# HEURISTIC SOLUTIONS FOR THE TRIGGER ARC TRAVELING SALESMAN PROBLEM: AN APPLICATION OF GRASP

Preprint, compiled July 25, 2025

Joan Salva Soler 📵 and Grégoire de Lambertye 🗓 2

<sup>1</sup>H2O.ai <sup>2</sup>gregoire.delambertye@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula au gue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean

**Keywords** TSP · TA TSP · GRASP · Local Search · Trigger Arc TSP · Optimisation

### 1 Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum. Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)

#### 2 Background

## 2.1 The TA TSP

The Trigger Arc TSP (TA-TSP), is a variation of the TSP introduced by Cerrone et al.[1]. Unlike traditional TSP formulations, which typically assume static or purely time-dependent arc costs, the TA-TSP incorporates a dynamic cost mechanism that directly reflects the sequence of traversed arcs within a tour.

Specifically, this problem introduces a set of Relations, comprising two distinct arc types:

- Trigger Arcs: These are designated arcs whose traversal can influence the cost of other arcs within the network.
- Target Arcs: These are arcs whose traversal cost is subject to dynamic modification.

Each relation defines a specific cost that a target arc will assume if its corresponding trigger arc is the last trigger arc visited immediately preceding the target arc's traversal. This mechanism

is critical, as it establishes a pronounced path-dependency, making the total tour cost contingent on the specific sequence of arcs traversed.

A formal definition of the problem is given by Cerrone et al. [1] as: consider a directed graph G = (N, A) with weights on the arcs. The node  $0 \in N$  is designated as the starting node (depot). Let  $c(a) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \forall a \in A$  be defined as the cost of traversing the arc a. For each arc a = (h, k), a set of relations  $R_a = \{(a_1, a)|a_1 \in A\}$  is associated. Finally, let  $c(r) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \forall r \in R_a$ , be the traversal cost of the arc a if the relation r is active. Let  $T = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{|N|})$  be the ordered sequence of arcs starting at node 0 representing a Hamiltonian cycle in G. The relation  $r = (a_i, a_j)$  is active if and only if the arcs  $a_i, a_j \in T$ , and the arc  $a_i$  precedes the arc  $a_j$  in T and there is no active relation  $r_1 = (a_k, a_j) \in R_{a_j}$  such that  $a_k$  precedes  $a_i$  in T. It follows that for each arc a, at most one relation can be active. As a result, the traversal cost of the arc a = (h, k) will be equal to c(a) if there are no active relations in  $R_a$  or c(r) if r is the only active relation in  $R_a$ .

This particular problem formulation is designed to facilitate the modeling of complex real-world scenarios where the act of traversing an arc by a vehicle or agent can intrinsically alter the properties or costs associated with subsequent segments of the underlying road network or system state. Building upon its initial definition, this work further investigates the TA-TSP, aiming to explore its properties and develop methods for its resolution.

#### 2.2 The GRASP approach

The solution developped in this paper mainly rely on a proven metaheuristic introduced by Feo et al.[2], the Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedures (GRASP). This powerful and flexible framework is well-suited for tackling complex combinatorial optimization problems, including those exhibiting the intricate path-dependencies seen in the TA-TSP. It operates through an iterative two-phase process: a construction phase and a local search phase.

The construction phase leverages a greedy randomized strategy to build a feasible solution. This involves creating diverse feasible solutions at minor computationnal costs. The diversity of the solution is carried on by a degree of randomness.

Following the construction of a solution, the local search phase then systematically explores its neighborhood to improve it, typically by applying moves that lead to better objective function values. The best solution found across multiple iterations of these two phases is ultimately returned.

This rigorous yet adaptive approach makes GRASP particularly well-suited for problems where the optimal solution is difficult to find through exact methods.

#### 3 Methodology

Overview of the approach

#### 3.1 Constructing initial solution

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis portitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer.

#### 3.2 Local search

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

#### 3.2.1 Delta evaluation

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

## 4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND DATA

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetuer at, consectetuer sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

#### 4.1 Datasets

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetuer a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetuer. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

#### 4.2 Experimental Setup

Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullam-corper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetuer odio sem sed wisi.

## 5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sed feugiat. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Ut pellentesque augue sed urna. Vestibulum diam eros, fringilla et, consectetuer eu, nonummy id, sapien. Nullam at lectus. In sagittis ultrices mauris. Curabitur malesuada erat sit amet massa. Fusce blandit. Aliquam erat volutpat. Aliquam euismod. Aenean vel lectus. Nunc imperdiet justo nec dolor.

#### 6 Conclusion

Etiam euismod. Fusce facilisis lacinia dui. Suspendisse potenti. In mi erat, cursus id, nonummy sed, ullamcorper eget, sapien. Praesent pretium, magna in eleifend egestas, pede pede pretium lorem, quis consectetuer tortor sapien facilisis magna. Mauris quis magna varius nulla scelerisque imperdiet. Aliquam non quam. Aliquam porttitor quam a lacus. Praesent vel arcu ut tortor cursus volutpat. In vitae pede quis diam bibendum placerat. Fusce elementum convallis neque. Sed dolor orci, scelerisque ac, dapibus nec, ultricies ut, mi. Duis nec dui quis leo sagittis commodo. [1]

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Carmine Cerrone, Maria Truvolo, Raffaele Dragone, and Raffaele Battaglia. The trigger arc tsp: Optimise theăpicking process inăwarehouses withăcompactable storage systems. In Angel A. Juan, Javier Faulin, and David Lopez-Lopez, editors, *Decision Sciences*, pages 279–289, Cham, 2025. Springer Nature Switzerland. ISBN 978-3-031-78238-1. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-78238-1\_26.
- [2] Thomas A. Feo and Mauricio G. C. Resende. Greedy randomized adaptive search procedures. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 6(2):109–133, Mar 1995. doi: 10. 1007/BF01096763. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01096763.