



# University of Colorado Boulder

Ann and H.J. Smead Department of Aerospace Engineering Sciences

---

**ASEN 6037** TURBULENT FLOWS

LITERATURE REVIEW: *A Hybrid RANS-LES  
Approach with Delayed-DES and Wall-Modelled LES  
Capabilities*

---

---

James Wright

---

Boulder, Colorado

April 2020

# 1 Introduction

This literature review will cover the content and background of “A Hybrid RANS-LES Approach with Delayed-DES and Wall-Modelled LES Capabilities”[1].

## 2 Overview of Prior Work

This paper introduces a new hybrid RANS-LES turbulence model, later known as the improved delayed detached eddy simulations (IDDES). Before reviewing the paper, let’s review the history of hybrid turbulence models in general.

IDDES can trace it’s main roots back to the seminal detached eddy simulation (DES) [2] model, first proposed by Spalart, Jou, Strelets, *et al.* The concept and motivation of hybrid models is fairly simple. LES is very expensive (particularly near the wall) for high Re flows, while RANS is significantly cheaper but not reliably accurate for many types of flow problems. Hybrid models combine the two to exploit their strengths and cover up their weakness. In general, RANS is responsible for flow regions that are very expensive for LES (namely near the wall) and LES is responsible for regions where RANS is not adequate. This is visually shown in fig. 1, where the shaded regions represent the parts of the turbulent spectrum that are modeled.




Figure 1: Turbulence model hierarchy. Reproduced from [3] under the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The transition between the two models is one of the primary achilles’s heel of DES-like methods. This is true both in determining where transition should occur and in transforming the modeled stress in the RANS region into resolved turbulence structures required by LES. The transition point was the

primary reason for the first (of many) modifications to the original DES model. The DES model based the transition point on the grid size. This lead to issues (Modeled Stress Depletion and consequently Grid Induced Separation) when the model was used on different sized near-wall grids. This issue was addressed by Menter and Kuntz in 2002 by introducing a shielding function to the DES formulation [4]. The original transition mechanism in DES was suppressed inside a boundary layer, as determined by the shielding function. This idea was originally only implemented with the SST-based DES model, but was generalized into the delayed detached eddy simulation (DDES) by Spalart, Deck, Shur, *et al.* in 2006 [5].

There have been attempts to use DES as a wall-modeled LES (WMLES), where RANS is used for modeling turbulence in a thin region within the boundary layer. However, at the transition between the RANS and LES regions, there exists what is known as the log-layer mismatch, referring to the log-law portion of the boundary layer. The issue is that while both RANS and LES both accurately predict the logarithmic relationship between  $u^+$  and  $y^+$ , they predict them at different magnitudes. Thus, at the boundary between them, the velocity profile must transition from the log-law relationship predicted by RANS to the one predicted by LES.

### 3 Work Summary

This work introduces a new hybrid RANS-LES turbulence model dubbed the improved delayed detached eddy simulation (IDDES). The goal of the model is to allow for WMLES and standard DDES operation in the same model. Additionally, it aims to resolve the log-layer mismatch seen in other applications of DES-esque models for WMLES.

### References

- [1] M. L. Shur, P. R. Spalart, M. K. Strelets, and A. K. Travin, “A hybrid RANS-LES approach with delayed-DES and wall-modelled LES capabilities”, *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1638–1649, 2008, ISSN: 0142727X. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2008.07.001.
- [2] P. R. Spalart, W.-H. Jou, M. Strelets, and S. Allamaras, “Comments on the Feasibility of LES for Wings, and on a Hybrid RANS/LES Approach”, in *1st AFOSR Int. Conf. on DNS/LES*, C. Liu and Z. Liu, Eds., Ruston, 1997, pp. 137–147.
- [3] P. G. Tucker and J. C. Tyacke, “Eddy resolving simulations in aerospace - Invited paper (Numerical Fluid 2014)”, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 272, pp. 582–592, 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2015.02.018.
- [4] F. R. Menter and M. Kuntz, “Adaptation of Eddy-Viscosity Turbulence Models to Unsteady Separated Flow Behind Vehicles”, in *The Aerodynamics of Heavy Vehicles: Trucks, Buses, and Trains*, R. McCallen, F. Browand, and J. Ross, Eds., vol. 19, New York: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002, pp. 339–352, ISBN: 3-540-22088-7. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-44419-0\_30.
- [5] P. R. Spalart, S. Deck, M. L. Shur, K. D. Squires, M. K. Strelets, and A. Travin, “A new version of detached-eddy simulation, resistant to ambiguous grid densities”, *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 181–195, 2006, ISSN: 09354964. DOI: 10.1007/s00162-006-0015-0.