

CS787 Homework 2

Mikola Lysenko

February 19, 2009

1.

- i. $\emptyset \subseteq V$ is vacuously covered by any matching, so $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- ii. If $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is covered by a matching, then the same matching must cover all subsets of I , so \mathcal{I} satisfies downward closure.
- iii. Suppose $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $|I| < |J|$. By definition there exist matchings M_I, M_J covering the vertices in both I, J . If there are any vertices in $J - I$ which are incident to M_I , then we may add them immediately to I , so without loss of generality assume that the vertices $J - I \subseteq M_J - M_I$. With respect to $M_I \cup M_J$ each vertex, $c \in M_J - M_I$ has degree exactly 1 and is thus the start of a unique maximal path with edges alternating in M_J, M_I . By partitioning, there are only 3 places where the path can end:
 - a. $M_J - M_I$
 - b. $M_I - M_J - I$
 - c. $I - M_J$

Now it is known by hypothesis that $|I - M_J| \leq |I - J| < |J - I| \leq |M_J - M_I|$, so there always exists some path which does not end in case c. In either case a or b, take the symmetric difference of the path with M_I to create a matching containing c and covering I . By induction, this eventually reduces $|M_J - M_I| = |J - I|$ thereby forcing the exchange of some element in $J - I$ to I .

Thus, we have shown that the matroid axioms hold for (S, \mathcal{I}) .

2. Let $G = (Q \cup S, E)$ be a bipartite graph with components S, Q and edges $E = \{(q_i, e_i) | q_i \in Q, e_i \in S\}$. Then any matching $M = \{(q_{i(1)}, e_{j(1)}), \dots, (q_{i(t)}, e_{j(t)})\}$ of G has distinct $e_{j(k)}$ with each $e_{j(k)}$ associated to a unique $q_{i(k)}$, and is thus a transversal. Additionally, in any transversal $T = \{e_{j(1)}, \dots, e_{j(t)}\}$ each $e_{j(k)}$ and $q_{i(k)}$ are distinct and form an edge within G . Therefore partial transversals of (S, Q) are equivalent to matchings on G .

To build the transversal matroid, take the construction for G given above and compose it with the definition from problem 1's matching matroid.

3. ¹ Let $S = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$ as described and pick $Q = \{B_{i,k} | 1 \leq k \leq d_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ where $B_{i,j} = B_i$. Then any partial transversal $T = \{e_{j(k)}\}$ of (S, Q) also

¹This proof is slightly more general than required as it does not make use of the fact that each B_i is disjoint.

satisfies $T \subseteq S$ and that for all B_i , $B_i \cap T$ contains no more than d_i members of S , so $|T \cap B_i| \leq d_i$. Next, take any $I \subseteq S$ such that for all B_i , $|I \cap B_i| \leq d_i$, and match each element of $e \in I \cap B_i$ to some distinct $B_{i,j}$ (which is always possible by cardinality) giving some partial transversal of (S, Q) . Therefore, each I is equivalent to a unique partial transversal up to permutation, and the partition matroid is therefore a special case of the transversal matroid.

4. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For each vertex, $v_i \in V$, let $B_i \subseteq E$ be the edges incident to v_i . Then any partition $S = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$ with limits $d_i = 1$ satisfies the property that no edge is incident to no more than one vertex. Moreover, for any collection of edges in G satisfying the property are also a partition of S, d_i (as each edge appears in no B_i more than once and each $d_i = 1$). So, we obtain a matroid by invoking problem 3. The from case is equivalent to solving the problem on the transpose of G .

If a subset, I , of edges is independent in both matroids, then for each vertex v the both the number of edges in I incident to or from any v is no more than 1. To generate these sets, we modify the above construction by adding the additional sets B'_i of all edges incident from v along with the limits $d'_i = 1$.

5. The only constraint on subsets of W is that "the same row can have at most one element"; therefore, each row may be considered independently. Within a single row, we are only allowed to choose one element so the maximum weight must equal the weight of the maximum element. This gives the following algorithm:

MAX-WEIGHT(W)

```

1   $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $m$ 
3      do  $S \leftarrow S \cup \min(\{w_{ij} | j \in [1, n]\})$ 
4  return  $S$ 
```

To express this problem as a matroid, we partition W row-wise into sets $q_i = \{w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,j}\}$ and apply the transversal matroid from problem 2 (weighting the elements according to W .) A valid transversal, $T = \{w_{1,j(1)}, \dots, w_{m,j(m)}\}$, satisfies the constraint that no two elements are in the same row and moreover, a maximum weight transversal also

6. First observe that the total amount of time needed to complete n jobs on the machine is no greater than n , as each job has a unit cost, so without loss of generality assume that each $d_i \leq n$. Let $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the collection of jobs, each with deadline d_j and penalty p_n as described and $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ with $q_t = \{e_j | 1 \leq j \leq n, d_j \leq t\}$. A partial transversal of (S, Q) is also a valid schedule for the machine, as each job, $e_{j(t)}$ associated with some particular q_t could be executed at the time t and by symmetry each schedule with a job, $e_{j(t)}$

at time t is a partial transversal. Therefore, the set of valid schedules containing no empty time slots forms a matroid.

Now, assign weights to jobs within the transversal matroid equal to their penalty. As a result, a maximum weight schedule minimizes the total penalties of the jobs which were not executed. So, we conclude that the optimal schedule can be found greedily.