

Limited Dependent Variable Model in Panel Data

Contents

Panel Probit/Logit Model	1
u_i Independent of x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}	2
u_i Not independent of x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}	2
Poisson Regression in Panel Data	3

Panel Probit/Logit Model

We consider the following model

$$y_{it}^* = x_{it}\beta + u_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

In which u_i is time-invariant unobservable term and

$$y_{it} = 1(0) \quad \text{if} \quad y_{it}^* > 0 (< 0)$$

of course we still have

$$pr(y_{it} = 1|x_{it}, u_i) = pr(\epsilon_{it} > -x_{it}\beta - u_i)$$

if ϵ_{it} follows normal distribution, then

$$pr(y_{it} = 1|x_{it}, u_i) = \Phi(-x_{it}\beta - u_i)$$

if ϵ_{it} follows logit distribution, then

$$pr(y_{it} = 1|x_{it}, u_i) = \frac{\exp(x'_{it}\beta + u_i)}{1 + \exp(x'_{it}\beta + u_i)}$$

Under either condition, we can write down the likelihood of a person i :

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT}|u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) &\equiv \prod_{t=1}^T [pr(y_{it} = 1|x_{it}, u_i)]^{y_{it}} [pr(y_{it} = 0|x_{it}, u_i)]^{1-y_{it}} \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^T [\Lambda(x'_{it}\beta + u_i)]^{y_{it}} [1 - \Lambda(x'_{it}\beta + u_i)]^{1-y_{it}} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_y(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT}|x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) &= \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT}, u_i|x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) du_i \\ &= \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT}|u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) g(u_i|x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) du_i \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

in which we use g to denote the distribution of u_i . We next discuss how to deal with u_i .

u_i Independent of x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}

This is random effect model. Under this assumption, it is quite easy to process. The likelihood function for person i is now

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) g(u_i | x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) du_i \\ &= \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) g(u_i) du_i \\ &= \int \prod_{t=1}^T [\Lambda(x'_{it}\beta + u_i)]^{y_{it}} [1 - \Lambda(x'_{it}\beta + u_i)]^{1-y_{it}} g(u_i) du_i \end{aligned}$$

We can calculate this integral numerically if we know the distribution of $g(u_i)$.

u_i Not independent of x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}

This is fixed effect model. Under this assumption, it is often very hard to calculate $g(u_i | x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT})$! Then, following the idea in the linear panel model, we may want to get rid of u_i , i.e., let the u_i disappear in the likelihood function!

To do this, let's see the (1) again. The distribution of y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} depends on u_i . Recall the definition of 'sufficient statistics': conditioning on the sufficient statistics, the distribution of sample has nothing to do with population parameter. Can we find a 'sufficient statistics' for y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} such that, conditioned on the this statistics, the joint distribution of y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} does not depend on u_i ?

It turns out that if the ϵ_{it} follows logit distribution, and by conditioning on $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = s$, the conditional likelihood function for y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} would no longer contain the μ_i

To make this idea concrete, we assume there is only two periods, 1, and 2. Therefore we have

- If $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 0$, Then it is certain that $y_{i1} = y_{i2} = 0$. Therefore we have

$$pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 0) = 1$$

- If $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 2$, Then it is certain that $y_{i1} = y_{i2} = 1$. Therefore we have

$$pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 2) = 1$$

- If $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 1$, Then there are two possible cases: $y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0$, or $y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 1) &= \frac{pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2})}{pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}) + pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2})} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i)}{1 + \exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i)} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(x'_{i2}\beta + u_i)}}{\frac{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i)}{1 + \exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i)} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(x'_{i2}\beta + u_i)} + \frac{\exp(x'_{i2}\beta + u_i)}{1 + \exp(x'_{i2}\beta + u_i)} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i)}} = \frac{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i)}{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta + u_i) + \exp(x'_{i2}\beta + u_i)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta)}{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta) + \exp(x'_{i2}\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

similarly we have

$$pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 1) = \frac{\exp(x'_{i2}\beta)}{\exp(x'_{i1}\beta) + \exp(x'_{i2}\beta)}$$

We can see that, due to the logit distribution, the u_i directly disappears. In summary, the above analysis implies that, for each individual, conditioning on his $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}$, we can easily find his ‘conditional likelihood function’

$$f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | u_i, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}) = f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it})$$

which is free of u_i . It is easy to see:

- Advantage: Since we only focus on the conditional likelihood function, then u_i is thrown away, and we do not need to do the integral. very convenient!
- Disadvantage: We lose the information for those individuals with $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = T$ or 0.

In general, check the likelihood function condition on some statistics may be a good way to kill the undesirable parameters (in the cost of losing information). Similar techniques are used in the Cox model.

Poisson Regression in Panel Data

We next consider a model in which y_{it} is non-negative integer. Specifically,

$$pr(y_{it} = y | u_i, x_{it}) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i)]^y}{y!}$$

in which

$$\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i) = e^{x_{it}\beta + \mu_i}$$

Therefore we can write down the likelihood function for i :

$$f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) = \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i)]^{y_{it}}}{y_{it}!}$$

Of course, since u_i is unobservable, we still want to do take integral over it, as is shown in (1) ## u_i Independent of x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT} This is random effect model. Under this assumption, it is quite easy to process. The likelihood function for person i is now

$$\begin{aligned} & \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) g(u_i | x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) du_i \\ &= \int f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT} | u_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT}) g(u_i) du_i \\ &= \int \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{it}\beta + \mu_i)]^{y_{it}}}{y_{it}!} g(u_i) du_i \end{aligned}$$

We can calculate this integral numerically if we know the distribution of $g(u_i)$. ## u_i Not independent of x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iT} In this situation we have fixed effect model. As is in the panel logit model, we want to write down the ‘conditional likelihood function’. We still take $T = 2$ as example. Suppose individual i has $y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1$, and we want to see $f(y_{i1}, y_{i2} | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, y_{i1} + y_{i2} = 1)$. There are two possible cases: $y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0$, or $y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1$.

$$pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 1) = \frac{pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2})}{pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}) + pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2})}$$

Since

$$pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{i1}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{i1}\beta + \mu_i)]^1}{1!} \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{i2}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{i2}\beta + \mu_i)]^0}{0!}$$

$$pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{i1}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{i1}\beta + \mu_i)]^0}{0!} \frac{e^{-\lambda_i(x_{i2}\beta + \mu_i)} [\lambda_i(x_{i2}\beta + \mu_i)]^1}{1!}$$

therefore we have

$$\frac{pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2})}{pr(y_{i1} = 1, y_{i2} = 0 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}) + pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2})} = \frac{\lambda(x_{i1}\beta + u_i)}{\lambda(x_{i1}\beta + u_i) + \lambda(x_{i2}\beta + u_i)} = \frac{e^{x'_{i1}\beta}}{e^{x'_{i1}\beta} + e^{x'_{i2}\beta}}$$

similarly

$$pr(y_{i1} = 0, y_{i2} = 1 | u_i, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} = 1) = \frac{e^{x'_{i2}\beta}}{e^{x'_{i1}\beta} + e^{x'_{i2}\beta}}$$

Thanks to the poisson distribution and the specification of λ , we get rid of the u_i when conditioning on $\sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}$