

## Source Language

```
datatype list = Nil of unit | Cons of int × list
```

The following function reverses a list on  $\Theta(n)$  time.

```
rev xs = λxs.rec(xs, Nil ↦ λa.a,  
                Cons ↦ ⟨x,⟨xs,r⟩⟩.λa.force(r) Cons⟨x,a⟩) Nil
```

If we write out the match explicitly using splits:

```
rev xs = λxs.rec(xs,  
                Nil ↦ λa.a,  
                Cons↦b.split(b,x.c.split(c,xs'.r.  
                λa.force(r) Cons⟨x,a⟩))) Nil
```

The specification of `rev` is  $\text{rev } [x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}] = [x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0]$ . The specification of the auxiliary function `rec(xs, ...)` is  $\text{rec}([x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}], \dots) [y_0, \dots, y_{m-1}] = [x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0, y_0, \dots, y_{m-1}]$ .

## Complexity Language

### Translation of `rev` using matching

The translation into the complexity language proceeds as follows. First we apply the rule  $\|\lambda x.e\| = \langle 0, \lambda x.\|e\| \rangle$

```
\|rev\| = ⟨0, λxs.\|rec(xs, Nil ↦ λa.a  
                , Cons ↦ ⟨x,⟨xs,r⟩⟩.λa.force(r) Cons⟨x,a⟩) Nil\|⟩
```

The we apply the rule for function application,  $\|e_0 e_1\| = 1 + \|e_0\|_c + \|e_1\|_c + \|e_0\|_p \|e_1\|_p$ .

$$\|\text{rev}\| = \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs} . (1 + \|\text{xs}\|_c + \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_c + \|\text{Nil}\|_c) +_c \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_p \|\text{Nil}\|_p \rangle$$

We will focus on the translation of the **rec** construct. We apply the rule  $\|\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \overline{C \mapsto x.e_C})\|$   
 $= \|\text{xs}\|_c +_c \text{rec}(\|\text{xs}\|_p, \overline{C \mapsto x.1 +_c \|e_C\|})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)\| &= \|\text{xs}\|_c +_c \text{rec}(\|\text{xs}\|_p, \\ &\quad \text{Nil} \mapsto 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{a}\|, \\ &\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle \text{x}, \langle \text{xs}, \text{r} \rangle \rangle . 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{force}(\text{r}) \text{ Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|) \\ &= \langle 0, \text{xs} \rangle_{c+c} \text{rec}(\langle 0, \text{xs} \rangle_p, \\ &\quad \text{Nil} \mapsto 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{a}\|, \\ &\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle \text{x}, \langle \text{xs}, \text{r} \rangle \rangle . 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{force}(\text{r}) \text{ Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|) \\ &= \text{rec}(\text{xs}, \\ &\quad \text{Nil} \mapsto 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{a}\|, \\ &\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle \text{x}, \langle \text{xs}, \text{r} \rangle \rangle . 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{force}(\text{r}) \text{ Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|) \end{aligned}$$

The translation of the **Nil** branch is simple.

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{a}\| \\ &= 1 +_c \langle 0, \lambda \text{a} . \|\text{a}\| \rangle \\ &= 1 +_c \langle 0, \lambda \text{a} . \langle 0, \text{a} \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . \langle 0, \text{a} \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The translation of the **Cons** branch is a slightly more involved.

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 +_c \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{force}(\text{r}) \text{ Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \| \\ &= 1 +_c \langle 0, \|\lambda \text{a} . \text{force}(\text{r}) \text{ Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \| \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . \|\text{force}(\text{r}) \text{ Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \| \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . (1 + \|\text{force}(\text{r})\|_c + \|\text{Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_c) +_c \|\text{force}(\text{r})\|_p \|\text{Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_p \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . (1 + (\|\text{r}\|_c +_c \|\text{r}\|_p)_c + \|\text{Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_c) +_c (\|\text{r}\|_c +_c \|\text{r}\|_p)_p \|\text{Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_p \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . (1 + \text{r}_c + \|\text{Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_c) +_c \text{r}_p \|\text{Cons} \langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_p \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . (1 + \text{r}_c + (\langle \|\langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_c, \text{Cons} \|\langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_p \rangle)_c) +_c \text{r}_p (\langle \|\langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_c, \text{Cons} \|\langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_p \rangle)_p \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, \lambda \text{a} . (1 + \text{r}_c + \|\langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_c) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \|\langle \text{x}, \text{a} \rangle \|_p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle 1, \lambda a. (1 + r_c + \langle \|x\|_c + \|a\|_c, \langle \|x\|_p, \|a\|_p \rangle_c) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle \|x\|_c + \|a\|_c, \langle \|x\|_p, \|a\|_p \rangle_p \rangle \\
&= \langle 1, \lambda a. (1 + r_c + \|x\|_c + \|a\|_c) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle \|x\|_p, \|a\|_p \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle 1, \lambda a. (1 + r_c + \langle 0, x \rangle_c + \langle 0, a \rangle_c) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle \langle 0, x \rangle_p, \langle 0, a \rangle_p \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle 1, \lambda a. (1 + r_c + 0 + 0) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle x, a \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle 1, \lambda a. (1 + r_c) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle x, a \rangle \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So the translation of the whole `rec` is:

$$\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \text{Nil}) \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle \text{xs}', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. (1 + r_c) +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle x, a \rangle \rangle$$

We observe that in both cases, the cost of `rec` is 1, so we can simplify  $r_c$  to 1.

$$\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \text{Nil}) \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle \text{xs}', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle x, a \rangle \rangle$$

We will pick up where we left off with out translation of `rev`.

$$\|\text{rev}\| = \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. (1 + \|\text{xs}\|_c + \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_c + \|\text{Nil}\|_c) +_c \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_p \|\text{Nil}\|_p \rangle$$

First we will translate the variables.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rev}\| &= \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. (1 + \langle 0, \text{xs} \rangle_c + \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_c + \langle 0, \text{Nil} \rangle_c) +_c \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_p \langle 0, \text{Nil} \rangle_p \rangle \\
&= \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. (1 + 0 + \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_c + 0) +_c \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_p \text{Nil} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We use our translation of `rec(xs, ...)` and the fact that the cost of every call to `rec` is 1 to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rev}\| &= \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. (1 + 0 + 1 + 0) +_c \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_p \text{Nil} \rangle \\
&= \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. 2 +_c \text{rec}(\text{xs}, \text{Nil}) \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle \text{xs}', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle x, a \rangle \rangle \rangle_p \text{Nil} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So our complete translation of the linear time reversal function is

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rev}\| &= \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. (1 + 0 + 1 + 0) +_c \|\text{rec}(\dots)\|_p \text{Nil} \rangle \\
&= \langle 0, \lambda \text{xs}. 2 +_c \text{rec}(\text{xs}, \text{Nil}) \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle \text{xs}', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \text{r}_p \text{Cons} \langle x, a \rangle \rangle \rangle_p \text{Nil} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The interpretation of `rev` is rather dull as the cost of `rev` is always null. Instead of interpreting `rev`, we will interpret `rev xs`. In preparation we will translate `rev xs`.

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{rev } xs \rrbracket &= (1 + \llbracket \text{rev} \rrbracket_c + \llbracket xs \rrbracket_c) +_c \llbracket \text{rev} \rrbracket_p \llbracket xs \rrbracket_p \\
&= (1 + 0 + \langle 0, xs \rangle_c) +_c \llbracket \text{rev} \rrbracket_p \langle 0, xs \rangle_p \\
&= (1 + 0 + 0) +_c \llbracket \text{rev} \rrbracket_p xs \\
&= 1 +_c \llbracket \text{rev} \rrbracket_p xs \\
&= 1 +_c (\lambda xs. \text{rec}(xs, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle xs', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c r_p \text{Cons}(x, a) \rangle \rangle_p \text{Nil}) \text{ } xs \\
&= 1 +_c \text{rec}(xs, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle xs', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c r_p \text{Cons}(x, a) \rangle \rangle_p \text{Nil}) \\
&= 1 +_c \text{rec}(xs, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle xs', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c r_p \text{Cons}(x, a) \rangle \rangle_p \text{Nil}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{rev } xs \rrbracket &= 1 +_c \text{rec}(xs, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \langle x, \langle xs', r \rangle \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c r_p \text{Cons}(x, a) \rangle \rangle_p \text{Nil}
\end{aligned}$$

## Interpretation

We interpret the size of an `list` to be the number of list constructors.

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{list} \rrbracket &= \mathbb{N}^\infty \\
D^{\text{list}} &= \{*\} + \{1\} \times \mathbb{N}^\infty \\
\text{size}_{\text{list}}(\text{Nil}) &= 1 \\
\text{size}_{\text{list}}(\text{Cons}(1, n)) &= 1 + n
\end{aligned}$$

The interpretation of `rev xs` proceeds as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \llbracket \text{rev } xs \rrbracket \rrbracket &= \llbracket 1 +_c \text{rec}(xs, \dots)_p \text{Nil} \rrbracket \\
&= \llbracket \langle 1 + (\text{rec}(xs, \dots)_p \text{Nil})_c, (\text{rec}(xs, \dots)_p \text{Nil})_p \rangle \rrbracket \\
&= \langle 1 + \llbracket (\text{rec}(xs, \dots)_p \text{Nil})_c \rrbracket, \llbracket (\text{rec}(xs, \dots)_p \text{Nil})_p \rrbracket \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We will focus on the interpretation of the auxiliary function `rec(xs, ...)`.

Let  $g(n) = \llbracket \text{rec}(xs, \dots) \rrbracket \{xs \mapsto n\}$

$$g(n) = \bigvee_{\text{size } ys \leq n} \text{case}(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

For  $n = 0$ ,  $g(0) = \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle$ .

For  $n > 0$ ,

$$g(n+1) = \bigvee_{\text{size } ys \leq n+1} \text{case}(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$g(n+1) = \bigvee_{\text{size } ys \leq n} \text{case}(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$\vee \bigvee_{\text{size } ys = n+1} \text{case}(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$g(n+1) = g(n) \vee \bigvee_{\text{size } ys = n+1} \text{case}(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$g(n+1) = g(n) \vee \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle$$

We want to show that  $g$  is monotonically increasing;  $\forall n. g(n) \leq g(n+1)$ . By definition of  $\leq$ ,  $g(n) \leq g(n+1) \Leftrightarrow \pi_0 g(n) \leq \pi_0 g(n+1) \wedge \pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)$ . First we will show  $\forall n. \pi_0 g(n) = 1$ , the immediate corollary of which is  $\forall n. \pi_0 g(n) \leq \pi_0 g(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case:**  $n = 0$

By definition,  $\pi_0 g(0) = 1$ .

**Induction step:**  $n > 0$

By definition  $\pi_0 g(n+1) = \pi_0 (g(n) \vee \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle)$ . We distribute the projection over the max:  $\pi_0 g(n+1) = \pi_0 g(n) \vee 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\pi_0 g(n) = 1$ , so  $\pi_0 g(n+1) = 1$ .

□

Now we argue that  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)$ . First we prove the lemma  $\forall n. \pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

$n = 0$

$$\pi_1 g(0)a = \langle 0, a \rangle \leq \pi_1 g(0)(a+1) = \langle 0, a+1 \rangle.$$

$n > 0$

We assume  $\pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$ .

$$\pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$$

$$\pi_1 g(n)a \vee 2 +_c g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \vee 2 +_c g(n)(a+1)$$

$$\pi_1 g(n+1)a \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)(a+1)$$

□

Now we show  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* By reflexivity,  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n)$ . By the lemma we just proved:

$$\pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$$

$$\pi_1 g(n)a \leq 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$$

$$\lambda a. \pi_1 g(n)a \leq \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$$

□

So since for all  $n$ ,  $\pi_0 g(n) = 1$  and  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$ , we can say

$$g(n) \leq \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle$$

So

$$g(n+1) = \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle$$

To extract a recurrence from  $g$ , we apply  $g$  to the interpretation of a list  $a$ .

Let  $h(n, a) = \pi_1 g(n)a$

For  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h(0, a) &= \pi_1 g(0) a \\ &= (\lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle) a \\ &= \langle 0, a \rangle \end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h(n, a) &= \pi_1 g(n) a \\ &= (\lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n-1)(a+1)) a \\ &= 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n-1)(a+1) \\ &= 2 +_c h(n-1, a+1) \\ &= \langle 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1), \pi_1 h(n-1, a+1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

From this recurrence, we can extract a recurrence for the cost. Let  $h_c = \pi_0 \circ h$ .

For  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_c(0, a) &= \pi_0 h(0, a) \\ &= \pi_0 \langle 0, a \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_c(n, a) &= \pi_0 \langle 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1), \pi_1 h(n-1, a+1) \rangle \\ &= 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1) \\ &= 2 + h_c(n-1, a+1) \end{aligned}$$

We now have a recurrence for the cost of the auxiliary function `rec(xs, ...)`:

$$h_c(n, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ 2 + h_c(n-1, a+1) & n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

**Theroem:**  $h_c(n, a) = 2n$

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

Base case:  $n = 0$

$$h_c(0, a) = 0 = 2 \cdot 0$$

Induction case:

We assume  $h_c(n, a + 1) = 2n$ .

$$h_c(n + 1, a) = 2 + h_c(n, a + 1) = 2 + 2n = 2(n + 1)$$

□

The solution to the recurrence for the cost of the auxiliary function `rec(xs, ...)` is:

$$h_c(n, a) = 2n$$

We can also extract a recurrence for the potential. Let  $h_p = \pi_1 \circ h$ .

For  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_p(0, a) &= \pi_1 h(0, a) \\ &= \pi_1 \langle 0, a \rangle \\ &= a \end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_p(n, a) &= \pi_1 \langle 2 + \pi_0 h(n - 1, a + 1), \pi_1 h(n - 1, a + 1) \rangle \\ &= \pi_1 h(n - 1, a + 1) \\ &= h_p(n - 1, a + 1) \end{aligned}$$

We now have a recurrence for the potential of the auxiliary function in `rev xs`:

$$h_p(n, a) = \begin{cases} a & n = 0 \\ h_p(n - 1, a + 1) & n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

**Theroem:**  $h_p(n, a) = n + a$



*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

Base case:  $n = 0$

$$h_p(0, a) = a$$

Induction case:

$$h_p(n, a) = h_p(n - 1, a + 1) = n - 1 + a + 1 = n + a$$

□

So the solution to the recurrence for the potential of the auxiliary function.

$$h_p(n, a) = n + a$$

We return to our interpretation of `rev xs`.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{rev xs} \rrbracket &= \langle 1 + \llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_c \rrbracket, \llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_p \rrbracket \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + \pi_0(\llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_c \rrbracket), \pi_1(\llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_c \rrbracket) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + \pi_0(\pi_1 g(n) \ 0), \pi_1(\pi_1 g(n) \ 0) \rangle \text{ where } n \text{ is the length of } \text{xs} \\ &= \langle 1 + \pi_0 h(n, 0), \pi_1 h(n, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + h_c(n, 0), h_p(n, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + 2n, n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This result tells us the cost of applying `rev` to a list `xs` of length  $n$  is  $1 + 2n$ , and the resulting list has size  $n$ . So `rev` =  $\Theta(n)$ .

## Translation of rev using split

The linear time reversal function using splits instead of the matching syntactic sugar is written as follows:

```
rev xs = λxs.rec(xs,
  Nil ↦ λa.a,
  Cons ↦ b.split(b, x.c.split(c, xs'.r.
    λa.force(r) Cons(x, a)))) Nil
```

Like before, we will begin by translating the `rec` construct.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rec}(\dots)\| &= \|\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \text{Nil} \mapsto \lambda a. a, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto b. \text{split}(b, x. c. \text{split}(c, \text{xs}'.r. \\
&\quad \quad \lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}(x, a)))\| \\
&= \langle 0, \text{xs} \rangle_{c+c} \text{rec}(\langle 0, \text{xs} \rangle_p, \text{Nil} \mapsto 1 +_c \|\lambda a. a\|, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c \|\text{split}(b, x. c. \text{split}(c, \text{xs}'.r. \\
&\quad \quad \lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}(x, a)))\|) \\
&= \text{rec}(\text{xs}, \text{Nil} \mapsto 1 +_c \|\lambda a. a\|, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c \|\text{split}(b, x. c. \text{split}(c, \text{xs}'.r. \\
&\quad \quad \lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}(x, a)))\|)
\end{aligned}$$

The translation of the Nil branch is simple.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 1 +_c \|\lambda a. a\| \\
&= 1 +_c \langle 0, \lambda a. \|a\| \rangle \\
&= 1 +_c \langle 0, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle \\
&= \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The translation of the Cons branch is a slightly more involved.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c \|\text{split}(b, x. c. \text{split}(c, \text{xs}'.r. \\
&\quad \lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}(x, a)))\| \\
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c \|\text{b}\|_{c+c} \|\text{split}(c, \text{xs}'.r. \\
&\quad \lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}(x, a))\| [\pi_0 \|\text{b}\|_p / x, \pi_1 \|\text{b}\|_p / c]
\end{aligned}$$

The translation of the type of **b** will illuminate the translation of the **split**. The type of **b** is  $\text{b} :: \text{int} \times \langle \text{list} \times \langle \text{list} \rightarrow \text{list} \rangle \rangle$ .

The type of  $\|\text{b}\|$  is  $\langle \text{C} \times \langle \text{int} \times \langle \text{list} \times \langle \text{C} \times \text{list} \rightarrow \langle \text{C} \times \text{list} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle$ . We can say that  $\pi_0 \|\text{b}\|_p$  is the head of the list **xs**,  $\pi_0 \pi_1 \|\text{b}\|_p$  is the tail of the list **xs**, and  $\pi_1 \pi_1 \|\text{b}\|_p$  is the result of the recursive call.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c \langle 0, \|\text{b}\|_p \rangle_{c+c} \|\text{split}(c, \text{xs}'.r. \\
&\quad \lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}(x, a))\| [\pi_0 \|\text{b}\|_p / x, \pi_1 \|\text{b}\|_p / c] \\
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c 0 +_c (\|\text{c}\|_{c+c}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\|\lambda a. \text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|) [\pi_0 \|c\|_p / xs', \pi_1 \|c\|_p / r] [\pi_0 \|b\|_p / x, \pi_1 \|b\|_p / c] \\
= & \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c (\|c\|_c +_c \\
& \langle 0, \lambda a. \|\text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\| [\pi_0 \|c\|_p / xs', \pi_1 \|c\|_p / r] [\pi_0 \|b\|_p / x, \pi_1 \|b\|_p / c]
\end{aligned}$$

Let us focus on the translation of  $\|\text{force}(r) \text{ Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
= & (1 + \|\text{force}(r)\|_c + \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_c) +_c \|\text{force}(r)\|_p \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \\
= & (1 + (\|r\|_c +_c \|r\|_p)_c + \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_c) +_c (\|r\|_c +_c \|r\|_p)_p \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \\
= & (1 + (\|r\|_c +_c \|r\|_p)_c + \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_c) +_c (\|r\|_c +_c \|r\|_p)_p \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \\
= & (1 + \|r\|_c + \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_c) +_c \|r\|_p \|\text{Cons}\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \\
= & (1 + \|r\|_c + (\langle \|\langle x, a \rangle\|_c, \text{Cons}\|\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \rangle)_c) +_c \|r\|_p \langle \|\langle x, a \rangle\|_c, \text{Cons}\|\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \rangle_p \\
= & (1 + \|r\|_c + \|\langle x, a \rangle\|_c) +_c \|r\|_p \text{Cons}\|\langle x, a \rangle\|_p \\
= & (1 + \|r\|_c + \langle \|\mathbf{x}\|_c + \|\mathbf{a}\|_c, \langle \|\mathbf{x}\|_p, \|\mathbf{a}\|_p \rangle \rangle_c) +_c \|r\|_p \text{Cons}\langle \|\mathbf{x}\|_c + \|\mathbf{a}\|_c, \langle \|\mathbf{x}\|_p, \|\mathbf{a}\|_p \rangle \rangle_p \\
= & (1 + \|r\|_c + (\|\mathbf{x}\|_c + \|\mathbf{a}\|_c)) +_c \|r\|_p \text{Cons}\langle \|\mathbf{x}\|_p, \|\mathbf{a}\|_p \rangle \\
= & (1 + r_c + (\langle 0, \mathbf{x} \rangle_c + \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle_c)) +_c \langle 0, r \rangle_p \text{Cons}\langle \langle 0, \mathbf{x} \rangle_p, \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle_p \rangle \\
= & (1 + r_c + 0 + 0) +_c r_p \text{Cons}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \\
= & (1 + r_c) +_c r_p \text{Cons}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We can now use this in our translation of the **Cons** case.

$$\begin{aligned}
= & \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c (\|c\|_c +_c \\
& \langle 0, \lambda a. (1 + r_c) +_c r_p \text{Cons}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle [\pi_0 \|c\|_p / xs', \pi_1 \|c\|_p / r] [\pi_0 \|b\|_p / x, \pi_1 \|b\|_p / c] \\
= & \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c (\|c\|_c +_c \\
& \langle 0, \lambda a. (1 + (\pi_1 \|c\|_p)_c) +_c (\pi_1 \|c\|_p)_p \text{Cons}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle [\pi_0 \|b\|_p / x, \pi_1 \|b\|_p / c] \\
= & \text{Cons} \mapsto b. 1 +_c (\|\pi_1 \|b\|_p\|_c +_c \\
& \langle 0, \lambda a. (1 + (\pi_1 \|\pi_1 \|b\|_p)_c) +_c (\pi_1 \|\pi_1 \|b\|_p)_p \text{Cons}\langle \pi_1 \|b\|_p, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. 1 +_c (\|\pi_1 \langle 0, \mathbf{b} \rangle_p\|_c +_c \\
&\quad \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \|\pi_1 \langle 0, \mathbf{b} \rangle_p\|_p)_c) +_c (\pi_1 \|\pi_1 \langle 0, \mathbf{b} \rangle_p\|_p)_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \langle 0, \mathbf{b} \rangle_p, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle) \\
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. 1 +_c (\|\pi_1 \mathbf{b}\|_c +_c \\
&\quad \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \|\pi_1 \mathbf{b}\|_p)_c) +_c (\pi_1 \|\pi_1 \mathbf{b}\|_p)_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle) \\
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. 1 +_c (\langle 0, \pi_1 \mathbf{b} \rangle_c +_c \\
&\quad \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \langle 0, \pi_1 \mathbf{b} \rangle_p)_c) +_c (\pi_1 \langle 0, \pi_1 \mathbf{b} \rangle_p)_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle) \\
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. 1 +_c \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_c) +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle \\
&= \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_c) +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle \\
\|\text{rec}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}, \dots)\| &= \text{rec}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_c) +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

So our translation of `rev` is

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rev}\| &= \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}. \text{rec}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (1 + (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_c) +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that in both cases of the `rec`, the cost of the recursive call is 1, so we can replace  $\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b}_c$  with 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rev}\| &= \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}. \text{rec}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (2 +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle) \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the interpretation of `rev xs`.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\text{rev } \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}\| &= (1 + \|\text{rev}\|_c \|\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}\|_c) +_c \|\text{rev}\|_p \|\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}\|_p \\
&= (1 + \langle 0, \lambda \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}. \text{rec}(\dots) \rangle_c + \langle 0, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s} \rangle_c) +_c \|\text{rev}\|_p \langle 0, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s} \rangle_p \\
&= (1 + 0 + 0) +_c (\lambda \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}. \text{rec}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (2 +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle))_p \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}) \\
&= 1 +_c \text{rec}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{s}, \text{Nil} \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. \langle 0, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle, \\
&\quad \text{Cons} \mapsto \mathbf{b}. \langle 1, \lambda \mathbf{a}. (2 +_c (\pi_1 \pi_1 \mathbf{b})_p \text{Cons} \langle \pi_1 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

## Interpretation

We interpret the size of an `list` to be the number of list constructors.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{list} \rrbracket &= \mathbb{N}^\infty \\ D^{list} &= \{*\} + \{1\} \times \mathbb{N}^\infty \\ size_{list}(\text{Nil}) &= 1 \\ size_{list}(\text{Cons}(1, n)) &= 1 + n \end{aligned}$$

The interpretation of `rev xs` proceeds as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{rev xs} \rrbracket &= \llbracket 1 +_c \text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil} \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \langle 1 + (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_c, (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_p \rangle \rrbracket \\ &= \langle 1 + \llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_c \rrbracket, \llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_p \rrbracket \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We will focus on the interpretation of the auxiliary function `rec(xs, ...)`.

Let  $g(n) = \llbracket \text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots) \rrbracket \{xs \mapsto n\}$

$$g(n) = \bigvee_{size\ ys \leq n} case(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

For  $n = 0$ ,  $g(0) = \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle$ .

For  $n > 0$ ,

$$g(n+1) = \bigvee_{size\ ys \leq n+1} case(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$g(n+1) = \bigvee_{size\ ys \leq n} case(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$\vee \bigvee_{size\ ys = n+1} case(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$g(n+1) = g(n) \vee \bigvee_{size\ ys = n+1} case(ys, Nil \mapsto \langle 1, \lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle \rangle, Cons \mapsto \langle 1, m \rangle. \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(m)(a+1) \rangle)$$

$$g(n+1) = g(n) \vee \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle$$

We want to show that  $g$  is monotonically increasing;  $\forall n. g(n) \leq g(n+1)$ . By definition of  $\leq$ ,  $g(n) \leq g(n+1) \Leftrightarrow \pi_0 g(n) \leq \pi_0 g(n+1) \wedge \pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)$ . First we will show  $\forall n. \pi_0 g(n) = 1$ , the immediate corollary of which is  $\forall n. \pi_0 g(n) \leq \pi_0 g(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

**Base case:**  $n = 0$

By definition,  $\pi_0 g(0) = 1$ .

**Induction step:**  $n > 0$

By definition  $\pi_0 g(n+1) = \pi_0(g(n) \vee \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle)$ . We distribute the projection over the max:  $\pi_0 g(n+1) = \pi_0 g(n) \vee 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\pi_0 g(n) = 1$ , so  $\pi_0 g(n+1) = 1$ .

□

Now we argue that  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)$ . First we prove the lemma  $\forall n. \pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

$n = 0$

$$\pi_1 g(0)a = \langle 0, a \rangle \leq \pi_1 g(0)(a+1) = \langle 0, a+1 \rangle.$$

$n > 0$

We assume  $\pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$ .

$$\pi_1 g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$$

$$\pi_1 g(n)a \vee 2 +_c g(n)a \leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \vee 2 +_c g(n)(a+1)$$

$$\pi_1 g(n+1)a \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)(a+1)$$

□

Now we show  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* By reflexivity,  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \pi_1 g(n)$ . By the lemma we just proved:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1 g(n)a &\leq \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \\ \pi_1 g(n)a &\leq 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \\ \lambda a. \pi_1 g(n)a &\leq \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)\end{aligned}$$

□

So since for all  $n$ ,  $\pi_0 g(n) = 1$  and  $\pi_1 g(n) \leq \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1)$ , we can say

$$g(n) \leq \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle$$

So

$$g(n+1) = \langle 1, \lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n)(a+1) \rangle$$

To extract a recurrence from  $g$ , we apply  $g$  to the interpretation of a list  $a$ .

Let  $h(n, a) = \pi_1 g(n)a$

For  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}h(0, a) &= \pi_1 g(0)a \\ &= (\lambda a. \langle 0, a \rangle)a \\ &= \langle 0, a \rangle\end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}h(n, a) &= \pi_1 g(n)a \\ &= (\lambda a. 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n-1)(a+1))a \\ &= 2 +_c \pi_1 g(n-1)(a+1) \\ &= 2 +_c h(n-1, a+1) \\ &= \langle 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1), \pi_1 h(n-1, a+1) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

From this recurrence, we can extract a recurrence for the cost. Let  $h_c = \pi_0 \circ h$ .

For  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}h_c(0, a) &= \pi_0 h(0, a) \\ &= \pi_0 \langle 0, a \rangle \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_c(n, a) &= \pi_0 \langle 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1), \pi_1 h(n-1, a+1) \rangle \\ &= 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1) \\ &= 2 + h_c(n-1, a+1) \end{aligned}$$

We now have a recurrence for the cost of the auxiliary function  $\text{rec}(\mathbf{xs}, \dots)$ :

$$h_c(n, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ 2 + h_c(n-1, a+1) & n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

**Theroem:**  $h_c(n, a) = 2n$

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

Base case:  $n = 0$

$$h_c(0, a) = 0 = 2 \cdot 0$$

Induction case:

We assume  $h_c(n, a+1) = 2n$ .

$$h_c(n+1, a) = 2 + h_c(n, a+1) = 2 + 2n = 2(n+1)$$

□

The solution to the recurrence for the cost of the auxiliary function  $\text{rec}(\mathbf{xs}, \dots)$  is:

$$h_c(n, a) = 2n$$

We can also extract a recurrence for the potential. Let  $h_p = \pi_1 \circ h$ .

For  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_p(0, a) &= \pi_1 h(0, a) \\ &= \pi_1 \langle 0, a \rangle \\ &= a \end{aligned}$$



For  $n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h_p(n, a) &= \pi_1 \langle 2 + \pi_0 h(n-1, a+1), \pi_1 h(n-1, a+1) \rangle \\ &= \pi_1 h(n-1, a+1) \\ &= h_p(n-1, a+1) \end{aligned}$$

We now have a recurrence for the potential of the auxiliary function in **rev xs**:

$$h_p(n, a) = \begin{cases} a & n = 0 \\ h_p(n-1, a+1) & n > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

**Theroem:**  $h_p(n, a) = n + a$

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

Base case:  $n = 0$

$$h_p(0, a) = a$$

Induction case:

$$h_p(n, a) = h_p(n-1, a+1) = n-1 + a+1 = n + a$$

□

So the solution to the recurrence for the potential of the auxiliary function.

$$h_p(n, a) = n + a$$

We return to our interpretation of **rev xs**.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{rev xs} \rrbracket &= \langle 1 + \llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_c \rrbracket, \llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p \text{ Nil})_p \rrbracket \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + \pi_0(\llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p) 0 \rrbracket), \pi_1(\llbracket (\text{rec}(\text{xs}, \dots)_p) 0 \rrbracket) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + \pi_0(\pi_1 g(n) \ 0), \pi_1(\pi_1 g(n) \ 0) \rangle \text{ where } n \text{ is the length of } \text{xs} \\ &= \langle 1 + \pi_0 h(n, 0), \pi_1 h(n, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + h_c(n, 0), h_p(n, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle 1 + 2n, n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This result tells us the cost of applying `rev` to a list `xs` of length  $n$  is  $1 + 2n$ , and the resulting list has size  $n$ . So  $\text{rev} = \Theta(n)$ .