waRne - Putting cricket analytics in a spin

Contents

| Abstract | 1 |
|---|---|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Why cricket needs reproducibility | 1 |
| Using waRne to teach undergraduate statistics | 2 |
| Easier engagement of fans | 4 |
| Cool cricket examples | 4 |
| Dhoni Dilemma | 5 |
| notes for James | 5 |

Abstract

The importance of reproducibility, and the related issue of open access to data, has received a lot of recent attention. Momentum on these issues is gathering in the sports analytics community. While cricket is the second largest commercial sport in the world, unlike other popular international sports, there has been no mechanism for the public to access comprehensive statistics on players and teams. Expert commentary currently relies heavily on data that isn't made readily accessible and this produces an unnecessary barrier for the development of an inclusive sports analytics community.

Introduction

Data access is a key enabler for any analytics community. Most major sports have easy access to match statistics, for example nbastatR for NBA, Lahman for baseball, deuce for tennis and nflfastR for NFL. Through access to sports data and reproducible findings and metrics fans clubs and researchers are better able to understand the game, predict match outcomes and rate players. For example the way teams tackled 4th down decisions in the NFL has changed since (Romer 2006) seminial work. As teams have changed their 4th down decision making this allows follow up research such as (Yam and Lopez 2019) which looked at more granular data to see if this happens in practice.

By making data more accessible and more advanced metrics more accessible fans data journalism in sports has grown in recent years. For example in (Horowitz, Yurko, and Ventura 2017) has enabled EPA to enter popular discussion among fans.

Cricket is the second largest sport in the world. However, unfortunetally there is no easy accessible way to access ball by ball data nor aggregated statistics of teams and players. Data while available on sites like especiation are not in an easy to use form. For example, each match is listed on different webpages so hours upon hours of time would be required to copy and paste a single season. Hence, there are significant logistical barriers for prospective fans and analysts studying the game, which stagnats understanding of cricket.

This paper describes the waRne package, the first to provide free and easy access to data for cricket for fans. Web scraping tools are avaiable for fans to easily scrape the play by play commentary data on espncricinfo. For the first time fans can evaluate their favourite teams and players and do so in a reproducible and accessible manner.

Why cricket needs reproducibility

Data accessibility enables fans, analyts and researchers to better understand the game. Through being able to reproduce common popular metrics, visualisations and article findings.

Through being able to reproduce, fans are able to make accessible findings for others and importantly they are able to extend and grow concepts. Unfortuntely what we see through leading cricket analytics providers is a track record of confusing output for fans. This can lead to lower engagement and dismissial of cricket analytics.

For example in this series of tweets we see the narrative being pushed that Steve Smith is a good player vs pace bowling unfortunetly just a few months prior the same company and journalist published an article which had Steve Smith doing much worse against pace (balls above $140 \, \mathrm{km/h}$). Unlike a similar sport baseball, fans have no easily accisible way of seeing if Steve Smith vs pace is a strength as alluded to in the original tweet, or a weakness like the same persons published online article. In comparsion, fans are able to get a breakdown of Mike Trout vs fastballs from using baseballr which provides access through statcast data from baseballsavant](https://baseballsavant.mlb.com)) .

Unfortunetly this is just one of many examples whereby a relatively simple statistic is provided by media and fans have no mechanism to fact check. Fact checking is an important avenue for fans to not only engage and understand statistics, but having this mechanism also stops analytics companies from putting out misleading conclusions and findings.

However though using waRne we are able to not only compare Steve Smiths performance vs bowling type but we are able to easily compare Steve Smiths performance vs bowling types with other players.

Steve Smith vs Bowling Type Chart

James here need to figure out the code, do we keep it separate so in the example we still have to join on bowling type to the ball by ball, or will it just be an extra column joined already.

Another reason why is that cricket is a weird sport with a lot of old school rules of thumb that are followed/talked about.

For example it has become popular conjecture that its better that teams should but second (chase down a total set by the oppointion). Through waRne we can answer such question for the T20 game.

For example we can explore do teams win more often when chasing in the big bash over the history of the big bash, or we can plot a line chart to see if there is a movement towards batting last and winning.

Using waRne to teach undergraduate statistics

We can also use waRne and other R packages as an easy way to engage students in learning statistical concepts.

For example using the above we can not only look at the answers graphically, but we can run a statistical test. The example we would use is instead of testing is a coin biased (different from 50/50) we can test to see if the winning \% is different from 0.5 for teams chasing.

James and I entered a reproducibility competition and the winning entry provided a statistic sort of a gateway into the importance of their "project" was was specifically in 2019 Australian Big Bash T20 season. The statistic given was in the 2019 big bash season teams that batted first won only 43% of games. Thankfully we can try to reproduce that statistic now through the use of waRne

Wikipedia tells us that there were the following:

In the 2018-19 Big Bash League there were 59 matches played. Of which we had 3 DLS results.

Table 1: Games under consideration in 2018-19 Big Bash

| Games | Result |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 21 DEC 2018 - THUNDER VS STARS | THUNDER WON BY 15 RUNS (D/L) |

| Games | Result |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2 FEB 2019 - THUNDER VS SIXERS | SIXERS WON BY 9 WICKETS (D/L) |
| 8 JAN 2019 HEAT VS THUNDER | HEAT BY 15 RUNS (D/L) |
| 17th January 2019 Thunder vs Heat | no result |

```
##
##
                0
                   1
##
     20102011
                8 11
##
     20112012 10 18
##
     20122013 17 13
     20132014 13 18
##
##
     20142015 15 17
##
     20152016 19 15
##
     20162017 19 11
##
     20172018 18 20
##
     20182019 33 22
     20192020 20 39
##
```

So here we can see that we in the big bash season 2018-19 we have a current winning percentage batting first of 22/(22+33) = 0.4 but this doesn't include the duckworth lewis games or the washed out completely game.

If we were to add in the duckworth lewis games we get 24/(24+34) which is equal to 0.414. Which isn't the result.

We can also clearly see that in the 20192020 big bash season the team batting first wins 39/(39+20) or 0.66 so what could be going on? Did Quantium mean only games played in the actual year 2019?

In that case from the 2018-2019 season we would remove the following games

Table 2: Games in 2018 of the 2018-19 Big Bash Season

| Date | Game | Result | Winning Team |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 19 December 2018 | Heat Vs Strickers | Strickers 5 Wickets | Chased |
| 20th December 2018 | Scorchers Vs Renegades | Renegades by 4 wickets | Chased |
| 21 December 2018 | Thunder vs Stars | Thunder by 15 runs (D/L) | Batted First |
| 22 December 2018 | Sixers vs Scorchers | Sixers by 17 runs | Batted First |
| 22 December | Hurricanes vs Heat | Hurricanes by 15 runs | Batted First |
| 23 December 2018 | Strikers vs Renegades | Renegades by 5 wickets | Chased |
| 24 December 2018 | Stars vs Hurricanes | Hurricanes by 6 wickets | Chased |
| 24th December 2018 | Thunder vs sixers | Thunder by 21 runs | Batted First |
| 26th December 2018 | Strickers vs scorchers | Scorchers by 7 wickets | Chased |
| 27th December | Sixers vs Stars | stars by 5 wickets | Chased |
| 28th December | thunder vs hurricanes | hurricanes by 7 wickets | Chased |
| 29th december | sixers vs renegades | sixers by 33 runs | Batted First |
| 30th decemeber 2018 | scorchers vs hurricanes | hurricanes by 6 wickets | Chased |
| 31st decemember 2018 | strickers vs thunder | strickers by 20 runs | Batted First |

i.e. we remove 14 games here of which 6 teams won batting first 16/(22+33-14)

Then from here we have to add on games in the 2019-2020 big bash season that were played in 2019

Table 3: Games played in 2019 of the 2019-20 Big Bash

| Date | Teams | Result | Winning Team |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 17 December | Thunder vs Heat | Thunder by 29 runs | Batted First |
| 18th December | Scorchers vs Sixers | Sixers by 8 wickets | Chased |
| 19th december | Renegades vs thunder | thunder by 6 wickets | chased |
| 20th decemeber | hurricanes vs sixers | hurricanes by 25 runs | batted first |
| 20th decemember | stars vs heat | stars by 22 runs | batting first |
| 21st decemember | strickers vs thunder | no result | no result |
| 21st december | scorchers vs renegades | scorchers by 11 runs | batting first |
| 22 deceember | stars vs hurricanes | stars by 52 runs | batting first |
| 22 decemember | heat vs sixers | heat by 48 runs | batting first |
| $23 \mathrm{dec}$ | strickers vs scorchers | strickers by 15 (DLS) | batting first |
| 24th dec | renegades vs hurricanes | hurricanes by 7 wickets | chased |
| 26 decemember | sixers vs scorchers | sixers by 48 runs | batting first |
| $27 \mathrm{dec}$ | strickers vs stars | strikers by 5 runs | batting first |
| 28th december | thunder vs sixers | sixers won super over (batting first) | sixers by superover or sixers batting second |
| 29th dec | strikers vs renegades | strikers by 18 runs | batting first |
| 30th dec | hurricanes vs stars | stars by 4 runs (DLS) | batting first |
| 31st december 2019 | thunder vs strikers | thunder by 3 runs | batting first |

12 batting first and 3 batting second

(16+12)/(12+3+22+33-14)

So over the past 2 years (not including DLS) we have

(22+39)/(20+39+33+22)

i.e. >50% of teams win chasing so what does that mean?

Easier engagement of fans

To the surprise of many, its hard to engage cricket fans into the analytics behind the game. Reasons for this are generally centred around reproducibility and explainability to fans.

Without an easy accessible medium how can crickets version of an analytics community grow.

something something look towards how EPA has changed the way fans are engaged in NFL analytics - so maybe the change in run rate can be like EPA?

Cool cricket examples

- Dhoni spin/pace/yorkr
 - shows off joins to player information and extracting information from play by play
- especicinfo articles but instead of ipl do same tables for big bash
- recreate stats from especicinfo like this page

| Things to do | person |
|------------------------------|--|
| clean scrapers/csv for waRne | James |
| data dictionary | Robert |
| examples | Robert - start (assume same csv being used) - cool cricket example stuff |

Dhoni Dilemma

With this new dataset, we allow fans to put on their analyst thats and to be able to ask themselves, what exactly makes a good closer and what exactly is the Dhoni Dilemma.

To understand the Dhoni Deilemma, we need to see some interesting things to do with the change in RRR.

Cricket is an obvious sort of sport, to win in the end you have to get one run more than your opposition. A commonly used statistic during the broadcast is the required run rate, for example if you need 120 to win off 20 overs your RRR is \$6\$ runs an over

What follows is then obvious is the chasing team wins if they are able to lower the RRR that is score quicker.

Especicinfo did article on teams that win games get a higher proportion of their runs in the powerplay and first 10 overs. But proportion of team runs in T20 games doesn't really make sense as a batting metric as we are not just concerned with how many runs they get, but how quickly they get them. So we introduce a new way to measure batsman change in RRR.

This graph makes sense as we can see the best openers in the world lower the required run rate so whats the Dhoni Dilemma?

Dhoni is renowed for being one of the worlds best closers but we can see it seems as though in the middle he is letting the game get out of hand. How can one of the worlds best closers

notes for James

So I don't think its like a complex paper, because of the way cricket is at the moment I think the biggest influence this stuff can make is

- being able to fact check
- having batsman metrics that are beyond average, total runs and SR
- What we can maybe mention? is that change in RRR while simple seems to be quite effective, a better measurement would be the DLS which we know can be derived from the ball by ball data or alternatively if someone has a resource table they can instead of change in RRR use change in resources or whatever

For the examples, I think its pretty easy to get the graphs to look nice, its more about whats the dataset look like? i.e. do we need the first few lines for reproducibility to be joins or is it sort of like done already

Horowitz, Maksim, Ron Yurko, and Samuel L Ventura. 2017. "NflscrapR: Compiling the Nfl Play-by-Play Api for Easy Use in R." URL Https://Github. Com/Maksimhorowitz/nflscrapR, R Package Version 1 (0).

Romer, David. 2006. "Do Firms Maximize? Evidence from Professional Football." *Journal of Political Economy* 114 (2). The University of Chicago Press: 340–65.

Yam, Derrick R, and Michael J Lopez. 2019. "What Was Lost? A Causal Estimate of Fourth down Behavior in the National Football League." *Journal of Sports Analytics* 5 (3). IOS Press: 153–67.