```
Jun Ryn
Math 116 HW8
2) a)
           In [1]: v1 = vector([4,13])
                v2 = vector([-57, -45])
                M = Matrix([v1,v2])
                det(M)
           Out[1]: 561
           In [2]: (det(M)/(v1.norm()*v2.norm()))^0.5
           Out[2]: 0.753621824936351
           In [3]: w1 = vector([25453,9091])
                w2 = vector([-16096, -5749])
                 (det(M)/(w1.norm()*w2.norm()))^0.5
           Out[3]: 0.00110199922846350
 So, we have determinant of Alice's
       lattice is 156)
  Hadamard rato for privale basis: 0.7536)
  Hadamard rutio for public basis: 70.0011
        In [4]: y = vector([155340,55483])
                M.solve left(y)
        Out[4]: (-115993/17, -163408/51)
   So, we have e ~ -6823.12v, -3204.08v2
         V= Ltilv, + Ltilv2 => V= - 68234, -3204 v2
      => 1= (155336, 55481)
```

```
In [4]: x = vector([155336,55481])
         N = Matrix([w1,w2])
         N.solve_left(x)
     Out[4]: (8, 3)
      V= 8w, +3wz => plaintext is ma(ti3)
Now, we have
      e = x, w, + ... + x, w, + r
        r = e - V = (155340,55483) -
                       (122336, 22481)
                           = ((4,2)
C) We repeat the above process but using
    gu, wzy instead of &v, vzy
       In [8]: N.solve left(y)
       Out[8]: (-428/51, -1169/51)
   So, e ≈ -8.39w, -22.92wz
     =) V = -8W_1 - 23W_2 =)
     resulting "plaintext" is (-8,-23), which
      is incorrect.
```

```
We have V, = (1,1) and Vz = (2,0.5)
 We have ||v_1||^2 = |^2 + |^2 = 2 and
                 \|v_2\|^2 = 2^2 + 0.5^2 = 4.25 so
                 11 11 11 2 11 1
                                   (1,1)\cdot(2,0.5) = \lfloor 1.25 \rceil
 Now, we compute m=1
 so, we have
                   V_2 = (2, 0.5) - 1 \cdot (1,1) = (1, -0.5)
Now, we repeat the process:
  \|v_1\|^2 = 2, \|v_2\|^2 = 1^2 + (-0.5)^2 = 1.25
So we swap v, and vz. (v,=(1,-0.5), v=(1,1)
Now, we compute
     m = \lfloor \frac{(1,-0.5)\cdot(1,1)}{1-25} \rfloor = \lfloor 0.47 = 0
So, Since m20, we have [W,= (1,-0.5), Wz=(1,1)
 In [6]: v1 = vector([1,1])
      v2 = vector([2,0.5])
                                               Madamard ratio
      M = Matrix([v1,v2])
      (det(M)/(v1.norm()*v2.norm()))^0.5
                                             -\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} V_{1}, V_{2}
 Out[6]: 0.603161203621801*sqrt(-sqrt(2))
 In [7]: w1 = vector([1,-0.5])
      w2 = vector([1,1])
                                            - Hadamard ratio
      (det(M)/(w1.norm()*w2.norm()))^0.5
 Out[7]: 0.819036258812720*sqrt(-sqrt(2))
                                               for of wi, wife
```

```
In [1]: def lattice reduction(v1,v2):
                   rounded_m = 1
                   counter = 0
                   while rounded_m != 0:
                       if v2.norm() < v1.norm():</pre>
                          v2 copy = v2
                          v2 = v1
                          v1 = v2\_copy
                       m = (v1.dot_product(v2))/(v1.norm()^2)
                       rounded m = round(m)
                       v2 = v2 - rounded_m*v1
                       counter = counter + 1
                   return (v1, v2, counter)
        In [2]: v1 = vector([120670,110521])
                v2 = vector([323572,296358])
               lattice_reduction(v1,v2)
        Out[2]: ((14, -47), (-362, -131), 7)
        In [3]: M = Matrix([v1,v2])
                (det(M)/(v1.norm()*v2.norm()))^0.5
        Out[3]: 0.000512357132355632
        In [4]: w1 = vector([14, -47])
                w2 = vector([-362, -131])
                (det(M)/(w1.norm()*w2.norm()))^0.5
        Out[4]: 0.999167162226088
So, we have our solution to the SVP
                                                      algorithm
The Madamard ratio of the input was (0.0005
 The Hadamard ratio of the output was I
```