlation between engagement and post-interest with covariates (pre-interest, gender, and URM status): .280

However, I'm not sure this is correct. In the **lme4** models, we used the BLUPs in a separate linear model and obtained a regression coefficient relating repeated measures engagement to post interest, whereas in the **MCMCglmm** models we modeled the correlation of the random effects for repeated measures engagement and interest. One way to compare them is to use correlations instead of a linear model to examine the relations between the predictions (BLUPs) for repeated measures engagement and interest, but this does not allow us to account for covariates. To address this, could a partial correlation be examined (possibly using the **r2glmm** package or a manual approach)? Or, is there another way to transform the beta coefficient into a partial correlation coefficient? Or, is there a way to interpret the correlation between repeated measures engagement and post interest in the **MCMCglmm** models as a regression coefficient?

#### Discussion

# References

Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2017). papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown. Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja

R Core Team. (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.R-project.org/">https://www.R-project.org/</a>